THE LIGHT OF TRUTH

OR

SIDDHANTA DEEPIKA.

A MONTHLY JOURNAL DEVOTED TO

RELIGION, PHILOSOPHY, LITERATURE, SCIENCE, &C.,

Vol. IV.

JUNE 1900 MAY 1901.

INDEX TO VOL. IV.

TRANSLATIONS.

PAGES	Pages.
Dakshinamurti Stotra of Sree Sankaracharya	Pre-eminence of Tamil
by S. Venkaturamanan, s.A., B L 217	by Alkondavilli G 34
Hymn from Devaram	Sivaguana Siddhiar of Arul Nandi Sira Charya
by N. Balesubramanian, n A. 83	by J. M. Nallaswami Pillai, S.A., S.L. 6, 80, 58,
Mrigondra Agema	77, 158, 288, 279.
by M. Narayamaswami Aippr B.A., B.L. 80, 97, 125	They umanavar's Poems
149, 178, 198.	by R. Shanmoga Mudaliar 155, 216
Naishkarama Siddhi of Suresvaracharya	Vedanta Sutras with Srikantha Bashya
by N. Haribara Aiyar, s.4. 271	by A. Mahadeva Sastri, s.a. 1, 25, 49, 78, 122,
	145, 169, 159.
ARTI	CLES.
Admixture of Aryan with Tamilian	Memoriam
by Pundit D Savariroyan 104, 157, 218, 241, 269.	by R. K. Sastri, s.a. No. 8 Pages 1-3, 210, 218
Appaya Dikshita's Siddhanta-Less-Sangraba	Nachinarkkiniyar
by M. Narayassaswami Aiyar, в.а., в.с 196	by S. Anavaratavinayakam Pillai, n.a. 39, 68, 115
Appaya Dikshita	Problem of Evil
by S. Venkataramanau, a.a., a.L 261	by Alkondavilli G10, 85, 65, 91, 186, 177, 201
Did Sankara write a commentary on the Svetas-	Pattinapalai
vatara Upanishad? by M. Narayanaswami	by T. Chelvakesavaraya Mudaliar, M.A. 18
Aiyar, B.A., B.L 88	Padn-Tal
Eligiac Stauzes on Sri-la-Sri Somasundara	by C. Brito 89
Nayagar 211_214	Padmávati
Kampanology	by K 129
by C. Brito 87	Porra Naubūru
Library of Advaita Works	by The Rev. G. U. Pope, M.A., D.D 183
by M. Narayanaswami Aiyar, B.A., B.L 48	Query
Longitude and Time among the Hindus in the	by M. Narayanaswami Aiyar, B.A., B.L. 274
12th century A. D. by S. Venkataramanan,	Sage of the Siddhanta
B.A., B.I., 94	by S. Anavaratavinayakam Pillai, M.A 7
Late Sri-la-sri Somasundara Nayagar	Sankara's commentaries on the Upanishads
by J. M. Nallaswami Pillai, B.A., B.L. 205	by M. Narayanaswami Aiyar, B.A., B.L 57
Auguzines 95	The Small-pox Goddess
Manimekhalai	by V. V. Ramanan, M.A., C.M.M.S. (Lond)., &c283
by S. Ansvarstavineyskam Pillai, M a 109	DA A. A. DERIBRIER, E.E. C. E.E.S. (Topicale) mo

ARTICLES .- Continued.

Panes.	Pages.
Sira Samhita on the Saiva Agamas	Telugu Rule in Support of Sambandha
by M. Narayanaswann Aiyar, a.A., t.A. 134	
Svetasvatura Upanishad	Tamil Poem on the importance of Dr. Pope's
by P. Narayana Aiyar, B.A., B.L. 156	
Svetasvatara Upanishad	S. Saravana Pillai 215
by J. M. Nallaswami Pillai, B.A., B.L. 185	Vision of Sir Launfal
Shiva and Apollo	by T. Virabadra Mudahar, n.x., s.t 62, 254
by T. Chelvakesavaraya Mudaliar, n.a 281	Vidya and Avidya of the Isavasya
Famil Literature. A Short Sketch of,	by S. Venkataramanan, B.A., B.L 118
by S. A. Tirumalaikolundu Pillai, E.A.	Word "Ayal"
12, 85, 161, 193, 121, 245	by S. W. Coomaraswa.m 141
MISCE	LLANEA.
Extracts 20, 49, 96, 206, 257, 275, 276, 278	Reviews 24, 142, 144, 273
Notes and Comments 71, 168, 208, 235, 258	Reviews 24, 142, 144, 273

LIGHT OF TRUTH

- OR -

SIDDHANTA DEEPIKA.

A Monthly Journal, Devoted to Religion, Philosophy, Literature, Science, &c.,

Commenced on the Queen's Commemoration Day, 1897.

VOL. IV.

MADRAS, JUNE 1900.

No. I.

TRANSLATIONS.

THE VED VNTA-SUTRAS WITH STRIKANTHA BHA/SHYA.

Continued from page 235%.

Adhikarana 4

And because fof the Pranava being mentioned as the object of contemplation) throughout, it is but right (to say that Pranava is the object of contemplation). Hill iii. S

In the Chhandoyya it is said "Let a man contemplate the syllable "One" the Udgitha." Now, a doubt arises as to whether the contemplation here enjoined reters to Udgitha and Pranava as two distinct objects of contemplation comprehended for facility's sake in one act of contemplation, or it refers to one of them only.

(Parcapaksha):—It is true that Pranava and Udgitha are grammatically in the same case, put in appoaition to each other and thus referring to one and the same thing; and this is possible when one of them is

• (1p. Cit. 1-1-1.

the substantive and the other an attributive qualifying it—Still, there is nothing to show either that the Pranava is the substantive qualified by the Udgitha, or that the Udgitha is the substantive qualified by the Pranava. The contemplation therefore relates to them as two distinct things comprehended in one act of contemplation.

(Siddhinta):—As a counst the foregoing we hold as follows: the contemplation does not relate to the Pranava and the Udgitha as two distinct things comprehended in one single act of contemplation. In the first prapathaka of the Chhandogya, the upakrama or the opening words of the section are, "let a man contemplate the syllable 'Om' the Udgitha; for, with 'Om'* people begin to sing the Udgitha."† As in the opening words, so, even in the sequel the Pranava is pointed to as the object of worship here intended: "Such indeed is the full account of this very syllable." Thus the Pranava is here the substantive qualified by Udgitha, and it is therefore right to hold that the

This shows that 'Om' is the thing to be contemplated upon.

⁺ Op. Cit. 1-1-10.

This is to say that Pranava which occurs in the Udgitha song should be contemplated here

Cpasana refers to Pranava alone. Accordingly, Pranava alone is the object of contemplation here enjoind.

Adhikarana-5.

Owing to identity in all respects, these (should be understood) elsewhere. (III. iii, 10).

"He who knows the oldest and the best becomes himself the oldest and the best. Prâna (breath) indeed is the oldest and the best:"* in these words do the Chhandogas and the Vâjins, when enjoining the contemplation of Prâna, speak of Prâna as the oldest and so on, as also the Kaushîtakins. By all the three, the seniority of Prâna has been explained in one way, namely, on the ground that the stay of speech and all other sense-organs as well as their functions depend entirely on Prâna. That Prâna partakes of the richness of the sense-organ of speech and so on is declared in the Chhândogya and Brihadâranyaka in the following words:

"Then the tongue said to him: 'If I am the richest, thou art the richest.' The eye said to him: 'If I am the firm rest, thou art the firm rest.' The ear said to him: 'If I am success, thou art success.' The mind said to him: 'If I am the home, thou art the home."

Now, a doubt arises as to whether the Kaushîtakins should or should not include in their contemplation attributes such as richness and so on which are not taught in their Upanishad.

(Pirvapaksha):—Those attributes should not be included, inasmuch as such attributes alone as are spoken of in the Kaushitaki-Upanishad are emphatically prescribed for contemplation, in the words "He who contemplates thus," etc.‡

(Siddhanta):—As against the foregoing we hold as follows: Though not mentioned in their Upanishad, richness and other attributes should be included by the Kanshîtakins in their contemplation of Prâna; for, by the word 'thus' even those attributes which are not mentioned in their upanishad are referred to as well as those mentioned therein; and Prâna,—of which all these attributes are predicated—being one and the same, all its attributes are naturally present in the mind. Just as Devadatta who was once seen to teach the Vedas in the city of Madhurâ is recognised as a teacher of the Vedas when after-

wards seen in the city of Māhishmatî though here he does not actually teach the Vedas, so also, Prāns, described in the Chbāndogya and other Upanishads as rich and so on, comes up again elsewhere to the mind as possessed of the same attributes though not there described as possessed of those, attributes. Therefore, as they are referred to by the word 'thus', richness and other attributes should be included by the Kaushitakins in their contemplation of Prāna.

Adhikarana-6,

Bliss and other (attributes should be gathered together, owing to the identity) of the main thing. (III- iii. 11).

"Owing to identity": these words should be understood here. In treating of the contemplation of the Supreme, bliss and other attributes are spoken of in connection with Brahman,—'the main thing,' the chief object of contemplation,—in the following passages:

- "Real, consciousness, infinite is Brahman."*
- "Bliss is Brahman."†
- "Right, real, the supreme Brahman, is Purusha, dark and brown.";
- "Brahman whose body is ákàs'a. whose nature is true, whose delight is life, whose manas is bliss, who is replete with peace, who is immortal."

A doubt arises as to whether it is necessary or not necessary to think of all of them in all contemplations of the Supreme.

(Púrvapaksha:—It is not necessary to gather them all together in all cases: for, Brahman is one, and if many different attributes are predicated of Him, He becomes many, different attributes making different substantives.—Or thus: It is not necessary to gather all attributes together because the contemplation of the Supreme as taught in one Upanishad is perfect in itself on embracing the attributes mentioned therein.

(Siddhanta):— As against the foregoing we hold as follows: Brahman, the object of contemplation, and of whom these attributes are predicated, is one and the same, and therefore bliss and other attributes should be gathered together in thought in all contemplations of the Supreme, wherever taught. Black, white, red: broken-horned and full-horned: it is only such sets of opposite attributes as these that make the

[•] Chhâ. up. 5-1-1. and Bri. up. 8-1-1.

[†] Chhâ. up. 5-1-13.

[‡] Op. Cit. 4-20.

^{*} Taitt, Up. 2.1.

⁺ Ibid. 3.6.

¹ Mahana. 12.

[§] Tai. Up. 1-6.

embetantive different ; but not such sets of attributes as 'black, sweet-smelling, big lily.' Therefore the several attributes of Brahman being not opposed to one another, they do not make Him different. Accordingly, owing to the identity of Brahman of whom these attributes are predicated in all the several Upanishada, bliss and other attributes occurring here and there should all be brought together.

Adhikarana-7.

No room for such (appelleties) as joy-headedness ; for increase and Secresse recult from differentiation. (III. H. 12).

"Joy, verily, is His bead";" in such passages as these, joy-headedness and the like are spoken of as the attributes of Brahman. A doubt arises as to whether even these should be included in the contemplation of the Supreme, like bliss and other attributes.

(Purvapakoha): - What objection is there to including these also, along with the attributes such as " of unfailing will ?"

(Siddhania): - We reply us follows: Joy-headedness and the like should not be gathered together in the contemplation of the Supreme; for, they cannot be regarded as attributes of Brahman, in the more way as we can regard the attribute, " of unfailing will." To class them among the attributes of Brahman is to regard Him as composed of parts; and this will subject Him to increase and decrease. If joy-headedness and the like be the inherent attributes of Brahman, He will be differentiated. These attributes cannot therefore be taken into account in connection with the contemplation of the Supreme.

There is no such objection in the case of omniscience and the like attributes, incomuch as they are inherent in the very nature of Brahman. So, the Sûtrakêra says :

But the others (should be gathered together in thought), because of the similarity in the nature of things. (III. HI. 13).

The others,—namely, omniscience, ever-contentedness and so on-are inherent in the very nature of Brahman. As such, they are all similar (to bliss and the like) in their nature and should therefore be brought together; whereas joy-headedness and the like are not inherent in the nature of Brahman and cannot therefore be included in the contemplation of the dapreme.

Adhikarana - A

(The amamage and others need not be contemplated) because of the shounce of use in the devent centemplanation. (227 111 14).

Now, a doubt arises as to whether it is absolutely necessary or not necessary to contemplate the annamaya (physical) and other A'tmans also, in the same way that we should contemplate the A'nandamaya or Blissful A'tman above referred to.

(Purvapaksha): - Their contemplation is absolutely necessary, because it is impossible to contemplate the Innermost A'naudamaya or Blissful A'tman as the Innermost Being dwelling in the anusmays and other A'tmans, without contemplating at the same time the annamays and other A'tmans themselves.

(Biddhinta):-As against the foregoing we hold as follows: The contemplation of the annamays and the like is not quite necessary, because it is of no use. The purpose of their exposition is only to show clearly the nature of Brahman, the Blissful (anandamays). Accordingly it is necessary to reflect upon the annamaya and the like only till Brahman is reached. Their contemplation is therefore not absolutely necessary.

And becomes of the word Atmen. (III. 18. 18).

"Having united with the annamaya-A'tman," etc. In this and the subsequent passages the word A'tman' is used along with each; and this shews that 'annamaya' and other words denote the intelligences functioning in the physical body and so on. Since all intelligences other than Brahman are excluded as unworthy of contemplation by the seekers of liberation, they should not form objects of contemplation at the time of Meditation (of the Accordingly the Athervasiras says, "Sive the Beneficent alone should be meditated upon, abandoning all the rest." This passage declares that none other than S'iva should be meditated upon by the seekers of liberation. Therefore it is not necessary to contemplate the annamays and others.

A'tman is referred to, as in the other case, (as shown) by the sequel. (III. III. 16).

Here, the passage, "Yet another inner A'tman is the blissful,"† refers to the A'tman, the Supreme Soul (A'tman), not to the pratyagatman or the individual soul, just as the word 'Atman' refers to the Supreme Soul in the passage "From A'tman is the ether born."1

[•] Jbid. 2-5.

^{*} Tal. Up. 3-8.

[†] Ibid. 2-5. † Ibid. 2 1.

This is proved by the sequel, "Having united with the Blissful Soul (A'tman)."* Therefore the contemplation of the Blissful Soul is the paramount one, being the contemplation of Brahman Himself.

If it be urged that because of the constant presence (of A'tman', the annamaya, etc., also should be contemplated), we reply that we still hold to our view because of the special stress. (III. iii. 17).

(Objection):—Since the word 'Atman' is used even along with annuamaya and so on, there is nothing wrong in contemplating them also as the Paramatman, as the Supreme Soul.

(Answer) :- No; for, from the words "yet another inner A'tman is the Blissful," we understand that the Blissful,-the Paramatman, S'iva,-is distinct from the annamaya and so on; and the passage "S'iva, the Beneficent, alone should be meditated upon, abandoning all else,"t emphatically declares that S'iva alone should be contemplated, all others being abandoned. By the word 'Siva' here is denoted the Supreme Brahman as devoid of all taints, as the repository of all beneficent qualities. Indeed, mukti, the attainment of equality with Brahman, accrues from a continuous contemplation of Him who is Divers-eyed (Virûpâksha) and Dark-brown (Krishnapingala.) So that, since.....fruit corresponds to worship, the contemplation of beings other than S'iva, and who are therefore not beneficent, cannot lead to the state of S'iva. Therefore, the Blissful S'iva alone should be meditated upon.

Adhikarana 9.

The new one (is intended) here, because that alone is said to be the act enjoined. (III. iii. 18).

"He who knows the first and the best": the section beginning with these words teaches later on,—in answer to the Prâna's question "what shall be dress for me?"—that water is the dress for the Prâna and then proceeds to say: "therefore the S'rotriyas who know this, rinse the mouth with water when they are going to eat, and rinse the mouth with water after they have eaten, thinking thereby they make the breach dressed (with water)."—What is the thing enjoined here?—Is it the rinsing of the mouth with water as well as the contemplation of Prâna (breath) as dressed with water? Or is it only the latter?

(Párvapaksha):—Both are enjoined here, as there is nothing to shew that the one or the other alone is meant.

(Siddhanta):—Since the rinsing of the mouth with water is a thing alrealy known to us through current practice based on Smriti, it is only the meditation of Prana as dressed with water that is enjoined here, since it is the thing which we have not as yet known and which we learn here for the first time. What is not known to us otherwise has alone to be learnt from the S'ruti. Where the new thing is not expressly enjoined and the S'ruti takes the form of anuvada, a restatement of what is already known, we should understand an injunction with reference to it. Therefore, we should understand that the meditation of Prana as dressed with water is alone enjoined here, as a thing not known to us before.

Adhikarana 10.

(The Vidya is one and the same) as (some of the attributes mentioned in both) are identical, as also because of the absence of any distinction (in others.) (III. iii. 19.)

In the Agnirahasya and the Bribadâranyaka the S'ândilya-Vidyâ is taught. In the one it is taught as follows:

"Let a man contemplate A'tman, formed of thought, embodied in life, luminous in form, of unfailing will, and of the nature of other (âkâs'a)."*

In the other it is taught as follows:

"That Person (Purusha) formed of thought, being light indeed, is within the heart, like a grain of rice or barley; He is independent, the ruler of all, the lord of all,—He rules all this, whatsoever exists."

A doubt arises as to whether two different Vidyas are taught in the two places, or one and the same Vidya is taught in both.

(Pûrvapaksha):—In the one place the Purusha, the object of contemplation, is great, being 'of the nature of ether (ákàs'a)'; whereas in the other He is small 'like a grain of rice or barley.' In the one, again, He is said to be 'of unfailing will,' whereas in the other He is said to be 'independent' and so on. Thus the attributes being different, the Vidyás taught in the two places are different.

[·] Ibid. 3-10.

⁺ Arbarvas'ikhâ-np.

I to . Pp. 6.1.1 . 14.

^{*} Mådhvandinas'åkhå.

⁺ Bri. Up. 5-6 -

(diddinta):—As against the foregoing we hold as follows: In both alike, the Pursaha is described as manomaya (formed of thought) and so on; and so far the attributes described in both are identical. As to His being described to be of the nature of ether. (hikas), it may be explained as intended to shew that He is pure like akhira, or to praise Him by way of shewing how glorious He is. The attribute of independence and the like cannot be in any way distinguished from the attribute expressed in the words, "of unfailing will," and are therefore identical. Hence the identity of Vidya.

Adhikarana 11.

Se also elsewhere, because of (Ein) relation (to both altist: (III. III. 20.)

In the Bribadaranyaka, in the section beginning with the words "That Person who is in the orly there and He who is in the right eye here," the Struti declares that the true Brahman embodied in the Vyahritis—the atterances (such as Bhûh, Bhuvah, Suvah),—should be contemplated as dwelling in the solar orb and in the eye; then the Struti assigns, in the words "His secret name is Ahah" a secret name to him as dwelling in the cosmos as a whole, and assigns in the words "His secret name is Aham" another secret name to Him as dwelling in the individual organism.

A doubt arises as to whether both the names should or should not be thought of in each case.

(l'urvapaksha:—The object of worship being the same in both, namely Brahman, one and the same vidyà is taught in both. Therefore, in each case both the names should be thought of.

(Biddhanta):-The Sutrakara saya as follows:

Or, not so, because there is a distinction (III. III. 21.)

Here there is no identity in the Vidya, because the object of worship is in each case different, as rulated to such different seats as the sen and the eye. There fore each name is appropriate in its own place.

Adhikarana-12.

And (the sruti) reveals (identity) (111. iff. 22.)

The Mandala-Vidys or the contemplation of the orb is taught in the Chhandogya and the Brihads-ranyaka. Is the Vidys identical or different?

(P#respaksha):--The Chhandogya teaches as follows:---

"Now, that golden Person who is seen within the sun, with golden "beard and golden hair, golden altogether to the very tips of His nails," and so on.

In the other, having—in the words "He that golden Person who dwells in the sun within,"—spoken of the golden Person dwelling in that person who dwells within the orb which is made up of the three vedas, the s'inti concludes as follows:—

"All is Rudra...... Homage to the Golden-armed, to the Golden Lord, to the Lord of Ambika, to the Lord of Uma, homage to Him again and again."

Thus the Taittinyaka speaks of the Person and golden-armed, and the Lord of Uma. Now, since in the one He is described as golden in all parts of the body and in the other as golden only in the arms, there is a difference in the form. Again, in the one He is described as the All, while in the other He is described as the Lord of all worlds, and thus there is a difference in the attributes. Hence no identity in the vidys.

(Siddhanta:)—The Vidya is not different. Identity of His place as dewelling 'within the sun't points to identity in the vidya. As the Taittiriya-upanishada speaks of the Person as golden in the opening words of the section, the description in the squel that He is golden-armed is only a synecdoche, and therefore even in the concading passage the s'ruti means that He is golden in all parts of the body. We have shewn that Though He is the Lord of the world, it is right that He is one with the world, because of His having entered into it. Therefore as one and the same cutity is referred to in both the places, such attributes as being the Lord of Uma and so on should all be included in the contemplation in each case.

2 Chhi. 1-6-6. 5 Mahà 13.

A MAHA'DEVA S'A'STRY, B.A.

(To be continued.)

1

Op. Cit 1-6-6.

SIVAJÑA'NA SIDDHIYA'R

OF

ARUL NANDI SIVA A'CHA'RYA .

SUTRA II-ADVAITA LAKSHANA.

Adhikarana-5.

(Continued from page 238).

Rareness of becoming a Saiva.

12. Very rare is it that one should be so fortunate as to enter with meekness the saiva creed unaffected by the pride of riches on the one side and escaping the littleness of poverty on the other. Those who can worship the crescent-crested Being, with the high Sivajñana, have attained His Grace.

Note.—Riches are of various kinds as rank, youth, learning, wealth and power. To be born poor is indeed miserable. It is desirable therefore that one should be rich in a moderate degree so that he may not go abegging; but, he must not, however, be proud of it. Such meekness cannot be obtained but by devotion to the Lord. Thus meekness and devotion are almost synonymous. Sivaj-fiana—knowledge of Siva. Have attained expresses certainty.

The use of human birth.

13. Was it not the purpose, when the souls were endowed with human birth, that they should, with their mind, speech and hody, serve Hara who is anointed with the fivefold products of the cow. The celestials themselves descend on the earth and worship Hara. Dumb men, alas! who roam hither and thither, in the fleshly frame, understand not anything (of this higher life).

NOTE.—By 'dumb men' are meant the beast-like men whose aspirations go no farther than the satisfaction of the physical cravings.

The transitoriness of the human body.

14. Perishable in the womb, perishable as soon as it is born, perishable after a little growth, perishable as an infant, perishable as a youth, perishable as a grey-haired old man, anywise, Death dogs the footsteps of the flesh. Therefore, look to your freedom (from bondage) while yet you are strong.

Note.—Body in all its aspects is evanescent as mist in the air. Where is room then for a man's being proud of his strength or youth, power or beauty? The transitoriness of wordly experiences.

15. When one sense experiences, other senses are away. The experiences of a single sense are not exhausted at once. In a certain state, all experiences vanish. The annoying life-experiences are either instantly vanishing as illusions or vanishing sometime after as dreams. If (this trdth is) understood, (freedom) is attained.

NOTE.—'Sense-experience' signifies experience induced by external objects. As the experiences are so multifarious and varying, they cannot all be grasped at once by the intellect that resides in the body. The peculiar state referred to is sleep or swoon. 'Life-experiences' also include the objects that form the stage of experience.

Men of prosperity with pride are corpses.

16. With spices smeared and with garlands adorned, wearing cloths of gold and followed by attendants, men of prosperity, speechless and devoid of understanding, lounging proudly in the palanquin borne by carriers, on either side fans swinging, amidst the harmonions music of the instruments and the wild sound of the clarion, are but corpses.

Note.—With all the embellishments that riches can afford, what better profit can men derive than corpses if they do not open their eyes of Understanding.

Worldly poverty versus Divine riches.

17. Behind men who lead the life of a corpse, you move about like walking corpses, straining your body, soul and understanding together for nourishing your body which appears and vanishes in a moment. Knowing thus, you do not even once worship Hara. (If you do so) He will see that beings higher than you fall prostrate at your feet.

Note.—To support this body is not a great thing. For the matter of that, the creator Himself will take care of your body if you fail to feed it. Therefore worship Him always, aiming at liberation from ignorance and bondage. When higher beings themselves tender their homage to you, no mention need be made of beings of your kind.

S. ANAVABATAVINAVAKAM PILLAI.

(To be continued.)_

THE

LIGHT OF TRUTH

.

Siddhanta Deepika.

MADRAS, JUNE 1900.

THE SAGE OF THE SIDDHA'NTA.

Amidet the multiplicity of affairs that engage man's attention in the world, the inquiry ista the nature of happison and the means of its attainment has ever occapied a promittent place. Happiness of whatever kind in whatever degree he welcomes, while misery he most unmercifully shuns. But, in the nature of things it so happens that happiness and misery exist side by side, subjecting Jiva to their various influences during the whole cycle of births and deaths. Strangely enough, man's realisation of happiness comparatively fails when we take into account the suffering that misery brings in its train. Because, in man's heart, the waves of desire rise one after another so constantly that he finds it very hard to mest with tranquillity by the full of any single wave. The same individual who pants and pines for the attainment of a kingdom feels vet diseatisfied even after his desire is fulfilled. Hecause, another desire equally strong has taken possession of his mind compelling his attention and energy to meet these fresh pecessities. And in whatever walks of life man is thrown, there is he subject to these inconstant moods of happiness and misery. Now he is happy, now miserable e of things goes on almost eternally. No permanent happiness ever dawns in the horizon, and reason oftentimes helps people from not indulging in vain hopes of witnessing it in the near future. But, is permanent happiness possible at all to attain in this birth or must it be sought for only in a future life ?

Before discussing the possibility or otherwise of the attainment of permanent happiness in this birth, it is necessary to get clear ideas of what happiness is and what is meant by its permanence. It seems to me that happiness and misery can be expressed in other words as satisfaction and want. This explanation

would lead one to think that happiness and misery are subjective in their character. And so they are. . If it were not the case, one man's food could not be another man's poison. The object over which a man goes in raptures fails to please another, usy, sometimes causes excruciating pain Well, how could there be reconciled I ask, but by the forementioned explanation of happiness and misery. Thus happiness is estisfaction and misery want. Mind it is that suffers or enjoys. Happiness and misery but point to different phases of mental attitude. When we call a man happy, we mean nothing more than that he is satisfied, and when we call him miserable we mean similarly that he feels a want. Want is desire, and desire is a quality of the mind. As long as there is mind, there must be desire, and as long as there is desire there should be misery. But since the presence of desire also implies its satisfaction, we get happiness mingled with misery, both of these of a transitory kind. It should again be noted here, that although satisfaction and want are subjective in their character, in the sense that they are to be found only in the varying phases of mental attitude, they are objective as well in the sense that they are dependent for their existence more or less on the external objects. It is the mind really that enjoys or saffers, but the external world is the stage of its experience. The external world itself cannot be said on that account to cause happiness and misery; because, as the mina wills so does an object afford happiness or misery. sannyan may aspire for a monk's bowl or a fakir's cost, but the same things do not delight a man of the Power again which is the goal of every enterprising worldling is treated with contempt by the earnest student who has learned to walk in the path of righteoneness and wisdom. If the objects had happiness in thomselves, they should afford happiness to all irrespective of their station and mental development. Again, the Sannyasi that was an aspirant till now, regards the bowl and the coat of little weight since he has attained wisdom, and the worldly aspirant having realised his wishes has created new desires, and is struggling against himself and the world to attain them full of hope. Here we get another proof for our statement from the fact that the same objects do not continue to afford satisfaction even to the same person at all times. Thus it is evident that happiness and mixery are purely subjective and they can be harmonised by harmonising the mind.

Permanent happiness, then, should be permanent satisfaction, i.e., a state of mind in which no want can be felt. Some are inclined to think that this state could never be attained, that there never will come a time when want is absent. When we, by affording it satisfaction, bid good bye to a certain want, we make room for another which claims satisfaction in its turn. Wants are so to some extent, we admit. But they are material wants that behave in this manner; and with spiritual wants, another principle far different from this applies. When the mind is turned away from the outer world and directed to the inner sanctuaries of the soul, want there is none. Neither mind nor any object of desire can be said to exist in that state of beatitude where the soul only shines immaculate in the glory of the rising sun. Where mind is not, there want cannot be. Mind itself is nothing but an outcome of ignorance, iossed to and fro by the objects of the world. When ignorance is removed and the soul awakened to its true nature, the mind is dead, and neither satisfaction nor want there is. Happiness and Misery do no longer take hold of the soul aiternately and subject it to their blighting influence. The state from which satisfaction and want are absent is the state of eternal blessedness, otherwise known as Mukti which is promised to every individual in every religion, although religions may differ in their grasp of this truth and individuals only partially attain to it until they have undergone the requisite practice under the guidance of a proper master. A man who was attained this condition remains no longer a man that he was, but is transformed into Siva and is called in his manly appearance a sage. And this sagehood it is, that forms the practical side of all philosophy and religion, and especially so of the Saiva Siddhanta.

The statement may seem paradoxical at first sight that a sage is the most useful being in this world, the person who, having retired from the worldly bustle and given himself up to the contemplation of the Supreme, has dissolved his self in the Universal Self. Nevertheless, it is the truest of the truisms that have ever been uttered. The sage who has attained oneness with God the Supreme does not exist separately from Him who has effaced his little self. The thoughts that he thinks are His. His very actions are God's. Whatever that is good, virtuous and pure are in the sage, for he is God. The opposites of these do not exist in reality, and therefore he that is real sees them not. Unselfishness is the most noticeable fea-

ture in his character. He is ever ready to help the afflicted be they afflicted in mind or in body. His whole life is devoted to universal good. Very ordinary men love their bodies, fouldly imagining flesh and bone constitute their precious selves. Men a little elevated love their relations, and still greater men extend their affection to the country which gave them birth. But a sage knows that he is a citizen of the world, and he realises this—to others an ideal—in every minute of his life.

Mistaken notions of many kinds are affoat in the world regarding the attributes that distinguish a sage from other men. People generally believe that a sage does not mix with the world unreservedly but estranges himself from the company of all human beings and is always silent and inactive. Sometimes with closed eyes and erect body a man sits for hours together, and the people take him for a sage of superior merits. The more a man evinces dislike towards others and the more he has trained himself to put or these pretensions, the more is the likelihood for him to be styled a real sage. Woe unto the man who first implanted this seed of evil in the minds of the innocent mortals! How many real sages, in this way, are left unrecognised and what amount of good do the people lose thereby? The erroneous conception of sagehood that is the cause of all this, is to be accounted for by the tendency in men to attach themselves more to ceremonials and outward appearances than to the spirit underneath, to mistake the means for the end. They have learned to respect bold proclaimer in preference to silent workers, to confuse the processi es of Yoga and Samadhi which are but one of the sevral ways of attaining sagehood with sagehood itser A sage, in-their opinion, should be a nonentity, entire ly unconnected with the world not inwardly but out wardly. With all deference to those sages who have chosen to lead a secluded life free from the hanges of noisy triflers or who have even among men tak at to the higher silence, I venture to think that the reserve doubtful, often misleading. Outward appearances are not always a fair criterion of judgment. Men do not perceive that mind is what makes a sage, and one can be in the world but at the same time may not be of it. Household life and hermitage affect the body. They affect not the mind. When mind has realised the truth, nothing more is to be attained. Masters of all ages and all lands are manimous in giving their verdict in favour of this view which is the only same one that can be taken with the materials at our command.

But most will not so easily disabase themselves of their false funcies, and on them grounded they always find disappointment and sham at the end. Their sages do not retain their character permanently. When they have got the name they not honger attempt to keep up their assumed conditions, and the world becomes divided in its opinion.

If the world, to begin with, takes shelter in right ideasof sagehood and the means of its attainment, much trouble would be saved, and much evil averted. To think that a sage becomes so, only when he abandons the world ontwardly is a grand error. On the other hand, the abandonment of it outwardly is not at all a necessity when true renunciation is secured. And what is true renunciation? The world before us presents a panorama of objects attractive and repulsive, fall of good and evil. The objects themselves are not so, but in relation to the mind that comes in contact with them. Renunciation is attained when one regards them as objects merely and not having in them any characteristic that pleases or displeases him. When objects no longer create in man any feeling either pleasurable or painful, when nothing delights nor frightens him that individual has attained r nunciation true. Well, how could such renunciation be attained! Men in their ignorance, are several objects in the world which, whomever they strike the mind, produce agitation in it and puts it out of all order. Everywhere they me differentiation and distinction. The more they are ignorant, the greater is their pronenses to subtle differentiation. But with the growth of wisdom, their passion for differentiation dissolves, and it continues to dissolve autil it is thoroughly obliterated when mature wisdom has been attained.

And what is this wisdom which effices the differentiating tendency in the human soul? Wisdom again has been variously construed, and the popular ideas are far away from the truth. Wisdom has almost been made a synonym to knowledge, knowledge of all kinds so much so that it has been divided into many kinds as wordly wisdom, divine wisdom and so on as there are different kinds of knowledge, scientilic, historic, literary, philosophical and so on. But wisdom is the just vision of the Truth. What is the Truth to be understood here? Though jivas owing to the inflaence of make (bondage) dream that the Universe with all its manifold appearances as well as their own salves exist separately from the Lord Siva, they are

as a matter of fact pervaded throughout by the ford, and as such, they are the Lord Himself. When one realises this truth, could there be any object that might displease him either in this gross world or in the worlds that exist in the imagination. He understands the only Truth even though It presents itself before him variously disguised. Could be then be enslaved by Moha or Righa, Dvesla or Bhaya? No. Seeing the Lord Sira everywhere and at all times, he has a direct perception of the Essence, and is, therefore, not carried away by the fulse shows and appearances. For the same reason, the other effects of ignorance, i.e., Ragha, Dvecha and Bhava also leave him untouched and unstained. Eternal peace and eternal joy are his who has attained this wisdom. This state may be netter explained with the help of an illustration. Let us suppose a friend of ours disguising himself as a fair-haired young lady attempts to sweep our wisdom by soducing us. Will any one of us possibly yield to his false seduction! His real nature we unmistakably know, and wisdom is constantly warning us, from forgetting it. In the same manner, will we hate him if he comes as an ugly nounad or fear him if he comes as a tiger ! No. When the Lord Siva, in His all-pervading nature is thus understood and realised by any individual, then is he not moved by Ragha or Dvesha, Moha or Bhayn. Such is the truth to be realised by the man who aims at the attainment of wirdom.

But it should not be misunderstood here that a sage who has this just vision is not conscious of the differences among things that have gained acceptation with the world, cannot in short distinguish a wall from space, an elephant from an ant. Anger, jealousy, enmity and last are dead in him, but he himself is alive as all goodness, as an embodiment of all virtuous qualities. When he is appealed to for help by the ignorant people who are sunk in misery, he extends his ready helping hand, and to the poor in spirit who aspire for true wisdom he offers encouraging words and effective means of attaining it.

Then again, Ragha and Dvesha are wrongly attribated to sages when they are actually freed from them. This miscenception is productive of much evil. When a sage domands food for his langry stomach, water for his thirsty lips, or cloth for his nakedness, people begin to look down upon him with an eye of contempt and scorn. They imagine that he has a great desire for thom. Here, it is not

only sagehood that is misunderstood, but the very significance of Ragha, i.e., desire. But, wherein does lie the distinctive feature of desire? Whenever the mind or the senses come in contact with an object, a thought arises in the heart and vibrates so rapidly that one cannot resist the temptation of striving at whatever hazard to get grasp of the object. If it so chances that disappointment and failure attend him on every side, he slips down into the ocean of sorrow to be redeemed from it, only when Time-the Great Destroyer-sweeps away the object from his memory. The seed of this thought is what we call desire. A sage, then, can be said to be under the influence of desire, only if the denial of a morsel of bread, a cup of water, or a piece of cloth gives him distress. In like manner, if a sage does not swallow fire when he is thirsty, does not eat coal when he is hungry, people unscrupulously and with readiness attribute to him Dvesha, i.e., hatred. But what is Dvesha? Dvesha consists in taking delight in or even earnestly loving for the destruction of the object that he hates, whenever and wherever it is appreheuded by the mind or the senses. In that sense, if the sage had Dvesha for fire, he should wish for its extinction whenever it is perceived by his senses. The truth, however, is that a sage perfectly knows the means appropriate to the ends and consequently applies the same to get the desired end with more propriety than the worldly men

It will not be out of place here to say a word or two with regard to the pre-eminent characteristic that Siddhanta attributes to a sage, to wit, self-effacement also known as the loss of individuality. To the exposition of this subject, Kannudaiya Vallal has devoted an entire treatise of his, Olivilodukkam by name When the soul is qualified to attain final absorption into the Supreme by being freed from the malas and ascending beyond the Tatvas, it finds itself immersed in the Siva A'nauda. There, self-effacement is complete, and nothing but peace and happiness exists This condition can be attained by wisdom as heretofore described. It may also be induced by having recourse to the path of love or Bhakti-Marga. True love doubtless needs true knowledge; still, for emotional minds, this path is the easier to adopt than the pure Jfiana-marga. Two sages Narada and Sandilya have written Bhakti-Sûtras to be of help to the struggling souls, and there they warmly advocate this marga even at the expense of the Karma and Jffana margas. It has also been the path that is prescribed in the Siddhanta Sastras and followed by the Tamilian pation. Whosoever understands that the eternal chang ableness of this world, the combating passions that constantly demand satisfaction, the disappointment that beset the pursuit after the will-o'-the wisplike desires, all tend to prove the inquiring mind the utter shallowness of the method of directing its energies towards the impermanent and trifling things, surrenders himself unconditionally at the feet of the Lord where he enjoys bliss that passeth all knowledge. No longer is he able to discern himself, from love or the object loved. In short, he realises the teaching of the sage Tirumular. "The unwise say that Love and Siva are two. Nobody knows that Love itself is Siva. When they perceive that Love itself is Siva, they abide in Love as Siva Himself."

Of the three paths to union with God, Jnana and Bhakti, we have known. And Karma (actions without attachment) is the remaining path that is accessible to all classes of people, in spite of their varying degrees of development. Aspirants, however, should anticipate help only from Karma and Bhakti margas. It should also be indicated here that unless sage-masters are approached, no satisfactory -progress can be made in any path. They are, however, to be seen even amidst the busy world. The laity, taking no heed of their own welfare here or hereafter, mind them not. Still, it is impossible for them to escape the moral and spiritual influence of these sages who work for their weal just as the fragrance of a secret flower, penetrating the nostrils cannot long remain unfelt. It is therefore a blessing for men to have such sages in their midst be they conscious of their true greatness or not. May all the living souls know the true Jaanis, and being blessed by them enjoy eternal peace and happiness. Om Santi Santi Santi.

S. A. P.

THE PROBLEM OF EVIL -No. II.

8. Is not Death an Evil? Let us consider this question as bearing upon the General Problems of Evil.

Death in the imagination of the laity is a dreadful evil—the consummation as it were—the bitter climax as it were—of all evil. But may it not be the end of all evil, or the good fruit of all the struggle of life endured? Consider Death as one of the events in the necessary order of Nature. That at the end of a life, Death re-

presents the result of it, so have analogy in nature; for example a tree leaving nonmerable seeds and dying away. On the basis of (1) immortality, (2) of a benevolent Author of nature, and (8) of the mirage of earthly existence, death should be welcome. Ours is a conditionad existence subjected to a series of changes, one of which in Douth, and why should a dead-stop at the event Douth he posited as against further progress ?" If it (death) comes, good; and se long se it does not come, good. Things appear according as the mind is educated and trained, Bhogavar gite temohes hope this side as well as the other side of Death. Whetever happens need not concern us, on the basis, as elready said, of immortality and that there is God who is the greatest Care-taker, and that we do surrender ourselves unconditionally into his hands. The Stanza referring to this teaching runs thus :-

u கூகு கூற்ற நேர்கள் ககுனரிக்கு கண்றும். (Trans). "If killed, thou wilt paradise, win If alive, thou wilt an earthly king reign."

9. Evil then is evil in the microscopic view of passing events, but teteologically, as in the wonderful possibilities of eternity, it may appear quite different. The following charming passage occurs in an article entitled "Amiel's journal by Blanche Leppington."

One thing alone is necessary, the position of God.

"All the senses, all the forces of soul and spirit, all external means are but so many vistas opening on the Divine, so many ways of glarifying and enjoying God.....Be reconciled with thyself, live in the presence and communion of God; and leave it to the irrevistible forces to direct thy course. If death leaves thee time, it is well. If he smatches thee away, it is well. If he kill thee by halves, it is well etill. The oarser of success is closed only to open to thee the career of heroism, of resignation, of moral greatness. Every life has its grandenr; and as it is impossible for thee to separate thyself from God, it is best for thee consciously to chose thy home in Him."

10. Another aspect of evil in the relations of happiness and misery, or joy and affliction let us consider. According to Vishnu Puraua:—

This means that the greatest evil that can befal one is the forgetfulness of the Ubiquitous God-head, and the greatest prospecity (good) is that which keeps our hearts ever in memory of Him. Because, "we live and move and have our being in Him." If one realize ever in his little wavering heart this heatilic truth, and that truth never

leave him in the districtions of sense-life, he is the sage, to whom there is no evil. "Our gery bakes see God in eviry clod," like Prablide. The history of Christian martyre, and of all sages and saints over the face of earth furnishes ample testimony to this. "GOO TEST Core" & Koop 208412" says Bri Alevandar alias Yamuna Charya, i.e., happiness is to be with Him, and misery to be without Him.

11. Let us now take another view of evil. The able metaphysician, the Rev. Dr. Kay, a staunch Christian has this to say on the explanation furnished by Aryans on "gril":—

"The doctrine of the metempsychosis is, in fact, the Hinda theory on the great question of the "origin of avil." The theory may be thus stated : Evil exists, and it is not to be supposed that evil befals any one undeservedly When, therefore, for example, a new-born child, who bas had no opportunity of acting either rightly or wrongly, in found suffering evil, it is inferred that the evil is the fruit of evil deeds done in a former state of existence. If you ask how the person became disposed to do evil in that former state of existence, the answer is ready—it was the consequence of evil deeds done in a state of existence still anterior, and so on. You have only now to apply the Newtonian principle-that what is true at every assignable point short of the limit, must be true at the limit-and then there is no assignable point in the existence of evil in past time at which point its existence cannot be accounted for by the hypothesis of entecedent evil-doing; it follows (arms the Hinds) that the existence of evil is accounted for on this hypothesis; and further, they contend it is accountable on no other.

'If one will take the pains thoroughly to grasp the conception, and to view the matter, as a German would say, from the same Stand punet as the Hindu, who, holding the past eternity of soul, denies that the regressus is infinitum here involves any absurdity, he will probably acknowledge that the dectrine of the metempsychosis. however false, is not to be treated as a fiction of the poets. when we are arraing with a Hindu. We try to make the Hindu give up the tenet-and we do well :- but we shall also do well to bear in mind that we are colling upon him to give up, without an equivalent, what he has been accustomed to regard as a complete solution of the greatest mystery in the universe-short of the primal mystery of "Being" itself. The Hindu's explanation we regard as a delusion. and we must tell him so (i) -but we must beware how we allow it to appear as if we were provided with a substitute. The "origin of evil" has not been revealed. The requirement that we shall maintain an entire reliance on the goodness of God, in the absence of such revolution. is one of the trials-rather it furnishes the substance of

^{*}Note.—(1) " • • • • As the embodied soul, (2) In this corporeal frame moves swiftly on through boyhood, youth, and age. (3: So will it must through other forms hereafter be not growed therest." (Dean Milman's Tronslations of Gita.)

all the trials—of our faith. This we have to teach—but we have no equivalent solution of the mystery to offer. On this point the words of Whately should be treasured by every Missionary among the Riudus. We quote from the Preface (p. 12) of his 'Essays on some of the peculiarities of the Christian religion.'

"The orgin of evil, again, not a few are apt to speak of as explained and accounted for, at least in great part, by the Scripture-accounts of 'sin entering the world and death by sin'; whereas the Scriptures leave us, with respect to the difficulty in question, just where they find us, and are manifestly not designed to remove it. He who professes to account for the existence of evil, by merely tracing it up to the first evil recorded as occuring, would have no reason to deride the absurdity of an atheist, who should profess to account for the orgin of the human race, without having recourse to a Creator, by tracing them up to the first pair.'"

12. The doctrine of metempsychosis was well understood by the Greeks and the Egyptians; and it is certainly an irrefragable argument, considering that if (1) time had no beginning, (2) if substance had no beginning, and (3) if intelligence had no beginning, why conceive of a reductio ad absurdum, viz: an origin for anything in the eternal order and dispensation of the universe, conceding for an instant that in that order,—or chaos for that matter,—there is absolutely, such a thing as "evil"—a conception finding place in the very insignificant compass of a human brain! Except on the basis of the regressus in infinitum, the enormously wide differences between (1) riches and poverty, (2) might and right, (3) health and sickness, (4) prosperity and adversity, (5) worldings and saint, and (6) Life and death, are inexplicable—

13. Here is a paradox :- Which is right? the croaks of a frog (in the throes of death) held firmly between the jaws of a spake; or the snake in all its innocence of nature appeasing its craving for food ? Two men saw this event, one said ್ ಮಂಡಮಂಡಮನ್ ನಾಗಿ "=" Give up the frog, O snake"; the other said " మా ముంచిళుజునేశ్వర " : = " Do not give it up, O King-snake." Both reason and feeling stand aghast in the attempt to reconcile the cross purposes of nature as in any manner proving or indicating a just or benevolent end? But if the hypothesis of several births, and kinds of births-as implying a progressive development into and finer being, a consequent necessarily requiring the cessation, by means of death, the andecedent cause or being-be once admitted,-(there are strong arguments for such admission) much of the shock to our reason and feeling gets modified. Besides, the reason that tells us of the pain of the frog is a reason of our own nature, in the same manner that worms delighting in filth is to our

human feeling a most repulsive abomination. Our understanding is thus wonderfully microscopic when compared to Omniscience. In the balance of John S. Mill's forensio lauguage, this is most ennobling, may religiously expressed; "Human existence is girt round with mystery; the na row region of our experience is a small island in the midst of a boundless sea, which at once awes our feelings and stimulates our imagination by its vastness and its obscurity. To add to the mystery, the domain of our earthly existence is not only an island in infinite space, but also in infinite time. The past and the future are alike shrouded from us: we neither know the origin of anything which is, nor its final destination." Much less therefore can one know an "origin" for evil. A "survey of our ignorace," "a small bright oasis of knowledge, surrounded on all sides by a vast unexplored region of impenetrable mystery," was what Lord Salisbury said in his inaugural address of 1894 to the British Association." The Hindu theory of metempsychosis as allowing an infinite latitude for re-adjustments and re-groupings of things is thus a necessary beacon-light to the ship of speculation : else it must remain tossed hither and thither over the boundless waters of ignorance and theorizing.

(To be continued.)

A SHORT SHETCH

TAMIL LITERATURE.

CHAPTER I.

THE ADVENT OF AGASTHYA TO TAMILAKAM.

It is impossible to predicate of any cultivated language the time of its origin. Thus it is profitless work to discuss when the Tamil language arose. All, that we are certain about the antiquity of the Tamil tongue, is that long before the advent of the yagic sage Agasthya, who is still considered to be living, from the north to the Tamilakam, our language should have attained a very high degree of polish and culture to have forced the sage to compose a grammar; further many epics and other poetical compositions should have been written before that time, from which the sage drew out rules of composition, which were embodied in his grammatical treatise styled Agattyam (Acibuc).

The early history of any nation as well as the history of its literature is surely wrapt in obscurity

More so in the case of the Tamil literature as it has to deal with personages who were yopic sages overriding the ordinary rules of nature. The fame of Agasthiya is over-shrouded in mythology and some have the blind boldness to deny the existence of any such human being. His name is connected with all ancient legends. From Skåndam we learn that he was present about the time of Siva's wedding in the snow-capped mountain, and that he was in existence before the birth of the Tamil God of War, Muragar, who is known as Subramanya or Kumaraswami, by the Aryas. Valmiki who is considered the Homer of India speaks of him in his far-famed Ramavana. Rama in his long exile pays the Tamil sage a visit when the latter confers upon him his benedictions and presents some choice arrows of divice power to give him victory in his war with the giants. To find the historical Agenthiya from these myths and legends is indeed an irremediable difficulty. But to throw out the whole truth and deny the very existence of such a Tamil sage, would be unmerciful and even impudent on the part of the historian.

Nacchinarkkiuiyar, one of the ablest of commentators on Tamil classics gives some account of the advent of Agasthiya into the Tamil land; and it is in purport as follows:—

The sages of the north seeing that the world slanted on their side owing to their great weight, requested Agasthiya to go to the south to produce equilibrium. The sage accordingly started and took on his way the Câvery from the Ganges, Tiranatūmākni (Tolgappiyar) from Jamatagni Rishi, and accepted, as bride, Lopāmudra, from her brother Pulastiya. He then went to Dwāraka and brought with him eighteen kings, a good iot of Vellālas and Aravalars. Coming to the south he devastated the jungles and converted them into towns and cities, and acttled at the Mount Pothigai driving away all the giants who were the peats of the land.

However great an authority Nacchinarkkiniyar may be in the interpretation of the hidden thoughts of the Tamil classics he seems to have very little of historical acumen. He bids farewell to reason in many places and lays forward his idiosyncracies as axiomatic truths; such unreasonable conjectures are seen mostly in his commentaries on Poroladhikaram. Historical perspicuity is a sad want in all the Tamil commentators. We can, therefore, hardly attach any importance to their historical accounts.

The truth seems to be as follows :-

In times of yore, Sanskrit was the prevalent Vernacular in Northern India and Tamil was the language of Southern India; both the languages were cultared tongues and people of the North were praising their own language at the cost of the Tamil tongue. Agasthiya a sage among them, who seems to have had some good knowledge of the Tamil tongue in addition to his Sanskrit lore, wished to put down their pride and proceeded to the south for a more careful study of the language, just as rome Europeans of the stamp of Rev. Father Beschi and Rev. Dr. G. U. Pope, who charmed by the real worth of the Tamil language have devoted their time and energy to the cause of Tamil Literature. He took on his way a son of Jamatakni Rishi by the name of Tiranatamakni (Tolgappiyar) for his disciple and took in marriage the virgin Lopemudra from the hands of Pulastiya her brother. Southern India was then raled by Tamil sovereigns who were known as the Cheras, the Cholas and the Maras. The court of the Mara above the rest was busily engaged in the investigation of the Tamil language and Agasthiya who won the admiration of the Mars was profited much, his immense wisdom enabling him to understand the philological importance of the language. Thus versed in the language, in which many classics were already composed, the sage felt the necessity of writing a grammatical treatise to deal with all the charms of the Tamil language. It is he that coined the whole of the grammatical nomenclature. He divided Tamil into three great departments,-

- Iyattamil (இயற்ற திழ்), lit. 'the natural Tamil' used in poems and epics.
- 11. Isaittamil (இ 年 あままな ゆう, lit. 'the musical Tamil' which is peculiarly adapted to produce musical charm to the heavers.
- III. Natakattamil (614 6,5 444); lit. 'the dramatic Tamil' which is specially adapted for dancing accompanied with music.

His grammar is known as assipani. It seems he composed a smaller grammar by the name of Pop as Panis in contradistinction to the former which on account of its unmangeable bulk was known as O is sipanis. We cannot, however, find there works or any portions of them excepting such as are occasionally met with as quoted authorities in some of the old commentaries. It seems quite contain that this great grammar was a very cumbersome work with-

out plan, containing a thousand rules; this was a highly empirical work containing philological points of importance with no systematic arrangement. The later grammar from the pen of his disciple, Tolgappiyer was a more systematic treatise.

Various other works mostly on medicine are attributed to the sage which, however, are written in such a low and even ungrammatical stylethat no one would consider them as genuine productions from the hands of Agasthiya.

Agasthiya had a lot of disciples in various subjects; $G_{\#\varpi\sigma\omega\dot{\pi}}$ was the most important among his disciples of medicine and $G_{\#\pi\dot{\omega}\#\pi\dot{\omega}\&\pi\dot{\omega}\&\omega\dot{\pi}}$ the best of his students in literature.

CHAPTER II.

THE TWELVE DISCIPLES OF AGASTHIYA.

The Sage Agasthiya who was the dictator to the Temils in Literature, Medicine and Arts had twelve students in literature; these were,—

- 1. Tolgappiyar தொல்காப்பியர்
- 2. Athungottesan அதங்கோட்டாசாண்
- 3. Thuralingan sondiasi
- 4. Shenpûtchey Qaiga Cai
- 5. Vaiyappikan வையாப்பிகள்
- 6. Vayppiyan வாய்ப்பியன்
- 7. Panambaran பணம்பாரன்
- 8. Kalaramban sersailues
- 9. Avinayan Aalausi
- 10. Kâkkaipâdiniyan என்பை பாழனியன்
- 11. Nattattan spossor
- 12. Vâmanan a suerei.

About Tolgâppiyar, who outwitted his teacher, we may say more hereafter. Athangottâsân was a thick friend of Tolgâppiyar and some account will be given of him in connection with Tolgâppiyam. So also of Panambâran. Kâkkaipâdiniyan was famous for his treatise on Tamil prosody as evident from the commentaries of Kârihai. Shenpûtchey, as evident from the commentaries on Iraiyanâr Ahapporul Sutra 66, seems to have written on Ahapporul (the explanation of this term will come a little later) where there is a chapter on Appuns.

All these twelve disciples collectively wrote a treatise known as used ou use on use used ou use on use use (Public Matter) each one furnishing a chapter. The work does not seem to be in existence, a later author has written a treatise on Public Matter adopting this noient work for his authority.

CHAPTER III.

THE FIRST TAMIL ACADEMY

From about 9890 B. C. to 5450 B. C.

Before the deluge referred to before, there was a vast extent of dominions south of the modern Cape Comorin and there was also a great river of note by the name was men which irrigated these dominious. These dominions belonged to the Pandiyas who were then known as Marar. The metropolis of these now extinct dominions was Madura, a submerged city spoken of as Southern Madura in contrast with the present city of Madura. A long line of kings ruled over these dominions which then covered a large portion of the Indian Ocean along with the fertile islands of Java, Sumatra, Borneo, Celebes and other islands of the Indian Archipelago, where the vernacular spoken at present resembles rather remotely the Tamil language.

It is believed that the first Tamil academy was conducted at this Southern Madura during the reigns of 81 Pândiyas, and Agasthiya was the President of this academy at least in its beginning. Some of these Pândiyas were of equal rank with the Professors of the Academy. The famous work of authority of this period was Agattiyam.

Only remnants of this work are found scattered in some commentaries. Works composed during this period prior to the composition of Parin and Duit were,

Mápuránam மாபுராகைம் Isainunukkam இசை த**சைக்க**ம் Bhûtapuránam பூதபுசாகைம்

The subjects dealt with in these treatises were Letters, Words, Matter, Prosody, Usage, Government, Ministry, Priestly Function, Astrology, Furtive Marriages, the Art of Dancing and such like.

Polyappiyam seems to have been composed about the close of this academy and before the occurrence of the flood which devastated the lands south of Comorin which was then a river.

Several works were composed during the sessions of this academy and all of them were lost long before the sessions of the third academy came to a close. The works composed during this period were

- 1. Innumerable offered in species of composition of an adoratory nature in praise of gods and natural objects such as rivers.
 - 2. முதுகாரை

- 8. **4.05**55
- 4. saludes

செலிந்தியம் and குறைம் also seem to have been composed during this period.

Tolgappiyar, Kakkaipadiniyar and others elaborately deals with Duppedin; the authors of upages and uperms deals with Daspedin; and the authors of Ordinaria and Compan spent their energy in writing upon the grammar of ar appearing. Excepting Tolgappiyam, all the rest were lost many many centuries ago.

CHAPTER IV.

TOLGA'PPIYAM.

Tolgappirum (3, instituti) which is the oldest l'amil composition extant was also the first systematic treatise on Tamil grammar. In our opinion it is at least 8000 years old being an aute-diluvian work. It is sad to note that while we have a very antique grammar on Tamil, we have not got a single work in the nature of an epic or any other poem of interest composed prior to this. The delage which submerged a very extensive and fertile region south of Cape Comorin also proved a great curse to the Tamil language by bringing the extinction of all the most precious literary works of the first academy. It is certainly the great pupularity of Tolgappiyam that served it in good stead; as it was a guidance to authors the book was read throughout the whole of the Tamil land, Thus copies of this precious book were found everywhere in the Tamil land north of Cape Comorin also. Its popularity rescued this precious treatise from oblivion during the deluge, and subsequently from the dark depths of time.

Tolgappiyar was a native of the town named Ogra estidues in the district of Madura; he saw the necessity of composing a Tamil grammar on Dup pdy 'the natural Tamil', that is, Tamil as used in poems, epics and other literary works. This naturally stirred the indignation of his preceptor Agasthiya, who feared that his own work would sink into disrepute. It should be remembered that Tolgappiyar did not begin the work with any view to outwit the fame of Agasthiya. Agusthiya's work was occun-like, treating of the three kinds of Tamil Dan, See and es Law each book forming a very bulky volume. Further, as already observed, the Tamil sage did not care to make his work 'systematic in its treatment. It was indeed a wieldy cumbersome work of an. empirical nature without plan. The interests of the

Tamil public proved a higher stimulus to Tolgappiyar, than deference to his preceptor.

The work was completed but there was another great difficulty which every work of merit had to pass through in those days before it could see the light of day. Any work of importance, as is more or less usual with Tamil works of merit even now-a-days, had to undergo the process of andCoppes, literally, being lifted up into the assembly of wite'. That is, a work should withstand the critical accrutiny of the literati assembled for the occasion, before it can come out recognised as a work of merit. If it fails to come successful through the 'literary ordeal' it is doomed to immediate oblivion. Among works that came out successful baffling the opponents, the Sacred Kural is the most remarkable. Every student of Tamil Literature is well aware of the immense trouble to which Kambar, the leviathan of Tamil Literature, was subject before he could gain the much coveted recognition for his work from the hands of the wite of his time. Avasthiya tried to stifle the work from coming out and so persuaded his other disciples, among whom Athangottasan was the most renowned. not to sit in judgment over the work during the recital. Athangotiasan who was a colleague and friend as well to Tolgsppiyar was in a dilemma. He wanted to displease neither his master nor his friend. Tolgappiyar made frequent requests of assicaria reser to give a hearing to his work. wand Casi Leaning got after all emboldened to hear the treatise, the recital of which began at the court of the king dwing for it wain wished to appeare the wrath of his master by putting some knotty questions against the work. The work, to begin with, it is said, contained only 600 stitres; the rendy answers which Tolgappiyar gave in the form of satras ' to the queries of objection' swelled the book into a big volume by adding a thousand new sutras to the original.

Panambaranar, another colleague of Tolgappiyar, gave his 'attestation' Paintanasia after the recil; it is from this prologue that we learn that the work was recited at the court of Paintanasia personal weight Athangottasan being made the president critic. As it appears from this preface to Parametral that Tolgappiyar was well versed in the Sanskrit Grammar Aindram, we infer that Paniniyam was not then in existence. Certainly Tolgappiyar is much anterior to Panini, the renowned Sanskrit Grammarian. Nacohinarkkiniyar seems also to consider that Tolgappiyar preceded Vyasa by many centuries.

Nacchinarkkiniyar gives another reason for the wrath of Agasthiyar upon Tolgappiyar. When Agasthiya came to Mount Pothigai from the north, he did not bring with him his newly wedded wife, Lopamudra. He ordered Tolgappiyar to guide her home with due deference. So when Lopamudra and Tolgappiyar were marching to the home of Agasthiya, a sudden flood came in the river Vaigai, which threatened to carry away the young lady. Tolgappiyar held out a bamboo stick to rescue her from being swept away. She got ashore safely. On reaching home, Agasthiya, it is said, cursed Tolgappiyar from entering heaven. Tolgappiyar, who was not to blame, with deference to his master, cursed his said from coming into prominence.

Tolgappiyam, which is the name of the grammar in memory of the author, contains three books each of which comprising nine chapters. The author, who was well versed in the Sanscrit grammar Aindram, had much of a philologist's head and so did no violence to the genius of the Tamil grammar excepting perhaps in one or two places. The grammatical nomenclature was fortunately laid down already by his master Agasthiyar.

The first book our spars is the grammar of Letters or Orthography. The author gives the forms of several letters and lays down rules to be observed in writing elongated vowels called and and, which have a longer duration for pronunciation than the long vowels. Thus the Tamil language was decidedly reduced to writing before the time of and and unit The modern characters are not however the characters used in those days. The indigenous Tamil characters were known as alequipment (Vatteluttu = Round Hand). The present Tamil letter-forms are the result of the fusion of the alequipment (dot) marks out the consonant from a yowel.

Some of the satras convey much philological and philosophical truths; 'மெய்யினியக்கம் அகரமொடு கொணும்' (the consonants over their activity to the vowel அ) deserves to be carefully noted.

The Second Book Gandow Sanzio is the Grammar of Words or Etymology. In the opinion of some learned scholars and more especially of a certain grammarian of the eighteenth century, the Tamil Etymology is considered the best part of the Tamil Grammar; no doubt the author has exhibited his grammatical acumen in a very high degree. All the

etymological intricacies are unlocked and the grammar of words is at once a masterly and exhaustive treatise. Of the nine chapters, the most important seem to be the first and the last, namely, Amaluniatio (the chapter on the examination of words) and wife alum (the chapter of remarks). It is worthy to note that Tolgappiyar expresses the difficulty and not the impossibility of furnishing the root-meanings of words. His sûtram is,

மொழிப்பொருட் காரனம் விழிப்பத்தோன்கு. (Why a certain word signifies a certain idea is not palpably easy).

The Third Book Outget Sensio is the Grammar of Matter. This is a special feature of Tamil unknown in any other language. To Tamil scholars this is the greatest bugbear, though it, nevertheless, happens to be the most interesting portion of the Tamil Grammar. To strangers who wish to study the Tamil Language, this chapter seems unique. The difficulty in properly mastering this portion of grammar was felt at all times, for even as long ago as 100 A.D., i.e., about the declining years of the third Tamil academy, the academical professors themselves were not well versed in this department.

Porul (matter) falls under two divisions, Ahapporul (internal or subjective) and Purapporul (objective). In short Ahapporul or conjugal love relates to domestic affairs and Purapporul relates to state affairs. These two departments cover, in one way, the whole sphere of human knowledge.

Ahapporul again consists of True or Natural Love between parties drawn to each other by mutual affection, and Unnatural Love. Unnatural Love is either one-sided mais, or formed between parties who differ in marriageable capacity Quarialism. True or Natural Love is considered under five aspects. Thee are Intercourse புணர்தல், Separation பிரிதல், Patience Dossa, Wailing Dossa, and Sulking ஊடல். The earth is divided into five regions to fit with the five departments of Love These are & A & A 'the Mountain Region', the fit place for the commencement of Intercourse ; Litter 'the Desert Region,' where Separation takes place; with the Jungle Region', where the separated wife remains with Patience; Quiso 'the Oceanic Region', where the separated wife gives vent to her Wailing; and anasis 'the Region of Fields and Vegetable Gardens', where the wife exhibits Sulking on the return of her beloved husband.

Again, True Love has two other phases, each phase covering the five departments of Love; these are swe 'Furtire Love' and 'Wedded Love' spy. sem 'Purtice Love' norwers to what is known an 'Courting' among Europeans, the only difference being that 'Courting' may perhaps end in rejection which may be mutual or one-sided, whereas 'Fartive Love' is real love between the champion and the dame unknown to the world at large. The discovery may bring about the wedding, or if frustrated bring about the voluntary death of both the parties as their Love was chaste and dignified. In the treatment of Love, natural objects, namely, both the animal and vegetable kingdoms take a prominent place serving as a charming back-ground for portraving coningal Bliss. Love as treated by the Tamil Language berets real amour instead of licentiousness; the real sweets of conjugal happiness find in no language a better place than in Tamil. It should also be particularly remembered that there is an anderourrent of divine philosophy in Love chalking out the path to salvation.

Purapporal or Public Matter treats of War and other state-affairs wherein the man, in separation from his wife, is engaged. According to Tolgappiyar, Public Matter divides itself into seven departments, two of them forming counterparts to exactly and Ougaphar and the five rest to the five departments of True Love. These are,

- 2. and 'Invasion' the counterpart of quality;
- 3. siege' the counterpart of wasin;
- 4. wime 'War' the counterpart of Osist;
- 5. were 'Victory' the counterpart of usa:
- 8 அஞ்ச 'Soher counsel on the instability of worldly splendour' the counterpart of
- 7. ususe 'Encomium' the counterpart of

Cattle-lifting is the beginning of warfare; then comes the invasion and then, the siege. Open war breaks out afterwards which gives victory to one of the parties; sober counsel is given to the victor about the transitoriness of worldly enjoyments when he turns dizzy with the new-won victories; it is also given to the defeated chieftain to suppress his grievance and direct his thoughts about the life to come. Victory brings on the trumpeting of one's glory from his subjects.

For a full and rational understanding of Quages, the render should devote his nights and days to the study, under a profound Tamil scholar.

Tolgappiyar has also treated of two other subjects in his Poruladhikaram namely, procedy and rhetoric. To the antiquarian of Tamil literature, Tolgappiyar's Poruladikaram will afford much food by throwing light upon various subjects as caste, biology, forms of marriages in vogue with the Aryas and such like. Tolgappiyar in his chapter on a set is brings a reconciliation between the Aryan forms of love and wedding and the Tamil forms of marriage. It seems hardly possible to fix, nay, to imagine, a time when the Tamil language was free from Aryan relationship, Considering that the subject of Porul has already taken a lot of our space, we leave Porul to proceed with the history of the second academy.

CHAPTER V.

THE SECOND TAMIL ACADEMY, From 5450 B. C. to B. C. 1750.

The Second Academy continued its sessions for a period of 8760 years during the reigns of 59 Pandiyus, the first of whom was known as Vendércheliyan (lit tile Pandiya with a white car) and the last was Mudattirumaran who seems to have been a lame man. There were 59 academical seats and so there were at any point of time 19 professors engaged in the investigation of Tamil literature. This second academy was convened at Kapadapuram an extinct city far south of the modern Cape Comorin. Five of the Pandiyas were profound scholars and as such took an equal seat with the professors.

Works of authority for this period were,

- 1. அசத்தியம்.
- 2. Quideridui.
- 3. weyeres i.
- 4. @@ . popisco.
- 5. начести.

to be epic poems while the rest were all grammars.

See supposed was composed by See a uni one of the disciples of Agasthiyar for the guidance of see guessis the son of a Pandiya who wasted a treatise on music.

The works of this period which are lost were,

It seems that it was during this period that the flood referred to already occurred; so says Nakkîrar the President of the third Academy during its last

years. Further, Ilangovadigal the royal ascetic and author of the thriving epic Silappadhikâram supports the occurrence of the flood; all the ancient commentators namely Ilampûranar, Perâsiriyar, Nacchinârkkiniyar, assert the invasion of the ocean on Pândiya's kingdom. Further the local purûnam of Madura often refers to the warfare of the Pândiya with Varuna the god of the ocean. This seems to express the frequent inroads of the ocean upon the shores of the Pândiyan kingdom. No one can reasonably be a sceptic as regards the flood in the face of such an overwhelming evidence. Let us proceed to the history of the third academy which is at least 'darkness visible' on account of some solid materials which we fortunately possess regarding its history.

S. A. TIRUMALAIKOLUNDU PILLAI, B.A.

PATTINAPPA'LAI.

ONE OF THE TEN IDYLS IN TAMIL.

The ninth of the ten Idyls in Tamil, Pattinappâlai, is an amatory song in 301 lines, in praise of Cholan Karikâlan by Kadiyalûr Uruttiran-Kannanâr (author also of பெரும்பானுற்றப்படை of this series'. It is stated in (கலில்கத்தப்பானி) Kalingattupparani that Karikâlan gave a present of 1,600,000 golds to this bard for the composition of this poem.

" தமூவு செக்கமிழ்ப் பரிசில் வாணர்பொன் பத்தொடாறுதா முவி சம்பெறப் பண்டு பட்டினப் பாலே கொண்டதும்."

Mr. Kanakasabhai Pillai—the great Dravidian scholar—who is ever busy in sifting Tam's history from the lumbar room of ancient classics and defaced stone inscriptions gives conclusive evidence, in his November (1899) contribution to the Madras Review, to show that Karikalan first lived in the century of the christian era (55 to 95 a. d).

In this song the author does not directly praise the sovereign but has a strange contrivance to extol him.

A hero is on call to a distant land on an important public duty. He cannot bear separation from his wife. His mind drags him forward towards his duty. Attachment to his consort draws him back therefrom. In this struggle between duty and love, he addresses his mind and says, O my dear mind! The forests I have to cross through are more terrible than Karikalan's

lance, and my wife's shoulders are softer than his sceptre. I will not follow you, leaving her all alone here, though I am to obtain in this adventure the great city (Kâvirippûmpattinam)—the capital of Karikâlan.

This is the essence of the poem. The first 218 lines describe the wealth and splendour of the city and its suburbs; and the last 81 lines, the valour of the king. Only the intervening 2 lines form the contrivance of the poet to introduce the name and fame of his imperial patron.

The great Chola country is fertilised by the waters of the Kâvêri—the stream which never fails (which, therefore, by the bye, is called Jîvanadi), even though the season should fail, to the misery of the Skylark living on rain-drops, by the swerving away of the White Star (Venus) to the southern Solstice.

The blue lotus in the rice fields fades away on account of the heat of the adjoining ovens boiling the sweet juice extracted from the sugarcane.

The calves of buffaloes eat away the sheaves of paddy and repose themselves in the shades of trees.

There are gardens close by, growing cocoanuts plantains, arecanuts, turmeric, mangoes, ginger, &c.

In the front yards of rural houses is spread paddy to be dried up. The matrons who sit watching the paddy, scare away fowls, which frequently steal to peck off grains of paddy, with their gold and silver ornaments. These ornaments lie unheeded, preventing the free motion of 3 wheeled chariots, rolled on by children without horses.

On the borders of back-waters surrounding the gardens, are planted stakes to which are fastened boats, which have returned from distant lands, laden with paddy bartered for salt.

Parks and bowers flourish outside the ever-fresh gardens and groves.

The fine tanks surrounded by high embankments, resemble the star Makha in conjunction with the moon in a clear sky, and are studded over with odoriferous flowers of variegated colours. There are also lakes producing earthly and heavenly bliss.

The strong ramparts—the seat of the goddess of war—bear forms of tiger, being the ensign of the Chola kings, and contain doors formed by knitting together wooden planks.

Charity-houses, which yield to the owners wide fame in this life and happiness in the next, abound everywhere. The conjec water, strained out of boiled rice, and overflowing out of drains in all directions from those houses is made miry by the fighting bulls. The many chariots rolling over, convert this mire into dust, which soils the white temples adorned with manifold the workmanship of art. The temples thus soiled over resemble elephants which bedaub themselves with dirt all over.

Here you find a Buddhist monastery, there a Jain abbey. The Rishis, with matted locks, perform all over the country, sacrifices pouring down butter and other ascessories. The sweet smoke arising from the sacrificial pits choke the cuckoos. These beautiful birds go away, consequently, with their mates, to live among the stone-casing pigeons lodged in the awful temple of Kāli (Durgt) guarded by ferocious demons.

In the maritime villages, the sons of the hardy and proud Kurumbas, all of one clan, recreate themselves. They scare away birds on the palmyra with their slings. They eat the toasted prawn, and the boiled flesh of the tortoise found in rice-fields. They adorn themselves with lilies and flowers of the adambatreeper. They assemble together under ambrageous trees, and lead cook-fighting and sheep-fighting. When there arises any difference among them in such sports, they box and bully each other.

The beach is then described. There are found abodes with small roofs whereon are placed fishing rods. In the front yard of every house, fishing note are dried upon the sands, which appear to be darkness as it were amidst moon-light. Within every house is posted the blade of the swordfish consecrated to their powerful deity. On new and full moon days they do not go afishing. They adorn themselves with the flowers of Ocean-self and some, drink toddy, and dance before their deity. They then go for a bath to the mouth of the Kaveri, just where its waters mingle with the waves of the sea, and wash off their sin as well as their dirt. They chase after lobsters and swim on the spreading waves. They make dolls of earth. Then they revert to other pastimes. Thus they amuse themselves the whole day. During the nights they sleep on the mands of the Kaveri cast en shore mingled with the odors of flowers.

Adjoining the fishermen's quarters in the well-granded broad street containing the store-houses of merchants. In the front yards of these stores are heaped up bales and parcels, which have been imported and which have to be exported. These consignments are imprinted with marks of tiger by the customs-officers for the purpose of levying customs due to the state. These officers are as vigilant in their duty as the horses of the Sun. Rams and dogs go skipping about on the heape of bales and parcels.

Then the bassar street is referred to. Festivals in honor of Muraga and other gods of universal worship are frequently celebrated, when songstresses sing accompanied by violin and drams. Matrons and maidens of coral-like complexion, deer-like eyes, parrot-like words, and pea-cock-like grace, with nice ornaments and apparel on, crowd together near the windows on their palatial mansions to witness the festivals and worship the gods with their kandal-(aripeir) like hands folded.

Other streets in the wealthy quarters are then taken up. There are the abodes of the Moors, the Chinese, and others who have come down from distant lands and settled here amidst the natives. There are the abodes of the god-fearing and charitable Vellâlas who tend cattle and perform sacrifices, who keep up the fame of the priestly class and who are equitable like the middle peg in a yoke. These millionaires neither take more nor give less in bartering goods.

They always conduct their sales stating expressly their net profit. Flags hoisted in front of houses in honor of Guardian-Saints, flags set up in front of halla inviting discussion on learned topics, flags indicating gay taverns, flags posted in places where paddy, betel and nut, sweets, etc, are sold, flags lifted up on the ships anchored in the harbour, these and others are so many, that the city is beautifully shaded and the Sun can find no way to let in his scorching rays.

In this emporium you find the produce of the Kāvēri and the Ganges, victuals from Ceylon and Kadaram, corals from the eastern ocean, pearls from the scathern ocean, sandal and scents from the western mountains, gems and gold from the Himâlayas, and horses and pepper brought in by ships.

Karikalan is then introduced. Not being satisfied with the kingdom handed down to him as his birthright, he invades the kingdoms of other sovereigns with his four-fold army, and lays waste these coun-

tries whose kings do not submit in readiness. The kings of the north and west fade away; the petty princes are at his mercy; the Vellâla kings are reduced; the shepherd kings are quelled. Even Pândiya is subjected. He wages war in such perfection that the world thinks that he will even pull out hills and fill up oceans; bring down heaven and imprison atmosphere. This lion-like king is the Lord of the great Chola country.

O my dear mind! I cannot think of taking with me my wife because the intervening forests are as dreadful as the lance raised by Karikalan against his enemies! Her shoulders are more tender than his aceptre and cannot bear my separation. Even if I should obtain the great (Pugar) city, I will not accompany you while my wife remains here alone. You may go if you want and be prosperous.

T. CHELVAKESAVARAYA MCDALIYAR, M.A.

EXTRACTS.

GOD THE SAVIOUR.

FROM "THE INDIAN MIRROR."]

In these dark days of famine and pestilence, men in terror call upon the names Hari, God, Allah, Iswar, and many more. If all men sought to know Him they call upon, craved His forgiveness, and did the works that are really pleasant in His sight, all the troubles that vex all mankind will depart, and peace will be established on earth. If they call on the names, without knowing Him whose names they are, and work with the intention of pleasing Him, it is a matter for rejoicing, for even that is better than utter indifference.

Lit is meet, however, that all who profess any religion should cast aside all thoughts of glory and disgrace, of triumph and defeat, and vain selfishness of the individual and society, and with peace at heart and sobriety of understanding, come together in a spirit of amity, and with discrimination hold fast to the True Substance, who is the the Sucreme Being, the God worshipped by all. Let all men find the God to be worshipped by all, truly know who and where He is. Is He formless and attributeless, or has He forms and attributes? Is He the truth or is He falsehood? Knowing Him truly, let them seek refuge in Him, and do the works really pleasant in His sight. If God is worshipped, without knowledge as to who He is. and works are done in His name, without knowledge of what pleases Him, then the manifold evils that sadden the heart of man and darken his days, will not take their flight, and peace will not come to abide among men. this, doubt there is none. 723.

God has established a relation between means and end, which it is man's duty to observe. To attempt a severance of that relation can only result in pain. If the end in view be to reduce a solid object into ashes or to illuminate a dark place, recourse must be had to fire, the Godappointed means to that end. If instead of fire, carth air or any other element is sought to be bent to the work, the end will never be gained, and suffering alone will be the lot of him who attempts the impossible. The efforts of man naught avail against the law established by God. Each object in creation can only act in the exercise of the powers, vested in it by God.

It is, therefore, the first and foremost duty of you all to know who you are in reality, and what is your expression in nature or manifest existence, and who God, the dispenser of all good, really is, and what is His expression in nature. Is He unmanifest; expressionless or is He manifested and expressed? Is He the Truth or is He Falsehood? If you say He is falsehood, then mark this :- Falsehood is falsehood to all and at all times; falsebood can never be the truth. It is impossible for creation, good or evil or anything at all, to proceed from what is naught, from falsehood. If through ignorance, you should say God is falsehood, then, you, your faith and your works, good and evil-all things, in short,-are false, having no existence either visible or invisible. If you say truth, then truth is one and secondless. Truth is for ever truth, and can never be falsehood. Truth is truth to all, is visible truth, and invisible truth. Truth merely changes its forms and conditions. He that is truth is self-manifest, and by His own will is visible and invisible, the cause, the subtle or force and gross or matter, including all that moves or moves not, the male and the female. He is infinite and and impartible, and His expression is light. To indicate His two different aspects, two different pairs of words are applied to Him, the Almighty whose expression is light. viz., visible and invisible, attributeless and with attributes. manifest and unmanifest, and so forth. In the first aspect, He is inconceivable and indescribable. Neither intellect nor speech can reach Him, as you yourself are beyond the reach of both in a state of unconsiousness. With that aspect or condition, creation has no connection except as a negation; no action or movement can arise therein. When you awake, then only are you a part of creation, desirous of attaining happiness and avoiding suffering. In the same way, the all-comprehending complete Being expressed as light, with His infinite-powers carries on the infinite operations of this universe and causes the same to be carried on. This Being, expressed or manifested as the light known to us pre-eminently as the Sun and Moon, is the Father, Mother, Teacher and Soul of the Universe. He is the Author and Remover of this world and its good and ill. From Him proceeds all that moves or moves not, the male and the female. O Uliyes, Pics, Pygambe:s, Jesus Christ, Rishis, Munis, and Avalars, in Him they rest and into Him they enter and disappear. In the whole infinitude of space, none else benides Him is, was or will by. It is not possible for another to be. This is true beyond doubt or denying.

Of this all-comprehending Supreme Being, the Veda says that the Sun is His eye or intelligence, the Moon, mind or affections, the Sky, the head, Air, the life-breath, the Fire, the mouth, the Water fills the passages in His body, and the earth is His feet. These different "limbe" of the Supreme Being are called differently Sakti, Maya. astrological planets, gods and goddenses, the eight forms of Sira, and so forth. Besides the "limbs," no other gods or goddesses are or can be. The reason why 33 millions of gods and goddesses have been imagined by the Purknists is that out of the different powers or " limbs" of the deity. the bodies and faculties of greatures have preceded. Imagining different gods as presiding over or forming the different organs of sensation and action, the numerous boat of gods and goddesses is conceived of an existing. From each god or power or "limb" of the Supreme, particular classes of objects as also actions or movements within and cutaide of man are generated, resulting in good or evil to him. From the "limb" earth come foods and the flesh and hones. Without the earth, Hindus, Moslems or Christinus, even if they could have a physical frame, would die of starration. From the "limb" water comes rain, and men can drink it or bathe in it, and from it the bodyly juines are formed. The want of a glass of water in time of used leads to death. From the "limb" fire proceed hunyer and thirst, and the digestion of what is eaten and drunk, and it works the organs of speech. Without fire the railway steem engine and other forms of mechinery would be impossible. The "limb" air is the life-breath that courses through the mostrils. Its absence for a moment causes certain death, and its partial inactivity, the loss of the sense of touch. The "limb" A'kasa cr sky which divides the position of one object from that of another constitutes the faculty of bearing. Its absence would cause all things to cohere into one mass, and produce desfaces in all. The light known as the Moon is God's mind, which as the mind of man gives rise to the thought of mine and thine and all concepts of possibility and resolution. If the mind becomes in any degree inactive, man becomes incapable of studying, he it the Veda, the Bible, or the Koran. In unconscious sleep when the mind ceases to work, man ceases to be intelligent. The light known as the Sun, the intellectual eye of God, is the intelligence in the brain which through the eye perceives the world of form and colour, and is discriminating between truth and falsehood, When this god or "limb" withdraws the active light from the eye, that is to say, merges into its cause, unconsciousness rules supreme. When the living light dawns on the

brain, then consciousness returns to the living body, and carries on the work of life. This Being, the all-comprehending God whose expression is the light, known as the Sun and Moon, is the world's beneficent Father, Mother, Teacher and Soul, who, through time without beginning, has worked the world's good. All men, Hindus, Moslems and Christians, have turned their faces away from Him-And attracted by the vain passibstantial appearances or seeming, known as wealth, power and enjoyment, men find their days darkened by discord, eavy and bate. Hence has flown the conception of religion as a belief, and not a perception as an imagining and not the substance, reality or truth. As the infant, nourished by the Mother's breast is inappreciative of the Mother's love, so man, nourished by the different "limbs" of god, the Mother of universe, the all-comprehending light, perceived by man as the San and Moon, is inappreciative and ungrateful. Far from feeling His love, they are proceeding on their way to destraction, while laughing Him to score. This they do not consider .- What second Pather is in the whole range of existence besides Him?

In these days of disease and death, men, dividing themselves by the imagined bounds of numberless sects, religious and special names, clear into the beart of each, engage in Sankirtas, Namaj, and prayers, and yet the world's burden of woes is no lighter, but grows heavier than before, day ly day. What, think ye, is the cause? Let all men sate: d to a parable, and understand the true meaning thereof. A King bad a beentiful garden. Two gardeners had the care of it given them. To each, King said :- "There is my garden, labor to make it as good as I wish it to be. I shall see that thou lackest maught. Work while thou hast the strength and when thou canst no longer work, the care of thee abull be mine." One went forth, and in loving obedience did the King's hidding. The other wrought not, bet night and day sang praises of the King. Is due time, the King rewarded the one, and punished the

The alt-comprehending Being, expressed as light, known as the Sun and Moon, is the king. This world and your budies are his garden. The commandments He has laid on you, are that your bodies, thoughts, fued, drink, garments, houses, roads and, in short earth, water, fire and air, should be kept clean. Offerings of what is sweet to smell and taste, should be made into the fire, and His creatures cherished in all ways so that none may lack naught that life needs. If in love these His commandments are kept, evil will disappear, and peace will abide among, men. Man has lost nothing as yet. Let all men, in the presence of the all-comprehending Being, expressed as light, known as the Sun and Moon, lovingly seek refuge in Him, and crave His forgiveness for the past, and keep His commandments touching the well-being of all

His creatures. In every kingdom, town and village where you have authority, let all men come together to feed the fire with sweet and fragrant things, and send forth shouts of joy, proclaiming victory to the all-comprehending Supreme Being whose expression is light (our Parna Parabrahma jaya) or to the same being who is all that moves and all that moves not (Om .Charachara Brahma jaya.) Imagine no name bosides these, when you raise shouts of triumph. Else your sufferings will know no end You all can see that abandoning Him, and worshipping imagined names, your sufferings have not grown less. And yet you will not turn to Him who, visible and invisible, is eternally self-manifest. perpetual presence has hardened your hearts against Him into indifference, and, may be, contempt. Let all men join hands in His presence, and with love and veneration pray as follows :- "O thou our Father, Mother, Teacher and Soul, who art expressed as light! Thou art formless and attributeless, and Thou art all the forms and attributes, infinite, indivisible, all-comprehending and complete. We know thou not. For we know not ourselves. When we know not the most intimate thing that is within us, how can we know Thee? If we know what we really are, then can we know who Thou art or what works are dear unto Thee, and with knowledge do those works. O Thou the Ruler of all bearts, all powers are Thine, and all things are in Thee, and are naught apart from Thee. Out of Thy graciousness, remove our sufferings and ordain our good !"

If you all seek refuge in Him, and crave His forgiveness and be zealous in doing the works that please Him, the most merciful God in His mercy will lift the world's burden of wor. This is truly true.

If men of all religious faiths in pride and blindness turn away from these words, why should you, who call yourselves Aryas or Hindus, disregard them? This is your eternal religion. Let Hindus in every Zilla and every Manzah unite for the world's good, and with fervent zeal perform the works dear unto the Lord. Be not cold. For coldness ever injures the work you have to do. O Hindu Rajas and Zamindars, attend to these words. Your special duty it is to do these works for the world's good. Turn not away from God's work because of pride and shame. Putting your honors behind you, and the scorn and contempt of others in front, fearlessly do the duty laid on you by God. To fall away from duty is true disgrace and folly It were better if you had not been men than that you should become apostates from these duties of manhood. A beast is better than a man who, knowing his duty, leaves it unfulfilled. For a man has reason, and a beast has none. You want happiness and honors, but you know not how to attain them. In making others happy, your own happiness is gained, and in honoring others, true honors are obtained. But you are a race of cowards. You call

on Hari, God or Allah, when smitten by the plague, but in seasons of health, you give no thought to who Hari, God or Allah is, and what commandments He has laid on you.

Even now, repent and turn to Him who alone is and in loving obedience do His bidding. Thus acting, you will live in joy, while you live, and in joy disappear into the darkness of death. Om S'anti. The peace of God be with you all.

PARAMAHAMSA SIE NABAIN SWAMI.

PEACE AND WAR.

FROM "THE INDIAN MIRROR."]

Kings, potentates and powers, Hindus, Moslems and Christians, lend ear to these words, and understand the true meaning thereof. And to that end, cast away all thoughts of glory and disgrace, triumph and defeat, and the vain, selfish interests of the society and the individual. Learn to know the God you worship, the Dispenser of all good; seeking refuge in Him; with discrimination do the works that please Him, so that by His favor all that is evil may depart from the earth, and all that is good may be established among men. Thus acting, you will promote the true civilisation of the world, and all that is savage and barbarous shall for ever be done away with.

Behold the two rams, tempted by a handful of corn, butting the brains out of each other, for the sport of man. Poor fools! so man, tempted by the Dead Sea apples of glory and gain, is arrayed against his brotherman, for mutual slaughter. The sight satisfies the spiritually blind, but makes mercy groan and pity weep.

Pause, therefore, and ponder. All creatures are the offspring of the One, All-comprehending, complete Being whose expression is light, pre-emiqually known to us as the sun and moon. He alone is the Dispenser of all good. All men are but expressions of the Supreme Soul. From that One have you all proceeded, in Him you rest, and into Him will you all disappear. Alone were you born, and alone will you depart in death, leaving even this beloved hody behind. During this brief span between, you need but a haudful of food to keep up life, and a piece of cloth to hide your nakedness. Even of kings, gold and silver are not food, nor does gold or silver issue from them. Then, why all this hate, contention and strife? Two thoughts alone can fill the mind of man-the Trrth and the Falsehood. The falsehood can never be the truth; to all and at all times it can be nothing but falsehood. Really it is not, it is but a figment of the mind. It is neither what is known or what is "nknown,-the seen the unseen. The truth is what really is and merely seems or is imagined. The truth is truth to all, falsehood what

and at all times. It can never be the naught or falsehood. What is seen is Truth, what is unseen is Truth. The seen and the unseen are but its aspects. Truth is the men and the unseen, the moving and the moveless, the male and the female, the name, the form and the substance, the gross or matter, the subtle or force, and the cause, and pet it is One, All-comprehending, complete, self-manifest. With discrimination realise this, and shed all pride and egotism from your beart. With heart, pure and at peaceand sobriety of understanding take thought of how the weel slike of Kings and subjects can be wrought. Seek not your own will in anything, but let the will of God be done. More especially is this the duty as it ought to be the pleasure and privilege, of every lion-hearted monarch-That King is truly wise and dear unto the Almighty who is strong to belp, and not to hart. Such a one puts earthly glory under his feet, and earthly disgrace on his head to achieve the world's good. To lose God, the final and of our being, is true disgrace and folly. Even a hog fattens itself on filth. And a man is a hog if he looks only to his own.

And yet war is of God. There must be war. For a King may turn rebel to God, seeking to thwart His purpose in creating kings; forget that he rules best who serves best. He may disregard God's ordinances, wresting things from their divinely appointed ends. For from encouraging his subjects on the path of rightsonness, he may become the ally, or the tool of selfich teachers of falsehood who by their guilen, steal the nouls of men. He may neglect to take measures to keep earth, water, fire and sir free from imparities and corruption, And, above all, he may turn away from the supreme duty of Kings, and fail to secure freedom for all to attain, by the doing of works pleasant in God's night, to the real end of existence, which end being attained joy grows from mure to more, everlastingly. When each a conjuncture comes to pass let righteons and "even sighted" (samadarsi) men, Kings and subjects, make war upon the kingly offender and take away his power; make him take his place as a subject so that he may learn how the misdeeds of a King causes suffering to his subjects. If the royal evil-door truly repents and loves his fellow-man. then let him be restored to his former estate.

Thus knowing the true intent of God touching peace and war, may all men shide in the supreme joy as joy itself. Our Shali. May the peace of God be with you all.

PANAMANAN SIE VARAIN SWAMI.

It is self-evident that the human must outgrow the animal before it can become Divine. Animal traits are lust, anger, cruelty, gree-1, hatred, desire to kill and to eat that which is killed; Divine traits are love, kindness,

justice, generosity, desire to conserve life and create hapiness for all, and to live on pure diet. "Choose ye this day whom ye will serve."—The world's Advance-Thought,

The Conservation of energy is an important part of the Science of Right Living. To know how to conserve one's energies is half the battle won over death, sin, nickness and sorrow, for all these miseries are the results of wasted energies, in giving forth useless thought, words and actions—Ibid.

Suicide Neither Sensible Nor Moral. - Court Tolstoi's views on suicide are given to the British public by the agency of the Berlin correspondent of the Daily Nows. To take one's life, the Count thinks is neither sensible nor moral. Life is indestructible and thus death can solely change its outward form, shorten its existence in the world. "As regards the shortening in this world, I do not know whether the new life in the other world which follows will be more agreeable to me. and whether one will altogether have the possibility there of gaining for onesalf what one can gain here." Besides this, and this is the principal thing, it is already for this reason senseless to take one's life because one is disentiafied with it, for one simply proves by it that; one has a thoroughly false idea altogether of the significance of hife. It has not only been given us for our pleasure, but for our personal perfection, and to serve general welfare. All work appears in the beginning disagreeable. Suicide is immoral. Life has been granted to men to die a natura death, and, indeed, on condition that he serves the general waiversal peace and not that he only makes use of his life as long as it is personally agreeable to him. In the wilderness of Optima, so relates the aged poet, lay for over thirty years a paralysed monk, who could only use his left hand. The doctors asserted that he suffered unutterably, but he never complained of his fate, but gused, smiling peacefully at the image of the Holy Virgin. Thousands of persons visited him, and one can scarcely imagine how much good this unhappy man did by his silent suffering. He still thanked God daily for the spark of life which had remained to him. As long as man still lives, he can perfect himself, and serve the whole community, and he serves it only when he perfects himself more and more .- The Madras Mail.

THE MIRACLE OF LOVE.

Up on the height a hermit stood
Under the purpling sky alone,
Sending his soul in search of God
Out to the infinite, dim Unknown,

Up from below there came a cry—
A piercing, pitiful, long-drawn wail;

It came from the throat of Humanity,
Groaning and struggling down in the vale.
He covered his ears and his head sank low.
"Oh, God! shall I never escape that cry?
It haunts me forever, wherever I go;
It tortures the soul I would lift on high.
"In vain do I climb from steep to steep—
It still pursues me; and when I kneel
In prayer to Thee, it becomes more deep
With passionate pain and with wild appeal.

"How can I mount to the gates of Light,
Tear the veil from by longing eyes,
While this mad moan from the realms of Night
Drags me down as I seek to rise?"
And lo! as in anguish of soul he knelt,
Battling the cry, which grew louder now,
And praying for mercy, the hermit felt
A burning, imperative touch on his brow.

He dared not look where the Radiance stood, But he heard when it spoke in tones divine: "I am the Messenger, sent from God In response to this prayer of thine.

"Poor fool, dost think thou canst reach my throne,
Or ever escape that cry of woe?

Dost hope to climb to the gates alone,
And leave those suffering souls below?

"Go back! climb down you dizzy height,
Make thy way to the haunts of men;
Love and serve and teach them aright,
Nor think evermore in thy heart again—

"Neglecting the pain of others, to come

Forth in thy selfish search of Me.

If thou wouldst know Me, go make thy home
Deep in the heart of Humanity."

The darkness shrouded the earth and sky,
The Radiance faded, and toward the plain
The hermit, glowing with purpose high,
Followed the downward path again.

From that time onward, the hermit dwelt
In the midst of sorrow stricken men.
Each pain, each joy of theirs he felt,
Nor shuuned the common world again.

There was no man so sunk in sin But that, with tender pity, he Would stop to lift him up, to win His soul by loving sympathy.

He soothed their aching hearts with balm, He fed their souls with holy food: He taught the beauty and the calm Of universal brotherhood. And lotthe miracle! That wail,
Which sounded harsh from up above,
Became, deep down within the vale.
The sweetest, tenderst song of love.

Thus in the lives of humble men,
Toiling along life's common road;
Through human passion, love and pain,
The hermit found and knew his God.

-Universal Brotherhood Path.

REVIEWS.

· The Sphinz. - We are glad to acknowledge receipt of the March and April issues of this excellent magazine devoted to Astrology. Each issue contains not less than half a dozen articles bearing on Astrology, written, as it would seem, by eminent men of experience. To be immediately useful to the people who go in for the magazine, the nambers contain without fail Birthday information and Daily advice, which is however clear and pointed unlike the ambiguous utterances of the astrological boasters. Such stories as "an astrological courtship" are very entertaining. It is to be earnestly hoped that this magazine and similar ones will do much to dispel the erroneous ideas encircling the science of Astrology by treating the subject in the light of modern researches. Annual subscription : 8 3 00 ; 30 sents a copy. Address: The Sphinx Publishing Company, Boston, U.S.A.

The World's Advance-Thought and the Universal Republic. We read with much pleasure the April issue of this edifying monthly. The magazine is true to its name and contains a lot of information on various subject that strike the human thought. But the major portion of it is devoted to subjects connected with the Higher Life. Every part of it, he it extract or original article is instructive and inferesting. 50 cents or 3 shillings per year. Remit to Lucy A Mallory, 193 Sixth Street, Portland, Oregon.

Prabuddha Bharata .- The May number of this journal , concludes the sketch of the life of Nag Mahashaya and contains lessons from Nature-II, where the law of gravitation, the law of level, the law of cohesion and the laws of conduction and induction are explained and used as illustrations to convey instructions in higher truths. An attempt at solving the problem of the infinite is made, and some important issues are shown to follow as a matter of course from a clear understanding of the fact of the infinite existence, as the impossibility of causation or change, and the absence of variety or distinction in the infinite. Overheard in a garden, an allegory, reads pleasantly. The quotation from the New York Herald is a very interesting piece of information as showing how eagerly people in America including children pursue their studies in the Vedánta. Rs. 1-8. a year. Single copy As. 3. Address: The Manager, Prabuddha Bhârata, Mayavati (via Almora) Kumaon.

LIGHT OF TRUTH

-- OR --

SIDDHANTA DEEPIKA.

A Monthly Journal, Devoted to Religion, Philosophy, Literature, Science, &c.,

Commenced on the Queen's Commemoration Day, 1897.

VOL. IV.

MADRAS, JULY 1900.

No. 2.

TRANSLATIONS.

THE VEDA'NTA-SUTRAS WITH S'RI'KANTHA
BHA'SHYA.

(Continued from page 3).

Adhikarana 13

And hence too, the accomplishment and the pervasion of heaven-(III. iii. 23).

The Ranayaniyas in their khilas or supplementary texts read as follows:

Brahman accomplished mighty deeds of valour;
Brahman, the Supreme, in the beginning permeated heaven; even before the did Brahman exist; with that Brahman, who is there fit to compete?"

The acts known to all people,—namely, the act of burning the three worlds the act of swallowing the deadly poison, and so on,—unsurpassed by any, and very hard for others to schieve, have been achieved by the Supreme Brahman in the form of Sri-kanths,—that Brahman who is Superior to Hari, Hara, and Hirauyagarbha and so on, and who lay pervading the abode of Supreme Heaven even prior to their creation. The question is, is it necessary or not necessary to think of this accomplishment of mighty deeds of valour and this pervasion over heaven, in all our contemplations of the Supreme Being?

(Prirapaksha):—Because these are, like others, attributes of Brahman, and because these are not spoken of with reference to any particular injunction, they should be thought of in all our contemplations of the Supreme.

(Siddhinta):—As against the foregoing we hold as follows: The permeation of the Divine Being through the supreme heaven should not be thought of in all our contemplations. By the very nature of the thing, it should be thought of only when we contemplate Brahman in abodes other than the small ones. "The Devas verily went to the region of Svarga, and they asked Rudra who He was:"* here the Sruti speaks of the Supreme Abode, which is Superior even to the

Athervasires.

abodes of Brahma and others, and which, as the seat of unsurpassed bliss, is called Svarga. Therefore, the permeation through heaven should be thought of in contemplating Brahman in abodes other than small ones, i.e., in contemplating the Var'svanara and the like. As associated with it, even the accomplishment of mighty deeds of valour should be thought of in those cases only.

Adhikarana-14.

And so too in the Purusha-vidya, because of the absence of mention of others. (III. iii. 24).

Purusha-Vidya is taught in the Chhândogya aud the Taittiriyaka Upanishads. "Purusha (man), verily, is a sacrifice. The twenty-four years of his life is the pratas-savana or morning sacrifice," * and so on: in these words the Purusha-Vidya is taught in the one; and in the other it is taught in the following words: "He who thus knows,—his self is the sacrificer in the sacrifice, his faith is the wife, his body is the fuel, his breast is the altar." † A doubt arises as to whether the Vidyas taught in the two upanishads are identical or different.

(Pārvapaksha:)—Because the Vidyās in both upanishads alike are named Purusha-Vidyā, and because the different members of the sacrifice are imagined alike in both, the Vidyās are identical.

(Siddhanta:)—As against the foregoing we hold as follows: The Purusha-Vidyas taught in the two places are different; for, the members of the sacrifice declared in the Taittiriyaka-namely, the sacrificer, his wife, etc., -as also the three savanas are not mentioned in the Chhandogya, whereas in the latter the three savanas are represented in quite a different way. In the Taittiriyaka the three savanas are represented in the following manner: "What we call evening, morning, and noon are the savanas;" while in the Chhandogya man's life-period is divided into three parts and these parts are represented as the savanas. In the Taittiriya, moreover, no specific fruit is declared. "For the Light of Brahman, one should utter 'Om' and contemplate A'tman ;"I in these words Brahma-Vidyâ has been taught, and the fruit thereof has been declared in the words "He attains Brahman's greatness; " and then is taught Purusha-vidya in the words' He who thus knows .his self is the sacrificer in the sacrifice." Thus the

Purusha-Vidyå is only an appendage of Brahma-Vidyå, the subject of discourse, and its fruit is therefore the attainment of Brahman. In the Chhândogya, the fruit of the Purusha-Vidyå is declared in the words "He lives sixteen hundred years, indeed." Therefore, owing to a difference in the fruit and in the representation of the members of the sacrifice, the Vidyås are not identical.

Adhikarana 15.

Because the act of piercing and other things are different. (III. iii. 25.)

At the commencement of the Taittiriya-Upanishad occur the following Mantras:

- "May Mitra be propitious to us, and may Varuna be propitious."†
- " May He protect us both.";

A doubt arises as to whether these Mantras form part of the Vidya or not.

(Pûrvapaksha:)—Owing to their proximity to the Vidyas, they form part of these Vidyas.

(Siddhanta:) - As against the foregoing we hold as follows : just as the mantra which is read at the beginning of the Upanishad of the A'tharvanikas forms part of the abhichara or magical rite intended to bring about death of the enemy because the mantra speaks of the piercing of the heart etc., -or just as the rites of Mahavrata and Pravargya trented of at the commencement of the Upanishads of the Aitareyins and the Vajasaneyins are, in virtue of express injunctions, parts of sacrificial rites, so, these two mantras form mere appendages of the act of reciting the Veda as shewn by the words "The true shall I utter". "Efficient may our study prove." They are not parts of the Vidyas, because they are intended for a different purpose. Mere proximity (sanuidhi) is invalid when compared with srnti (direct declaration), linga (indicative mark), and Vakya (context).

Adhikarana-16.

But where the getting rid of (good and svil) is mentioned.

(we should understand the obtaining of good and svil by others) because the declaration of the former is subservient to the latter as in the case of the Eusas the metres, the praises and the singing. It has been explained (already) (III iii 26.)

In one section (S'akha) of the Veds, the sage who attains Brahman is said to be released from merit

[•] Chha. 3-16.

[†] Mahana 52.

^{1 1} bid 51.

[·] Chha. 3-16-6.

[†] Tait. up. 1-1.

¹ Ibid. 2-1.

and demorit. In another rection (8'shbh) of the Veda it is declared that, of the merit and demorit from which he has been thus released, the former enters into the friends and the latter into the enemies. Is a third section (8'shbh) again, both the release from them and the places of their entrance are spoken of. All this is no doubt meant for contemplation. Here a doubt arises as to whether in all place-both the release and the places of entrance should be contemplated or only one of them at one's option.

(Prirapalsida:—What is the prima faice view that suggests itself to us?—In the S'âtyâyayanaka, it is said that "His sons come by his property, his friends come by his merit, and his enemies come by his sins." The Tândins say, "shaking off all evil, as a horse shakes his hairs, and as the moon frees here: from the mouth of Rahu" The Athervans-upanishadays, "then he is wise, and shaking off good and evil he reaches the highest oneness free from passions." Here, in the scuti which declares release from merit and demerit, we should not understand as declared the places into which the merit and the demetit enter, for the mere reason that the scuti which speaks of the release does not expressly speak of the matter.

(Siddhanto):—As against the foregoing we hold as follows: When the release alone is declared, or when the places of entrance alone are mentioned, it stands to reason that we should understand both as declared in conjunction, not one of them alone. The passage which speaks of the entrance is supplementary to that which speaks of the release, and should therefore form an appendage to it; for, as declaring where the abandoned merit and and demerit enter, the former passage necessarily presupposes the latter.

(Objection):—How can a passage which occurs in one section of the Veda be an appendage to that which occurs in another section?

(Answer):—Just as the parage "you Kasas, the children of the Udambara-free", is supplemented by the passage which occurs elsewhere and which serves to particularise the Kusas; or just as the passage "by the metres of the Devas and the Asuras" is supplemented by the passage, "the metres of the Devas should come first," which occurs elsewhere; or just as the passage "he should offer the stotra of the should-sein-rite" is supplemented by the passage "he

should offer the stotra of the shedasin-rite when the sun has half risen;" or just as the passage "the ritvika should sing" is supplemented by the passage "the Adhveryu priest should not sing," which excludes some of the ritvika from the operation of the injunction,—so also, when it is possible to make out the passage speaking of the entrance as one serving to supplement the passage which specks of release from merit and demerit, it is not reasonable to say that one or the other of them should alone be contemplated.

It has been said in the first or ritualitic section of the Mimamas as follows: "It should on the contrary form a supplement to the other statement, since the adoption of one alone is unreasonable." Here in the present case the passage speaking of the entrance serves to preise or magnify what is stated in the other.

(Objection):—How can one supplementary passage (arthoxida) presuppose another supplementary passage occurring in quite a different context?

(Anener:—This does not detract from the validity of our contention. For, we find that the supplementary passage, "The twenty-first from here is the sun we see," which is intented to praise the contemplation of Saman, presupposes another supplementary passage, which occurs in the sattra section of the Taittiriya-sambita and which serves to determine how the run is the twenty-first. This latter passage reads as follows:

"Twelve are the months, five the seasons, three the words we see, and the twenty-first is the ann we see before us"

In these words it has been there determined how the san constitutes the twenty-first in number. Therefore, though an arthavada, the passage speaking of the merit and the demerit of the sage entering into his friends and enemies respectively should be understood as declared. Moreover, both the release and the entrance are mentioned in one passage in the Kaushitaki-Upanishad in the following words:

"And there he shakes off his good and evil deeds.

His beloved relatives obtain the good, his unbeloved relatives the evil he has done."

Hence the conjunction of the two

[·] Chhi. Up. N.13.

⁺ Mund. Up. 8-1-3.

^{*} Taith mm. 6-6 11.

^{+ 1}bid. 6-3-1.

¹ Taitl. Sam. 5-1 10

[§] Kanebl. 1-4 -

Adhikarana-17.

(It should be thought of) at the time of departure, because of the absence of anything to be reached. So, indeed. others declare. (III. iii. 27).

A doubt arises as to whether the release from good and bad deeds should be thought of as taking place at the time of separation from the body or on the path to Brahman.

(Purvapaksha:)-In one place, the release from good and bad deeds is said to take place at the time of departure from the body, in the following words:

"Shaking off all evil as a borse shakes off his hairs......and then shaking off his body....."

In another place it is said to take place on the path:

"He comes to the river Viraja, and crosses it by the mind alone, and there shakes off his good and evil deeds."

Though thus there are two passages speaking of the matter in two different ways, the release should be regarded as taking place only at the time of departure from the body, inasmuch as there exists no enjoyment of pleasure or pain to be brought about by karma at a subsequent period, the attainment of Brahman alone having yet to be achieved. So, indeed, do others declare:

"For him there is only delay so long as he is not delivered. Then he will be perfect. "

Accordingly, soon after departure from the body, Brahman is attained.

(It may be interpreted) according to our will, because there is no contradiction to both. (III. iii. 28).

The S'ruti speaks of the release from good and bad deeds as taking place at the time of departure from the body; and it speaks of the attainment of Brahman as taking place after the release. In order that these two srutis may not be contradicted, we should understand the passage, "there he shakes off his good and evil deeds," according to the meaning, as suited to the context. That is to say, we should construe the passage as occurring prior to the passage "having reached this path of gods." §

(The journey on the path of gods is still) justifiable because we find a similar thing (taking place even after the attainment of Brahman), as in ordinary life. (III. iii. 29).

Despite the exhaustion of all Karma at the time of departure from the body, the journey on the path is quite justifiable in the case of the worshippers of Brahman. For we do find it said that (even after attaining Brahman) the liberated soul walks about enjoying. It has been said:

"He becomes an autocrat (svaraj, he walks about at will in all worlds."*

It is like what takes place in ordinary life. In ordinary life, the proteges of kings obtain all things which are beyond the reach of others † Similarly the passage which speaks of the path can also be explained. Though the whole karma has been exhausted at the time of departure from the body, still, in virtue of the Vidya, the linga-sarira or subtle body remains, and therewith the journey on the path and the attendant acts may be effected, since then it is possible to walk about in the places located on the path, to converse with the Moon, and to do the other things spoken of in this connection.

(Siddhanta):—As against the foregoing we hold as follows:

The passage serves a purpose when the release takes place on both occasions; otherwise, indeed, there is a contradiction. (III iii. 30).

The passage of the soul on the path of the Gods (Devayana) serves a purpose only when the exhaustion of Karma is held as taking place on both the occasions,-on the occasion of departing from the body as well as on the occasion of crossing over the river Viraja. Otherwise, i. e., if the whole karma has been exhausted only at the time of departure from the body. the soul would be immediately liberated and the passage on the path of the Gods would serve no purpose at all; and this is inconsistent with the sruti which speaks of the passage on the path of Gods as well as with the sruti which, in the words " he unites with the supreme Light and attains .to his own true being,"t declares that the soul attains to his true nature by way of attaining the illumination of intellect only after attaining to Brahman by the path of Gods. Therefore, though the soul's wandering in the several places, his conversation with the moon, and his passage on the path of Gods are all possible in virtue of the Vidys or wisdom, just as the subsequent

[·] Chha. 9-13

[†] Kaushi. 1-4-

¹ Cbh4.6-14-2. § Kaushi; 1-3.

^{||} This sutra is intended to explain how the liberated soul's ourney on the path of Gods is possible if he has been liberated from all Karma at death and therefore freed from body and the onses.

[·] Chhâ. 7-25-2.

[†] That is to say, in virtue of the Brahmavidya and by the Divine Grace all the things mentioned here are possible as the liberated soul is then invested with a spiritual body and with spiritual senses.

¹ Chba. 8-8-4.

wandering is possible in virtue of the VidyA, still, the same are, taking the form of intellectual nerrowness, and continuing till Brahman is attained, cannot be explained as due to the power of VidyA. Wherefore it is necessary to admit the continuance of a part of Karma as forming the root of the samsåre. Is cannot be maintained that this continuance of the samsåre is disserted to Karma, but—to the will of the Paramer'-varia or Supreme Lord; for we hold that the very will of the Lord constitutes the scull's Karma. Certainly, according to our theory, there exists no merit or demerit except the will of the Supreme Lord as governed by the doing of acts enjoined or of those prohibited by the a'rmite.

(Objection):—If the manifestation of the soul's true nature in the from of intellectual illumination should take place after the attainment of Brahman, then the Karma which causes intellectual narrowness should continue till the attainment of Brahman. How can the exhaustion of Karma take place the moment after the crossing of the river Virajs?

(Answer):—The very acts of crossing over the limits of the boundary line of the meterial universe constitutes the attainment of the abode of the Paramasiva, which is the Paramakitas, the septeme Light; and this is the attainment of Brahman which is speken of in the words." having united with the Supreme Light." The river Virays, connected with the abode of Vishnu, constitutes the boundary line of the material universe. The yogins, who are freed from all taint of Karma on entering into the river, pass beyond Vishnu a avode and enter the spiritual abode of Siva which is full of supreme bliss. Accordingly the scuti savs:

" He reaches the goal of the path, and it is the supreme abode of Vishnu."

Hence no incongruity whatever.

Adhikarana 18.

The stay of the office-holders continues as long to the office lasts. (III. Hi. 31.)

It has been said above that the Upasakas or devotees of Brahman obtain release from good and had deeds and attain to Brahman. Now a doubt arises as to whother those in office do or do not attain to salvation in addition to their enjoying of the office.

(Prirrapaksha:)—It would at first seem that there is no salvation at all to the office-holders such as Vasiahtha, inasmuch as the Pavanas and other scriptures speak of their birth. Therefore the conclusion is that of the knowers of truth, some attain salvation, and others do not.

(Siddhenta:)—As against the foregoing we hold as follows: The office-holders have to enjoy fruits other

• Katha up-3-9.

than salvation even after the death of the; body, till the Karma which has invested them with their respective offices is exhausted. Accordingly, till the termination of the offices, they have to stay there (in the same@ara to enjoy the fruits of the Karma which has invested them with the offices; they cannot till then pass on to the path of Light (archiradi-marga). The prarabdha-karma of even those who have attained knowledge is exhausted only by the enjoyment of its fruits. Thus, those in office attain advation on the termination of the office, and therefore the knowers of truth invariably attain salvation.

Adhikarana-19.

No restriction as to any Vidya; and hence no contradiction to the Sruti and the Smriti. (III. Hi. 32)

The Sruti speaks of the path of Light in connection with the Upakosala-Vidyā and the like. A doubt arises as to whether the path is restricted to the devotees of that Vidyā slone or is open to all devotees (upāsākas).

(Privapaksha:)—In the Chhandogya, the Path of Light is mentioned in connection with the Upakosala-Vadya and the Panchagni-Vidya alone; in the Atharvasiras it is slightly hinted at in connection with the Pas npata. It is not mentioned in connection with the S'andilya-vidya and the like. The Path of Light must be restricted to those Vidyas, in connection with which it has been mentioned; it cannot be extended to others.

(Siddhanta:)—As against the foregoing we hold as follows: There is no rule that the path is restricted to those Vidyas with reference to which it has been mentioned. On the contrary it extends to all Upasanas. Then alone will the S'ruti and the Smriti be uncontradicted. In the Panchagni-vidya the Sruti extends the path to all without exception, in the words "And those who in the forest devote themselves to austerity and penance, they proceed towards Light "The Smriti also (Bhagavadgita VIII 24) extends the path to all without exception. Therefore the Path of Light is as a rule open to all Upasakas alike.

Some interpret the Sutra as follows: There is no rule that the Path of Light is trodden by all Upasakna alike. Thus alone can the Sruti and the Smriti be absolved from all contradictions.

This interpretation also is unobjectionable; for, those who contemplate Nirguna Brahman, Brahman devoid of all atttributes have nothing [to do with the path.

A. MAHA'DEVA S'A'STRY, B.A.

(To be continued).

[·] ChhA 5-10-1.

.. SPVAJNA'NA SIDDHIYA'R

OF

ARUL NANDI SIVA A'CHA'RYA

SUTRA III-PASU LAKSHANA. .

(Continued from page 6).

1. There is a soul separate from the body. It is existent; it is united to a body, and possessed of faults (the feeling of 'I' and 'mine'); it wills, thinks and acts (Ichcha, Gnana and Kriya); it becomes conscious after dreams; it experiences pleasures and pains, (the fruits of Karma); it undergoes the five quasthas; and it rests in Turyatîta.

Notes

. Each one of these statements is made in answer to a different theory as regards the soul. It is said to be 'existent,' in answer to those who deny the reality of a soul-substance, as such a thing is implied in the very act of denial. The next statement is made in answer to those who would assert that the body itself is the soul, and that there is no soul other than the body. The fact is though the soul may be in conjunction and correlation with the body, yet it asserts its own independence when it calls, "my body,' 'my eye " &c. Another asserts that the five senses form the soul. To him the answer is made that the soul is possessed of more powers than those exercised by the unanendrivas. Another states that the Sukshunta Sarira forms the soul. The answer is that after awaking, one becomes conscious of the experiences in sleep as separate, the one becoming so conscious must be different from the dreambody. Prana is shown not to be the soul, as there is no conscionsness in deep sleep, though Prana may be present. It is different again from God, as instead of its intelligence being self-luminous, it winderstands only in conjunction with the different states of the body. The combination of all the above powers of the body is shown not to be soul, inssmuch as it subsists even in the Turnatita condition when all the bodily functions cease.

This stanza is further important as it gives a clear and concise definition of the soul or jivatma, a definition which we fail to get in many other systems. It is shown to be different from the body composed of mays and its products, Buddhi, senses, &c., and also different from God. It is not to be identified with any one or with all or any combination and permutation of the bodily functions; nor is it a combination of the body (maya) and andakaranas and God or any alhasa But how is it found? It is always found in union with a body, gross or subtle; and the mystery of this union is of more serious import than most other problems. It is possessed of certain powers, will, intel-lection, and power but distinguished from the Supreme Will and Power, inasmuch as this is faulty or imperfect and dependent. It is possessed of feeling and emotion, and suffers pain and pleasure as a result of its ignorance and union with the body : and this suffering is not illusory, which must distinguish it again from God, who is not tainted by any and who has neither likes nor dislikes, 'Carin @ di Ga con _ 1 cours வான்,' 'பற்றற்றுன்' 'மலமிலான் 'சஞ்சலமிலான், &c.

The soul is also limited by its coats, and this limitation is not illusory either.

Even after saying all this, there is one characteristic definition of the coul, which is alone brought out in the Siddhanta and in no other school, and which serves to clear the whole path of psychology and metaphysics, of its greatest stumbling blocks. We mean its power " அத அத ஆகல்." சார்ந்ததன் உண் மாதல், யாயதான த பற்றின் அன இயல்பாய் கிற்றல்," to become identical with the one it is attached to, and erasing thereby its own existence and individuality. the moment after its union with this other, and its defect or inability to exist independent of either the body or God as a joothold or rest (upps Ganges & சீர்றலாக கைம்). So that the closest physiological and biological experiment and analysis cannot discover the soul's existence in the body, landing, as such, a Buddha, and a Schopenhaur and a Tyndal in the direct despair and pessimism; and it is this same peculiarity which has foiled such an astute thinker as Sankara, in his search for a soul when in union with God. The materialist and idealist work from opposite extremes but they meet with the same difficulty, the difficulty of discovering a soul, other than matter or God. Hence it is that Buddba, and his modern day representatives the agnostics (it is remarkable how powerfully Buddha appeals to-day and is popular with these soul-less and God-less sect) declare the search for a psyche (soul) to be vain, for there is no psyche, in fact. And the absurdities and contradictions of the Indian idealistic school flows freely from this one defect of not clearly differentiating between God and soul.

This power or characteristic of the soul is brought out in the analogy of crystal or mirror, (see last note in my edition of " Light of Grace' or 'Tirevarutpayae") and the defect of soul is brought out by comparing it to the agos or fire which cannot become manifest except when it is attached to a piece of firewood or wick. When once we understand this particular nature of the soal, how easy it is for one to explain and illustrate the "Tatvamesi's and other mantras, which are n to be taught to the disciple for practising soul eleva-And in my reading, I never came upon a more remarkable book than a small pamphlet of Prof. Heery Drammond called the "Changed life," in which the analogy of the mirror is fally brought out, together with a full explanation of the process by which the soul elevation is effected. The text chosen by the learned theologian is that of St. Paul which we quote also.

"We all, with unveiled face, reflecting, as a mirror, the glory of the Lord are transformed into the same image, from glory to glory, even as from the Lord the spirit."

He paraphrases the sentence as follows, "We all reflecting as a mirror the character of Christ are transformed into the name image from character to character—from a poor character to a better one, from a better one to one a little better still, from that to one still more complete, until by slow degrees the perfect image is attained. Here the solution of the problem of sanctification is compressed into a sentence, reflect the character of Christ, and you will become like Christ," or as we will say, reflect the image of God in yourself, and you will become God like, or God.

But how is the pour character to be made better and better, or the reflecting image clearer and clearer? It is by cleaning the mirror (soul) freer and freer from dirt, and bringing it more and more in line with the effulgent light, that this can be effected, and when the mirror is absolutely perfect and nearest, the light thines brightest, and so overpowers the mirror, that the mirror is lost to view, and the glory and Light of the Lord is fult. For, observes the learned Professor truly, "What you are conscious of is the 'glory of the Lord.' And what the world is conscious of, if the result be a true one, is also the 'glory of the Lord. In looking at a mirror, one does not see the mirror or .hink of it, but only of what it reflects. For a mirror never calls attention to itself-except when there are Laws in it." These flaws are the colours of the Siddhanti who compares them to the maya or body. In union with the body, it is the body slone that is cognized, and not the mirror-like soul. In shion with God, the Glory and Light alone is perceived and not the mirror like soul either; and the Professor declares, "All men are mirrors—that is the first law on which this formula (of sanctification or corruption) is based. One of the aptest descriptions of a human being is that he is a mirror", and we must beg our readers to go through the whole pamphlet to note how beautifully he draws out this parallel.

He notes the second principle which goverus this process, namely, the law of assimilation or identification. "This law of assimilation is the second, and by far the most impressive truth which underlies the formula of sanctification-the truth that men are not only mirrors, but that these mirrors, so far from being mere reflectors of the fleeting things they see, transfer into their own inmost substance and bold in permanent preservation the things that they reflect. No one can know how the soul can hold these things. No one knows how the miracle is done. No phenomenon in nature, no process in chemistry, no chapter in Necromancy can even help us to begin to understand this amasing operation. For think of it, the past is not only focussed there in a man's soul, it is there. How could it be reflected from there if it were not there? All things he has ever seen, known, felt believed of the surrounding world, are now within him, have become part of him, in part are him-he has been changed into their image."

These two principles infact underlie our mantra and tantra, our upssana, and Sadana, Bavana and Yoga, and our books instance the case of the snake charmer chanting the Garnda Mantra in illustration of this second principle of assimilation or identification. The doctrine of regarding God as other than the soul requires very elaborate treatment, and we hope to deal with it separately. It is the one point which distinguishes the true Vedanta as borne out by the text of the Vedanta Sutrus themselves and which is accepted by all the Tamil philosophers like Tirumular and Tayumanavar and others, and the Vedanta so called, as interpreted and expounded by Sankara.

Soul is other than Body and I'rana.

2. Why should you require a soul other than the body? Does the body itself feel and know's Then.

orpse? If it be replied that feeling is absent, as Prana is absent; then, there is no reeling either in sleep, though Prana is present in the body.

The five Senses do not constitute the soul.

3. If the senses constitute real soul, then why dont they perceive in sleep. Then the senses perceive one after another and each one a different sensation. You say this is their nature. But it is a defect that one sense does not perceive another sensation. What cognises each sense and sensation and all together must be different from all these and it is the soul. The five senses have no such cognition.

Prana is not the soul.

4. Prana is the conscious Being as there is no conciousness when the breath is stopped temporarily or permanently. But it is not concious in deep sleep. You say this is so, as it is not in conjunction with the senses. But if the Prana is the soul, the senses cannot drop when Prana is conscious. The soul really cognises everything, by controlling the Prana.

SUTRA IV. AVASTHA LAKSHANA.

Adhikarana i:

Soul is not the Andakarana.

1. You say the conscious being is the Andakarana. But none of these senses cognises each other's operation. Each in fact performs a different function. The soul understands all, controls all, in union with them. bringing them into manifestation or not, in the various Avasthas, and stands apart with the consciousness of 'I' and 'mine'

The three kinds of Guana : Pasa, Pasu and Pathi Guana.

2. Manas, Buddhi, Chittam, and Ahankara are the instruments of the soul. The union with the

soul is such that the andakaranes look as though they are the real soul. Regarding it merely as a light (which aids the eye in darkness), and clearly distinguishing it as such from the soul, one understands the soul, he understand his real self. Such knowledge is Pasugnanam. Then will be induced the higher knowledge, Pathignana or Siragnana.

Nors:—The identifying of soul with the Products of Maya is Pasagnana. The knowledge of one's own nature as distinct from matter and from God is Pasagnana or Atmagnana. Where even this consciousness is merged and lost in the contemplation and enjoyment of The Highest's Bliss, he attains to Pathagnana.

The expression 'swillow which is a war war and its inply means "understanding oneself", understanding his realnature, and does not mean "understanding oneself as God
or as anything else". After attaining this self-knowledge,
the Vedas postulate distinctly a higher knowledge, the
knowledge of God and such passages are again misinterpreted to mean that "the soul sees God as himself"
When in fact the last two words 'as himself' is nowhere
found in the text.

- Their Akshara.

3. The Akshara, A, U, M, Vindhu and Nada respectively represent and influence Ahankara, Buddhi, Manas. Chittam, and Soul. All these together form the Pranava (sukshuma). The way the consciousness rises and falls is as the rise and fall of the waves in the sea. When considered deeply, the nature of their action will be manifest.

Their Peratas.

4. Brahma, Vishnu, Rudra, Maheshwara, and Sadasiva respectively guide the letters A, U, M. Vindhu and Nada. It is in this fivefold union the soul cognises, and as such is more like asat. If one controls in yoga his two breaths and examines there, their nature can be plainly seen.

J. M. N.

(To be continued.)

(0)

(1)

(Z)

(8)

A HYMN FROM DEVARAM.

" DEATH OF SIN."

The musical hymn quoted below to which I have ided a translation in English verse, is from the Departm of Saint Thirunavakkarasu, whose life and piritual experiences will be found briefly sketched in al. III of this journal. In this hymn, the Saint traches us how to "die unto sia" and points out the importance of personal love and devotion to God. The letter killeth but the spirit giveth life"; and inwever carefully one may conform to the externals of religion, he gains nothing unless the truly religious pirit permeates and enthusiasises him.

பாவநாசத் திருக்குறித்தொகை.

இருச்சிற்றம். லம்.

பாவரும் படுப் பந்தத சென்கே! ஆவிக்கு ககர்தாக மனன் சழல் மேவராய் கொடும் மகிழ்ச அளகுமின் காவனானன் கலக் தகுன் செய்வுகே.

From hold of moral blame and sin,

() ye who would be truly free!

Adore the holy feet of Him,

Our Dancing Lord, and think of Him

With love and joy. The Watcher? will

With you abiding grant His grace.

கங்கை யாடிவென் காகிசி பாடிவென் கொற்கு தண்கு மசித்தறை யாடிவென் ஒன்கு மாகட கோதசி சாடிவென் எற்கு மீச சென்னுவர்க் செல்வேட்ட

What though ye bathe in Ganga's streams? What though ye bathe in Kav'ri's flow? What though ye bathe in waters, cool And sweet to smell off Couorin? What though ye bathe in waving seas?

-Thirurechalen.

cf. also "Ye watch, like God, the rolling hours With larger other eyes than ours "-Tennyson. It boots him nought who does not feel That ev'rywhere the Lord pervades'.

பட்ட சாச்சென் சாந்திரம் சேட்சிகம் இட்சி மட்டியு மீதொழில் பூன்சென் சட்சி மொன்ற மிரண்சி மறிவிகள் இட்ட மீசின் சூதலார்க் செல்வரேர், பூரது இச

What though ye be great doctors wise³?
What though ye hear the shast as read?
What though the duty ye assume
Of doling out cooked food and gifts⁶?
What though ye know the eight and two⁶?
It boots him bought who does not feel
The noble truth that God is love⁷.

கேத மோஇவன் சாத்திரம் கேட்டுவன் 🤼 கீற்றல் பல கித்தல் பலிற்றிலென் ஓதி சுங்கமோ ராற முனகினேன் சச**ிய** புளகுவர்க் கன்றி விலியபே, (ச)

What though ye chant the Vedas four?
What though ye learn the scriptures all?
What though ye daily teach Ethics?
What though ye know the sciences six*?
Avails it nought to all but those
That think of Him, Our Lord that is,

(4)

கூடு சென்ற கைத்திர் மூழ்சென் வேசு தேசதம் விறிவழி சிற்கின

(4) cf. Thirumanthrem :-

- " எல்லி நூறுக் கருப்பிலிற் எப்படியும் பாலிதுடை செலப்பும் பழற்றுவி சத்துக் பூகின் ரூர்ந்தும் போதுள் செல்விறை சாகம் பெலக்கும் எல்று சில்ரு மே."
- "As motion in wind, as sugar in case, As ghee in milk, as juice in fruit, As scent in bloom—e'en so pervades My Lord, the Watcher, everywhere."
- (5) cf. Amial:—The kigdom of God belongs not to the most entightened but to the best; and the best man is the most waselfish man."
- cf. Thomas à Kempis:—" He who has genuine and perfect charity, in nothing seeks himself, but desires God to be glorified in all things."
 - (6) The ten upanishads seem to be meant here.
- (7) cf. "That God which ever lives and loves"-Tennyson. Also, Thirumanthram:-

" எனபே விறவா பிரைச்சி எதுத்தியடும் பெருக்போத் களவிர பிராசிய வதுப்பிதுத் அன்போ குருச் சகைஞரு கார்க்கள்றி பென்பெரன் மணிவீசு செருத் கொண்ணுதே?"

(8) The sciences subsidiary to Vedic study, vis., Sikaha, (Philology), Kalpa (Eituals), Vyakarana (Grammar), Nirukta (Etimology), Chandaa (Prosody), and Jyotisha. (Astronomy).

⁽¹⁾ The dance is symbolic of the origination of Creative Vibration in the primordial substance of the universe. The passage in the original refers to the five acts of God Scienti (origination), stheth (maintenance), Sembara (Involution) Technica (obscuration), and Anagonia (grace).

⁽ii) liathing in ascred waters has ever been considered meritorious by Hindus.

குஸ் வேள்வி படைந்தது வேட்கென் ஏல வீச்சென் பார்க்கள்றி மில்லேயே

(**@**)

What though ye go at dawn and bathe? What though ye do each act by rule? What though ye sacrifices make 10? Avails it nought to all but those That speak of Him, the blessing Lord.

(5)

கான கோடு கேலக்து குரியிடுலன் ஈன மிஸ் நி யிருந்தவஞ் செய்யிடுலன் ஊடுகு யேண்ட பெலாழிந்து வாடுஞைக்கபெலன் ஞான சென்னையவர்க் கண்றி கண்கில் இசைய. (சு)

What though ye roam through lands and wilds¹¹? What though ye faultless penance make? What though ye give up eating meat. And heav'nward look? None wins reward. But those that praise the knowing Lord. (6)

கடை வடத்த சாக்க் குழுவிடுலென் வாடி யூ?்ன வருத்தித் திரியிடுலென் ஆடெல் வடேத்த சம்பலக் கத்தீணப் பாட லாளர்க் சல்லாற் பயண்லேசூடியே. (எ)

What though in false disguise¹² ye move?
What though ye mortify your flesh¹³?
None wins a meed but those that sing
The Lord that dances in the Void. (7)

நன்று கொக்கிசென்பட்டினி யாகிகிலைக் குண்றை மேறி மிருந்தவஞ் செய்யிலென் சென்று நீரிற் குளித்தத் இரியிலென் என்று மீசுகொன்பார்க்கன்றி பீல்ஃரேய. (அ)

What though your views are proper, true?
What though ye fast? Upon a hill
What though ye make a penance great?
What though ye bathe and show you fair?
It boots none aught but those that feel
That all through time the Lord perduces. (8)

கேரேடி இர்த்தல் கலந்து குளித்தவை ஆடினேலு மானுக் கண்பு இல்ஃலிடேல் ஒடு நீரிஃன மோட்டைடைக் குடத்தட்டி மூடி வைத்திட்ட மூர்க்கணே டொக்குமே. (க) E'en though in million waters he bathe, If for the Lord he bears no love, He peers the fool who water pours Within a pot with holes and shuts The lid, and thinks the water safe.

மற்று ஷற்**ற**வஞ் செய்து வெருக்திலை**ன்** பொற்றை புற்டுறைத் தாஜுடல் புக்கிறக் குற்ற ஒற்குமை யார்சமுற் சேவடை பேற்றி லாத உர்க்குப் பயனில்ஃடையை.

What though one painful penance make?
Unless he clings to the feet of Him
That crushed him¹⁴ who would lift His mount.
For all he does no meed he wins.

N. B.

(4.0.

PREEMINENCE OF TAMIL.

Mr. Alkondavilli G.—sends us the following Extract with Translation.

Extract from "Samble Rahangam." Chapter 267.

తాగ్రాల్లో క్రామ్లో దారి భాత్రానాండ ధీమతాం !
ఆవక్యం పాకృతం గాహ్యం యై కెకిళ్లంప్ లైతం !! 33 !!
ఏవే పవిజానీహి బావిడం పాపిలా ఓతం !
బాక్ రాస్ట్ సర్మజ్లో యస్యాన్ స్ట్రామహామానికి !! 34 !!
మాముద్రిశ్వీ తక్క్లో పురాడాన్ క్రామహామానికి !! 35 !!
మాముద్రిశ్వీ తన్నల్లో దామిడీం బ్యాకృతించ్యాల్ !! 35 !!
ఆగ స్వృణాధ్రాతున్న బ్రామిడీం బ్యాకృతించ్యాల్ !! 36 !!
అగ స్వృణాధ్రాతున్న బ్రామిడీ సాంగీరాంతతికి !! 36 !!
అన్నార్కలు చూలాలో అంద్రకర్ణాలు జేశాని !
ఆరోడాన్యకులు చూలాలే అంద్రకర్ణాలు జేశాని !
అనార్లలో ద్వర్గంగా ఇతిశా స్వీపి బాయతం !! 38 !!
తాగ్రల్లో ప్రామీడీ చెప్పిన ప్రామ్లో ప్రమంతం !! 38 !!

Translation.

Siva informs Parvati :--

"For the wise who are desirous of composing 1 betry, the indigenous (languages) is as certainly to be acquired as the Samskrit. 33.

⁽⁹⁾ cf. Paley: -- 'He that loveth God keepeth his commandments; but still the love of God is something more than keeping the commandments."

⁽¹⁰⁾ cf. Bhagavad Gita: - "The worship of God in spirit is far superior to His worship with the offerings of things."

⁽¹¹⁾ i. e. on holy pilgrimage.

⁽¹²⁾ i.e. of religious orthodoxy.

⁽¹³⁾ cf. Bhagavad Gita: "Whatsoever oblation, gift, austerity, deed, is wrought without faith, "asat" it is called; if is nought, here or hereafter."

⁽¹⁴⁾ The ten-headed Ravana in arrogance tried to lift up Monos Kailas, the abode of Siva; but Siva, with a slight pressure of his toe, crushed his shoulders. This story allegorises the furthey of human will and might against the ounipotence of God.

Even so, know, is the Dravid (Tamil) language, which, the great ange Agantya indeed did systems, atiza. 84.

In the peat, did this great sage Agustya meditate on Me (bivn);

And by knowledge granted by Me, did he design the Dravid (Tamil) grammar. 35.

Dravid is a gift from Brahma, pleased by the prayer of Agnetya;

Hence no blame of unacientificness lies against its logology. 86.

Just as much as the Samskrit tongue is designed for Heaven-giving

Is indigenous Dravid the bestower as well of Heaven, 37

Hence other languages such as are born in the lands Andhra and Karnata,

are not virgin, because autraceable to Rishis,—so say linguists. 88,

As thus poetry in other languages need apology

The indigenous Dravid needeth it not, for 'tis
unique. 83.

THE PROBLEM OF EVIL-No. III.

There are two classes of events in this world, controllable and uncontrollable (by man). Man makes history, and God makes His history. "Circumstances over which one had no control" is an expression often heard. The query is, how happen those circumstances? No answer comes as there is a veil drawn behind you and before you, beyond which you are not to penetrate. The mystery is evidently too sacred for sinful eyes to see.

" atmoper & 50bx 860"

The scales from one's eyes have first to drop off, by a course of training which anybody who will knock will find.

Controllable events are those which lie in the power and present personal responsibility of an agent within a certain defined limit of liberty of action. Beyond which and as a necessary respec of what was in the past sown by him, he is out-controlled. Nemesia demanding retribution. A capricious Divine Will creating an universe of differences all at once is thus an unacceptable position to Aryan metaphysics. Events appearing evil relatively to time, 'sauce, and challitions, change their aspect when any of these are altered. There are, as the saying goes, "always two files to a picture."

15. Do phenomena of nature uphold the theory of the anarchists? No, as they briatle with in equalities and dependence. Among the Aryana and their system of Government, on the other hand, monarchy is a Divine institution; and if only the Aparchists can become Aryans, the evils of Socialistic and Nihilistic movements which threaten Europe will come immediately. Their vision extends as far as the horizon of this days' life, and their motto is nearly this: (1) " surros) 3 5 50 500 0," and (2) " \$ 6 60- 52 7 5- 52 Exertations !" If only the light of Asia will beam into their vision, convincing them of the necessary gulf created by merit and de-merit spread over inconceivable stretches of time in the past and necessarily bridged over again in the righting afforded by the eternal expanse of time in the future, there will be as much peace, submission and brotherhood as votaries of the Aryan Dharma alone have known and practiced. Peep behind the veil; and the full sense of equality and liberty is satisfied; and the Anarchist will then complaisantly say that when " he site in the Palki, he would toterate the Palki bearers in his large heart !"

16. The difficulty felt by all right-thinking minds is because they stand on the brink of an unpassable chasm beyond; and if only like the Hindus. a shore beyond is accepted—accepted it must be, as of Hobson's choice-Mill would not have got bewildered into saying-" If it be said that God does not take sufficient account of pleasure and pain to make them the reward or punishment of the good or the wicked, but that virtue is itself the greatest good and vice the greatest evil, then these at least ought to be dispensed to all according to what they have done to deserve theze : instead of which, every kind of moral depravity is entailed upon multitudes by the farality of their birth; through the fault of their parents, of society, or of uncontrollable circumstances, certainly through no fault of their own." Certainly through fault of their own in other lives than this echoes Aryan Divine Science.

of the universe, and recognizing that evil exists, a justification for such existence as contributing to ennoble human nature (here it is to be noted that so stated, it again becomes the very argument that was set saide) is even accepted by Mill, and is therefore very valuable:— "One only form of belief (which) stands wholly clear both of intellectual contradiction and of mo. al obliquity, is that which " " regards Nature and Life " " as the product of a struggle between contriving goodness and an intractable material, as was believed by Plato, or a Principle of evil, as was the doctrine of the Manicheans. A creed like this, which I have known to be devontly

held by at least one cultivated and conscientious person of our own day, allows it to be believed that all the mass of evil which exists was undesigned by, and exists not by the appointment of, but in spite of the Being whom we are called upon to worship. A virtuous human being assumes in this theory the exalted character of a fellow-laborer with the Highest, a fellowcombatant in the great strife; contributing his little, which, by the aggregation of many like himself becomes much towards that progressive ascendency, and ultimately complete triumph of good over evil, which history points to, and which this doctrine teaches us to regard as planned by the Being to Whom we owe all the benevolent contrivance we behold in nature." Assuming that there is a principle of evil, there is doubtless the principle of good. Let any one deny the latter, and prove his denial? This he will find an impossible task. What are human feelings? They are something not to be despised, in the cosmagony, even tho' they may be an impalpable kind of something. What do they teach us? They teach us the moral lesson that even while reading a tale, or witnessing a drama, they are on the tiptoe of expectation to find that (1) Good triumphed over evil, (2) and that they find good all the more set off by as much as it had had to contend with evil.

Our Scriptures divide the Losmos into four parts, three of which—[232].—comprise the kingdom of good, and the fourth part the kingdom,—it does not say of evil—of the unliberated or bound souls, for their temporary or probationary residence. In the former are Mill's "many becoming much"; and we usually talk of "the majority" to whom we temporary sojourners on earth have to "go over" in due season.

18. Is evil a necessity? (This opens a wide field for inquiry. What if all were kings, and there were no subjects? What if there was nothing like difficulty, in all acts and aspirations? What if we never had such an ordinance of nature as sleep? What if it were all one white colour to paint a picture with? What if we felt no hunger? and what if there was no death? Under the conditions as above set forth, what would this world be like? Naturally, it would again have to be asked, what if we were all gods? and next, what if we were all one instead of many. i.r., instead of appearing as several drops, were one as the ocean? It does appear as if such questions were the ramblings of a diseased mind, the flatters of a winged imagination, or the yearnings of a morbid heart? (In reflection and to him who has a philosophical turn of mind, desirous of divining into the depths of the all-surrounding mystery, the questions are of weighty and interesting import. It would be more difficult than easy to take up each of the supposed novel conditions and construct a new world on that basis.

For the present the desire to stroll in those regions may be repressed, and this may be said that all reasonable inquiry may stand satisfied with accepting things as we find them, face the rigid facts as are presented to us by a ready-made world, and try—as far as a power to whose control we are imparatively subject allows to our little minds the ability to penetrate the sublime mystery—to cognize a noumence behind all phenomena, knowing which all our doubts shall dissolve and all our pain shall cease! When our immortal principle throws off the material shackles by which it is by a hidden destiny imprisoned, then a new set of conditions—which while new may still be asserted as an unbroken chain of progressive life and of the kind suppositiously stated above—will be presented to the probated soul, as briefly sung in the lines:—

"Another sun gleams there! another moon!

Another Light,—not Dusk, nor dawn, nor noon—
Which they who once behold return no more;
They have attained my rest, life's utmost boon."

Equivalent to the passage in the Upanishads:— " నేత్రమార్యోఖాతి – నచ్చ)తారశం '' ఓం.

There, no (this) sun shines, no (this) moon and no (these) stars.

If evil, then, is a necessity, it is an instituted order and congruous with the design of a benevolent Providence; and therefore a part and parcel in the general scheme of goodness, like a dark screen in a dark room required for the best effects of a magic-lantern exhibition. Who would resent this darkness; on the other hand, the wish would be for more. Conceive the beauty of the lightening from the bosom of the darkest cloud! Dr. Rajendra Lala Mitra says in his preface to the Yoga Aphorisms of Patanjal: - " The doctrine of evil as inseparable from the world is nearly as old as humanity. It was the dread of evil that drove the primitive man to seek the shelter of superhuman power and laid the foundation of religion. There would have been no religion if there had been no dread of evil, here or hereafter Nor would philosophy have had its birth had not the necessity arisen to discriminate between good and evil. Morality, too, presupposes a differentiation between that which is proper and that which is improper-of a consciousness, however vague and rudefined, of future reward and punishment. Doubtless the doctrine assumes constant and unalloyed evil and not a mixture of good and evil." In these words " mixture of good and evil" lies my meaning which I indicated in No. 1. of this series of Articles, viz. that there is no absolute evil.

KAMPANOLOGY IV.

செய்றி செய்ய சொன்னுற்க அற்றேகெண் கூற கூலின மாரம் நேற்றோன் எய்ற வெய்றவற் செய்றேய காக்கூத செய்ற செய்றவன் சொன்னின்ற நேயத்தே. (பாகிசம், 5).

- 1. Our is a Caring Our in Our common of seedle as feedle ness (itself). Our is so would mean "feedler than," but as it is allowable to omit the a.i. in postry, we may render the expression as "feedler than feedleness." Our is means strictly "that which is feedle." Feedleness is properly expressed by Our is mu. Compare Octation for in a Carin, without 56.
- 2. Os: me panewith the retained, would be correct if Oses were an accented syllable. But as explained in Kampanology III, the true scansion of this line being, as I take it, is, a deis, adeis, admin, the syllable Good is unaccented, (it being the end of the metrical syllable called dei) therefore the cannot be retained. For, though it is proper to my Ourcine and Ourcine, yet, on the other hand, we must say Osicourans and Orious and. In southers suspipers of the Kovayar, the word wie, which is usually unaccented, takes an accent for the sake of the meter, and accordingly the i, instead of being elided, is retained in its substitute s. In English too-we have the general rule that words ending in a single consonant preceded by a single vowel double the final consonant in taking an additional syllable such as er, ed, &c., only when the accent is on that vowel. Compare "deferred" with "differed" "fitted" with "benifited" Accordingly Occident in the fourth line retains the consonant as Orra is an accented syllable. Coru Pà loses it because s is a w and not R 107.
- 3. prist to spin thread. It is derived from prin, a thread, which is said to be derived the meaning of prin, a "treatise." And the author of the single gives the following fanciful analogy to show how a "treatise" resembles a "thread."

The words are the cotton,
The treatise is the thread,
The author is the spinner,
His mouth is the hand that spins,
His intellect is the spindle.

Some authors explain it by saying that it is so used in imitation of the Sanscrit tantel which means both a string of a lute and a treatise.

- 4. It seems more natural to derive point from paint to speak. But the next inquiry is whence do we get paint? I am not able to answer it. The letter s however occures in several words having some relations to the mouth, as pai, paint to eat; point to move the tongue; swe, so to laugh, in Telugu; swe, said to lick; srie to sound; sowe to gnash; swe to nibble; ss, ssie, sse tongue; swi, and dog; passed treatise; pain, said, sie to speak. Applie, Sweet, sweet, see its likely that she comes from the same source as its English equivalent "neigh" and the Latin "hinnare."
- 5. It strikes me that from use, (allied with Sans usesse) the mat-grees, may be derived usesse o use greet to weave. From this may arise usesse of which usesse, "a treatise" may be a short form If use, the green, is the real origin of usesse, we get back to the process by which "treatise" comes from "thread." Compare usesse, usesse, sleines, usesse, sleines, uses.
 - 6. The meaning of the whole stanza is as follows :-
- "I have undertaken to spin very feeble words in the country in which is extant the work of the performer of austerities who sang the great history which has occurred to him who so shot (his arrow that (the) seven hig trees received openings (through their trunks) like (the openings made in my hear by) the censures with which (the learned) have censured me."

In order to complete the sense of the stanza, it is necessary to add some such words as there:-

- "See what a foolish thing it is for me to under take?"
- 7. pou = penance. poù = ascetic. Origani = he who has austerities that are performed.

KAMPANOLOGY V.

வையமெ**ள்ள** விதழவு மாசெனக் செய்தவும்மிறி யம்புவ நியாதெனில் பொய்யின்கேன்கிப் புலமையி தேறிபுகள் தேற்குமாக்கள் மாட்டு தெரிக்கவே, புராவிசம், 6),

1. The second line ought to be written with a between B and B, because BP &c., ought always to be changed into BAP or FP &c., when the next

word begins with a vowel, except where the change would interfere with the meter. According to the view of scansion explained in Kampanology III, there is no occasion for omitting the & here. For the doubling of ω in $\sin \omega \omega$ and its omission in $\sin \omega \omega \omega$ see number 2 of the preceding section.

2. On the same view the a in surs being short by the rule,

ய**ாம் ப**ாக்குறன் உக்கிரி இகாமும் அகைச்செரண் மியாவின் இகாமுறை குறிய,

t is cut off for the sake of the star, in accordance with the rule,

தங்கீர் வண்ணக் தாற்கெட வரினே குறசெய இகாமும் குற்றிய துகாமும் அளபெடை ஆவியும் அலையல் பிலவே.

- 3. In the latter rule, it must be observed by the ay, that *** and *** as sip of the pen; for, *** for is, in its very nature, a vowel or consonant itroduced for the purpose of filling the meter when here occurs a hiatus. What is there contemplated y *** for a six is the pure vowel which occurs in uch words as *** for a second according as the scansion equires.
- 4. In Suit also, the a is short. But it is retained for the sake of the saw, that is, supposing my manner of scanning the Cap to be correct.
- 5. தெரிதல் = to select (குடுள் அதி 47.51.52), or o be evident as தெரிகிஸ் (இலக்.கொத்து) தெரித்தல் = தெரிவித்தல் = to make evident or to cause to select.
- ¶ தெய்வமாக்கவி மாட்டுதெரிக்க=to show forth the excellence of the divine poem (not by means of my ranslation, but by comparison with it).
- 6. There is another reading, namely ωπέσως (see Winslow under Θεθές είν), which implies that the excellence of the story in the original may be gathered even from my translation though it is a lespicable one.
- 7. In the Naidatam we have 'Birson since the continuous that, in regard to beauty, it is not possible to choose between the face of the moon and the faces of the ladies." In the Kasikandam Oshlay probably stands for Oshlassia, that is as "making a thing evident by description" in the phrase Oshlassia.
- 8. பொய்யில் கேன் விப்புலமையினேர் புகல் கவீ-the poem sung by the poet of faultless learning, i. c.,

Valmiki. if we take the plural as merely honorific, having reference to stanza the fifth which immediately precedes this stanza. If we take it as referring to the 7th which follows, it may include eximit and Guessums also.

KAMPANOLOGY VI.

One of those stanzas namely the 66th begins its lines with with with a lines. If all the first in the Counce in those lines. If all the first in the Counce be supposed to be suit (to which they may be reduced but not without an awkwardness and difficulty unusual to Kampan) without a line of a li

In the same Kandam, saisbog. (in which the same law is observed even between the end of one line of a stanza and the beginning of the next line in it (this is specially perceptible in stanza 91), there is one line that violates the same. That line is the third of the 113th stanza. It stands in the printed editions thus:

பேதைமைவைப் பின்பார்த்து கிற்குமா. Here an அன பெடை இ after ஊ will cement the breach.

KAMPANOLOGY VII.

தேவைபாடை பினிக்கதை செய்தவர் மூவாராகவர் தம்முளு முர்திய நாவி ஞருடை பின்படி உரன்றுவிழப் பாவிஞல்லே தணர்த்திய பண்பரோ. (பாயிசம்.7).

If the reading sides to be preferred, seed of will then mean the goddess of learning.

If we take $\omega \varphi$ as the predicate of the sentence of which $\omega \sin \varphi$ is the subject, we need supply no words to complete the sentence, except the copuls, which is never expressed in Tamil.

KAMPANOLOGY VIII.

து எல்புகி ஐம்பெரி சாலியும் காலைப்பு முறையைக் சன் சொதும் பூ எலம்ப செறிவின் புறஞ்செனாக் பீகாகலிப்பின் தழ்றனி உறுவாம், (பாவ. ஆற்றப், 1). அன்னாதுக், அமை் ஊர்விts (and) softerings or ஆ சலம் ஊராலன்று bad feelings புகிய புகிறின் ந

of the river which adorns Kosalam (in which) the darts (called the) five scuses and the turbulent (get) darts called women's eyes do not go out of the path tof rectitude).

tunkle=women's.

 In the preceding eight sections under the heading of Kampanology, although I have given my own views, yet my object has been to elecit information.

To go through the whole series of the difficult verses of the Iramayanam, in the way I have commenced, would be as inexhaustible a task as that proposed to herself by the proverbial ent of Kampan. I think it will be more to the purpose, if each student of Kampau will state his own view or his own difficulty through this journal and invite explanation thereon from its readers.

Accordingly I append a request embracing a few of my difficulties.

Give the various readings and the meaning of

- 1. ஆவேர்தமி (பாக. சிறப. 3)
- 2. பூன்றம்பு ்ழே (சகரப். 13)
- 3. aiudieles pris (ure. Gareni. 37)
- 4. அறக்அபோவிஞன், (அமோத், பள்ளி, 182) இறயார் உற்ற ? இவனிற்றேக் உற்ற யாதம்கொண்டு உறப்பட்டுண்டோ ? சொக்கெச்சம் உண்டோ ?
- 5. 2010 cummi (ib 134). Quan 201.
- 6. கு வரண்ட அட்டி (உயுக். கும்ப. 121). கு வட்டி உண்டு is plain. But the rest ?

C. Burro.

NACCHINARKKINIYAR: A STUDY.

(From the Mudras Christian College Magazine).

The latter half of the century now drawing to a close has witnessed a remarkable advance in the

study and knowledge of the Tamil language. While the work of former centuries was merely to accumulate writings of every kind except the purely scientific, this century began with the classification of these varied writings and prepared the way for the propagation of a knowledge of them among the masses; while the latter half of it has effected a fusion of the historical spirit with the analytical. The scepticism of European scholars, with the exception of Dr. Hultsach, in regard to the antiquity of Tamil literature, has led them frequently to ridicule the Tamilians. No Tamil work, whatever its merits, or whatever the dialect in which it was written, was assigned to a period earlier than the tenth century. The genuineness of the poems of l'attanatte Pillaiyar and the works of Auraiyer was seriously questioned. A handful of native scholars, however, who had received the benefit of an English education, imbibed the historical spirit of modern times and set themselves to help forward the investigation of their own antiquities. These men possessed two things in which many European scholars were wanting, a due veneration for the Tamil language and literature, and a critical acquaintance with classical Tamil works. And the result was marvellous. Tamil literature has been proved to have existed prior to the beginning of the Christian era, and the dates of many works have been fixed.

But it is to be regretted that, in spite of this rapid progress, unmerited oblivion has been the lot of one who did more than any one else to sustain the study of the oldest classics, and so keep scholars in touch with the language, the manners, and the customs of the remote ancestors of the Tamilians. The Tolkappiyam Poruladhikaram, the Kalittohai, and the Pattupmittu would certainly not have long survived without his commentaries; and it is a question whether there are works of greater importance or of more absorbing interest than these in Tamil literature. An earnest scholar will reek in vain in the poems of more modern times for true poetry or a finished style, for pure language or natural descriptions. Morbid imagination and redantic language characterise many of the more modern works. If the classical works had failed to obtain the help which immortalised them, the true poetic spirit and the love for naturalness of language would have long ago given way to a vitiated poetic taste and literary affectation; and these evils would have become universal. Whence came the help by which the lights that burned but dimly were fanned

into flame? It came from Nacchinarkkiniyar, the celebrated commentator of the middle ages.

Commentaries did exist before Nacchinarkkinivar Nakkirar had left behind him an excellent commentary on the Iraiyanarahapporul. Ilamburanar and Senavaraiyar had written commentaries on portions of the Tolkappiyam, and Pórasiriyar, a brief commentary on the Tirukkovaiyar. But, with Nacchinarkkiniyar the manner was altered, and the language changed. Nakkirar's poetic diction was exchanged for a more prosaic one, better adapted for commentaries. Ilambûrapar's system of insufficient annotation was considerably improved. Sênâvaraiyar's ultragrammatical phraseology made way for a flawless natural style; and Pêrasiriyar's condensed and difficult form of writing was replaced by lucidity of expression and the use of quotations and illustrations. Thus a reform, if not a revolution, was brought about in the field of commentaries, and Nacchinarkkiniyar, as the leader of that reform, should rightly arrest our attention in a survey of the history of Tamil literature.

The field in which Nacchinarkkiniyar introduced his reform was a limited one; and therefore the interest he aroused was nothing compared with the effects of reforms in the sphere of religion. The reforms inaugurated by Manikkavachaka and Juanasambundha astonished the illiterate and instructed the learned, but the influence of Nacchinarkkinivar's reform was confined to closets of pandits. As, however, the community that responded to the influence of the commentator formed the flower of the Tamil people, Nacchinarkkiniyar is as much deserving of study as the other reformers. One important result of the limitation of his field of work is that no inscription mentions his name, and no puranam records the history of his life. The former of these facts may be a matter for regret, though the latter is not. Puranam means a series of hyperbolical conceits, very often having the name of the hero only as a reality. Such biographies are worse than nothing, and their non existence should be a matter for joy. What, then, are the materials on which some account of his life can be based? There are his own commentaries, the monuments of his genius and industry, scattered eulogiums, and current traditions.

The little that is known about the personal life of Nacchinarkkiniyar we gather chiefly from a eulogium* on his commentary, which was composed probably by

a contemporary.* The purity of the language and the nature of the style warrant us in ascribing the stanza to the age of Nacchinarkkiuiyar and in presupposing a personal acquaintance with him on the part of the writer of the eulogium. According to this stanza, Nacchinarkkiniyar was born of a Brahman family at Madura, then the capital of the Pandiyan kingdom. From the same source we learn that he belonged to the Bharadvaja Gotram of the Brahman community, and that he was a Saivite in religion, which as well as the question of his date will be taken up for discussion later on. Here in passing we would venture the conjecture that Nacchinarkkiniyar must have reached the age of a hundred when be died. This conjecture rests solely but justly on the nature and extent of the work he left behind. Considering the immensity and thoroughness of this work, we cannot be far wrong in concluding that he must have devoted more than half a century to the acquisition of his profound knowledge of the world and of Tamil literature; and when we take account of the time which he must have spent in writing his commentaries, we see that he must have lived to a great age.

In religion Nacchinarkkipiyar was unquestionably a Saivite. This is supported by the eulogium already referred to. Nevertheless, there is a tendency among some modern scholars to hold that Nacchioarkkinivar was a Jain. It will be time, however, to examine the arguments of such scholars when they state them; and meanwhile I shall state the argument for the other view. Taking the eulogium first, we may translate the linest that have special reference to Nacchinarkkiniyar's religion thus: "He, of nature made in the likeness of that of the Wisdom-resplendent Siva per vaded by Knowledge Pure, the Sacred Endarrived at by the Four Vedas." Now, a panegyric in such terms cannot be aspired to by any Saivite of ordinary distinction, and the dialect of the composition shows that it must have been the work of a person of superior culture. These lines, however, must not make us believe that Nacchinarkkiniyar was a saint. What he did within the short span of his human existence was almost miraculous; and therefore the praise accorded to him is just enough. But the question of praise it-

[·] Pattupåttu, page 374.

^{*}Pandit Swaminatha Aiyar, in his introduction to the Chinta-mani, definitely asserts that the learned authors of the culogiums were contemporaries of the commentator.

[†] சாண்முனரு துணைநித்த தேற்பியாகு வடுக் தூயை நாரணை சினருந்த வெச்சைட்ட தடினே உரசிய ந்ன்னமு மாசசன்.

self is not from our point of view so important as the statement that "Nacchinarkkiniyar was a Saivite"

Dr. Bower, in the introduction to his edition of the Chintomani, Namahal Ilambakam, has remarked. "The commentator on Chintimani called Nacchinarkkipiyar is supposed to have been a Saiva Brahman of the Madura District." It should be noted in this connection that Dr. Bower was greatly assisted in preparing the edition of the Chintimani by one Sastram Aiyar, who, according to Dr. Bower himself, was " perhaps one of the best of eminent Tamil scholars amongst the few surviving Jains." Now, if there was any shadow of a doubt about Nacchinarkkiniyar's religion, is it not likely that the Jain pandit would have informed Dr. Bower of the possibility of the commentator's creed having been other than Saivite! The late Mr. Sundaram Pillai actually believed that Nauchinarkkiniyar was a learned Saiva commentator:* Mr. C. W. Damodaram Pillai, in his introduction to the Virasoliyam, remarks that although Necchinarkkiniyar embraced the Saiva faith later on, he was a Jain in his younger days. The author of the Galaxy of Tamil Poets, probably following this veteran scholar, proclaims the same view. 1 But nothing seems to warrant this assertion. Pandit Swaminatha Aiyar. who has shown in his editions a better acquaintance with Nacchinarkkinigar's life and the current traditions about him, has omitted this point, although his editions appeared many years later than the works of the other two editors. If the tradition about the Chintamani commentary that it is a rewritten one is true, we may perhaps hold that Nacohinarkkiniyar's more intimate knowledge of the Jain system acquired for the rewriting of it converted him to the Jain faith. But he certainly was never converted to the Saiva religion. Independently of this question of conversion, however, the testimony of these three scholars is valuable as throwing some light on the question of his religion.

Considering the matter more closely, we may observe that the very name of the commentator denotes that he was a Saiva. This view finds anpport in a versely in the Décâram of Tirunàvukkarasar, where the saint actually refers to Siva as Naccainàrkkiniyar.

Sri Sivajuana Yogi, in his Kanchipuranam, addresses Siva es Nacchinarkkiniyay. The latter argument may be rejected on the ground that the author of the Kanchipuranam lived in a comparatively later age; but the former cannot be so casily thrust aside, being the atterance of one who lived two centuries earlier than Nacchinarkkiniyar. And both together surgest the idea that the name is very likely one of the many that go to make up the Sivasahasranaman in Tsmil, and therefore also the conclusion that Nacchinarkkiniyar was a Saiva Brahman.

His commentaries also give unmistakable evidence in favour of this view. With the single exception of the Chintamani, all the works he chose to comment upon are Suivite in their origin. Even the Chintamani he selected for its sublime moral teachings and highly imaginative descriptions; and the author of it was no bigoted Jain. Tiruttakka Dêvar, for that is his name. makes reference to the exploits of Siva. twicet in his work, and, on both occasions, to illustrate his points. In a stangat in the Namahal Ilambakam he refers to Siva as having in his throat the Sama Gita. The commentator explains the previous stanzas by introducing apt quotations from the Tiruodchakam; and in his commentary on the last of these he refutes an interpretation which makes the person who has the Sama Gita in his throat Jivaka (hero) himself, and says it should refer only to Siva. In the whole of the Chintemani commentary, Manikkavachaka's utterances are found freely quoted, [mean, his Tiruváchakam se well se his Tirukkovaiyár. When we come to Nacchinark kiniyar's other commentaries, bowever, the case is different. In the Tolkappiyam, the Pattupattus and the Kalittohai, he leaves no room for discussion. He distinctly proclaims, though not in so many words, that his creed is Saiviam. He adores, in a manner that would shame the stannchest Saivite, the Hindu sages of yore, and, coming to talk of the holy men of later times, he fails not in paying them due homage. Why doubt we, then, that he was

^{*} Madrie Christian College Magazine, March 1861; 'The Ten Tamil Idyla:'--I., page 664.

⁺ Page 19.

[†] The Galoxy of Tamil Posts, by J. B. Arnold of Jaffna, page 191. § Sthalamurai, Part 11, page 79.

[·] Bettetineppedalam, stansa 11.

[†] Gunamalaiyar Dambukam, stanza 291. Namabal Hambukim, stanza 2. See also Suramenjariyer Hambukum, stanza, 44.

² Stance 341 and commentary.

[§] Tolkippiyam, Eluttu. Svidence found in the use of the words 'Tiracchitrambalam' and 'Perumpatrappuliyan,' according to Mr Swaminatha Alyan: Tolkippiyam Furul, pp. 125, 672.

I Pages, 17, 20, 40, 839.

The book contains many references to Siva, and so necessarily does the commentary.

a steady follower of the Saiva faith? If the Puranic accounts of Agastya or Muruha, as given by the commentator, differ in some important particulars from the accounts now generally accepted, that need not suggest that his religion was not Saivism. It need only suggest that the source was different from which he drew his stories. Because Nacchinârkkiniyâr wrote a commentary on the Chintâmani, a Jain work it does not follow that he was a Jain. If so, Pandit, Swaminatha Aiyar, the commentator of the Manimékhalai, a Buddhistic work, should be a Buddhist.

Having satisfied ourselves that Nacchinarkkiniyar was a Saiva Brahman, we next proceed to ascertain the age in which he flourished and produced his works, which are among the noblest heritage of the Tamilians. A few years ago, no attempt at fixing his date could have been successful, in view of the meagre knowledge of his career which we possess apart from his commentaries. But the problem has now been rendered much easier by the discovery of more than one important date, in the literary history of Southern India, though the chronology of Tamil literature is always a difficult question.

It is accepted on all hands that Nacchinarkkiniyar belonged to the Jaina period or cycle of Tamil literature. According to Dr. Caldwell,* "the Jains period extended probably from the eighth or ninth century A.D., to the twelfth or thirteenth." Thus Dr. Caldwell would place the commentator in any case later than the ninth century. But his conjecture regarding the beginning and end of the Jaina period evidently contradicts facts found in the Tamil classics. If we set any value at all on their testimony, Jain supremacy must be regarded as having commenced at so early a date as the fourth century or the fifth. In his editiont of the Chintamani, Dr. Rower, after stating that Tiruttakka Dêvar probably lived not more than seven or eight hundred years ago, remarks that Nacchinarkkiniyar also probably lived not long after the writer of the Chintamani. That would bring him down to the thirteenth century or even to the fourteenth. Dr. Bower also confirms Dr. Caldwell's opinion about the commencement of the Jaina period by quoting from Wilson a certain passage which runs as follows: "The Jains did not form a distinct and influential body until the 8th or 9th century after Christ." But these two opinions are mere assertions

made at a time when comparatively litte was known concerning the history of South India.

Passing on to the opinions of native scholars, we find that Mr. Damodaram Pillai has more than once in his editions of Tamil classics expressed an opinion about the age of Nacchinarkkiniyar. In his editorial introduction to the Virasolium, where he has made a very praiseworthy attempt to give a sketch of the history of Tamil literature, he states that the Jaina cycle began with the second century and lasted for nearly three hundred years.* This cannot, however, be altogether true, inasmuch as there is evidence to prove that the Jain influence was at its height during the time of Tirujoanasambandha, in the early part of the seventh century AD. In the paragraph which deals with the question of the Jaina cycle, Mr. Damodaram Pillai introduces Nacchinârkkiniyar as one who. originally of the Jain persussion, became a Saivite. and as one who wrote excellent commentaries on many classical works.† Immediately afterwards he adds, referring to the general idea of the paragraph, that he does not mean to say that all Tamil works written by Jains were written within the period specified. Perhaps he thought of including the commentator under the writers of the Itihasa age, which occupied the next eight hundred years, though in his introduction to the Kalittohai, the definitely states, that, as Nacchinarkkiniyar belongs to the age of the Jains, it is at least twelve centuries since he wrote this commentary (on the Kalittohai). This statement, it may be noted, is incompatible with the statement he makes in the introduction to the Virasolium regarding the period during which Jain influence was predominant. He says further: "The commentator who has not scrupled to cite from such later works as Jirakachintâmani as well as from the works that have received the imprimatur of the Sangham, does not even take the name of Bharatam or Ramayanam or Kandapuranam where examples are found only in plenty. This clearly shows that all these works belong to a period recent than Nacchinarkkiniyar's." more much the same is what he says in his introduction to the Tolkappiyam Poruladbikaram. We ourselves have arrived at the same conclusion, but, as will be seen on grounds quite different from these.

A Comparative Grammar of the Dravidian Languages, Introduction, page 129.

⁺ Introduction.

[•] Page 18.

[†] Page 19.

¹ Page 32

[§] Page 4.

Mr. Sundaram Pillai in his first article on 'The Ten Tamil Idyls' says: "The commentator (Naochinarkkiniyar, remarks that this (Tiruvávinankudi) is the ancient name of the place which, in the days of Auvaiyar came to be called Chittanválvo—a testimony of great value as showing the long interval that must have elapsed between Nakkirar and Auvaiyar who is reckoned, by Dr. Caldwell himself as a contemporary of Kamban and is placed therefore in the twelth century." This view is however, based on the mistaken supposition that the Auvaiyar of Kamban's time is the Auvaiyar mentioned by Naochinarkkiniyar.

In this connection we feel called upon 'o say a word about the age of Auvaiyar, perhaps the most pozsling character among the Tamil celebrities that have arisen in South India since the days of Agretya. In the first place it must be remembered that the word 'Auvaiyar' in popular language aignifies a very old woman well versed in practical wirdom. Now, the Anvaiyar of Kamban's time cannot, according to the generality of Tamil pandits, be identified with the Auvaiyar who is said to have flourished in the Sangham age or with the Ganapati-Bhakta who, in the language of the tradition, ascended to heaven with Sundaramurti, the last of the three l'évara hymn writers, and Beramanperumal, the reputed Saint of the Chera Kingdom. A tradition has it that Auvai managed to live so long as she did-nearly two hundred and forty years - by receiving a fruit (nelli kani) from Pandan, a Vaisya of Kavirippumpattinam, who gave it to the poetess, and was in turn immortalised by an antadi knowa as l'autonautadi. This Vaisya had gone to the lower world and had returned with nectar and the fruit. The tradition is found quoted in a footnote to a commentary on the Kuralt in A'rumukhanavalar's edition. The quotation, however, is a blunder, incomuch as we know that the incident, which Parimelalahar refors to, is not this but another. found in the older classics. This Auvaiyar cannot be identified with the Annaiyar of Sundara's period, although she was very likely the woman who flourished at the same time as Kamban and others. Neither orn she be identified with the writer whose stanzas Nacchinarkkiniyar quotes in his commentaries.

Now as to the other Auvaiyar. She is the reputed authoress of many ethical works studied by the young

of this country, and her connection with the Sangham is supported by traditions and classical works, Apart from Nacchinarkkiniyar's quoting from the Konreirendon and other works of Auvaiyar, the author of the Silappedhikirame of the second century has quoted from her Miltures. Besides, she has left many stanzas linked with those of other poets in the Puraminiru, a collection professedly of the Sangham age. The king Adhikan, who gave ber the nelli fruit, is described in the l'altupattut as a callal, a man of great liberality, and the incident itself is clearly referred to. We learn from the Pattupittu, as well as from the Puranimiru, where the poetess herself alludes to the incident when praising the king, that the fruit has the property of extending one's lifetime to an enormous length, and that it can be obtained only from a beautiful tree to be found in certain secluded parts of the mountain regions. Nacchinarkhiniyar, in his commentary on the Pattupattu, mentions the fruit, which is also frequently referred to in the Siddha Sastras.

Again, Mr. Sandaram Pillai says : " It is interesting to note that Nacohinarkkiniyar, the learned Saiva commentator, quotes not a line from Sambandhar, in any of his elaborate annotations, while he scruples not to enforce his remarks by apt citations from Manikkaváchakar, who, too, seems to have lived after the Madura College had become extinct. It is obvious, therefore, that in the days of Nacchinarkkiniyar. Sambandhar's usage had not been sufficiently old to be anthoritative." The use of the word 'old' here is a little difficult to understand. Does this statement not seem inconsistent with what was said in the passage just examined? If Auvaiyar's usage, the usage of one who, according to Mr. Sundaram Pillai, belongs to the twelfth century, could be authoritative, how could the usage of Sambandha, whom he considered to be rightly placed in the sixth century or the seventh, have failed to become authoritative? Probably he did not auticipate the passage already quoted, when he wrote this. This passage would seem to imply that Naochiparkkiniyar and Sambandha were very nearly contemporaries, otherwise Mr. Sundaram Pillai's statement becomes inexplicable. While he himself admits that Sambandha attained to great

[.] Madens Christian College Mugazine, March 1801, page 670. .

⁴ Karal, 100.

^{*} Page 434.

[†] Sirapanarruppadai, II. 100-103.

^{\$} Stanza 91.

⁵ Madras Christian College Magazine, March 1891, page 684.

celebrity, in the seventh century, such celebrity as induced the great Sankara of the eighth century. whose acquaintance with Tamil was obviously scanty, to refer to the saint's name in his Saundaryn Lahuri (a Sanskrit work) with sincere veneration, how could the saint's greatness have escaped our commentator's wide and extensive knowledge? Not only so, Sambandha is avowedly referred to as a great saint in the hymns of Sundars and in the poems of Pattanattu Pillai, who probably belonged to the ninth century. Tirunavukkarasar bimself, an elder contemporary of Sambandha, speaks of the latter with much regard; and by the close of the tenth century all these Dêvâra hymn writers were being worshipped in the public temples, patronised by Rajaraja Chola and his Buccessors.

This is the place also to note another erroneous statement of fact to which Mr. Sundaram Pillai has given currency* in his first article on 'The Ten Tamil Idyls.' He writes: "The classical commentator, Nacchinarkkiniyar, seeks to justify the author of the tenth Idyl against a criticism of one Alavanda Pillai A'siriyar, which is but one of the accepted and wellknown names of Sambandha."† This evidently is an unconscious misrepresentation of truth. Sambandha. we know, is called by the name of A'ludaya Pillai, not A'lavanda Pillai, A'lavanda Pillai A'siriyar may be the Alavandar, the alleged author of the Jaana Vasishtam, a beautiful allegorical work illustrating sublime truths by means of pleasant stories. We know that Sambandha never commented on any classical work. Of A'lavandar, however, a tradition is still prevalent at Madura that he was the rightful heir to the Zemindary of Veerakeralampûdar, and that attempts having been made to poison him, he got tired of worldly life and turned ascetic. The tradition-tellers refer us in proof of this fact to his name, which signifies that he came to reign but never reigned.

In the introduction to his edition of the Silappadhi-kâram, Pandit Swaminatha Aiyar claims, though not with sufficient reason, more antiquity for Adiyâıkkunallâr than for Nacchinârkkiniyar. "Nacchinârkkiniyar," he says, "should have lived only posterior to Adiyarkkunallâr in so far as he has controverted many opinions that are found to be Adiyârkkunal-

lar's." Mr. Seshagiri Sastriyar in his Report on a Search for Sanskrit and Tamil MSS. for the year 1896-1897, No. I, refutes Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar's view thus: " As the work (Divakaram) is quoted by Adiyarkkunallar in his commentary of the Silappadhikaram, the author must be anterior to Adiyarkkunallâr. If the opinion of Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar, the editor of the Silppadhikaram, viz., that Adiyarkkunallâr lived before Nacchinârkkiniyar, be correct, then the author of the lexicon under notice must be anterior to Nacchinarkkiniyar also. But it should be noted that the latter has, so far as I have known, not quoted the work under notice."* This surely is not an answer to Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar. Nacchinarkkiniyar does. as a matter of fact, quote from the Divikaram more than once ;t and, even if we set him aside, Pêrasiriyar an older commentator, has quoted from it in his commentary on the Tirukkovaiyar. The other part of his reply, besides suggesting the probable date of Adiyarkkunallar, is somewhat more reasonable. He says: "The work under notice (Dandiyalankaram) is quoted by Adiyarkkunallar in his commentary of the Silappadhikaram. But as it is not quoted by Nacchinarkkiniyar in any one of his commentaries, we may infer that the author of the work might be posterior to Nacchinarkkiniyar and prior to Adiyakkunalar." This argument we acknowledge, is borne out by facts. But we should never adduce this as positive evidence in determining the relative ages of Adiyarkkunallar and Nacchinarkkiniyar. The fact that the author of a book makes no reference to another author does not prove the impossibility of their contemporaneous existence: Milton in English literature betrays no signs of having ever board of Jeremy Taylor and Jeremy Taylor betrays no signs of having ever heard of Milton. Yet these two were contemporaries, one the greatest poet and the other the greatest prose writer of his age.

The Dandiyalankâram determines the age of Adiyarkkunallar rather than the relative ages of Adiyarkkunallar and Nacchinarkkiniyar. Tradition makes the author of the Dandiyalankaram, the son of Ambikapati, who was the son of Kamban, the great epic poet of the eleventh century. The author himself seems to have made reference to Anapâya Chola, and Anapâyan, according to Dr. Hultzsch, is a designation of KorRajakêsarivarman alias Kulottunga Chola

^{*} See Inana Budhini, Vol. 1. Book vii, page 245. V. Sundaram Aiyar's article on Potinenkilkkanakku. The eighteen didactic pieces in Tamil.

⁺ Madras Christian College Magazine March 1891, p. 865.

^{*} Pages 35 and 36,

⁺ Pattupdttu, page 15, and, probably, p. 216

¹ Report, page 37.

Dova.* The earliest king of that name having reigned only in the latter part of the eleventh contary, Dandi could not have flourished earlier than the eleventh century. This work, then, fixes the upper limit of Adiyarkkunallar's age to be the early years of the twelfth century A. D. Another fact, namely that" he has quoted from the Kalingattu Parani, corroborates this statement. The hero of that poem is Kulotunga Chola Deva I., who reigned from A.D. 1070 to-A.D. 1118, as pointed out by Mr. Kanagasabbai Pillai and Dr. Fleet, t and its author therefore, must be placed in the earlier part of the twelfth century. This would indicate that the age of Adivarkkupallar was the latter part of the twelfth century. But there is not a single fact to guide us in determining exactly the lower limit of his age, except perhaps his extraordinary acquaintance with the Tamil classics. A comparison of the commentaries of Nacchinark kiniyar with Adiyarkkanallar's, however, leads us to some definite conclusion about the relative ages of this two commentators. Adiyarkkunallar quotes some sulvas concerning yoginges (limbs of yoge) in his commentary on the silappedhildram! when he comes to explain the nature of the domestic life and the life of an assetic. These sutras are also found in Nacchiparkkinivar's commentary on the Tolkappiyam, but with a qualification Adiyarkkunallar quotes the sulras and leaves them with the remark: "Such are the surras." Nucchiarkkinigar does more. He says they are uraisutras (commentary-sutras) that is, sutras especially prepared by the commentator to explain certain points in the commentary. This meaning of the expression 'nrai-sutra we get from the anthors of the Rakkanakkuttu, and the Prayagavirrka, who have coined new autras in their commenteries and said that such suiras pass under the name of unit-sutras.

With this explanation, then, we have reason to conclude that the sutras quoted by Naschinarkkiniyar are, in all probability, his own, and that, therefore when Adiyarkkunallar quotes these sutras, he quotes from Nacchinarkkiniyar. Thus we see that we are not justified in placing Nacchinarkkiniyar even in the twelfth century.

Before proceeding to ascertain when Nacchinark-kiniyar actually lived, let us find out when he cannot

have lived. It is an accepted fact that Périsiriyar,

the famous commentator of the Tirukkorniyar, of

Having thus seen that the commentary on the Tirukkoraiyar is Pêrâsiriyar's and that he lived before Nacchinárkkiniyar, let us examine this commentary to see if it can enlighten us as to the date of Pérásiriyar and eventually therefore as to the date of

[.] South Sailian Luccoptions, Vol 11. Part ii. page 133.

⁺ Hid. Vol. II. Part ii. page 250.

¹ l'age 327.

^{\$} Page. 165.

^{(&#}x27;age 15.

a Page 2.

Manikkavachakar, in older than Nacchinarkkiniyar. Had not Pérteiriyar written a commentary on the Tirukkocsiyar, we should be led from his pure and elvated style to conclude that he lived not long after the extinction of the Sangham. But his commentary on the Tirukkoraiyar forms positive evidence that he lived posterior to the author of The Sacred Word. His priority to Nacchinarkkiniyar is easily proved. In his commentary on Tolkippiyam the latter refers many times to Pêrtairiyar's commentaries. From Nacchinarkkiniyar we learn that Perasiriyar wrote a commentary on the Tolkappiyam" as well as one on the Kuruntohai; t and this fact is confirmed by traditions and extant stanzas. About the commentary on the Tiruklovaiyar itself, there was until very recently a difference of opinion among pandits, the majority attributing it to Naochinarkkiniyar, while a scattered few held that it was written by Pirasiriyar. But now there is no doubt about the authorship of the commentary. Submhmanya Dikshitar, the author of Prayoga Fireka, has pointed out in his work more than once that the commentator of the Tirukkordiyar was Përasiriyar; and Mailërumnerumal Pillai, the reputed videan of Tinnevelly, whose priority to Dikshitar is undeniable, has referred in his Kallidam commentary to points found in the printed commentary on the Tirukkovaiyar refers of course under the title 'The Commentary of Perseiriyer'. Kunrattur Chokkappa Navalar, in his commentary on the Tanjairanankorai, refers to this commentary of Perasiriyar. Mr. Swamiuatha Aiyar says that in the manuscripts be chanced to find in the houses of hereditary pandits in the southern districts, the top bear the words 'The Commentary of Perasirivar', and that on careful examination, he discovered that the manuscripts contained neither more nor less than the printed copy which was erroneously attributed to Nacchinark kiniyar, not only by the editor, Arumukhanuvalar, but by all of the then renowned pandits who have appended enlogistic poems to the edition of the Tiruk-

[·] Tolkappiyam, Poraludhikaram, p. 210.

[†] Ibid p. 89.

Nacchinark kinivar. On page 113 of the commentary we read to our intense delight a quoted stanza which we guess to be a deraram; and our delight is made more intense when we find the very same stanza at the top of a page * in the Devara-sthalamurai. We at once conclude that Perâsiriyar must have lived after the particular saint from whom emanated this, to us interesting, hymn. And who was that saint but Tiruusvukkarasar, the prince of poets?

Now, if we could ascertain the date of Tirunavukkarasar, we might regard Pêrâsiriyar's date as having been almost fixed. Fortunately, the date of Tiranavukkarasar, or Jaansambandha, his younger contemporary, is no longer a matter for dispute, but a veritable historical fact-at any rate as well established as any fact yet known in the history of South India. In his article on The Early History of Southern India',† Mr. Venkayya gives in the arguments which lead us to this undisputed conclusion. He says: "According to the Periya Puranam, a Tamil work which gives an account of the sixty-three devotees of Siva and whose historical value has been proved to be very great, Siruttonda, one of the devotees, who was a military man, 'reduced to dust the old city of Vatapi' for his master, whose name is not given, but who must have been the Pallava King Narasimbavarman I. Siruttonda was a contemporary of the great Saiva devotee Tirujñanasambandhar, and is referred to by the latter in one of his hymns. Thus Tirujñànasambandar was a contemporary of the Pallava King Narasimhavarman I. A comparison of the life of another devotee, viz., Tiranàvukkaraiyar or Appar, who was another contemporary of Tirujñanasambandhar with the two Trichinopoly cave inscriptions published by Dr. Hultzsch, will convince you that Appar must have lived under the Pallva King Gunabhara, who was probably identical with Mahendravarman I., the father of Narasimhavarman I. From these facts we conclude that the two famous Saiva devotees, Appar and Sambandhar, lived about the middle of the seventh century A. D., as the Pallava King, Narasimhavarman I., was shown to have been a contemporary of the Western Chalukya, Pulikêsin II., whose dates range from 609 to 642 A.D." The date of Tirunavukkarasar being ascertained to be the middle of the seventn century A.D., Perasiriyar must be sought for in the latter part of the same

century, or more probably in the earlier part of the next. Thus as an upper limit to the age of Nacchinarkkiniyar we have the early years of the eighth century A D.

S. ANAVARATAVINAYAKAM PILLAI, M.A.

(To be continued.)

A LIBRARY OF ADVAITA WORKS.

Mr. Sambasiva Aiyar, Manager of the Sri Vidya Press. Kumbakonam, has been engaged for some time in bringing out a collection of valuable Advaita works in Sanskrit. written by such emineat authorities as Brahmananda Sarasvati, Madhusûdana Sarasvati, Appaya Dîkshita and others. A few of these, for instauce, the Laghuchandrika and the Brahma-Vidyabharana, were known by repute merely, till Mr. Sambasiva Aiyar conceived the idea of publishing them. The subject-matter of the eight volumes now comprising the series together with remarks regarding their authors will be found briefly set forth in the prefatory note. appended below, from the pen of our well-known countryman, Mr. Sashiah Sastriar, C. S. I., late Dewan of Pudukotta, to which I have added a few footnotes which may be of some interest to bibliophiles. The whole collection is an exceedingly valuable one and it is only to be regretted that the publisher has not met with the only reward due to his unselfish labour, viz., an appreciative reception and an eucouraging sale of the books. Though he has invested a goodly portion of his heritage in this, a labour of love for him, he expects and desires no profits, but would be amply satisfied and incited to further services in the same direction, if he can only recover his outlay by the encouragement of the learned and the wealthy of the land. I need not add any more to what has been written with such exceeding clearness by Mr. Sashiah Sastriar.

M. NARAYANABWAMI IYER.

ENGLISH PREFACE TO THE ADVAITA MANJARI.

- 1. The Advaita Manjari or series of treatises on the Advaita Philosophy consists of Eight Volumes (Royal Octavo) printed and published in Devanagari character at the Sri Vidya Press, Kumbhakonam by Sambasiva Ariya of Konerirajapuram.
- (a) The first of them is Advaita Siddhi, pages 345, grandhas 10,000, priced Rs. 4-8-0.
- (b) The second is Laghuchandrika, otherwise called Gauda Brahmanandiyam, pages 655, grandhas 20,000 priced Rs. 7—8—0.

Part- 111 page 331.

⁺ Madeus Christian College Magazine, January 1896.

- (c) The third is Siddhintabinds.
- (d) The fearth is Nykyaratokvali. These last two age printed together as one Volume, pages 260, grandhas 6,000, priced Rs. 3-0-2.
- (c) The fifth is Sûtra Vritti, pages 140, grandhas 4,000 priced Rs. 1—8—0.
- (f) The sixth is Siddhestalemangraham, pages 485, grandhas 14,000, priced Rs. 5-0-0.
- (g) The seventh is Brahma Vidyibharanam, pages 840, grandhas 24,000, priced Ra, 10-0-0.
- (4) The eighth is Sivatatva Vivekam, pages 142-grandhas 5000, priced Br. 2-0-0.
- 2. Thus the 7 volumes comprising the 8 works contain pages 2967 and grandbas 83,000, priced Rs. 33-8-0. They are called Manjari, because they all relate to the same subject ;-but practically they are all separate independent works by separate authors which have appeared at long intervals. Though they are well-known to scholars as works of authority, they have been never printed and published before and have been very rare to be had in a correct or complete form. Much credit is due to the enterprizing publisher for collecting and publishing them at his own cost and making them accessible to scholars and to the public generally; unfortunately, he has not met with that degree of encouragement which was commensarate with his labours and with the intrinsic value of the works themselves. This in a great measure has been probably due to measures not having been taken to give them wide publicity and probably to some extent to the difficult and intricate character of the subject itself. They are now re-introduced to the public in the hope that their high merits will be appreciated and that they will find ready purchasers among such of our calightened and patriotic countrymes as can well afford to patronize them.
- 3. After these preliminary remarks, it is but appropriate and necessary that a brief outline account should be given of each of the treatises for the information of those who may not be already conversant with them. They will now be accordingly described in the order in which they have been already enumerated.
- A. Advaita Siddhi i is an original work by Madhosúdana Saraswati. It is a commentary on S'ankara Bèsbyam—the famous gloss on the Vyèsa Sútras and is said to
 have been written about 300 years ago. It is a refutation
 of the Dvaita doctrine propounded by Vyèsarayar in his
 famous work known as Nyàyàmritam. It was answered
 by a work called Tarangami by a Dvaita author. Tarangani was answered by Gauda Brahmènandiyam otherwise
 called Laghuchandriki, which in its turn elicited Vana-

mèla Mintyans. This awain was atswered by Triyambaka Sèstri of Mysore in a treatise called Advaita Siddhinta Valjayanti.

The Advaita Siddhi is divided into four chapters called Parichchedams, each of which is again subdivided into point beads or topics. In the first parichchedam the question of the world being mithys or not mithys (i. e. illustry or not illustry) as stated by both the parties, is stated under 54 heads or issues. In the second, A'tmanirapaaam or nature of Atma is discussed under 54 heads, for the third, the means of realizing union or absorption in the A'tma are described under 4 sub-heads, that is to say S'ravanam, Mananam, Nidhidhyasanam &c., In the fourth, Muktinirupanam or what is Mukti or Moksham, is discussed under 6 such heads.

- B. Lagbuchandrika otherwise called Gunda Brahmanandiyam is a gloss by Brahmananda Saraswati on Advaita Siddhi. In its sub-divisions and order of topics, it follows the Advaita Siddhi. It is a rejoinder to Tarangani which was an answer to Advaita Siddhi, No. A.
- C. Siddhantai-indu by Madhusudana Saraswati is a Vyakhyausm on the Das's S'loki of S'ankara which is the essence of his doctrine in a very condensed form.
- D. Niyayaratnavali is again a gloss on Siddhantabindu by the author of Laghuchandrika. These two treatises C and D are really abridgments of Advaita Siddhi and Laghuchandrika which were probably found much too voluminous for easy study.
- E. Sütra Vritti is a work by a disciple of S'ankara and is a short simple, and literal paraphrase of the Vyàsa Sutras. It is divided into four chapters or Adhyaya, in which the subjects of Jagatkaranam, criticism of opposing doctrines, moksha upayam or means to moksha and moksha itself are treated in the same order as in the Sutras,
- F. Siddhantalesa sangraham is an original work by Appaysa Dikshitar who is said to have lived 400 to 500 years ago. It is a review of all the doctrines of belief with the conclusion that Advaita is the soundest of them. This work also deals with the general subject in the same order which is observed in the other works—namely in four parichchedams—but more closely criticises the differences which had sprung up among the advaitis themselves in the long interval since a ankara's Bhâshyam. The positions which he undertakes to substantiate are (I) that Nirguna Brahman is alone taught by Vedas (2) that Moksha is to be obtained by Vidyä or Juänam (3) that by Juänam is meant Brahmanjanam (4) that Moksha is the goal of all knowledge.—Achysta Krishnananda Saraswati has written a gloss on this work.
- G. Brahma Vidyabharanam by Advaitananda Saraswati is a commentary on S'ankara's sútra Bhāshyam. It is divided intofour Adhyayas, each having four Pàdas—

This appears to be a different treatise from that published by the Asiatic Society of Bengal in their Beldintheen Indien and styled Advices Bounas Stelling, N.

and the order in which the subjects are treated is the same as in 'Sútra Vritti.' It is a work which enjoys much celebrity among Vedantic students.

- H. S'ivatatwa Vivekam is a work by Appayya Dikshitar in which his object is to establish that Saguna Brahman is no other than Parama Sivam or Isvara who is the controller of Mayoprapancham or creation as it appears to us and that Nirguna Brahman eventually is attainable only through and after Saguna Brahman is realized.—This doctrine is broached in 60 Slokas called Sikharinimala, the Slokas being all in Sikharini metre. He has himself made a Vyakhyanam or commentary on the Slokas.
- 4. It must not be supposed that these 8 works exhaust the treatises on Advaita. There are several other series which though more recent are also held in great esteem. One series for example is Váchaspatyam? by Vächaspati Misra which is also a commentary on S'ankara's Sùtra Bhashyam. It was followed by Kalpataru³ a work by a sanyasin of Northern Circars or Telugu country and this again has evoked a Vyakhya known as Parimalam by Appayya Dîkshitar.-Another series consists of a commentary on S'ankara Sùtra Bhâsbyam known as Panchapadika5 which has elicited Vivaranam6 and Tatvadipika another work generally read is Ramanandiyam 7-and also Anandagiriyam" both being commentaries on S'ankara Sutra Bhashyam. Appayya Dîkshitar, who is reputed as the ablest and most prolific author of recent centuries has written a work called Nyaya Rakshamani on the Sûtras themselves .- It was the ambition and intention of the publisher of the 8 works, eventually to edit and bring out several of them at least, if his present undertaking met with sufficient encouragement.
- 5. Maha Mahopadhyaya Brahma Sri Raju Sastrial who is the greatest sanskrit scholar living has borne testimony to the great and rare value of the 8 works now published, and to the correctness of them, other testimony is unnecessary.
- 6. It now only remains for me to exhort all the Maharajahs, Zemindars and other noblemen and all such of my countrymen as are possessed of the means to come forward and reward the labors of the compiler who out of pure love for his Country's Religion and Philosophy has sunk his

Published in the Ribliotheca Indica by Bala Sastri of Benares. It is called Bhāmati,—N.

fortune in it, not only by liberally subscribing for copies for themselves, but by persuading all friends within their reach to do so likewise.

Kumbahkonam,

A. SASHIAH SASTRI, c. s. t., Fellow, Madras University, late Dewan of Travancore and late Derran Regent of Pudukotai.

EXTRAGTS.

Ir is necessary to the existence of our idea of beauty that the sensual pleasure, which may be its basis, should be accompanied first with joy, then with love of the object, then with the perception of kindness in a superior intelligence, finally, with thankfulness and veneration towards that intelligence itself, and as no idea can be at all considered as in any way an idea of beauty until it be made up of these emotions, any more than we can be said to have an idea of a letter of which we perceive the perfume and the fair writing, without understanding the contents of it; and as these emotions are in no way resultant from, nor obtainable by, any operation of the intellect; it is evident that the sensation of beauty is not sensual on the one hand, nor is it intellectual on the other, but is dependent on a pure, right and open state of the heart, both for its truth and for its intensity, insomuch that even the right after-action of the Intellect upon facts of beauty so apprehended, is dependent on the acuteness of the heart-feeling about them .- RUSKIN: (Modern Painters.)

Now, therefore, I think that, without the risk of any farther serious objection occurring to you, I may state what I believe to be the truth—that beauty has been appointed by the deity to be one of the elements by which the human soul is continually sustained; it is therefore to be found more or less in all natural objects, but in order that we may not satiate ourselves with it, and weary of it, it is rarely granted to as in its utmost degrees. When we see it in those utmost degrees, we are attracted to it strongly and remember it long, as in the case of singularly beautiful scenery or a beautiful countenance. On the other hand, absolute ugliness is admitted as rarely as perfect beauty; but degrees of it, more or less distinct, are associated with whatever has the nature of death and sin just as beauty is associated with what has the nature of virtue and of life.

RUSKIN. (Lectures on Architecture and Painting).

Among the moral instincts of humanity none is more natural, more universal, or more insuperable, than prayer. The infant readily learns to pray; the old man has recourse to prayer as his refuge amid the solitude of his declining years. Prayer comes instinctively to the young lips which can scarce prouounce the name of God, and to the dying lips which have no longer strength to pronounce it. Among all nations, unknown and well-known, barbarous and civilized, one meets at every turn, the facts and formulas of prayer. Wherever man is found, in certain circumstances and at certain hours, under the influence of certain spiritual instincts, the eyes are raised, the hands are clasped, the knees are bent for the purpose of prayer or thanksgiving, adoration or supplication—Guzzor.

^{3.} By Amalananda. Published by E. J. Lazarus & Co., Benares, in the Vizianagaram Sanskrit Series.—N.

^{4.} Published in the same series. -- N.

^{5.} By Padmapada. Vis. Sans. Series .- N.

^{6.} By Prakûsûtman. Viz. Sans. Series. This edition contains extracts from the Tatrudîpikü and from another gloss styled Bhāva-prakūsika. N.

^{7.} This has been printed in Madras in Telugu characters. It seems to be popular only in Southern India. - N.

^{8.} Published in the Anandasrame Sanskrit Series of Mr. M. C. Apte of Poona. This is the best-known of all the glosses on Sanskain's Bhashya. There is also another gloss by Govindananda called Ratin Prablia. This has appeared in the Bibliothera Indica.—N.

LIGHT OF TRUTH

- OR ---

SIDDHANTA DEEPIKA.

A Monthly Journal, Devoted to Religion, Philosophy, Literature. Science. &c.,

Commenced on the Queen's Commemoration Day, 1897.

VOL. IV.

MADRAS, AUGUST 1900.

No. 3.

TRANSLATIONS.

THE VEDA'NTA-SUTRAS, WITH STUKANTHA BILA'SHYA.

(Continued from page 291.)

Adhikarana 20.

The inclusion of (negative) conceptions concerning the Indestructible is necessary, because Erahman is the same and there is (a purpose c.rved); as for instance in the case of the mantra of the upsead. This has been explained (before: (III. iii. 33.))

to the Gargi-Brahmana, while imparting a know-tedge of Brahman, certain domals are made in the words "Neither gross, nor fine, nor short," and so on. In the Atharvana also they are found in such passages as the following:

- "That which cannot be seen nor seized, which has no family and no caste," and so on.
- · Bri. Up. 3-4-8.
- + Mond, 1-1-6.

A doubt arises as to whether, like bliss, etc., these should be comprehended or not in contemplating Brahman.

(Pårcupakshu):—At first sight it would seem that those denials need not be comprehended in all contemplations of the Supreme, masmach as, unlike bliss, etc., they are not attributes.

(Subthinta) :- As against the foregoing we hold as follows: It is proper to include in all contemplations of the Supreme the conception of denials relating to the Aksharo, the Indestructible, since Brahman, the possessor of the attributes, is the same in all places. It is, indeed, by means of these attributes, and in no other way, that a contemplation of Brahman as distinguished from all else becomes possible. For bliss and other attributes to distinguish Brahman from the bratyagatman, they must be such as are unassociated with any mean qualities. And it is the very nature of attributes to always accompany the main thing of which they are spoken of as attributes. For instance: though the mantra "O Agai, know the Hotri's deed. and the sacrifice," which is enjoined in connection with the upasad of the purodus's in the Jamadagnys

sacrifice of four nights,—occurring in the Samaveda, has, as such,* to be recited aloud, still, inasmuch as it should follow the main thing,—namely, the upasad which pertains to the Yajur-veda,—the mantra should be recited in a whisper. This principle has been declared in the former or ritualistic section III. iii. 9.

From this it does not follow that all attributes will have to be included in the contemplation, as the Sû-trakêra 8*ys:

This much (alone should be comprehended), on account of thorough contemplation. (III. iii. 34).

'Thorough contemplation' means the contemplation to which one takes readily. Those attributes alone are to be comprehended everywhere, by which a contemplation of Brahman as distinguished from all else is possible. Accordingly it is necessary to comprehend in the contemplations of Brahman only those negative attributes, namely, "not gross," etc., which are mentioned in connection with the Akshara-Brahman, because they are attributes which serve to distinguish Brahman from all else; but it is not necessary to include also such attributes as "who does all deeds, who has all desires, who has all smells, who has all tastes."

Adhikarana-21.

If you hold that in the (passage speaking of Him who is) within (all) (the s'ruti refers to) one's own self possessed of the aggregate of the elements, and that otherwise the difference cannot be explained, (we say), no. as in the case of the teaching (of the Sad-Vidya). (III. iii. 35).

In the Brihadaranyaka Upanishad, Ushasta asks: "Yajnavalkya, tell me the Brahman who is visible, not invisible, the Atman, who is within all." The answer is given in the following words: "He who breathes in the up-breathing, he is thy A'tman and within all. He who breathes in the down-breathing, he is thy Atman, and within all. Everything elso is of evil."! Then again Kahola asks "Yajnavalkya, tell me the Brahman who is visible, not invisible, the Atman who is within all." But the answer is given in the following words: "He who overcomes hunger and thirst, sorrow, passion, old age, and death. When Brahmanas know that Atman...they wander about as

mendicants....Everything else is of evil."* Here a doubt arises as to whether the two Vidyas are one or different.

(Pürrapaksha):—Now, Ushasta's question refers to the pratyagâtman or the individual soul united to the aggregate of elements. Otherwise it would be difficult to explain the difference between the cutity spoken of in the answer to that question and described as "He who breathes in the up-breathing, he is thy Atman," and the entity referred to in the answer to the question of Kahola and described as having overcome hunger, etc.

(Siddhanta):- As against the foregoing supposition the sútrakara states the siddhanta or final conclusion as follows: You should not say so. This section is like the teaching (of the Sadvidya): + "That Atman who is within all;" in these words the question was asked in both cases alike; and therefore in both cases, the Parames'vara Himself is the entity referred to in the question. And He alone can be the entity who is absolutely the cause of up-breathing, etc., and who has absolutely overcome hunger, etc., as stated in the answers to the questions. As in the case of the teaching of the Sad-Vidya contemplation of Brahman as Existence), the repetition of question and and answer refers to one and the same subject. A difference in the questioner and in the form does not produce a change in the Vidya. Accordingly the sùtrakara says :

An exchange (should take place); for (they both) qualify (Brahman), as in the other case. (111. iii. 36).

Once the identity of the subject has been established, a mutual exchange of ideas should be effected between the two questioners. That is to say, Ushasta also shold contemplate Brahman as one who has overcome hunger, etc., while Kahola also should contemplate Him as the cause of up-breathing, etc. For, the passages occurring in both the sections specify the Parames'vara, as in the other case of Sad-Vidya. Wherefore as one and the same entity is spoken of in the questions and the answers, there is no difference in the Vidya. Repetition is intended t remove doubts, as in the case of "That, thou art."

Adhikarana-22,

The same (Deity is spoken of); for 'real' etc., (are repeated).
(111. iii. 37).

As to the Sad-Vidya also, which has been cited to illustrate the conclusion arrived at in the preceding

The rule is that in sacrificial rites the mantras of the Sama-Veda should be recited aloud while those of the Yajur-Veda should be recited in a whisper.

⁺Uhbl 8-4-2.

[‡] Bri. Up. 3-4.

[•] Ibid. 3-5,

⁺ Chhâ. 6.

adhikarana, there arisega doubt as to whetherathe Vidva is one or different.

(Parenpaksha):—As a repetition is found in the questioning, and as a difference is found in the answers, at each turn a different Vidya must have been taught.

(Siddhinta):—As aginst the foregoing we hold as follows: There is no difference in the Sadvidyte The same Mighty Deity, referred to in the words "This Devata," etc., " occurs in every question and in every answer. "That is real, That the Atman, That thou art;" in these words, again and again, reality and other attributes are found repeated. Therefore, the Kntity being one and the same, the Vidyt is one and the same,

Adhikarana-23.

(The attributes such as the persention of unfalling) desires absuld the comprehended in the several cases, because of the abode, etc., (being the same). (111, iii. 38).

The Dahara-Vidya,—contemplation of the Divine in the heart—is taught in the Chhandogya, in the Taittiriyaka, in the Bribadaranyaka, and in the Kaivalya Upanushada. Are they all one and the same, or are they deferent?

il'irrapuksha; :--In the Chbindogya, the right chief attributes, including sinlessness, of the Being dwelling in the small lotus of the heart, and spoken of as Dahara-&ksa'a, are declared in the passage which begins with the following words:

"There is this city of Brahman (in the body) and in it the palace, the small lotus (of the heart), and in it that small ether."

In the Taittiriyaka the attributes of the Divine Being dwelling in the small lotus of the heart—as the One designated by the word 'Sound (Nada)' which is the root of the Pranava, as the One denoted by the term the "Mighty Lord (Mahea'vara), as the One who is da k-brown, as the One who has divers eyes—are declared in the pressage "small, sinless,"; etc. In the Brit.adaranyaka-Upanishad are mentioned the attributes such as lordship, in the following passage "There is ether within the heart. In it there reposes the ruler of all, the lord of all, the king of all."

In the K-ivalya-Upanishad the attributes of the Sapremu Being dwelling in the heart-lotus—as the

"Regarding the heart-lotus unstained and quita pure, and in its centre contemplating Him who is ...all-pervading; who is intelligence and bliss, the formless, the wonderful; Him whose help-mate is UmA; who is the supreme Lord, mighty, three-eyed, dark-necked, screen."

Now, since dark-neckedness and the like are the attributes of the body and since reality, etc., are the attributes of A'tman, they are two opposed sets of attributes and cannot therefore be included in one contemplation. Therefore they are different Vidyas.

(Biddhanta): - As against the foregoing we hold as follows: Because the same abode of the heart-lotus is mentioned :u the several places, the vidya is one and the same. Therefore the attributes-such as " whose desires are true" -- should be comprehended in all cases-Sinlessness and other attributes mentioned in the Chhandogya, lordship and other attributes mentioned in the Britadaranyaka, the attribute of being designated by the Pranava and other attributes mentioned in the Taittiriyaka, the attribute of being consciousness and bliss in essence and other attributes mentioned in the Kaivalya-Upanishad,-all these attributes of Brahman should be comprehended in the Daham-vidva The attributes of Brahman, described in the Kaivalyn-Upanishad in the words " whose help-mate is Uma," "one who has three eyes," are identical in meaning with those of Brahman which are described elsewhere in the words " one who is dark-brown," and "one who has divers eyes." Repetition here is calculated to produce a high regard. The same construction should be put upon all attributes which are three repeated. The Brahman is the being of whom all these attributes are predicated, and as such He alone is the object of worship in all cases, so that the Supreme Brahman should be contemplated in the middle of the small heart-lotus as endued with sinlessness and so on, as the lord of all, as the thing denoted by the sylluble 'om,' as one whose help-mate is Uma, as Three-eyed, as Dark-necked, as Consciousnors and Bliss, as Infinite, Immortal, Wonderful. Accordingly this contemplation is the most essential in all contemplations of the Supreme. In the Chhandogya and the Kaivalya-Upanishade, it is said that he who devotes himself to this contemplation is said to attain, as the fruit thereof, to the Supreme Brah.

three-eyed, as the dark-necked, as consciousness and bliss, as having Ums for His mate, and so on-are declared in the following passage:

^{*} Chhi. 6-3-1.

[†] Op. Cit. 8-1-1.

¹ Maha. 10.

⁴ Bri. Up. 4422

man Himself, the object of all worship, transcending the region of Prakriti or the material universe:

- "Having reached the Highest Light he appears in his true form."*
- "Having meditated thus, the sage reaches Him who is the womb of all beings, the Witness of all, transcending darkness."

Thus, as the attributes of dark-neckedness and the like as well as the attributes of sinlessness and the like are ever present in Brahman, and as each set of attributes presupposes the other, nothing stands in the way of our comprehending them all in one act of contemplation. The denials would be quite out of place if there were no occasion for them. On seeing the Supreme Brahman described as possessed of a body having three eyes, one would naturally attribute to Him other attendant attributes of the body, such as sin, old age, death, hunger, thirst, vain desires, vain purposes; and it is to prevent this that the sruti declares that He is devoid of all sin, and so on. Though the Supreme Brahman is endued with a body having three eyes and so on, still, He is free from all sins, free from old age, free from grief, free from hunger, free from thirst. His desires are true, his purposes are true. He is consciousness and Bliss. Hence no incongruity.

Adhikarana 24.

(Objection):—If in the form of Brahman there should exist such parts of the body as would entitle Him to be described in the words "dark-necked," and so on, as He is described in the words "love is His head," then He would be subject to growth and decay and would therefore cease to be "dark-necked."

(Answer): -The Bûtrakara says:

Because of high regard, there is no failure. (111. iii. 39).

In the preceding sections it has been determined that Brahman has a form described as dark-necked, etc., that He is associated with the Supreme Power (Parama S'akti) designated as Uma, and that He has all the attributes including unfailing will and so on. Now a doubt arises as to whether at any time these attributes cease to exist in the Supreme Brahman, or they never cease.

(Pûrrapaksha):—At first sight it would seem that they cease at some time, masmuch as they are merely imagined in the Supreme Brahman for the sake of contemplation.

(Siddhanta):—As against the foregoing we hold as follows: They never cease to exist in the Supreme Brahman because of the high regard the sruti shows for them by way of repeating in all cases His association with Uma and other attributes. Hence the description of the Supreme Brahman as one of

unfailing potentialities .- Where are they repeated with high regard ?- We answer, everywhere. Though it has been declared that He is dark-brown and divers-eyed, i e , that He is associated with Sakti and three-eyed, still, again, to shew indeed its high regard for them, the Fruti repeats "Whose help-mate is Uma, who is the Supreme Lord, the Master of all, who has three eyes." In the S'ruti treating of the contemplation of the Divine Being in the solar orb, He is, again, out of high regard, described as the Lord of I'ms, in the words "Homage to the Goldenarmed, to the Lord of gold, to the Lord of Ambika. to the Lord of Umi,"t Elsewhere, again, that the I's'vara is dark-necked, is, for the sake of regard again repeated in the words "whose nuck is dark, who is deep-red;"..." Homage to the dark-necked, to the black-throated,"; and so on. It is only to inspire high regard that the attributes of unfailing will and the like are repeated again although they have been once mentioned. Wherefore, on account of the high regard for them which the sruti shows by way of repeating them-again and again, the attribute of being the Lord of Uma and such other attributes never cease to exists in the Supreme Brahman.

In the case of Dhorma and Brahman,—the subjects that are unknowble from any other source of knowledge,—those who follow the authority of the sruti should accept whatever that Divine s'ruti says as to their nature. Otherwise, if we follow that line of reasoning which is opposed to the sruti, it can never be established that Brahman is the material cause of the Universe, and so on. Accordingly, after having declared that Brahman is Existence and Consciousness and the Infinite, in the words "Existence, Consciousness, the Infinite, is Brahman." The sruti itself again declares with reference to Him.

- i. c., the sruti declares Prahman's conditioned form, that He is three-eyed and that He is associated with Uma, the Supreme Power (Paramas'aktı). And again, in the words "that is the one hass of Brahman," the sruti says that He is possessed of unsurpassed bliss. With reference to Him again, the sruti says
 - "Whose body is akas'a, whose nature is true, whose delight is life whose manas is bliss, who is replete with peace, who is immortal."**

A. MAHADEVA SASTRI, B.A.

(To be continued.)

e Chha. 8 3-4.

⁺ Kaivnlyn-lip.

^{*} Kniv-Up. † Máhánā. 18. ‡ Tait. Sam. 4-5. § Tait. Up. 2-1. || Maḥānā. 12.

[¶] Tait-Up. 2-8. Tait. Up. 1-6.

SIVAÇÑA'NA SIDDHIYA'R

ARUL NANDI SIVA A'CHA'RYA

SUTRAJIII-PASU LAKSHANA.

Adlkarane-II.

(Continued from page 82).

The combination of all the foregoing is not the soul.

5. If the steps is stated to be the combination of all the above kinners and accounting then the seer will eally see them each separately and not a single whole (atms). If you say these various things themselves in fact constitute the soul, then the man who cognises them all together is different; as the object perceived is quite distinct from the perceiving subject.

NOTEA

The view of the soul (Jivatma) refuted here is credited to the Santrantike Buddhists by some commentators and to Mayavadis by others. We have not been able to get from the followers of the latter school a proper definition of the Jivatma, nor a uniform one; and one Swami of Chidambaram when we pressed him for a definition stated that it was an ollapodride of the abbase of Brahman; it and antakarana and other lower products of Maya. Our reply to him was :- which of these was in Boudham, (bondage) and which of these was to reach Makti (freedom)? The Abhasa of Brahman is either Brahman or is not. If it is Brahman, it can saffer no bondage nor does it require to be freed. If it is not, then we reck not if it is in bondage or not. It cannot matter to us either whether the antakarasa and lower senses do or do not suffer Be it here once more stated that our distinct position in that the Jivatma we postulate is one above the autakaranas and is in no sense an olkspedrich of any number of things. He it is that is constrained and dragged hy sin and desire, and saffers pain. The following passages from the Upanishads clearly bring out the distinction.

"I's supports all this together, the perishable and the unperishable, the developed and the undeveloped. The Inim," atms, is bound, because he has to enjoy (the fruits of Karma); but when he has known God (Deca) he is freed from all fotters."

"There are two, one knowing (I swarz) the other not-knowing (Jiva), both nature (aja), one strong, the other

weak; there is she the unborn, through whom each man receives the recompense of his works; and there is the Infinite Atma (appearing) under all forms, but Himself inactive. When a man finds out these three, that is Brahms.

That which is perishable is the Pradhana; the immortal and imperishable is Hara.; The One God (Eko Deva) rules the perishable (Pradhana) and the atms. From meditating on Him, from joining Him, from becoming one with Him, there is further cessation of all illusion in the and."

"When that Gud (Deva) is known, all fetters full off, sufferings are destroyed and birth and death cease." (Sweas 1. 9, to 11).

"On the same tree, men (anim) nils grieving immersed, bescildered by his own impotence: But—when he sees the other, I'm, contented and knows His glary, then his grief passes away." (Mundaks. III. I, 2).

"Fools durelling in darkness, wise in their own conceit, and passed up with vain knowledge, go round and round staggering to and fro like blind men led by the blind"

Katha, I. 2, 5.

See further page 11 et seq. Part III. Nityann Sandhana Series, where a good resume of the whole subject is given. Only we could not find the text "Athrayam Purnahas-vayam Jyotir-Bavate" at Brihad VI. 8, 9, and the Soul cannot be called self-imminous though in union with God, it may be found to be self-imminous.

* She is Predbana or Prahkriti aud not Devatus Sakti.

†That here means man, i.e., man when he understands the distinction of the thripadartha becomes God. The three means Pass, Pathi and Pass. And Iswara and Brabina in this passage do not mean respectively Personal God and Impersonal God but mean the same Being. According to Sankhyas, the true knowledge of Pass and Pass, Prakriti and Parasha, alone gave liberation.

Prof. Max-Muller observes on this word, "he would seem to be meant for I sware, or Deva or the One God, though immediately afterwards be in taken for the true Brahman and not for its phenomenal divine personification only." i. c. in one and the same mantra, Hara means both the Personal God and the True Brahman! When it is further seen how in other passages, the same loarned Professor and others of his fik read Siva and Budra for the True Brahman, wherein is the real distinction between I'swurn and Brahman. The word lawars or less (the first word in the Isa Upanishad) originally meant in the Upanishads and Brahma Satras only the Highest Brahman, not any Phenomenal something or nothing. The word 'I'swara' has however been used by Sankara and his followers as meaning the lower Brahman in a restricted sense. Misled by this later use of the word, our Professor and others would often take the Iswaru and Isa of the Upanishads in the later restricted seuse. And hence the inconsistency and confusion which arises in their interpretation of these par Forget for once this distinction when reading the Upanishads, then the whole meaning will be clear. The Upanishad writers had no prejudice in using the words Isa, Iswara, Hara, Rudra, Siva. Heva, Maindera, and Maheshwara as the present day Vedantists would seem to have.

Amise and Psa, atma and Paramanna, Purusha and Parama-Purusha, liva and Parum or Brahman, Pasu and Pathi, Asma and Gua are parallel sets of terms meaning Soul and God. Use in these messages does not mean a presental God but the Highest Brahman.

Adlk. rana-2.

In this adikarana are reviewed the various theories which have been propounded in regard to the nature of the soul; and they require very close attention.

Soul is derived from God by Parinama? No.

b. You state that the soul possessing the qualities of Intelligence, Will and Power of the Supreme, stands to the Supreme as heat to fire, as guna to guni in Betha Betha relation and appears variously. If so, then the Jiva need not be possessed of senses and organs to become intelligent.

Note

God is self-luminous, and if soul is also God, it must be self-luminous also. But the soul in union with the body shines with the light of the body itself, as it will do so with the Light of the Lord when in union with the Lord.

The Sankhyan doctrine refuted.

7. If it is stated that the atma is pure intelligence and not possessed of gunas like will and power, then it cannot develope will and power. It it is replied that these gunas are acquired from the body and in the Presence of the atma, then, these powers must be found also when the body is dead or dead asleep. But if it is again said, that this is due to the death of the sleep of the body itself, the statement that these are brought into activity by the Presence of the atma stands contradicted. 4

Arm or y 4 0 0 " The same.

8. It is again said that the guna is induced by the Presence of the atma just as the magnet attracts the iron. If so, it can only induce its own power of attracting objects and not that of repelling objects. On the other hand, the atma induces such varying actions such as thinking, and forgetting, running sitting, reclining, standing &c.

The Pauranika's doctrine. The soul has form ? No.

9. If the soul is said to possess a form, then this form must be apparent in the body. Then also it will become indistinguishable from matter which undergoes transformation and distinction. It must again be perceived when this atma enters the womb. You reply that it is sukshuma and imperceptible to the eye, Just so, your own words belie your theory that it has a form (perceptible).

The soul has neither sukshuma form.

10. If you say it has sukshuma (subtle) form, then you are evidently mistaking the atma for the subtle

cause of the gross body namely manas, Buddhi, ahankara and the five tanmatras (the Puriushtaka). If you say no, and would make it even more subtle than the Puriushtaka, then according to us, there are even tatvas higher than Puriushtaka, like Kalai, Ragam, Viddhei &c., and all these are material and achit, and perishable.

The soul is Rupa Rupa. No.

11. If the soul is said to be RupáRupa, then know that Rupa cannot become Arûpa, and Arûpa cannot become Rûpa. One thing cannot have two contradictory natures. If you say, it is like the fire latent in the wood, then as the fire shows out in visible form when it burns, the soul must become visible. If it does, it will cease to be sat

NOTE.

The commentators ascribe this doctrine to expens or separate (pronounced kaula, kaulaka or gaula or gaulaka?) and we are not able to identify who these Schoolmen are. Perhaps they are Saktas.

The same.

12. If you say again that the atma is RupaRupa (form and formless) like the moon, then it must become visible to our eye at some moment. If you reply that this visible body itself is the product and manifestation of atma, then the atma can never become freed of Bhanda, it becomes achit and material.

NOTE.

One commentator ascribes the purvapaksha views stated in the last four stanzas to a section of the Pancharatris.

Patanjala's doctrine. Soul is Arupi and inactive.

13. If you state that the soul is Arupi (formless) and inactive or (unchangeable) like Akas, then explain why does the soul, becoming bound in bodies, makes it undergo all sorts of motions such as walking, &c.

The riews of Vaisheshika, Purva Mimamsaka and others. Is soul Achit, Chitachit or chit?

14. If soul is Achit (non-intelligent) then it can have no cognition at all. It it is chitachit, then, also what is chit cannot become achit, and vice versu. It commot be achit in one part, and chit in another part. If again, another asserts that it is not achit, but chit, then why is it, that it has no cognition except in union with the body.

Norr

Matter is intelligent, soul is intelligent. God is intelligent. But all these are of different planes, and the lower one pales and is considered non-intelligent in the presence of the superior one. And the soul is particular received light from both sides from matter and from God. Scal is luminous but not self-luminous. It cannot illuminate but can be illuminated.

Pancharatri's doctrine. 'The soul is Ani, or atomic?

15. If the roal is said to be Ana or atomic, then it can pass away easily from the body by any of its outer passages. It cannot be kept up in the body. It cannot bear burdens and sufferings. It will be reducing it to the level of material atoms which are Achit. Even as an atom, it will have an organism and accordingly it will be perishable.

The same. The soul occupies place in the body?

16. If you say that the soul is located in some portion of the body, then it becomes limited like a form, and hence becomes perishable; and its intelligence cannot be felt all over the body. If you instance lamp and its spreading light, even then the soul will only compise the things nearest it, as the lamp can hurn only things near it. Else, as light, its intelligence must be felt through every sense at the same time.

Nortes.

Sivaguanayogi also points out that the amlogy is wrong, inamuch the soul and its intelligence are related as Guni and Guna, whereas there is no such relation between the flame and its light. He points out that light is but particles of the flame and is one with it; and the flame as such can be dissipated.

Of course, it is an old and well-rooted fallacy that mind can fill matter or space. The two are utterly contrasted; mind is the unextended and matter the exceeded. How can the unextended fill the extended? It can only do so, if it was also the exceeded, i.e.; thatter. But mind is present in all and every part of the body, and the nature of this connection is what is really mysterious. The analogy of vowel and possenant is what gives us the barest idea of the nature of this connection.

The Jaina's Doctrine. The soul is all over the body?

17. If you state that the soul is apread over the whole body and thus cognises, then it must not undergo sloop and other Acades. Resides, it must understand through all the senses all at once. Then the intelligence must be more or less in proportion to the largeness or smallness of the body. Then, again, it must decrease as some one or other organ is cut off and, it must vanish when the whole body vanishes also.

The Purca Mimanuake's Doctrine, The mil is I salet.

18. If you say the soul is all pervading then you must explain how it is that the soul undergoed the five unusers shift enters bell and heaven and how it cannot perceive all things all at once; and how it can know other things when it knows only through some one sense or other.

The same. Maya conceals the soul?

19. If you say the soul's intelligence is covered by the dirt of mays, then it must derive so knowledge through the senses and and antakarans. Then even the freedom from Baodha will not induce mukti. If he was the ever free and the self-luminous, he can undergo no bondage.

Nuge

If the ever free entered into bondage, the same causes will operate to bring it into bondage even atter it attained to mokeha once. If it was all pervenive, it cannot get limit-Bd. If it did this of its own sweet will and pleasure, then the brudage and limitation is only a name and not a reality. And it can restore itself to its pristine purity at any moment. Then again the distinctions between purity and impurity, right and wrong, sin and virtue, good and evil, truth and falsehood, most also be nominal. No one need be advised to follow the true and avoid evil, no one need be advised to practise self-abnegation and sadana rhatmiayam, follow a guru and perform tapes and worship God. The monstrous results of this doctrine will be patent to everybody except to those whose vision is completely obscured by blind prejudice. The schools reviewed above postulate soul and mays or prakriti merely and they omit all consideration of another factor namely aware or avidye which covers and limits the soul. Hence the defect in their doctrines. It is this anger which limits or covers, and the mager it is that tries to lift the veil little and little as the lamp lights us in darkness, but is of no use in the broad day, Having stated and met the theories of other schools, the author now proceeds to state his own position.

Soul's real nature- It is Arypi, Viyapi and Pasu.

20. It is formless (Arapa and all pervasive (Vibhu) but unlike that of achit or matter. Its Vyapaka consists in becoming one with the thing it dwells in for the time being (bodyer God). Its eternal intelligence and power is eternally concealed by the Pasa, (bondage) Arava Mala and hence called Pasa.

Its different maya conts and their effect.

21. Entering the womb of maya (Asaddha) it regains disaly its intelligence, will, and power. Putting on the further coats of Kala, Raga, and Viddei, it shipes

in particular bodies. Further donning the cloak of the three gunas and their products such as untakarana &c., it perceives in advaita union with the same.

Your.

These constitute its yuffa; sarira, kauchika sarira, and kuruna sarira according to one classification.

Its pilgrimage.

22. The soul quits a sukshumu sarira and lives in a sthula body, and continues in the five Avastas, and becomes born and born again, and performing good and bad works, it enjoys the fruits thereof.

The fire Losas

23. The five kosas are Anandamaya, Vignanamaya, Manomaya, Pranamaya, Annamaya. Of these one is more subtle (Sükshuma) than the one that follows it. And these all are evolved from their first cause Maya. The formless atma found in these five kosas lives in and out of it.

Notes.

Each one of these kosas is mistaken for the atma. The materialist mistakes the annamoya kosa for the soul. The Jain mistakes the Pranamaya for the soul. The Ahankara vadi mistakes the Manomaya as the soul. The Buddha mistakes the Vignanamaya as the soul. And the Vedanti (idealist) mistakes the Annamya as the soul. Commentators identify the Anna and Pranamaya kosas with the Sthula Sarira, Manomaya kosa with the Shishuma body, and Vignana and Anandamaya kosas with the Karana Sarira Sivagnana Yogi identifies these five kosas respectively with the Sthula, Sukshuma, Guna, Kanchuka and Karana Sarira as defined in stanzas 21 and 22.

When the soul identifies itself with Annamaya kosa it is within it. When it ascends to the Pranamaya kosa and cognises the Annamaya as different from itself, it gets out of it and so on. Be it noted particularly here that the highest condition postulated by Vedantis as Anandamaya, where the atma is in its own place, is but an experience derived by the soul at its first contact and co-ordinate evolution with matter or maya. What rises even above this is the Siddhanti's soul or Jiva or Pasa or atma and above this and on a higher plane dwells the Supreme Brahman, Siva.

How these kosas are moved?

24. The soul lives and moves and has its being in these respective bornes, as the car and charioteer, as the playing dolls and the showman, as the masked man, as the Yogi in another body, as the actor and his different parts.

NOTE.

It will be seen the identification and subjection of the man to his part is less and less as you ascend up; and in the charioteer he has full coutrol over the car he guides and for his own benefit.

The atma or soul is different from the body.

25. Your body is different from yourself as you say, my body 'my senses,' my karana' 'my buddhi'

&c., inasmuch as you also say 'my house' 'my cattle' &c., what you considered as inseparable from you, you find to be severed as your hairs and nails.

A jurther argument.

26. When you clothe yourself in silks and adorn yourself with jewels and flowers, you are not conscious that these are different from you. But when they are removed from you, you become conscious of the difference. Just so, know thyself to be different from your body.

Soul is different from Vignana and Anandamaya Kosas.

27. I understand that the body (first three kosas) is not myself; but how can you say that my understanding (Vignana, physical consciousness) is different from myself? Inasmuch as you say (my understanding.) But we say also 'my soul.' He who has really perceived the soul will not say 'my soul.' It is the ignerant who say so.

The meming of " my soul."

28. By lakshana also we speak of the Buddhi as manas, and manas as Buddhi; we speak of chitta as jiva, and jiva as chitta; we speak of atma as God, and Got as atma (Soir). So also the phrase 'my soul' denotes another, namely the supreme soul dwelling in your soul.

Some language fallacies in common usage.

29. The understanding, body, chittum, &c., are one and all called atma (in the upanishads) as we speak of the burner (a) a speak as the light itself (a) are (a). All these senses &c., are different in their action; and inseparably united to them the soul cognises them as object. The object and all all are is separate from the subject (a) a

The five weasthas.

30. The soul who cognises through the external senses dreams in sleep and sleeps soundly with but bare breath and without action or enjoyment; and waking again, recalls its dreams, and teels its sound sleep and then enters into cating and exercises. This is the way the soul cognises through the five avastas, with the aid of the physical vestures.

Soul is not self-luminous.

31. If the soil was self-luminous then why does it require the aid of senses and organs. As the soul is concealed eternally by Anava, its intelligence is restored by the physical senses &c. Its relation to its senses and organs is like that of the king to his ministers.

End of 2nd Adikarana

J. M. N.

(In be continued.)

SANKABA'S COMMENTARIES ON THE UPANISHADS*

It is a matter for congratulation that the outlink of the atadents of ladian Monism is growing more and more promining. D . Duessen is coming forward with his magni. Scent treatise on the history of philosophy in which it is his intention to trace to its primal beginnings, what in after times turned out to be such a wonderful engine of influence in Sankara's bands. And the German Vedantin in his "Sechzig Upanishads des Veda" has done a signal piece of service to all Sankarius by bringing together all the Upanishads that should prove excellent anxiliaries in constraing that somewhat abstrace system which is at once a closely-reasoned metaphysics and a devotional theology. The translation of Siddlautu-less-saugenha of Appayra Dikabit has been recently announced by Mesers. Lazarus of Benares and parts of it have already appeared in the Benares journal, The Possill. As our readers may be aware, it is a precious Advaits work passing in review every philosophical system prevalent in India during his time, and establishing Sankara's as the only cult that could astisty both the head and the heart. But lamentably enough, Appayya's position has generally been grossly misunderstood by Agama-Vadina, about which we propose to speak on a future occasion. And Mr. Mahadeva Sastry's activities are too well known to need any special mention ; his translatious of the minor Upanishads with the Advaita glosses are gems in every respect, and we note with pleasure his attempts to make popular the teachings of such revered apostles of the monistic faith as Sureswara, Vidyaranya and the rest. The Englishing of the Taittiriya Upanished with its commenteries by Sankara and his followers is undertaken in a very scholarly style, and three parts of it have already been given to the world. And the volumes of translations of Saukara's commentaries on the Katha Prama and Chhandogya forming volumes 11, 111. and IV. of Mr. Seabacharri's series, of which this paper is a review, reached completion only a few months back. We are informed that the Brihad-Aranyaka and the Svetaswaters are in an advanced state of preparation, and with their publication added to the extant translations on the leaverys and the Mandukys from the pen of Mr. Vasn and the late Mr. Dvivedi respectively, we can say a goodly part of the Upanished portion of the Prasthana-trays has been made accomible to the English-reading public. Of course, it is needless to mention that on the side of the Brahma-Sutras and the Gita, Sankara has long been familiar to English readers through the labours of Mesars. Thibaut and Mahadeva Sestri.

Now, coming to the subject of this notice, we need not any more about the translation of Sankara's commentaries on the Katha and Prasna Upwnisheds forming the second volume of Mr. Seshachara's series than that it is the work of the same gentleman who has done the first volume already reviewed in our pages and that there is nothing to add to or take away from our remarks therein made.

But we must confess to a strong sense of disappointment when we come to the volumes of the Chhandogya. Mr. Jha was already well-known by his translations of Vijnanabhikabu's Jugasarasangrahu, Vachaspati Misea's Sankhya Tatten Kanmudi and the Karyaprukasa, the first two of which would fairly have taxed a translator's powers. And it was but fair to expect that one who had ventured to grapple with those works should at least be capable of rendering Sankara's commentary with accuracy and fidelity, if not indeed with grace and case. For, the value of Saukara's gloss consists mainly in its being an interpretation of the Upanishads from the point of view of his Advaitio philosophy, however much such a proceeding may be prejudicial to what to our ideas may seem a true interpretation, and quite independently of the fact that without his aid many a passage would be almost hopelessly meaningless. To this end Saukara was necessitated, not only by his own native bent of mind, but from the vicissitudes of his avowed purpose, vis., that of reconciling all the seemingly contradictory texts and of formulating in accordance rather with their general trend of thought than with their mere words, a noble and an organic philosophy, to be precise and exact in the choice and definition of his words, and to modify somewhat in sense certain words of the philosophical terminology already existing. The necessity thus becomes apparent that the translation should be primarily literal, giving the original technical terms alongside of their renderings whenever it is expedient, and that ideas of elegance should not be allowed to interfere in a manner that would frustrate this object on which the value of the translation as such depends.

We are sorry to say however, that our translator cannot be congratulated upon the way in which he has achieved this point of accuracy. Not only is the rendering in many of the controversial portions very free, so free indeed sometimes that one cannot hope to get any clear and definite ideas from it, but what is a far more serious mistake the translator has been so grossly careless that not unfrequently the English is such as to convey the very opposite of what the original means. We may perhaps give the benefit of the doubt to Mr. Jha and opine that the printer is the more responsible party, though this by itself can never count for anything as an excuse; but we are constrained to lay the whole blame on the

^{*} The Upanishada - Tol. II. Kathu and Prama - triindated by S. Siturama Bastei, B.A. Vole. III and IT. Chhaulugya, translated by Pandit Gaughautha Jha, M.A. F.T.S. - Published by V. C. Sonhaha. ci, B.V., B.V., M.R.A.S.

translator when it is found that four times at least, (all detected on the first running glance over the book), the perative particle (na in Sanskrit) has been systematically ignored, under such circumstances, that if it had been noticed the construction of the translated sentences must have been entirely different. In some places whole sentences have been omitted, a few running to three or four lines? even. As if to compensate for the space we have some words from Anandagici put in along with Sankaras's words, such that without the Sanskrit edition one would naturally put them to the oredit of Sankara himself.3 We do not know what grievous sin the poor muchabused Smritis have committed that they should be so ignominiously ejected in favour of the Sruti, though the sentence by that process would make but a meaningless

I shall give the references. Pt. I p. 33. line 5; p. 255, l. 3; Pt. 11 p. 72, l. 1; p. 211, l. 10., p. 217, l. 11. On. p. 51, l. 2. (Part II) the sentence as translated means exactly the reverse of what the original intends to convey, through the mistaken substitution of denied for said. One would think from this that the author does not understand the use of a double negative in English. The word conscious at. p. 61. line 17 of Part 11 must be unrollsrious.

2 Pt. I p. 36. l. 4; p. 233. After line 8 (about three sentences); Pt. It p. 373, line 18, (about 3 sentences). It may be that this is due to the translator having followed a different edition from that I use, which is the Anandasrama one. Even if it were so, the entire trouble must be laid to the charge of the translator who has not cared to mention the edition he has followed.

The seven lines on P. 10 of Part II, from 3 to 10 are taken bodily from Anandagiri's gloss. There is nothing in the translation to distinguish this. The clause 'the author of the Vritti among others' put within brackets on p. 147. l. 17 of Part II is Anandagiri's again, not Sankara's. But possibly the translator might have thought that the brackets were a sufficient indication of the words not being due to Sankara. But this charitable view will fall to the ground when it is seen that on p. 155. line 1, and p. 163. l. 11, the brackets serve no such purpose. It may be observed that in the first two volumes, brackets are introduced only to mark off parenthetical clauses, and are not left to perform the heterogeneous functions to which they are condemned in the last two. We may conveniently put together in this place Sankara's references to previous teachers, a very rare thing with him, for the convenience of those of our readers who may be interested in the matter. For the significance of these references, our renders must be referred to Max Muller's Six Systems of Indian Philosophy where there is some discussion of the subject. The Vrittikara, who is generally quoted only to be disagreed with, is said by the commentators on Sankarn's Bhashya to be referred to in the following places :--

Chandogya I panishad Bhashya under 1, 2-10. (Anand. Ed. p. 25.) .- ., 11, 23-1. (,, ., р. 104 ., IV. 2. 3. (An. Ed. p. 185) Sankara himself refers to "Achdry) as." The commentator does not, say to whom it points.

There is a reference, I think, to the Vrittikara in the Kena Upanishad Bhashya, but I now forget the place.

jumble. On p. 79. Part I., Sankara states the pirvapaksha view that performance of the Srauta Karma leads men on the Northern Path or the Path of Light, while following the Smarta Karma conducts one to the Southern Path or the Path of Smoke 1. Instead, in the translation we find a contrast drawn between Karma prescribed in the Sruti and that prescribed in the Veda. At first sight one is apt to be considerably bewildered by what appears to be a very subtle distinction, too subtle for us poor readers; but on which seem to hang the extremely

Beahma Sutra Bhashpa under I. 1-23. (An. Ed. p. 137).

, 1. 1.19. The explanation given in the first part of the commentary is attributed by Govindananda to the Vrittikara.

., I. 2.23. Govindamında says that the Vrittikara is here controverted. This Vrittikara is commoniv believed to be the same person as the Bodhavana, whose verbose and lengthy Vritti en the Brahma Sutras Ramannja professes to have closely followed in his Sribhashya, of which in fact he claims his work to be merely a convenient and handy summary. It is doubtful if this Bodhayana can be identified with the author of the Kalpa-Butra.

Dramidáchúraya, un ancient commentator on the Brahum Sutras, is understood by Anandagiri to be followed by Sankara in his interpretation of a cectain passage in the Chlandogya Upanishad (111. 8) involving much Pauranie astronomy of a fanciful and mythological character.

Under 1, 3, 28, (An. Ed. p. 285), Sankara himself quotes by name with great respect Blugavad Upavarsha, who is supposed to be the carliest commentator on the Brahma Sutras on the Advaitie side. The same person is referred to along with Sabara, the earliest commentator on the Purva Minianna Sutras and whose work is still extant, in discussing the Vaiyakarana theory of Sphoto as elaborated by Patanjali. This Max Muller has shown is considera bly similar to the Lagos theory of Greece, surviving into modern times in the Christian Trinity through the gospel of St. John, to which we may add our Missionary friends give a wide berth. Strange to say Anamlagiri in his gloss on this passage (111, 3, 53, Anand. Ed. p. 947.) mentions Upavarsha as the Vrittikara, a .fact quite opposed to the common theory that that designation was applied only to Bodhayana. We cannot at present say what significance ought to be attached to this passage of Anandagiri's.

1 The very same mistake again recurs on p. 87, 1, 2 and p. 215. 1. 1. Part l. The distinction between the two Karmas is this. Smuta Karma is that prescribed in the Vedas, viz. sacrifices, which form the principal subject of the Mantra as well as the Brahmana. Smarta Karma is that prescribed by Smrift or tradition, viz. such of household and other ceremonies as are now found embodied in the Gribva Sutras. The point is that the former have distinct Vedic authority on which to rest, while the latter is custom more and simple.

momentum issue of return or non-return to this mad whirl of a Samura, of either bondage or deliverants. Them are merely a few of the mistakes of commission of a graver kind. As regards minor once their some is legion, and we can only hope, in order that our readers may be spared, to give a few taken at random here and there. Instances there are which weem to point in only one direction, vis., that the translator could not have made est the bearing of the Sanskrit passages. We have a glaring example on p. 355 (Pt. 11) where, by a curious chance, the same word which occurs twice with the interval of a few lines, are given diametrically opposite meanings. And if we may judge by a passage in his book " we must think the translator has no idea of what a redi is. It is, as every Brahmin must know, the sacrificial alter; and 'alms given outside the redi 'can only mean that not given in connection with a carrifice. It is difficult to find out how he has made the phrase to mean "alms given outside the house." Here is a sentence which we may dely any of our seeders to decipher. "...all longings have an and within themselves, like the Akasa, like the wind produced by lightning, and like the fire with all its feel burnt off."7. This curious piece of "natural philosophy" which Mr. Jha here spontaneously invents can only be put on a par with Sankara's idea of the female crane conceiving without a male". It is too late in the day to assert that wind is produced by lightning. The true translation would be this; "...like the lightning into the Akasa, like the breeze into Vayu, and like the fire into the burnt-off fuel. all desires have as end within the Atma." The idea is that just as the lightning flashes for a moment across the Akasa, rising from it and disappearing within it, these desires also originate from the Atman, overspread and dim its pure radiance for an infinitesimally short space of time, and then disappear within their own cause. A havon has been made in the translation of this magnificient simile. On page 147, Part II, we have this truly

astemishing statement. "Because the mind is fastened to Press.—i.e. the mind being the substratum of the Deity pointed to by Prana;—the mind indicates the human soul." It is philosophy with a vengeance to make the mind the substratum of the Deity. Sankara would have mind the substratum of the Deity. Sankara would have mind agrant to find himself paraded under this gard. The real version would be, "Because the mind is fastened to Prana, the mind having as substratum the Deity, for which the word Prana is applied in the text, it indicates the human soul (Jiva)."

All these mistakes seem to be due to a misunderstanding or a non-understanding of the text. A good many more owe their origin to a careless and inexact use of the English Language. This now and then lands Mr. Jha in aplendidly ludicrous utterances such as this choice bit. "By Food is only meant an accessory, an appurtenance; and they (people who perform sacrifices, who are said in this Upanishad to become, after their death, food for the Gods)¹⁰ are not literally swallowed up by the Frds; the fact is that they become the appartenances of the

The expression is Hakir-tishapa-opaki-thecketosak, which is lirst translated 'with their minds withdrawn from external objects.' A few lines below the same expression comes out as "having their minds compared by the external objects of the world." We are conscious that Samekrit senses will very easily allow this twisting; but a translator) can surely exercise a little appreciation of the context and some modicum of judgment.

⁶ Part 11, p. 40, l. 6. Here Sankara comments upon the word ishtopicte, explaining ishto as merifican, and picto as works of public stility. The next word state means along and since almograves at a merifice form part of it, Sankara excludes it from datte, and explains it to be along given outside the redi or merificial altar. In the translation this distinction is entirtly lost.

⁷ t'art II. p. 270. Afth line from bostom.

^{*} Sankara speaks of this as being well-known. Vide Brahma Sutra Bhashya ender III. 1. 19. In his Bhashya on II. 1. 35, be explains that it conceives on hearing the sound of thunder.

⁹ We may here put together a few more mistakes. It is the dres time we hear of a 'custe of the dice.' This spelling occurs about twenty times. Pt. I. p. 249. The Gayatri does not consist of four feet of four syllables each. If Mr. Jha had only taken the trouble to count the syllables of the mantra which as a Brahman he ought surely to know, he would have found 34. It consists according to the Upanished of four foot of six syllables each. This is a fauciful idea Introduced for the purpose of bringing the Gayatri ulso alongside of the other things the Upanishad mentions having a fourfold character. Really is consists of three feet of right syllables. In Yoga hanks a fourth foot is said to exist which could not be pronounced, but which is to be meditated upon in one of the highest stages of Yuga. The idea of the fourth fort is most probably due to the Bribad Aranyaka, in which it is said that it could not be obtained by any body. (V. 14. 46.) The Satammbita, on the other hand, seems to take a middle course. It mys that the Gayatri can be divided either into three feet of eight syllables, or into four feet of six syllables each, the former being employed in japa and the latter in puja. (Yajna-Vaibhava-Khanda. (Ad. 6. Hl. 7.) There is a mistake on the very first page. The goal of both the paths, that of hight and that of Smoke in said to be Srahma. The fact is that the goal of the latter in Chandraloka, and Brahmaloka is only to those who proceed by the path of Light. Here again is a souteneo which is the neme of nonsense, "the serene Being, the human soul, being reduced by Ignorance to identity with the self- etc." Thus Sankara is much to pronounce the highest state of his own philosophy to be due to Ignorance. All this confusion results from translating Surire as 'Saif.' (Part 11. p. 357). Again, the sentonce beginning on line 3 of p. 359. (Part 11) is absard. On p. 48. (Part II), "ending in the Satyoloks, outside the Artery" should be "ending in the Katyaloke, not ontside the Auto or universe." Another bad mistake is at p. 58, line 10 of Part II; 'the exit from' ought to be struck out the idea is that exit from the condition of the corn is extremely difficult; but still more difficult is the obtaining of a consection with procreating agents.

¹⁰ These are my words.

Gods, in the shape of women, cattle and the like." (P. 50. Part II). The unsophisticated reader would no doubt at once imbibe the idea that venerable Dikshits undergo on their death, the very undignified process of transformation into women for the dubious purpose of enjoyment by the Gods, that some of them are even unceremoniously turned into four-footed beasts of the field for a like end, reduced apparently to the helpless state of the too much requisitioned but unfortunate Aja (goat), which was the means of the dikshits' migration to the happy realm of the Gods. This somewhat elaborate joke, forced thus unwittingly upon the unfortunate commentator, he would have made all haste to disavow. Even the placid soul of a sannyasin would have been tossed as by a thunderstorm at this unseasonable sarcasm aimed at the solemn process of 'spiritual ecdysis' of our cumbrous dikshits, being fathered upon himself thus clandestinely. The very innocent object of the commentator was only to show that it was not meant that Dikshits were really eaten of the Gods, but that they were spoken of as food in that they conduced to the enjoyment of the gols, just in the same sense as women, cattle etc.; which a man possesses are metaphorically called his food in ordinary usage. He proceeds in this quite harmless manner, when Mr. Jha would perforce clap this absurdity upon his unwilling shoulders.

It would seem to any reflecting man that the doctrine of Karma as enunciated by Hindu religious books was the very best antidote to the shallow fatalism common enough among the masses of every country. And it is a striking feature indeed of Hindu society, which seems to gather within its capacious and elastic bosom all manner of opinions under the sun, and withal by such queer juxtapositions and mutual jostlings so to round them off as to make them very accommodating indeed to each other, that in spite of the momentum gathering during ages of this doctrine, the words readiest upon the Hindu's lips are "good or bad fortune," words expressive of that bad species of fatalism which can find no law or order in the universe. Curiously enough, Mr. Jha in his thoughtless translation of a passage 11 puts these two tendencies in the sharpest and most illogical contrast thus: "then by some stroke of good fortune due to some of his past deeds, he (the person desirous of Moksba) obtains a sympathetic person, knowing the true Brahman (as Guru)." It is a wonder how Mr. The unnecessarily brought in this glaring contradiction, especially as the original puts the disciple's good deeds as the direct cause of his finding a true Gurn. Mr. Jha wanderfully again hits the nail right on the head when

Amid this complex mass of weltering confusion it would ; be idle to expect any uniformity or appropriateness in the rendering of technical terms or terms used in restricted or peculiar senses. The word jira13 is rendered in one place as the "living self," and in another as "the human soul :" chetana14 is variously "animate" and "intelligent." sruti15 sometimes appears as "scripture," at other times as sruti itself. The word Purusha is made to figure as ' Man,' in a few places as person, and rarely as purusha itself. There is no objection to the word 'person' which is the usual one, though that too is somewhat inappropriate to denote the jita in its pristine purity. as freed from the bondage of Maya, but it is strange that the translator has pitched upon Man, which is the least expressive of the ideas and associations connected with purusha. No reason can be divined why the Sanskrit word which has beer used by himself without any explanation so many times. should thus be made to transform itself proteus-like. As the passages stand, one cannot suspect that both parson and man are meant to denote only one word. The best thing to be done under such circumstances would have been to give the Sanskrit expression side by side with the rendering. It is in this matter only that the most unreasoning parsimony as regards space has been allowed free play. Surely none would have been the worse for a little clearness gained at the cost of four or live pages more added to a volume. When in the first two volumes this plan has been followed to a great extent, we cannot understand

he says, "In fact there is no difference of time between the reaching of true self and the reaching of perfection." 12 Precisely so, nothing can be truer: for both mean the same thing. Only he has put in "the reaching of perfection" in place of "the falling off of the body" which is found in the original. Probably in Mr. Jha's opinion, shuffling off one's mortal coils would constitute the height of perfection.

¹² Part II. p. 177. line 1.

¹³ Once it is translated as life, a word which usually is made to stand for prena. Here it has been changed, and for prana there is breath.

¹⁴ The word comprises in itself the three ideas of intelligence consciousness and life. It thus partly resembles the conception of modern scientific monism, which regards consciousness and intelligence as being present, at least in a rudimentary and potential form, even in the lowest organised beings. This monism would also say that the potential existence of such faculties must be assumed in the inorganic world also.

¹⁵ The incongruity of the rendering win he clearly seen by looking to the etymology of the words. Scati is that which has been heard; scripture is that which has been written.

¹¹ Part 11, p. 176 line 3.

why the same thing about not have been done in these also. 16

The word Renham, any one, even but currently requainsed with the Verlanta will know, bears two totally distinct eigenheations. When it is used in the neuter gender, it denotes the impersonal and one Atman, while as a massestim it stands for the creator of manifested forms. Prajapati, the third person of the Hindu Trimity. In order to mark this distinction clearly, it had been usual to employ Rankous, the crude base, for the former, and Brakous for the latter. The whole distinction is completely lost in these two volumes and without the aid of the original is would be a matter of guess-work what Brakous, which is the word used throughout, is meant to represent in each andividual case.

Theosopical literature is especially rich on the natural mistory of the natural and devaciance planes, and in its recondite numericlature it may well vie with the epochmerking labours of Linnaus himself; and Mr. Leadhauter, who seems to be the great authority on this subject, bids fact to out-Linnaus even Linnaus. But it could not have been thought for a moment that a morcileas politing of the reader with quasi-cradite terms would contribute to the clearness of his comprehension. A host of strange

I We might as well mention here one point on which there is motion doubt. The word Paudocika (1. 5. 7.) which the eyes of the Purmiss in the sun is said to recemble, is translated by Mr. Jha os "the and lutur" and Loyman (the inchist callegation of the member servering to Sankara) which qualifies grander by seems to bear out his view Against it in the other fact that Amore and other lexicographers make it the squie of the white losses. The Suta clambita require the Upanishad vertextim (thanayogukhanda 19. 7) and oridentis takes the lease to be red and inpress to refer to the munkey. Man Multer on the other hand translates pandarile as the bloc to a and thinks that kupanes was probably originally the name of men Bereit which was forgetten in Bankura's time. Bankura is at crue-iderable pains to show that no discrepect is shown by this compartition, for the l'arnaha's eyes are not directly compared to the laymere, but only, he mya to the lotus. Probably Sankara took his explanet not from the Nuta Bambita. This passage in the Sambita is one of the few instances where a Purum does not spail a text in the mosting A good example of the latter process will be found in the of Vishing securring in the Vaishness mantres of the Rig Veda. to the Mandala Brahmanapaniahad this is applied to the Mandayaschool or place of the dissolution of the mind and so for the amtern . has improved its status (Curiocaly this same closes is found in the L'ainturite and in quoted as from it in the suigmatic. Bain Your Blaston meribed by some to Sankara. This Blandon can Want to said to be a paraplicane of the Mandala Brahman Upanishad and the strange thing is it never thus atoms itself and this show also seems ughinst such a theory). In the Puranas, the Brihau. moralism for instance, it has become such a meaningless formula that it promues the soft-some highest place of Vishnu as a reward for natities a tala plant. Can there be a greater prest than this of the decay of the intellect ultimately slidin is into atmenting es-propositalista .

and fearful elementals stare him in the face where he would gladly have met the old familiar Bhatas. Mr. Jha might well have presumed that a few benighted individuals might be straying, who had not caught the faintest adour of theosophy.

It is a truitless quest to search after elegance of language in this work, especially since even a person with a good and easy command of English would find himself altogether non-plussed by Sankara's almost algebraic style. The only fruit of the endeavour would be the picking up of such absurd words as worshipability, copied probably from Coloridge who must be given the credit of having attempted, happily in vain, to earich the language with such ungainly and misshapen words, and such vulgariams as big men. 1

Mr. Jha's attempts at scientific expression are not as happy as can be wished. This is the first time we hear of the oral of a woman (Part II. p. 150). The fatal objection to this word is that it is a purely botanical term and can never be applied to the animal kingdom, and even in botany it has been so much objected to as disguising the genetic relationships of the organ so named, that it is now quietly dropping out of use. The word for which Mr. Jhe mistook this, is probably orus. But here again the idea conveyed by somita in the original can never be expressed by orner, for the very simple reason that the Hindus had no idea of the latter. Their theory was this. that corresponding to the seminal finid in man, is the catemonial flow which they supposed to be the reproductive element in the woman; and by the combination of the semes and the blood (for somita means nothing else than blood), a bubble-like thing called budbude is formed, which develops gradually into the child. If the translator had only proceeded straight on by his motherwit instead of hankering after learned expressions, he would have saved himself from this absurdity.

A small matter which would have saved the reader a good deal of wearisome search might have been attended to. With the modern facilities, it would have been a very easy matter to supply the references to quotations from the Sruti, as has been done in the translation of the Sri Kantha Bhashya appearing in our journal. Col. Jacob's Concordance at one's elbow would have been sufficient.

¹⁷ Part 11, pp. 68 and 312. This is a novel use of cultren, "The living self censed to culture the branch." The word meant is probably assume. On p. 87 (Part 1) by a small misprint, "the Udgiths of the degre" has become elevated into "the Udgiths of the cods."

IN No. for an account Sankarananda's commentary on the (isrbhopunished, which and the accounts in the Persons, for instance the Vayu, Purson and the Suta Sambita, are all evidently taken from Surreta. the carliest of our medical anthors whose works are non-extant.

But we are very glad to find at least one decided improvement in these volumes over the former ones. Whenever sentences are found requiring explanation Mr. Jha has been at pains to make them really intelligible, generally by incorporating Anandigiri's words in his translation. Even then it might be wished that he had been more liberal. 19

We have been at such considerable pains to review the work thoroughly, only in the hope that in a second edition which must sooner or later appear, these errors may be corrected and the omissions supplied, and that the book may then take its place as a standard translation of Sankara. For though there are numerous versions of the Upanishads, Mr. Seshacharri's series is the only one that gives Sankara's commentaries in entirety and as such it is to be hoped that Mr. Seshacbarriar will spare no pains to make it the enduring and permanent publication which his enlightened liberality so well deserves. It is quite true that Mr. Jha was at the very great disadvantage of heing nearly a thousand miles off the place of printing and that many a mistake would not have occurred if it were otherwise. This must be borne in mind in reading this review. It is not in any spirit of paltry fault-finding that the volumes are criticised in what would look a very severe strain; and if this leads only to the Brihadaranyaka being turned off with workmanlike finish, the reviewer would feel amply repaid.

M. NARAYANASWAMI AIYAR.

THE VISION OF SIR LAUNFAL PART FIRST.

This is a poetical piece by James Russell Lowell a celebrated American poet. It is a moral piece intended to convey the principle that alms without sympathy is not acceptable and the gift without the giver is bare'. The Holy supper is kept in whatso we share with another's need, not what we give but what we share. This is illustrated by a vision which Sir Launfal saw in his sleep.

Sir Launfal is determined to go in search of the Holy grail which was the cup out of which Jesus partook of the lest supper with his disciples. Before his departure however he lies down on the rushes in the pious belief that he may be blessed with a vision. He accordingly in his sleep sees a vision which contains the moral principle.

He dreams that there is a castle in the north country which is closed against the poor and is a gloomy place even in the pleasantest summer. Sir Launfal dreams that he issues out of the castle with his mail as a maiden knight to seek for the Holy grail. He sees a leper in a wretched state of body and tosses to him a piece of gold in scorn. The leper however does not accept the charity observing that charity without love is worthless gold.

The hero continues the dream and finds himself returning to his castle from his search after the holy grail. He lost his castle 'for another heir in his earldom sat.' An old, bent man, worn out and frail, he came back from seeking the Holy grail.

Now again his eyes meet the same leper and he beholds in him an image of Him who died on the tree and in his name gives charity to him. He gave him a moiety of a single crust and broke the ice on the streamlet's brink. Yet the leper was fed with fine wheaten bread and he drank red wine. Then the beggar was no longer a leper but stood before him glorified and explains the principle of giving alms effectively. He says 'This cap which thou didst fill at the streamlet for me but now is the Holy grail. This crust is my body broken for thee; This water is His Blood that died on the tree.

Sir Launfal awoke from his sleep. He then says 'The groil in my castle here is found' and gives up the idea of travelling in search of it. The castle thenceforth welcomes every wanderer and no longer scowl the turrets tall.

We shall translate each stanza in Tamil poetry as far as we can. We shall reserve the preludes to the first and second parts to the end.

Ι.

My golden spurs now bring to me, And bring to me my richest mail. For tomorrow I go over land and sea In search of the holy guail: Shall never a bed for me be spread

^{1.9} The commentary on V. 10. 2 ends with this sentence, more originactical than a sibylline verse: "Thus has been explained the path of the gods, ending in the Satyaloka,"-as says the mantra between the father and the mother etc." Anandagiri's lucid ex, planation might profitably have been inserted here. He says, the father is the devaloka, the mother is the earth, and between these two is the universe comprising the path of knowledge and the path of Korma, and these paths are not outside the ando or universe.

Nor shall a pillow be under my head,
Till I begin my vow to keep;
Here on the rushes will I sleep,
And perchance there may come a vision true
Ere day create the world anew,
Slowly Sir Launfal's eyes grew dim.
Slumbar fell like a cloud on him.
And into his soul the vision flew.

- இருக்கென்னம்கோடுகள்கத் நிசையெலாக் நிரிய நானே விருக்கின்றே சூர்வைக் பொருக்க வடியைகின்னே பொருக்கெனக் பானம்கிறேறுப் போய்மணிக் எனத் தோடென் ஒருக்கமின் நடத்தோன்கோர் ஐயர்வான் பானச்சொன் ஆர்.
- மற்றிய செல்றுங்காறும் பஞ்சியைத் தவினேனிங்கிப் புற்றதை யின்சோட்டிகப் புன்றுவே வின்ப்பேருங்குன் மற்றுவேசர்தாம்பளிச் கைகைறத் தவின்றுகளுள் வெற்றிகொள சனவுத்தோற்றம் மெய்யசாய் வின்யும் ன்றே,
- சென்றலுக் பாகளைய சிருவிழி உயர்நிலோடும் குன்றலுத் மேசரென்னம் உர்றுவில் விழுக்கான் குன் இன்றவில் விளேசருமென்னவ பெழுக்தது சனுத் தோற் நம்போன் தன்றதன் வரனாதியன சுற்றன வுடைக்குற்றேன்.

II.

The crows happed in two and threes, In the pool drowned the cattle up to their kness.

The little birds sang as if it were The one day of summer in all the year. And the very leaves seemed to sing on the trees. The castle alone in the landscape lay Like an outpost of winter dull and gray; It was the proudest hall in the north country, And never its gates might opened be. Save to lord or lady of high degree; Summer beseiged it on every side, But the churlish stone her assaults defied ; She could not scale the chilly wall, Though round it for leagues her pavilions tall Stretched left and right Green and broad was every tent Over the hills and out of sight : And out of each a murmur went Till the breeze fell off at night.

 பாகல் சென் கொள்முற்குப் புகுத்ததோர் எனே பேரவ மாகள்களிரன் டேறன்றும் காக்களை பறக்களானி.

- வாகங்க சுசுவுருற்கி வருக்குவி வாகோசே சோகுக்களின்றிக் கொள்ளச் சுதித்தபேரத் பதவைபாட்ட
- 5. தருக்களித் நழைத்தசெய்யதனிக்கள் பாபெடு போன இருக்கவேல்: வரணுமொன்றே இவகிடக் தனிமைகா ட்டித
 - நருக்குமானிடைபடித்த நகத் நினிற் நபங்காதாகி வுகுக்கின் சென்னர்க்கூறி மற்றையோக் குறுவநர மான்,
- 6. வேலிகான நின் முற்றம் வெறுவ் ஆழ்க்றனனே யாக தானவன் வெய்ய போரைத்ததே தற்றன் ஐபவத்தானே மானமார் போர்வியக்க மற்றவ ஐட்புகாதே யூன வர் படையோடும் வடைச்றன விக்றானன்றே, (உபலம் காக்),
- சேனெலம் திர்தின்ப சென்யோ முதத்தானே தும் பெண்ண் செற்றிவெய்ய பேசான் முடுக்கெறு தானை குக் கடை தப்படை கெட்தவிர்த்த சானிகதா குமாத சதியால் சிற்றன்றே.
- 6. பாகை பகையாபெ படர்க்றிரு புறம்கிரிக் அ தேறை வளஞ்செல்லாச் சேனிடம் வாவுமறற மாறை விலான்தோறும் மகாசாதம் வளர்க்குறேனுக் தேறை மறிவேடுவன்றத் திறைந்து வெய்தவிர்த்தான்.

111.

The draw bridge dropped with a seerly clang, And through the dark aich a charger sprang, Hearing Sir Launfal, the maiden knight, In his gilded mail that flamed so bright It seemed the dark castle had gathered all Those shafts the fierce sun had shot over its wall In his seige of three hundred summers long And binding them all in one blazing sheaf, Had cast them forth: so, young and strong, And lightsome as a locus leaf.

Sir Launfal flashed forth in his unscarred mail, To seek in all climes for the holy grail.

- விக்குற உளில்விழ்ந்த தொழுக்குற நண்ணெனுகை அடிக்கென உளேக்றவாயில் தாகமொன் நடுத்தப்பாய் க்த தெடிக்கு புடுய விசரிவான்பாவ்சையர் உக்தார் தடுக்கு மெனிகன்கோர் தயங்குபோற் கூசுக்காக்கு.
- 10. தேவ்கும் விருப்புமக்தத் இருக்கிண்ண மெய்தவே ண்டி யேலரு மூணஞ்செய்யா விறிங்கவசத்தோற்ற்ற மேவரு மரியதோற்றம் வெளிவாண் முந்துகுண்டங் சேலிய இரணமெல்லா மிறேசத்தாணெறி தோமால

ence must be familiar to all. They have often been dwelt upon, but the force of them can never be too deeply felt. "Shall we, then, pause here in our contemplation overwhelmed by a contradiction which we cannot solve? No. • • • It is because it is a high life, not because it is a false life that these contradictions are known and experienced. It is the higher, seeking to burst the bonds of the lower. By all this struggle, by all this mystery, this life proclaims its greatness and its dignity."

23. The enigma of evil thus finds its solution in transcendentalism; and the sovereign remedy for all ill is the knowledge of self. If in the evolutionary design of nature, in other words, progress, a beneficient plan is seen, then progress denotes good, and retrogression evil. Progress and retrogression: not in the short span of a life, but in lives past, and lives to come. What is good and what is bad cannot therefore be determined by individual proclivity-as what is agreeable to one is not so to another; what is disagreeable to one is not so to another; what is agreeable in one place disagrees in another; and what is good in health is bad in illness-nor from the view of a mundane existence merely. As general happiness is the standard of morality, the ultimate happiness is the standand of good. Annie Besant (Anna Bhai) says: "that which promotes the general happiness is right; that which lessens or undermines the general happiness is wrong." So are good and evil to be considered together.

24. Hope in a better life promotes virtue, faith in an all-caring Providence affords the greatest solace to the sick heart, and charity (comprehending unselfishness and philauthropy) adds to the sum of human happiness here and hereafter. Self-sacrifice for a noble cause might result even in self-destruction—the greatest evil that, from a worldly point of view, can befal one—but eternal happiness will have been secured by the person hereafter, as happiness for those he secured here for whom he stood a victim is a force which perpetuates.

25. The Buddhist view of evil is very radical. Birth or descent of spirit into matter is the root of all evil. If there was no birth, there could be no death. And what causes birth? Tamha—Sans: (Trishna)—desire—attachment. When this shall cease, re-birth shall cease, and this is the mental frame the cultivation of which Buddhism prescribes. The Buddhist view is no other than the Aryan view. Salar was is what we have said; and Salar was is but the ever-existent verity of matter, dissociation from which is enlightenment or Buddhabood. Ignorance is a product of matter inhering in its nature; matter itself, as certain Vedantists assert,—Ignorance meaning absence of Intelligence. Matter has before been said to have the 3 qualities of Satra, Rajus

and Tamas, which were latent energies in the prinordial Mulaprakriti, or Tamas, In the cosmogenesis of the Hindus, primeval darkness was, in the beginning of a creation; and to show how matter, darkness and ignorance are convertible terms, or how related or how one proceeded from another, three authorities are quoted here, (Enpassant, the scientific "inertia" of matter may here be kept in view).

- I. Vishnu Purana, Book 1. Ch. V. Sl. 5.
- (1) రమా, (2) మోహో, (3) మహామావా, (4) స్టామ్స్ , (5) హ్యాంథ, సంజ్ఞతః ఆవిద్యాప్రాప్రైమ్ (పాడుభూశాతా మహాత్మవః "

From the Great Soul, appeared the fivefold Ignorance, viz; (1) obscurity, (2) illusion, (3) extreme illusion, (4) gloom, (5) darkness. Or:—

- (1) Tamas (తమక), (2) Moha (మానాం), (3) Maka Mohat (మహానాం), (4) Tamisra (తెలు నాం), and (5) Andhatâmisra (ఆస్ట్రామ్స్లో); and these are the five Viparyayas (వివర్యయాం), or the errors of understanding impeding soul's liberation.
- II. The Sankhya:—In the language of Sri Parasara-bhattar. (1), (2) and (5).
- (1) దేహాసకాత్రబడ్డిక (Deh-asakt-atmabuddhi). Mistaking the body for the spirit.
- (') ফত্ক ফুলঃ (Bándharábhása). Attachment to objects, such as wife, children &c., the idea of possession.
- (3) విషయంచకులః (Vishaya-chapala). Temptation for enjoyments of sense-objects.
- (4) Krodha ((sfな))=wrath; and (5) どりのある (Abhinivesa) == fear of death or other loss and consequent wish to protect oneself against them.
 - III. Patanjala philosophy (or Yoga):-

The five 278 (Klesa) = afflictions, are:-

- (1) = To S (Avidya) ignorance; (2) sast (Manuttâ) = notion of property or possession; (3) = 35 (1s-mita) = the "I-am-ness"; (4) or X (Rôga) = love or attachment; (5) = SS (Dvesha) = hatred. The Buddhists are thus not alone in attributing all evil to the circumstance of birth; for the Aryans (Hindus) knew it long ago.
- 26. Now the Zend-Avesta (Sansk: Sandhyâvastha) account of the evil and the gord are, that they are the necessary two sides of a picture; the bright and dark phases (something like the view of the Manicheans); the two opposite poles of the cosmic energy; the counterparts of nature's manifestation; the positive and negative

forces; the spiritualizing and materializing tendencies; the Ahars-Masda and the Ahriman. Both these become discrete when cosmogony begins before which they were asleep in the bosom of sternity, called the Saran-e-Alarme Sansk., Sayana-e-Internet, o. sleep in the causeless). This Pensian account is almost the same as the Vedic Matter and spirit are the two co-eval, co-existent and co-ordinate categories, the union between which is the cause of incarceration (Sainsara) and their separation, emancipation. Matter and spirit are again the female and male principles. (Sany-internet takes its births from this).

27. A strictly philosophical disquisition must be wearying and a coherent discourse monotonous. Hence our treatment of the evil question in a varied manner and by paragraphs each in itself a single thought. To avoid monotony would not even a story be an agreeable relief? Such a one, if it is a story at all-is the following, by Caleb S. Weeks of America:—

"As the Divine Love, which comes to the physical man in the warmth, and the divine wisdom, in the light, of the sunbanns, fell more directly and fully upon me, my soul was expanded and illumed by the spiritual warmth and light that accompany those rays. I breathed freely these inspirations, till a consciousness of my intimate relationship to the forms of beasty around, and to the great unimating soul of nature, took such possession of my mind that for a time I forgot all else. My whole nature thrilled with the enthusiasin which seemed to inspire the birds, and I said to myself, it is good to be here,—this is the "Divine Temple, not made with hands;" this is the gate

"Then my thoughts reverted to the great city beyond the south-western horizon. The selfishness and nameless wrong which so greatly prevail, swaying individuals and society, producing great crops of mystery, came to my recollection, and with it the fact that the same unhappy condition existed, differing only in degree, in all homan society, not excepting the beautiful country around me. A pang of wounded human sympathy and congealing aspiration shot through my being. A dark cloud passed over my spiritual horizon. A confessed sense of great imperfection and evil in-humanity, like a nightmare dream, oppressed me, and my soul clied out to the parent soul, O divine love and wiedom! art thou indeed infinite? Why, then, while filling all else to overflowing, with perfection and beauty, bast thou left mankind thus imperfect? Why, while all around is fragrant with love and eweetness, while the apple-trees fill the air with the perfame of their blossoms, does human nature alone produce such bitter fruits? Was thy purfecting skill so exhausted in producing these that man must be left deficient? Are all our aspirations doomed to disappointment? Are our capacities to see and appreciate these perfections only to make ne more susceptible to pain in discovering our own lack of them ? or, if thy goodness in equally embodied in humanity oh! give me the light to see it as planuly !"

An optimistic answer to this above appeal bearing out the burden of this papers' say that evil is simply in relation, and as each does not counterargue the beneficient plan of the nuiverse and the final residue of all-goodness is given in the following allegory:—

"A moment more and the cloud passed away. The light of truth beamed more brightly than ever, and the spiritual principles or laws of nature opened more clearly to my view. They spake in a grand chorus of voices from the apple-trees, saying, "Listen, and we will expound to you a chapter from the book of life,—the correspondence of our order of development with that of humanity."

"I listened and thus they spake: "years ago each of the trees you now behold was a small seed or germ. In the womb of mother earth, nourished by her life-blood, we were embled to develope organisms that could unfold our own individualities of life. At first we were small and feeble. But we succeeded in sending forth our roots and

81. : 32. The end principle :

SL: 26. The Agente of dissolution :-

రావా) న్యేతానికూ ఉంది ఇస్తామనిక రాత్మణ 1 విత్యవ్యక్తి మారుకర్ణం జగస్తోన్నవ)యాయికి 11

Bl.: 87. The Agents of creation :-

జనక్వరి ఉక్కరాగా ప్రక్టింగా స్ట్రామ్ కింగ్ కి

81. : 38. The Agents of continuance :

మాన్లి మాజ్యాల్స్ కు మార్జిని లి జార్జిని కి జార్జిని

Bl : 13. Halfunte und half-female principle — ಅರ್ಥ ಸ್ಥಾಕಿನರಕ್ಕೂ «

Bl.: 14. The expecution of the two principles: もみずれを対象のでは対象のはものもありもするよう

^{*} The following quotations confirm the above view :

Bk 1, Ch. 7, Sloka 24. (Vision Porena), The good principle:—

branches into the soil and air, and grasping our needed nourishment. For many years we had no fragrance to offer you. We were of necessity selfish, -absorbed in the effort to develope and strengthen the instruments which were to unfold the natures within us. To-day as trees we are so far perfected, that, as the inspiring and expanding breath of spring reaches us, we can bloom for a brief time with the flowering promise of the fragrant fruit which is to be the ultimate of our life activities. But the blosoms that so delight your senses are not that fruit,-only a prophecy which the spring-time inspirations enable us to make of the work that the summer, if favorable, will enable us to produce. A few days hence we must cast these off, and in their places you will find only hard, sour, bitter fruit, un-congenial to the taste and injurious to health. The fruit, like the tree, must for a long time be entirely selfish,-must repel other life, and give all its energies to the task of maturing its own. And we the trees, must give it all our assistance in its work. Nor can but a small proportion of these blossoms redeem the apparent promise of fruit. Many of the apples, defectively organized, with insufficient vitality to complete their organisms, must drop and yield up their life. Such ones in their falls will often strike and carry down or injure better fruit which is badly situated with reference to it. If overcrowded with fruit, we can carry but part of it to maturity and each must take much of the needed substance away from the others. If in improper soil, neglected, badly cultivated or planted too thickly we cannot afford nourishment sufficient to develop any of it properly, but must leave it all knurly and defective.

"The short-sighted observer, judging by the sensation and effects they produce when unwisely brought into contact with his vital organism, may adjudge them evil and declare false the prophesy we make to-day; but the instructed intelligence which has learned to look through the summer before us and read the law of our nature's unfolding, will see that when the fruit has accomplished the work of self-development it will cease to repel with its seifish bitterness, and will ripen into a fragrant. kindly, wealth, health-giving flavour, far superior to what the blossoms prophetically yeild to-day. The law of selfpreservation and development then fulfilled in it, the higher law of self-abnegation predicted by the blossoms, will be completely unfolded in the fruit, which will bestow only pleasure to your senses and health to your body, freely yeilding up its life to any who may need it,-even hestowing fragrance on those who trampla it.

What do we learn from this leaf in the grand Book of Nature?

- (1) The gradual unfoldment of the human race.
- (2) The present a promise of the future.

- (3) Self-abnegation, the fruit of life.
- (4) Philanthropy the final object.
- (5) Apparent self-dom a preparation for the final fulfilment of Universal charity.
- (6) A beneficient plan throughout, with apparent dark (like the bitterness of the unripe fruit) spots here and there, which are but parts of the beneficient design than anything else.
 - (7) The final triumph in spite of casual catastrophes.
- In Week's words:—"Thank God and nature! the period of ripening will come to our race! Human nature bears not permanent fruits of bitterness any more than do these trees. Selfishness is first in the order of unfolding; fraternal love, self-sacrificing, self-forgetting, will be the fragrance of the ripened soul. Humanity too has its spring-time of prophesy, when the unfolding love blossoms out in a transient supremacy of fragrant aspirations, then casts off its flowers that it may pursue the work of developing the self-hood, of maturing the fruit."

ALKONDAVILLI G.

(To be continued.)

NACCHINARKKINIYAR: A STUDY.

(Continued from page 46).

Is it possible to assign a lower limit to it? We are certainly not altogether without the means of doing so. The Tamil sacred books were collected and arranged into eleven groups about the middle of the eleventh century A.D., and the Tamil Vyasa who compiled the Dravida vedas was Nambi A'ndar Nambi, a Brabman priest of Tirunaraiyur, in the Tanjore district Mr. Sundaram Pillai, in his pamphlet Some Milestones in the History of Tamil Literature, * vanintained that Nambi Andar Nambi was a contemporary of Raja-raja Ko Parakesari Varman of the Tanjore inscriptions, and that he had written his Antadi before the close of the tenth century. This opinion was disputed by Mr. Venkayya, in his article on 'The age of Rajaraja Chola Deva,' and the dispute turned into an open discussiont between them as to the date of Nambi Andar Nambi. Mr. Venkayya's case may be stated in the words of Mr. Sundaraui Pillai thus: "In the collection of sacred hymns compiled by Nambi Andar Nambi, there is one celebrating the temple of Gangaikonda Cholapuram, which Mr. Venknyya thinks cannot have been constructed

surfier than in the days of Rajendra Chola (the, son and successor of Rajartia), who is the carbeat Chala king known to epigenphy as having horne the title of Gangaikondon." Mr. Sundaram Pilini convended that there might have been another tianguikonda Cholapuram in still earlier days, and subsequently appealed to a tradition to the effect that the Hisjeswars shrine at Tanjore was built after the model of the one at Gangaikonda Cholapuram. It seems to me that he omitted to note the possibility that the temple at Taniore might have been constructed on a similar plan, but that the place might have borne a different name at that early period, and that the tradition, to make the thing clearer, might have borrowed the name later on. Mr. Venkavra supported his statement by facts and figures, and successfully proved that there could not have been any other Gangaikondachola before Rajendra Chola, whose vast conquests are recorded in the Kalingatta Parani, the Vikkiramacholanuli, and the Kulottungacholanuli. But this discussion does not much affect the statement made in the opening lines of this paragraph, that Nambi Andar Nambi compiled the Dravida Vedas ab ut the middle of the eleventh century A D. The disputants themselves did not differ much as to the date of Nambi Andse Nambi. And they could not do so, for we must ascribe to Schkilar the author of the Periya Pursua and a contemporary of Kulottanga Chola I., the early years of the latter part of the eleventh century; and Sikkiler, we know, was a successor of Nambi Andar Nambi in the religious field.

Now, the eleventh group of Nambi's compilations includes, among others, the Tirawaraharrajandar, a poem by Nakkirar, and one of the Ten Tamil Idyls. The Ten Tamil Idyla are known collectively as Patturelity, and they have been commented on by Nacchinarkkinivar If Nacchinarkkinivar, therefore, had lived after Nambi Andar Nambi of the eleventh century, he must have noted the interesting fact of the Tiranurulairrappudat's becoming a sacred book. It is not a mife position to take up that Nacchinarkkinnyar did not respect the Nambi or his compilations. On the other hand this could only lead us to infer that Nacchinarkkiniyar must have lived before Numbi Andar Numbi. Mr. Sundaram Pillai's theory that Nacehinarkkinivar was slow to recognise the greatness of Saiva saints and to accept their words as

authoritative, cannot, as has already been pointed out, go unrefused The likelih od is that he had no occasion to introduce their words into his commentaries. which were parely interary. Further, the fact of the inclusion of the Tirumuruharrappadai in the Dravida Vedas is historically important. The date of the inclusion marks an enoch in the history of the book, which from that day carried with it a passport for ase in the daily phias of the Saiva Tamilians. Besides, it is idle to argue that Neochinarkkimivar did not think it worthy to note a fact which was immediately connected with the works in hand, and which, doubtless, created a stir in the Tamil land. Was not this fact an innovation, if not an improvement, in the way of using the Tirumuruhi rruppadai! Thus, Nacchinirk kiniyar coold not certainly have lived at or after the time when this important event came to pass and spiritualised the literary poem. That is to say, the lower limit to his age is the early yours of the eleventh century A.D. This conclusion, it may be added, is favoured by the fact that no reference is found in his commentaries to Kamban and other later poets.

We have seen now that Nacchinarkkinivar must be looked for somewhere beteen the latter half of the eighth century and the earlier half of the eleventh. Can we not be more exact regarding his date? As has been already noticed, Nacchinarkkiniyar was decidedly a commentator of the Jaina cycle. His commentary on the Chintemani, by the extraordinary fertility of information about the Jaina system that it supplies. suggests that it was composed at a period when the Jain influence had not entirely lost its hold on the Tamil country. It is very improbable that Nacchinarkkiniyar if he had lived at a later date, would have collected information on such a grand scale about the system and astortished as by filling a commentary on an epic with facts that hear more on religion than on literature. The tradition about this commentarythat he wrote one and submitted it to the approval of the Jains pandits, that they rejected it as a wong exposition, and that, after this insult be wrote another and gained their approbation-firmly supports our view that the Jains were exercising considerable influence during the age of Nucchinarkkiniyar. We know as a matter of fact that "by the time of Nambi Andar Nambi, i.e., the eleventh century, Jainism was practically extinct in the Tamil country "* We also know us a tact that the Jain influence was at its height in the

Car. 37. Cott. More las, Nevember 1916.

[.] Some medestones in the History or Town I Oberative, page &

age of Tirnjánasambandha, and that therefore he had to encounter much opposition in resuscitating his own religion by overthrowing that of his opponents. The Pandiya king himself professed the Jain faith when Sambaudhar set about his religious conquests. The Jain religion, which was so powerful in the opening years of the seventh century, and which, in consequence is referred to with contempt in the hymns of Sambandhar and Appar, had not evidently stood in the way of Sundara's achievements. [Sundara is generally assigned to the early years of the ninth century A.D. (about 8:5 A.D.), being, connected by tradition and in the Periya Purana with Seraman Perumal, also probably the last of the Perumals, who is said to have mysteriously disappeared from his country.] If, then, the Jain influence did not deserve the attention of Sundara of the ninth century A.D., Nacchinarkkiniyar must have flourished along with Sundara or even before his time. He might have lived also after Sundara; for while Sundara lived but eighteen years, Nacchinârkkiniyar's age approached one hundred. Thus the age of Nacchioarkkiniyar may be said to have been the latter half of the eighth century and the earlier half of the ninth. This conclusion must, however, be regarded as only tentative, though it may be pointed out that we should be treading on very slippery ground if, without reliable data, we were to shift him to any later date.

With the support of this conclusion the spurious nature of the tradition that brings Nacchinarkkinivar in contact with Parimelalahar, the celebrated commentator of the Tirukkural, can be very easily proved. The tradition has it that Nacchinarkkinivar was present in the assembly where the Kural commentary was exhibited for approval, and that, on hearing the commentary of Parimelalahar on a certain Kural* he congratulated the latter on hitting the exact meaning This is also stated in a slightly modified way. Some hold that the meaning controverted by Parimélalahar was that of Nacchinarkkiniyar, and that, therefore, he acknowledged in a way the superior intelligence of Parimelalahar. In any case, the tradition is a false one, as the age of Parimelalahar was not earlier than the twelfth century. This date is inferred from his own commentary, t where he alludes to the works of King Bhoja, whe according to an inscription

lived between 1040 A.D., and 1000 A.D. But the tradition ought to be explained. It seems to have arisen from a confusion of Nacchinarkkitiyar with Nacchar, who is one of the alleged ten commentators of the Tirukkural. None of the enlogistic stanzas that have come down to us support the statement that the But the idea is former commented on the Kural found strangely recorded in books that treat of Tamil li erature. The author of The Galaxy of Tamil Poets has no doubt about this point.* He says: "Nacchar also is a nam- by which Nacchinarkkiniyar was known." The modern tendency of Tamil scholars, however, is strongly opposed to any such confusion; and Pandit Swaminatha Aiyar, who indicated in his introduction to the Chintamani that the Kural was one of the works commented on by Nacchinarkkinivar, has, in his editions of the other classics published latter on, disabused us of that idea.

Now as to the works of Nacchinarkkiniyar. He is known to us only as a commentator, but among commentators be holds a very respectable position. Commentary in Tamil is one of the many important branches of literature and has for a long time filled the place that should rightly be given to prose composition. When every scientific treatise took the form of a poetic composition, the exposition of scientific principles was the work of commentaries. Prose unmixed with verse was, till the commencement of the century, a rare phenomenon in Tamil literature. But prose itself cannot be said on that account to be a creation of this century. It existed even in the classic age, the age of the Sangham, in Perundêvanâr's Bhâratam and in the Iraiyanûrahapporul commentary. It existed in later times in the commentary of Ilambûranar and still later in the writings of Pêrásiriyar and Sênâvaraiyar. These works. however, had their defects. They were either poetical and predautic or brief and unexpressive. Good prose writing comemenced only with Nacchinarkkiniyar, and, ever since, his works have been a model to later prose writers. His writings are voluminous, and from the only works to which an earnest student can apply himself to attain a style at once simple and clear. In the extent of his writings he excels all other commentators of the Tamil language, and his worthy to be compared with Mallinatha Sûri of the sanskrit tongue. Even the best commentators have seldom left behind them more than one commentary. Nakkîrar has

[•] Kural, 338.

[†] Kural. Kâmuttuppâl, Introduction. See also Weber's Indian Literature.

[•] Page 191.

given us only his commentary on the Abappend and Senavaraiyar commented only on the Tolkippiyan Solladhika am (Etymology). Parimelalahar wrose pair on the Kural and Adiyarkkanallar only on the Silappadhibiram. Even among the latest, only Sivainana Yogi resembles Nacchinarkkiniyar to some extent Of the other prominent commentators, Mavileramperumal Pillai has provided as with an incomplete commentary on the Kulladam, and Sankara Namassivayar with a commentary on the Namail But Nacchinarkkiniyar left commentaries on the Tulkippiyam, the Pattuppattu, the Kalittohai, the Jicalachintimani, and the twenty stanzas of the Kuruntohai. Apart from this characteristic difference in the quantity of the work does by them, are the other commentators on a per with Naochinarkkiniyar in having produced work of superior quality? By quality here is not meant the intrinsic worth of the compensaries but the nature of the books that the commentators chose to comment upon and the utility of the commentaries for future generations.

The Tolkippiyam is the oldest of Tamil grammars, and perhaps also the best; and the only commentator who has annotated it completely is Nacchinarkkinivar. The work is composed of three parts, Klutts, Sol, and Poral. Of these, Elutta corresponds to orthography. and that is commented on by Hamburanar as well as by Nacchinarkkiniyar. Sol corresponds to Etymology, and the only good commentary on it besides Nacchindrakiniyar's is that of Senavaraiyar. The latter is held by some to be even superior to Nacchimarkkiniyar's, but this is a matter of opinion. Pornl is the third part of the Tolkippiyam, and it treats of the properties of things. Unlike the later grammarians, who regard yappu (prosody) and ani (rhetoric) as separate parts and thus make a fivefold division of gremmar, Tolkappiyar makes his third part include the other two. Porul itself includes two large subdivisions, Ahapporul, rules for amatory verses, and Parapporul, rules for war-chants. Ahapporul is said to have an undercarrent of sublime truth which, when interpreted, marks the different stages through which the human soul passes ere it attains final at seption into the Supreme Being. If this theory be true, we require no stronger proof for regarding the non-dualistic philosophy (we do not mean the monistic) as the essential doctrine of the Tamilian religion and the path of Love as that pointed out by the Tamilian saints. Purapporul, besides dealing with the functions of the king, also makes a passing reference to

principles of asceticism and means of salvation. There are other minor divisions, such as Kalaviyal, Karpiyal, and Meyppattiyal, which succeed the two just mentioned. But the former two of these are only an exposition of Ahapporul, and Meyppattiyal is a brief chapter on psychology. Tolkapoivar derives all the figures of rhetoric from simile, and therefore he names the chapter on rhetoric Uvamaviyal. His last chapter is on idiom in Tamil; and that is called Marapival. From this brief analysis of Porul, it will be seen what a wonderfull work the Tolkippiyam is, and what a valuable bequest, in the shape of his commentaries, Nacchinakkıniyar has made to the Tamil people. It is no exaggeration to say that 'nladhikaram study must have perished long ago but for Nacchinarkiniyar's commentaries; for all later treatises on Porul, such as the Virasoliyam and the Ilakkanavilakkam were written after his time.

The Pattuppattu is a collection of ten Tamil idyla "The idyls are, as the name implies, richly wrought descriptive poems in the most finished style. They are charming portraits of nature in some of her pleasant and striking moods, and for soberness of thought and accuracy of representation, they will bear comparison with anything is the whole realm of literature." * The book is written in the old classical style, and the modern student, without the help of the commentary cannot grasp the thought of a single line. A patient study of this work, however, gives us an insight into the state of Tamil society in the Sangham age, that is, about the first century A. D., and a full knowledge of its manners and customs at that remote period. Besides, the book abounds in historical references, which are important to those who are attempting to construct a history of the South India of those early times. The materials that Naochinarkkiniyar had to write his commentary with have no longer an independent existence. Were it not that his commentary has been handed down to us, we should have lost them irreparably, and the state of the Tamil country in the Sangham age would be a matter of mere conjecture.

S. ANAVABATAVINAYAKAM PILLAI, M.A.

(To be continued.)

ROSES AND GODDERSS.

The Nec-Hegelian school is again to the forefront in the powerful person of Dr. James Ward, Professor of Philosophy in the University of Cambridge. His Gifford lectures on "Naturalism and Agnosticism" has been brought out

^{*} Madres Christian College Magazine, March 1891, "The Ten Tamit Idyls'.-1. Page 661.

in book form in two volumes by the Messrs. Black. The aim of the lectures is to show the utter untenability of the position of the Dualist by approaching the question from the physical as well as the psychological standpoint. They are divided into five parts which seek to show that the union of "Naturalism and Agnosticism" constituting "Modern Scientific Thought," though it has led to a widespread prejudice against Idealism, and so against Theism, has yet really promoted the interests of both.

It has brought out the abstract discriptive character of the Mechanical Theory, which had been regarded as presenting "what actually goes on behind what we see and feel." . The futility of attempts, such as that of Mr. Spencer, to deduce the Evolution of life, mind and society from a single mechanical principle is then evident. Further, the Relation of Body to Mind has to be treated as a "correspondence" that is neither causal physically nor causal logically. The perplexities of this Dualism lead to a neutral (or agnostic) Monism, which-being essentially unstable-must either lapse back into Materialista or advance to Idealism. Reflection upon experience as a whole shows how this dualism has arisen, and also that it is false. It becomes clear that only in terms of mind can we understand the unity, activity and regularity that nature presents.

Analogy which has always been the very bane of philosophical systems in India, and whose purpose and use have been considerably misunderstood in all the dualistic cults, is thus spoken of in Professor Ward's valuable lectures. (Vol. I. p. 119) "Analogy, as we know, is a good servant, but a bad master; for, when master, it does more to blind than it may previously have done to illuminate. Most of us, I suppose, have chanced to observe a bee buzzing up and down within the four sides of a window-pane, vainly endeavouring to escape by the only obvious way—the way most light comes; whereas by merely traversing the dark border of the window-frame it might at once reach the open casement. The history of science in full of instances of able men similarly thwarted by a too prepossessing analogy."

With this observation of Dr. Ward, we can compare the remarks of Sankara's on the same topic in his Bhashya on the Brahma-Sutras under III. 2, 20 "Whenever two things are compared, they are so only with reference to some particular point they have in common. Entire equality of the two can never be demonstrated; indeed if it could be demonstrated, there would be an end of that particular relation which gives rise to the comparison."

Sankara foresaw in a way the clamorous tendency of the human mind to break its neck over shadows without minding the substance, and the appositeness of his remarks can be fully appreciated only by a study of various religious systems in which sensuous emotion takes the place of true insight, and images more please the fancy than truth.

Dr. Macodonell's "Sanskrit Literature" is one of the latest publications of the year, a detailed notice of which we must reserve for a future number. It contains the up to date results of the best achievements of Sanskrit scholarship based upon researches literary, epigraphical and archaeological. After alluding to Dr. Thibaut's well-known views, the Oxford professor of Sanskrit makes out that the Sutras of Badarayana teach distinctly the monism of Sankara and nothing else. The infinence of Sanskrit poetry upon some German and English authors, which is well sketched, is a novel feature of the book.

One of the attractive phases of Dr. Macdonell's performance lies in the easy, flowing and graceful styllin which the book is written. A casual reader can easily dip, without any serious difficulty of compachending the contest, into any part of the book, and he will be tempted to go on and on till the end of the volume with hardly any strain on his mind, and with his interest in the subject not flagging. Being a Vedic scholar himself, Dr. Macdonell deals with the early period of Sanskrit literature with a fulness and grasp which are patent in every line. More than all, the day tedium which is incidential to an examination of the Vedic literature, however cursory, is conspicuously absent.

Our Exchanges.-The Dawn for May, 1909, (Vol. 11) No. 10) presents us with a varied and interesting bill of fare. In the first article, the Editor discourses in the Indian Economic Problem, in the form of a discussion with Sir George Birdwood: and Dr. Nishikant Chairepadhyaya translates the mystic story of Peter Schlemilit from the German, while in a short review of Man M. Rengacharya's version of the Sri Blashya of Ramanuja, a high compliment is paid to the Sansk it seldership of our Madras graduates, which would certainly be amusing to any one acquainted with this University. Mr. Mullick gives a host of valdable details regarding our Mutts in the first instalment of an article on Hira'n Religious Institutions. If our contemporary always appears in as good a turn-out as here, we must really congratulate him on the valuable services he would a doing not only to the general rau of readers. but also to those specially interested in religious questions. We also acknowledge with thanks the receipt of the following journals :- The Theosophical Review. The Lamp. ". Trothes, The Brahmavadia, The Indian dere of of files to The Thresophic Chance, The Acya Bale B. Said. and I Astrological Magazine.

THE LIGHT OF TRUTH

OR

SIDDHANTA DEEPIKA.

A Monthly Journal, Devoted to Religion, Philosophy, Literature, Science, &c.,

Commenced on the Queen's Commemoration Day, 1897.

VOL. IV.

MADRAS, SEPTEMBER 1900.

No. 4.

TRANSLATIONS.

THE VEHA'NTA-SUTRAS WITH S'RI'KANTHA BHA'SHYA.

(",untinued from page 52.)

This means that Brahman shines forth with consoiourness, that He delights in Himself, that He exults in the Supreme bliss which can be experienced by the antah-karana or inner sense alone divorced from all organs of external sensation, that He is untainted with any kind of evil, and that He is free from all bondage from time without a beginning. By describing Him as "freed from all sins" the sruti wards off all evils that may be supposed to portain to Him, -as they pertain to a Jiva .- owing to His connection with a body described as divers-eyed and so on. In the words " who is the omniscient, the all knower,"+ " He has a Supreme Sakti,"; "To the Lord of all," \ and th. like, the Sruti speaks of His omniscience, His omnipotence, His independence, and so on. Thus

Brahman.

latter is said to attain freedom because of the (self-realisation accruing) on the attainment of Brahman. (III. ili. 40).

Now a doubt arises as to whether it is the Brahman described in the last section that is attained by the liberated soul, or some thing else.

the S'ruti itself, which stands at the head of all

authorities, proclaims everywhere that Brahman is Existence, Consciousness, and Bliss; that He is

infinite, omniscient, ever-satisfied, independent, that

His mainfestation has had no beginning, that He is possessed of infinite and undecaying powers; that He

delights in Himself, that His bliss is enjoyed by the

inner consciousness alone, that He is tranquil, immor-

tal, dark necked, divers-eyed, associated with Uma:

that the Supreme Brahman is the soul of all, and

the means to Salvation. Who can stand in the way

of the S'ruti? Whorefore the essential attributes

described above never cease to exist in the Supreme

Adikarana-25.

(The said Brahman is attained by the liberated) since the

(Pirvapaksha) :- One thing suggests itself to us at first.—The S'ruti declares the unconditioned Brahman in the passages such as the following:

^{· (&#}x27;hhà. H.1.5.

⁺ Mund, 1-1-10.

[:] S'eeth. 6-8.

[§] Tait. Sam. 4-5-2.

- "Who is without parts, without actions, tranquil, without fault, without taint."*
- " He who knows Brahman becomes Brahman Himself."†

In these words, the S'ruti says that liberation consists in the liberated soul attaining to Brahman's state. Wherefore it is the unconditioned Brahman, not anything else, that is attained by the liberated.

(Conclusion :- As against the foregoing we hold as follows. It is the state of the Conditioned Brahman that is attained by the liberated soul. For, the S'ruti declares that the liberated soul secures his relatives at will and attains equality with the Supreme Brahman-as declared in the passages of the S'ruti and the Smriti, such as "he moves about there eating, playing, and rejoicing, be it with women, carriages or relatives;" (1) "he attains perfect equality;":2) "the liberated soul shall become equal to S'iva-only as a result of his attaining to his own true state on attaining to the Supreme Brahman, "on uniting with the Supreme Light" (3) as the S'ruti says -, the Divers-eyed, contemplated within the small heart-lotus. Wherefore the form of the Supreme Brahman described above as Dark-necked is the very form to which the liberated soul attains; it does not pertain to samsara, to the mundane existence, since that form is said to be free from all conditions of mundane existence in the words "Who is freed from all Sins." (4) The highest wisdom, therefore, concerning the Supreme is one that comprehends Him as possessed of attributes. When the S'ruti describes Brahman as one "who is without parts, who is without actions," it is only the evil attributes that are denied, but not the auspicious qualities. To explair. The words "Who is without parts, who is without actions" and "The Lord of Nature and Souls, the Ruler of Gunas" (5) describe Brahman both as unconditioned and conditioned, as Nirguna and Saguna; but from the passages-such as "Who is the omniscient, the all-knower". "Who is freed from all sins"-which speak of the specific nature of Brahman, we understand that the Sruti speaking of Brahman as devoid of qualities, means only absence of evil qualities, and that the S'ruti

speaking of Brahman as possessed of qualities refers to the auspicious qualities that He possesses.

Moreover, there is a passage in the scripture itself which teaches that the Saguna is attained as the result of liberation.

"He attains all pleasures with Brahman the Wise." *

That is to say, the liberated soul attains all pleasures with the omniscient Brahman. The word 'with' shows that the enjoyment of bliss is coeval with Brahman's. Wherefore the fruit attained by the liberated soul is none other than the Saguna Brahman.

Adhikarana-26.

No absolute necessity for the observance of directions about such contemplations, because so we find. As a separate fruit indeed is non-obstruction (declared).

(III. iii. 41).

A doubt arises as to whether the directions concerning the contemplations taught in connection with the Udgitha and such other angas or constituent parts of sacrificial rites should invariably be attended to or not.

(Pürvapaksha):--It would seem that those contemplations should, of necessity, be gone through in performing the sacrificial rives, because such contemplations form part and parcel of those rites. Though they are taught outside the section which treats of the sacrificial rites themselves, still, through other things mentioned in connection with them, they may be connected with those rites. Though, for instance, the direction that the ladle (juhu) should be of parna treet is given without any specific reference to a sacrificial rite, still, inasmuch as the ladle is invariably associated with a sacrificial rite, the specific direction that the ladle should be of the parna tree refers to a sacrificial rite. Similarly, from the statements "He that sings aloud thus regarding : "t "He who chants saman, thus regarding," speaking of the contemplations as associated with the Udgitha, the Saman, etc., which are invariably associated with sacrificial rites, we understand that the contemplations also are connected with sacrificial rites. Therefore, the contemplation of the Udgitha and so on are absolutely necessary in the sacrificial rites.

^{*} Sveta. 6-19.

⁺ Mund. 3-2-9.

⁽¹⁾ Chhâ. 8-12-3.

⁽²⁾ Mund. 3-1-3.

⁽³⁾ ChhA. 8-3-4

⁽⁴⁾ Ibid. 4-1-5

⁽⁵⁾ Sveta. 6-16.

[•] Taitt-up-2-1.

[†] Tait. Sam. 3-5-7.

¹ Chhâ. Up. 1-18.

[§] Ibid. 1.7.9.

(Siddhanta) :- As against the foregoing we hold as follows: These contemplations are not absolutely necessary, any more than the milk-pail, etc. To explain : The scripture says, " Let him convey water in the charmen vessel, but in the milk-pail (godohana) in the case of one who seeks cattle." Here the milk-pail is prescribed for conveying water, not in the interests of the sacrificial rite itself, but in the interests of the sacrificer, and the observance of the direction is therefore optional, not absolutely necessary like the act of conveying Similarly, the contemplation connected with the encrificial rites are not prescribed in the interests of the macrificial rites themselves, inaumuch as these rites may be performed without the contemplations, as the following passage shows:

"Both he who knows this (the true meaning of the syllable Om) and he who does not know, perform the same sacrifice."*

Again, the s ruti says :

"The sacrifice which a man performs with knowledge, faith, and meditation of the Devata, is more powerful."

Here, though the verb—' performs'—is in the present indicative, still we assume that the s'ruti means an injunction, that he who seeks to make the rite more powerful should observe the directions regarding the contemplations as a means of achieving his object. For a secrificial rite to become more powerful is to produce its fruit soon without encountering obstruction from other stronger forces in action. Further, these contemplations are said to yield distinct fruits of their own, such as objects of desire and rain; and they cannot therefore be indispensable in the interests of the sacrificial rites themselves.

Adaikarana-27.

(The Upasanes are different) exactly as in the case of the offerings. This has been explained (III. iji. 42).

It has been declared that in all the several upasanas of the Supreme the one Siva, the Supreme Brahman, should be thought of differently as endued with the different attributes specifically mentioned in the several contexts. Now, a doubt arises as to whether this stands to reason or not.

(Prirrapaksha):—It would appear that, notwithstanding a difference is attributes, Brahman, who is possessed of those attributes, is one and the same, and that therefore there can be no change in His apassans. No change is perceived in the one prince, whether engaged in business, exercise or eating, whether he is seated or engaged in hunting or fighting, though he may put on different ornaments on different occasions, and though he may be seated on the throne or in any other place. So also, notwithstanding the difference in the abodes such as the heart, and notwithstanding the difference in the attributes with which He is endued, Brahman is one, and His upsana must therefore be one alone.

(Siddhanta) :- As against the foregoing, we hold as follows: Though there is no change in the essential nature of the one S'iva, the Parabrahman, still, there is a difference corresponding to the difference in the qualifying attributes, the Supreme Being being endurd with different attributes in the several npasanas; and the manner of His contemplation therefore differs by contemplating Him as endued with different attributes. In the case of offerings to Indra, different purodasas are offered according to the difference in the attributes, as the sruti says. "To Indra, the king, let the purodas's of eleven dishes be offered; as also to Indra, the over-lord, and to Indra the self-ford." Accordingly, the Samiarsha-Kanda says, "The deities are separate because they are separately conceived "t So, here, though the Supreme Brahman is one, the uplsana differs with the difference in attributes. As to the illustration of the prince who remains one though his dress and seat may change, even there the manner of service rendered by the servants differs with the change of dress and place, though he remains essentially the same all the while.

Adhikarana - 28.

(The Supreme Brahman associated with Uma is to be contemplated in all upasanas) because of the predominance of the indicatory marks. That, indeed, is stronger; and it has been explained also. (III. iii. 42.)

It has been established in the foregoing articles that, in all Highest Vidyas (or upasacas), Brahman should be contemplated. The purpose of this adhikarana is to determine specifically the nature of the Brahman to be contemplated. The Taittiriya says:

[·] Chhi. Up. 1-1-10.

⁺ Ibid.

[.] Tait. 8am. 23-6.

⁺ Op. Cit. 14-3-15.

- "All, verily, is Itudra. To that Rudra be this obcisance. Puzzsha, indeed, is Rudra, the Existence, the Spleudour. I bow to Him, bow to Him."
- "All being, the variegated world, that which has been born and is being born in various forms; all this, indeed, is this Rudra; and to that Rudra be this bow.
- "To excellent Rudra, to the extremely wise, to Him who rains all blessings, to the Adorable, to the Heart, we shall address this happiest word: 'All this verily is Endra, and to that Rudra be this homage'"
- "Homage to the Golden-armed, to the Lord of gold, to the Lord of Ambika; to the Lord of Uma be this bow, and again this bow."*

Now a doubt arises as to whether the Supreme Brahman,—who is here declared to be one with all and so on, and to be the Lord of Uma,—should be contemplated only in the upasana relating to the solar orb with which the present section is concerned, or in all contemplations of the Supreme.

(Pûrvapaksha):—At first sight it would appear that such Brahman should be contemplated only in connection with the unasane of the solar or with which the present section is concerned, inasmuch as it will not do to do any violence to the main subject of the section.

(Siddhanta):-As against the foregoing we hold as follows: This Brahman, the Supreme, associated with Uma should be contemplated as such in all upasanas of the Supreme, owing to the predominance (in this section) of the passages treating of the indicatory marks or attributes mentioned in the several other upasanas of the Supreme. To explain: The passage "All, verily, is Rudra," evidently refers to the attribute of the Supreme that has to be contemplated in the S'andil, a-vidya: "All this is Brahman. Let a man meditate on the (visible world) as beginning, ending, and breathing in that Brahman."+ "Purusha, verily, is Rudra": these words fepeats the characteristic attributes mentioned in the Purusha-Sûkta and the upakosala-Vidya. "The existence": this corresponds to the attribute of Brahman to be contemplated in the Sad-Vidya, "Existence alone, my dear, this at first was." The word 'Mahah

Adbikarana-29.

As an alternative for the preceding, they should form (parts of) the ritualistic sacrifice, because of the section (in which they occur), as in the case of the menta; cup. (III. iii. 44).

In the Agnirahasya-Brahmana it is said,

"It saw thirty-six thousand shining fire-altars, belonging to itself, made of mind, built of mind."

Further on the sruti speaks similarly of other tirealtars built of speech, built of breath, built of sight, built of hearing, built of action, built of fire. In man's life-span extending over onehundred years, there are thirty-six thousand days. Computing the whole function of mind in a day as one fire, there are thirty-six thousand fires. These should be regarded as the Pratyagatman, the Inner Self. So too in the case of fire altars built of speech, etc. Now a doubt arises as to whether they form part of the sacrifice of knowledge or part of the sacrifice of ritual.

A. MAHADEVA SASTRI, B.A.

(To be continued'.

⁽Splendour," refers to the attribute of Brahman to be contemplated in the Vyahriti-Vidya, which save " 'Mathah': this is Brahman, this the A'tman; its limbs are other Devatas." * "The most beneficent one in the heart:" these words refer to the heart, and thus allude to the attribute of Brahman to be contemplated in the Dahara-vidya, "The the Golden-armed:" these words refer to the attribute of golden form to be contemplated in connection with the solar orb. -" The Lord of Uma:" this is to show that Brahman described here should be contemplated in all upasanas of the Supreme As expelling (Sk. root dru) the disease (Sk. ruj) of samsara, S'iva the Parmatman is called Rudra. Thus from the very words of the passages occurring in the section, it may be determined that S'iva, the Parabrahman, the Lord of Uma, should be contemplated in all Vidyas or Upasanas of the Supreme. This agreement in the words of passage should certainly prevail as against the general subject matter of the section (which would go to restrict the attributes to the one particular Vidya with which that section is concerned), as has been shewn in the Purvamimamsa III. iii. 14. Hence the conclusion that the Supreme Being, the Lord of Uma, the expeller of the disease of samsara, shoud be contemplated in all upasanas of the Supreme.

^{*} Tait-Up. 1-5.

[†] Satapatha Brahmuna, Aguirahasys, 5-3. Many other passages are quoted in the sequel from the same section.

[.] Mahana. 16-18.

⁺ Chhâ. 3-14-1.

¹ Ibid. 6-2-1

STV AGS A'NA SIDDBIYA'R

07

ARUL NANDI S'IVA A'CHA'RYA

SU'TRA IV-PAS'U LAKSHANA.

Adhikarana-3

(Centinued from page 56).

RELATION OF BOOL TO THE LOWER ORGANS AND I'M COMMITTION IN THE VARIOUS AVAITER'S.

Soul is the bing.

1. Just as the king, on his return from a procession with his troops, re-enters his palace, leaving grants at the gates of the different courts he passes through, and finally enters alone the innermost heres, to ago the soni passes through the five avasthés in the body, leaving Prima as the guard of the innermost portals.

Notes.

This is an old sankhya analogy (vide Sankhya sûtras V. 115), and this has found its way through the ascient Greeks into the thought of Europe, and Lytton also uses the simile of the king and his ministers is one of his novels.

S'ivaghra Yogi thus expands the simile: Soul is king; Mahas to chariot; Prana and other vayus, the horses; Buddhi is the chief minister; Ahankara is the Driver; and Jäänendriyas and Karmendriyas are the footmen; the midbrows, thrust, heart, belly and anus, are the different courts of the Palace.

The nature of this passage of the soul from one condition to another has to be clearly noted and realized; otherwise, there will be endless confusion. Vide pp. 51 to 58 S'ivejfénabodham for fuller exposition.

The names of the five avasthas etc.

2. When the soul is in jagra avasta, it and its organs number 35, and the place is midbrows. In svapna avasta, they number 25, and the place is the throat; in the sushupti avasta, they number 8, and the place is the heart; in the Turya avasta, they number two, and the place is the nibhi; and in the Turyatita avasta, the soul dwells alone.

Notes.

The 35 are the ten Indriyas, and their ten subtle elements, the tanmatras, the ten Pranas, and the four

antahkuranas, and soul. The 25 are arrived at, by omitting the first, the indrivas. The three are the chitta, the Prana and the soul. The two are the Prana and the soul. In turyatita the soul alone exists.

Sivajiana Yogi adds that even in Turyatita the soul is united to the subtle causal matiže; but what is meant is it had not developed into a separate and differentiated organic body.

How these are energised by the Vidya Tatvas.

3. In Jagra, all the five Vidy3 Tatvas (from S'iva and S'akti &c.,) are active; in the Svapna, the first four; and in the next, the first three; and in the Torya, the first two; and in the last condition, S'iva Tatva alone guides it. They are so active, as the soul developes through the As'uddha Maya and Prakriti Tatvas: Understand this well.

NOTE

Some commentators interpret this to mean that the 35 and 25 &c., are further grouped into groups of five, de.

The Lover and Higher Avastis.

4. All the five Avastas are found united in the Jiva when it is in the frontal region. You can perceive their play when these organs are each active. The ever-wise Jiaui'a also, for getting freed from birth, and for entering Moksha, unite themselves to the five Higher Avastas, with the grace of God.

Note

The lower Avastas is called Samala Avastas and the higher the Nirmala Avastas. Even in the latter, there are stages as Jagra, Svapna &c., and the condition of the Jivan-Mukta in these stages is fully described in the A'gamas. In the next verse, these two conditions are also distinguished from the five Avastas undergone by the Yogi.

The fruits of these conditions.

5. Of these two kinds of Avastas, the one, lower, will drag down man into births. The other will lift him up freeing him from birth. The Yogi attaining to Samadhi will attain salvation in the very next birth.

Karana Avastas.

6. The causal or subtle Avastas are three, called Kevala, Sakala and S'addha. The soul is in Kevala when the soul is by itself (without Volition &c.) It is in Sakala, when God unites it to all its senses and organs. It is in Suddha, when leaving birth, it is freed from all mala (impurities).

NOTE.

In the Kevala, the soul is hidden in A nava and has no activities of any kind and it is lost or sunk like a bright diamond in a dirty pool, or like the same diamond coated with dirt all round. In the Sakala condition, its intelligence &c., receive play now and then through the aid of the physical faculties, just as the different facets of the diamond reflect the light, now blue, now red &c., as each side is ground and rubbed of its dirt and ruggedness. When all its angles, and ruggedness and dirt is removed it reflects fully and steadily the Supreme Light and is merged in the same Glory. These conditions are fully described in the next three stanzas.

Kevala Avasta

7. In the Kevala Avasta, the soul is non-intelligent, it is formless, imperishable; it is not united to Ragam and other Gunss, nor to Kala and other Tatvas; it is action-less, mark less; it is not a self-agent; it cannot enjoy fruits; it is united to A'nava; and it is Vyapi or Vibhu, omnipresent.

NOTE.

This definition is important. There is a verse in almost the same terms in the Tirumantra. Evidently both are translating from the same verse in the Agama. Viblu is explained to mean as 'not localised in' any one place or particular body.

The Sakala Avasta.

9. In the Sakala, the soul gets a body, and becomes clothed with the various organs and senses, internal and external, and the desire to enjoy the objects of the senses, and reincarnates in different births.

S'uddha Avastâ.

9. He becomes balanced in good and evil. The grace of the Lord descends on him. He gets his Guru's blessing. He attains to Jaana Yoga Samadhi and is freed from the three mala. He ceases to be finite in intelligence, and becoming omniscient, he is united to the feet of the Lord. This is the Suddha condition.

The truth of this verse is often shortly expressed in the phrases

" இருவிக்கை செரப்பு, மலபரிபாகம்," " சற்குருதரிசனம், சத்திரிபாகம்."

and they sum up the highest teachings.

இருவிணச் செயல் என் ஒப்பி ஈசன் றன் சத்தி தோய்க்து, குருவருன் பெற்று ஞான யோகத்தைக் குறைசெமுன் தோத், திருமல் மறுத்து பண்டைச் சேற்றறி வொழிந்த ஞானம். பெருதொயகன்றன் பாதம் பெறுவது சுத்தமாமே.

End of The Fourth Su tra.

SU TRA V.

On the Relation of God, soul and body.

God, the supreme knower and instructor.

1. As the senses can only understand with the aid of the soul, and yet cannot know the soul, su'also the soul can only understand with the grace of the Lord and yet cannot know Him. The all-knowing Siva alone knows all and imparts knowledge to all.

NOTE.

This Power of the Lord is called His Dropava sakti.

Adhikarana-I

Why don't souls all equally understand well?

2. If God imparts knowledge, then every one's knowledge must be equal. If the difference in wisdom is due to Karma, then, no God is necessary. No. The First Cause gives to each according to his Karma, in the same way as the earth vields according to the labour spent on it, or as the sun brings into bloom the lotus buds.

Notes.

Though the soil may be equally good one man reaps a good crop and another not, as he labours well in it or not. Though the San is absolutely necessary for the maturity and blooming of flowers, yet the San cannot make the bad blossom before its time. This view does not destroy the omni-penetrativeness of God, while at the same time, it preserves to the individual his responsibility. It is this view which saves Hinduism from degenerating into blind Fatalism or bare Pantheism.

The following verse from *Ulahudaiya* Nayanar expands the simile of the lotus beautifully.

மலமெ அம்தடத் திற் கரும் சேத்சத் தின் மாயையாம் கிழங்கில் அங்குரித்த மன்றைமு வெட்ட்டம் தத்தவிக்களம் மலரிசழ் வித்தையேழ்கிசதை கலமி*சு*மீசன் சதாசி**டைமி**ுண்டும் தன் ஹகே சரங்களாம் ச**்** இ . சற்பொசுட்டாகும் நாடியேலிர் அ **உயர்த்தன் ணு மெனவிரவி** இலகுமென்றுடல் பதுமபீடிகைக் இருந்தருளாசன மேனுமல் என தபுக்கிலதா பெண்ணினேன் தெளிய. வியலருட்பார்வை தந்தனேடேயு அல்செலாடம் கள் மலக்கடக்கிலேயாய். அருள்கடைக் குண்மையாய்கின்ற ஆவடு துறைசையம்பல உவணு அடியவர்க்+ரு எத மாகி இயே.

c j: illustration C to 3rd Adhikarana of the 9th Sûtra, Sivagnana botha.

Can the boul understand Himself W

3. The soul understants only with the aid of the Supreme meetingenes, and cannot understand of himself, inasmuch as this knowing soul knows only through some sense or other, forgets what it has learnt, learns from others; and does not know himself the knower.

MOTE.

The soul is not self-lemisous or Svaprakass or Svayamjyoti, and God is defined as Svaparaprakass, self luminous and illustining all others.

Adhikerana &

How God imparts Knowledge

4. The One, Only God imparts knowledge to the soul, by means of the various organs of sense, and sensations, by means of the luminaries, and time, Karma, and bodies, by means of books on logic and philosophy, and by the word of the Guru, inasmuch as the soul in the Kevala condition is formless and non-intelligent.

God requires not them aids for Himself.

5. The one God knows all and imparts knowledge to all and is the soul of all souls, and is different from them, and is in all, creating and developing all, without any form, and without the sid of any organs or objects or luminaries or time or Karma or body or books do-

Dors God receive taint by His Presence in the World?

6. You have forgotten the Vedic text that the worlds undergo change in the mere presence of God-God cannot be enshrouded in Mays, and no Achit can exist in the presence of S'iva, (the pure Chit). In His Presence, the embodied souls undergo evolution and are given wisdom.

All are but parts of Him.

7. The worlds form His body; the Jivas, His senses; the Icelia and Johns and Kriya S'aktis, His Antehkarana. Inducing all the countless Jivas to reap good or evil, according to their deserts, the Supreme Lord dances the Dance of Creation, Development, Destruction, Rest and Grace.

North.

His acts are compared to a dance, as they are solely intended for the benefits of the souls (speciators) and not for any pleasure or profit of God Himself.

tind's Grace how bestinged.

5. The Supreme One after inducing the Jivas to unite in bodies with five senses, and to undergo

pleasures and pains, and thus make it gather experience by suffering many births, pities their fallen condition, and graciously grants the Higher Knowledge as Gurn and grants the Supreme Seat.

God's relation to His Sakti.

9. அருவத சத்தி பாகும் அரசுதவர் கருக்கின்றி தெருவ்வெ மில்பே வந்தச் வெடிக்கிர் சத்தி விலவே மருஷியை வருவால் வாட்டி மன்னுகோர் தவிப்புள் கண்

இருவிக்க பெரனிய: லோட்டும் இரகியைப் போவகீச. ன்.

Hara has Grace for His Sakti. Except as this Supreme Love and Grace, there is no Siva. Without Siva, there is no S'akti. I'm removes the hate of the Souls with his love, and grants them bliss, just as the Sun dispels the darkness, shrouding the eyes, with his light.

End of the fifth Sutra.

SUTRA VI.

Nature of the Supreme.

1. If you ask whether God is an object of know-ledge or not, then know, if He is an object of know-ledge He will become Acuit and Asst. If He cannot be known, He must be a nonentity. The all-pervading Sivam is neither, and is pure Chit and Sat. In the presence of the Sat, cognized by following the True Path, Asat will not appear.

Adhikarana - J

What is Achit?

2. All objects of cognition are Achit. All objects of cognition come into being and are destroyed (being bound by time); they divide themselves into the worlds, bodies and organs (being bound by space) and enjoyments; they are identified at one time by the intelligence as itself (in bandha) and at another time (in moksha) are seen as separate; and they are all products of Mays. Hence all such are Achit or non-intelligent or Asat (other than Sat.)

The Same.

S. The enjoyments of this life, and the bliss of the King of gods, Vishnu and Brahma, the lives of the countless millions of sontient beings, all these, may be compared to the tricks of the magician, or the dreams, or the mirage. They seem only to exist, and then perish instantly. Hence the world is spoken of as Asat.

Adhikarana 2.

God is not Unknowable.

4. If God is unknowable, then there can be no benefit from Him; He can never pervade us; neither can we unite with him in Moksha. He cannot perform the Pancha Krityâs for our benefit. His existence will be like that of the flowers of the sky and of the rope formed of the hairs of the tortoise.

Note .

The truth is He cannot be known with our Pass Bodha. We can only perceive Him with His Grace or Sivagnana,

" அவளுந்துள் கண்ணு கக் காணின் அல்லால், இப்படியன் இதி நைத்தின் இவ்வண்ணுந்தன் இவனிறைவன் என்றெழுதிக்காட்டொணுதே."

Adhikarana 3

God is not Anirvachana,

5. Why should not God be described as (Anirvachana) being neither Sat nor Asat nor Satasat? Well, if the answer to the query whether God is existent or not should be that He is existent, then it only establishes that He is Sat. Hence He is Chit which is past our human thought and speech. It is Achit that can be perceived by our human mind.

How God can be known.

6. The known objects are Achit and perishable; and the unknown is called Sat; and what is the use, as it virtually is non-existent? The knower, becoming one with God, will perceive Him with His Grace as the knower and the known are one and different and one-and-different.

Nort

This relation is the Advaita. Till the soul, by God's Grace becomes one with God, it is impossible to know Him. In that condition too, as the soul is one with God, it cannot know Him as other than itself, and the soul itself is Sivam. But if the Jivanmukta should for a time regain his individual consciousness, then he may feel his experience of God, just as a man waking from sleep speaks of his experience in sleep. In the next Adhikarana, even the knowledge of the Yogi is said to be unreal.

Adhikarana 4.

The Yogi's conception of God not real.

7 If it can be meditated, then as an object of our senses, it becomes Asat. If you regard it as not conceivable by our organs, even then it is of no use. If you contemplate it as beyond contemplation, even then it gives you no benefit, as it is a mere fiction. If you contemplate it as yourself, this is also a fiction. Giving up these fictious ideas of God, the only way to know Him is by understanding with His Arul or Grace.

NOTE.

The various conceptions of the Yogi are pronounced to be merely fictitious and symbolic. Vide, notes on the 6th Sutra in my edition of Sivagnana Botham for a fuller treatment of the subject.

God cannot be perceived as different.

8. As God is not different from the soul, as He in the soul, and as He is the thinker or all the soul's thoughts, as in Him there is no distinction of 'I' and 'mine', God cannot be perceived by the soul's intelligence.

Nore.

The first three statements explain the Advaita relationship. The next argument shows that in God there is no distinction of Gnathurn, Gnana, and Gneya. The next verse gives a caution not to mistake the soul for God.

Soul is and is not God.

9. When One only without a second is postulated, the very postulating implies that the thing postulated is different. God is not different either, as He is inseparably associated with you, and transcends all discriminating intelligence. As He is ever the inside of the soul, the soul can be said to be S'ivam.

End of 6th Sutra.

J.=M. N.

(To be continued) .

THE MRIGENDRA AGAMA.

INTRODUCTORY NOTE.

-:0:-The Saivite Agamas have long been things unknown to the Sanskrit-reading public and they only very gradually come to be recognised as at all existent, by the persistent labours of a few Tamil Saivites. and notably by means of one or two articles in the Deepika.* The Sakta Agamas or Tantras are already familiar to many, being published several times over at Bombay, Benares and Calcutta, especially the more important ones such as the Maha-Nirvana-Tantra and the like. And it is very curious, how it is that when the Sakta books seem to be almost universally spread throughout India, the Saivite Agamas should not occur beyond the limits of Southern India.1 This, if it is true, will be very important in making a path through the tangled but dreary wilderness of this

No better illustration of the profound ignorance of western scholars about the Agamas can be found than Prof. Cowell's identification of the Mrigondra as the work of Meikanda Deva, the author of the Siva-jaanabotham, in his translation of the Sarra-darsangaha.

¹ I do not remember to have met with the name of any Saivite Agama in northern lists of MSS. If there is any mention, I shall be much obliged to any one who will inform me about it.

portion of our liferary history, which in spile of its dreariness is important in tracing out the Listory of religious thought in India. From the little I have seen of them, they seem to be opposed to the Veda. and almost aspire to arrogate to themselves a position much superior. There is a striking analogy between these two bodies of texts, in that both possess a series of religio-philosophical books of exegetics, the differonce consisting in this, viz., that the Vedic exegesis is in Sanskrit and is a much more ancient one than the other which is in Tamil. All Tamil Siddhanta works written by the Santana Acharyse, form this exegusis and they professedly base their whole teaching on the Agamas, by which they mean the 28 Saivite Agamas, a list of which may be found in Mr. Nallaswami Pillay's introduction to his translation of the Sivaguanabotham.

About the date of these Agamas, we cannot ... anything, for the bare fact that very few of these are available. I miself know only those that have been published in grantha characters, viz., the Kamika, and the Upsgamas, Poushkara and Mrigendra. But one thing at least is certain, that the Mrigeudra must be considerably posterior to Buddhism and Jainism. to which it refers as it well-known. The general style of composition is also such that we cannot put it earlier than Gaudapada, Sankara and others, whose metrical works, it so much resembles. It also refers to Kanada and Kapila as the founders of the Vaiseshika and Sankhya systems. Our present subject, the Mrigendra, cannot, on the other hand, be later than Madhava, who in his chapter on the Saiva Darsana in the Sarva-darasana-sangraha, quotes not only the Mrigendra itself, but also refers the reader for fuller information to its commentator, Narayana Kanta- Thus Narayana, and a fortiori the Mrigendra, cannot be put later than the beginning of the 14th century A.D.

This will be the very first attempt to present one of these Agamas in an Euglish form; and I propose to devote this and the papers to follow, to a translation of the Mrigendra, which is an Upagama of the Kamika, as is evident from Sloka 22 in the first chapter. It is called so, because it was tanght to Indra, who recites it to the Badarika-asrama sages, by Nrisimha, who then appeared in his lion-form. [Mrigendra—Indra among beasts, viz., the lion.] There seems to he a critti or commentary on this by one Bhatta Narayana Kanta, and this Vritti appears to have been translated into Tamil in Mr. Shunmugasundara Mudaliar's edition of the Mrigendra. It is rather unfortunate that I am not able to get hold of this critti or of any other copy of the Agama itself than the gran-

tha edition, which teems with misprints and mistakes. This makes it very difficult to decide upon the exact reading of the text and I can promise to give now only the grantha text, amended here and there so as to make some sense. I have not yet searched the Orientel Manuscript Library, where I believe I may get a copy.

It must be mentioned that I have no special knowledge of these Agamss, or of the later Saivite literature based upon them; so it is possible I may overlook in my version many of the finer shades of technicalities, which may readily be intelligible to one familiar with such books. It is my hope that this will be taken in the spirit in which it is given, viz., as an honest endeavour to bring to light an hitherto little known region of Sanskrit Literature.

उपादातपकरणं.

परमेशं नशस्त्रुत्र भरद्राममृषि ततः ।	
इसदिइकमायातं ज्ञानं शृजुत सुकताः ॥	(1)
नारायणाश्रमेषुण्ये भरद्वानादयोद्दिनाः।	
तेपुरिश्वनं प्रतिद्याप्य तदेकादितशनसाः ॥	(2)
अयतान्मावितान्मत्वा कदाचित्रदशािषरः ।	
तदाश्रमपदं मेजे स्वयं तापसवेषभृत् ॥	(3)
ततस्तंपूजितः प्रद्वा तांच स्वीननामय ।	
प्रावाच वेदिनाधर्वः हिमयै नानुबर्त्यते ॥	(4)
तऊचुर्नन्वर्यधर्म श्रोदनाविदितो युने ।	
देनताराधनीपाय स्तपसाश्मीष्टसिद्धये ॥	(5)
वेदे अस्तसंदितारी ही बाच्या कड्र ब्यदेवता ।	
सानिष्यकरणेप्यारेमन् विदितःकाल्पिकोविधिः ।	(6)
इत्युक्तीपि परंभावं निज्ञास्ः पदसन्त्रमुः ।	
तानाइ विथ्याद्वानं वर्शब्दमात्रं हि देवता ॥	(7)
शब्देतरते युगपत् भिन्नदेशेषु वष्ट्षु ।	
नता प्रयाति सानिभ्यं मूर्तत्वादस्मदादिषत्।	(8)
इत्यनीशक्चोशारिकेलनुकोक्यनेवसः ।	
शकेण नचचालेवां भोडी लस् तारमीरवात् ॥	(9)
नजातुदेवतामूचिरस्वदिद्यारीरवत् ।	
विश्विष्टेश्वयंसंपन्ना साञ्जो नैतन्तिदर्शनं ॥	(10)
अचास्त्वेवं घटेन्याय इश्चन्द्रत्वादीश्वास्त्वत् ।	
नादत्ते प्रदशस्तीन संदशस्ती न राजते ॥	(11)

My friend Mr. V. V. Bamanau, one of the contributors to this journal, informs me that Prof. Seshagiri Sastriar has been collecting the Agamas for the last four years or no, for the Government Manuscript Library. It is to be hoped that a gentleman of such critical assumen as the Sastriar, will do something at least to clear up this question, sparing some time from his other more important

इतिवादानुषद्गेण हरशसामहर्षितान् । साश्चगदुदवाचस्तान्वीस्य प्रीतीभवद्धारिः॥ (12)स्वंरूपं दर्शयामास बजी देवःशतकतुः । तहणादिससंकाशं स्त्यमानं महलाणैः॥ (13)ते तमरिभर्यज्ञभिश्व सामभिश्व स्तुवनताः। सोश्त्रवीद्वच्यतांकामी जगत्सुपवरोहि यः॥ (14)ते व विरे शिवज्ञानं श्रयता िति सोध्ववीत्। कित्वेकोस्तु ममप्रष्टा निखिलश्रोत्संमतः ॥ (15)अयतेषां भरद्वाजो भगवानप्रणीरभूत् । वाग्नि प्रगल्भःपप्रच्छ न्यायतस्तुरपूजितः॥ (16)कथं महेश्वरादेतदागतं ज्ञानमुत्तमं। किंच चेतिस संस्थाप्य निर्ममे भगवानिदं ॥ (17)सृष्टिकाले महेशानः पुरुषार्थप्रसिद्धये । विधत्ते विमलंजानं पंचस्त्रोतोsभिलक्षितं ॥ (18)तद्वित्तवाचकवातवःच्यानष्टी महेश्वरान् । सप्तकोटिप्रसंख्यातान्मत्रांश्च परमेध्वानि ॥ (19)अष्टादशाधिकञ्चान्य चतं मायाधिकारिणां। मंत्रेश्वराणां मूर्घाध्वस्थितेसोपमतेज सां ॥ (20)तेषुव्यक्तस्सभगवानिदं योग्येषुसिद्धये । मकाशयसतीन्येषु योर्थस्तमुपपद्यते ॥ (21)शिवोद्गीर्णामेदंबानं भंत्रभंत्रेश्वरेश्वरैः। कामदत्वात्कामिकोति प्रगीतं बहुविश्तरं ॥ (22)तेभ्योवगस दुक्ज्योतिज्वालालीदस्मरद्रमः। ददानुमापतिर्मह्यं सहस्त्रीभवसंभितैः॥ (23)तत्रापि विस्तरं हिला सूत्रैस्सारार्थवाचकै:। बक्ष्ये निराकुलं ज्ञानं तदुक्तेरेव भूयसा ॥ (24)

CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTORY.

After obeisance to the great Lord and to Bharadvaja, listen, O virtuous men, to this wisdom that has come down, through Indra, from Hara himself (1)

In Narayana's holy hermitage, did Bharadvaja and other twice-borns, Siva's image establish, and upon him contemplate with minds intently fixed. (2)

Indra, once, knowing them as full of faith, did the hermitage favour with his own presence, the semblance of an ascetic assuming.

When he had been duly honoured by them, he enquired after the welfare of each, and asked them why they did not follow their prescribed dharma. (4)

Note.—The prescribed dharma is the performance of sacrifices inculcated by the Veda.

"Is it not, O Rishi" they replied, "performing the prescribed dharma, if we worship the Deity for the fulfilment of our ends"? (5)

Note.—Indra is addressed as a Rishi, because he has come down in the guise of an a-cetic.

"In the Veda, is the sanhita portion which appertains to Rudra and the presiding deity of this is Rudra himself. Even the duties enjoined by the Kulput are intended solely that proximity to him may be attained."

Note.-The idea in this Sloka is that the Sanhita portion of the Veda is an ansa or portion of Rudra, and that its object is the propitiation of Rudra. The word used for propitiation is sunnidhya which means close vicinity, proximity. The sacrifice is supposed to bring the sacrificer into closer relations with the deity addressed. Kalpa is a collection of the sacrificial, household and moral duties of Brahmins, in the form of Sutras. Each charana or school of Vedic study has, in general, a separate collection of its own. It is divided into three parts : the first part comprising a lucid but succint account of Vedic sacrifice is called the sranta sutra; the second treating of traditional and household ceremonies, such as marriage, "panayama, etc., is called the griling Sutra; the third comprising the general duties of man, both generally and as member of a particular class, is called the Dharma or Samayacharika Sutra; the last mentioned duties are necessary requisites for enabling a man to act up to the rules prescribed in the first two divisions.

When they had given utterance to this highest truth, the Great-One smiled, and propounded to those searchers after wisdom, this false knowledge, thus:
"Your Deity is but a name to us."
(7)

Note.—Indra wants to draw out the Rishis by attacking their most cherished ideals, and so he denies the reality of God.

"If he were not a niero name (an unreality), but is an embodied being like any of us, how could be possibly be present (on your theory), at the same instant, at all the sacrifices performed in countries widely separated." (8)

Thus assailed by the dashing waves of these atheistic words, advancing from the ocean of Indra, the Rishi-intellect stood firm as a rock, firm on account of its innate strength. (9)

"The Deity's form, unlike our bodies, is, endowed with excellent lordly qualities, and it cannot for a moment be compared with these." (10)

Note, -- Here the Rishis begin their reply to Indra.

"If, as you assert, there is no reality corresponding to the word Indra, and if you are prepared to apply the same argument to a pot, because that also is a word, then you will have to say that the word pot carries water, and that the word moon shines, which they manifestly do not." (11)

Seeing them sugaged in such discourse, enraptured in their praise of Hara, with tears in their eyes, and with atterance faltering, Hari (i.e., Indra) was pleased with them. (12)

Then He with the thunderbolt, Indra, manifested himself to them in his own form, radiant as the morning sun, with multitudes of celestials chanting his praise. (18)

Note.—One of the epithete applied here to Indra is sate-kratu, which has had a curious history. In the Veda, Indra is called so, because he is said to possess hundred powers or a hundred-fold might. When the meaning of Kratu became is later times to be 'a sacrifice,' the meaning of the compound was misunderstood as "one who has performed a hundred sacrifices; and a story was afterwards invented to make this epithet intelligible, viz., that the positive of Indra is attainable by any person who can perform a hundred accumedha sacrifices,

They bowed to him and sang Rig, Yajus and Saman hymns in his praise. Then Indra said "Tell me what you desire most, what you consider the most precions thing in all the worlds." (14)

They craved of him the knowledge of Siva (Sivajnans) as a boon. And he replied, "You may hear it from me; but let only a single person be my questioner, one who would be acceptable to the minds of all of my hearers." (15)

Then the revered Bharadvaja, the profound, and highly respected of the learned, stood forward as their leader, and put him (Indra) suitable questions,

"How did this highest wasdom some down from Mahesvara? What idea had the Lord in his mind when he established it?"

(17)

For the fulfillment of the ends of human existence, the ford bestowed this flawless (niemals) wisdom, characterized by its five courses. (18)

Note—Here Indra begins his reply, which continues down to the end of this Agams. The commentator explains that the nirmala juana is the Nada tattes and the other is the Sadasira tattes, which shines out in five directions, viv., the four quarters and the senith.

He created, in the highest path, the hight Maheevaras, to be described in the following verse as the objects of all Mantras and with them seven crores of Mantras also. (19)

Then be created the hundred and eighteen rulers within Maya, the Mantresvaras, equal in splendour to hose standing in the highest path. (20)

Note.—"The highest path," the commentator explains it as the "suddha marga." "Those standing in the highest path" are the Mahoevaras or Vidyesvaras mentioned in the previous verse.

The Lord who is manifest within all these (Mahesvares and Mantresvaras) proclaims this wisdom for the benefit of those who are competent for it; and for others, things that will suit them. (21) This wisdom sprung from Siva has been proclaimed at great length by innumerable Mantresvaras, and has been called the Kamika, on account of its granting all desires. (28)

From them learning it, Umapathi, who with the flery glance of his eye enveloped Kama (love) in flames, taught it to me in eleven thousand verses. (23)

Note.—Bhave is the name of the Rudras, who are eleven in number. So bhave sommitaih—measured by the Rudras, i.e., eleven thousands.

So abandoning the prolizity of the original :eaching, I shall condense this stainless wisdom into Sutras pregnant with meaning, for the most part consisting of the original words themselves. (24)

M. NARAYANASWAMI AIYAR.

DID SANKARA WRITE A COMMENTARY ON THE SVETASVATARA UPANISHAD:

One noticeable feature in some recent discussions about South Indian chronology is the attempt made either to bring down hankara to a very recent date, to put some of the South Indian Saints before him. both it weems to me on very insufficient grounds. The former attempt had always seemed to me the more entenable of the two; and as it was mainly based on the fact that numerous quotations were to be found from some of the Puranas (presumably modern according to Western scholars) in Sankara's Bhashya on the Svetasvatara Upanishad, I was led to examine the Bhashya itself. My first glauce was enough to disclose to me a remarkable feature, the extraordinary length and number of the quotations, the prasthavana alone, sixteen pages in length, containing about thirteen pages in all of quotations, from such books as the Vishaudharma, the Linga, Brahma and Vishna Puranas, and the Parasara Smriti. This by itself is such an unusual thing with Saukara, that I ed to doubt whether the Bhashya was really I was forced to leave this in abeyance, since Prof. Max Muller seems to have no doubt that it was really Sankara's work, from the way in which he refers to it in the preface to his translation But recently, while turning of the Upanishad.1 over the pages of the Anandasrama edition of the Upanished, I lighted upon the Sanskrit preface which usually contains nothing but a bare list of manuscripts consulted, and to my surprise I saw that the Anandasrama pandits also had come to the conclusion that the Bhashya was a forgery. As the facts upon which they base this opinion are scarely known and as they are expressed in Sanskrit, I shall here give them together with a few more facts I was able to gather myself.

¹ Secred Books of the East. -- Upanishada, Vol. 11, pp. xxxii, xl and 386.

The first reason as I said before is the length and numbers of the quotations from the Puranas. Sankara, as may be seen from his commentaries on the other Upanishads and on the Brahma Sutras, never quotes at any length from the Vedas even, and with the axception of the Gita and the Sanatsojatiya, very rarely indeed from the Puranas. But the more important fact is that he never quotes more than two lines or three at the most, whereas in the Bhashya in question, we have nearly three quarters of the prasthavana consisting only of quotations, and these mainly from the Puranas, which Sankara is always very chary of quoting.

The second point is (though it may not have very much weight by itself) that Anandagiri who from his habit of always following up Sankara with a commentary has been called an incarnation of Nandikeswara, while Sankara was made an incarnation of Siva, has not written a gloss upon this.

Third. Dhanapatisùri, the author of the commentary called Dindima on Vidyaranya's Sankaradigvijaya, when commenting upon the words उपनिष्क दामयुज्जहार भाष्यम् enumerates only the commentaries on the ten Upanishads, beginning from the Isa and ending with the Brihadaranyaka, as Sankara's work. (Chap. VI. Sl. 61). And in the next Sloka Vidyaranya mentions only the commentaries on the Gita, Sanatsujatiya and the Nrisimha Tapini Upanishad. If Sankara did write a commentary on the Svetasvatara, it would be strange indeed if such a warm admirer and follower of his as Vidyaranya were to amit all mention of it.

Fourth. Narayana who seems to have written Dipikas on a large number of the Upanishads, always quotes from Sankara, wherever a commentary written by him is available on the text he is commenting upon. This may be readily seen from his dipikas on the ten principal Upanishads. But in his dipika on this Upanishad alone are there no quotations from the Bhashya as we have it.

Fifth. Moreover Narayana is in the habit of styling himself "Sankaroktyupajivina," one who lives by Sankara's words,' in the concluding sloka of his dipikas, whenever there is already a commentary by Sankara on his text. But in his dipikas on the Hamsa and other Upanishads, wherever there is no commentary by Sankara, he simply styles himself "srutimatropajivina," one who lives by the sruti alone.' And in the dipika to this Upanishad he only styles himself the latter way. There would be no reason for his departing from his usual course, if there were a commentary by Sankara.

Sixth. Still more cogent is the proof we get in another part of Narayana's dipika. When commenting upon Rik. 20 of the sixth Adhyaya, to support his

interpretation that the verse inculcates the worship of God as superior to everything, he quotes Sankara's comment on Gita 18-66, where the present verse itself is cited by the latter. Narayana need not have gove so far to find an authority for his opinion, if he had another at hand in the shape of a Bhashya by Sankara on the Svetasvatara.

Seventh. The compact and vigorous style of San-kara is nowhere to be seen in the Bhashya. There is not in this the unity and closeness of thought which makes his style at once recognisable; it is a loose, incoherent mass, eked out into seeming fulness by its numerous quotations. There is no greater evidence of poverty of thought than this weaving in of quotations, and in this species of literary parasitism our Bhashya seems to be a masterpiece.

If we also take into consideration that in many places, views are advanced which are radically opposed to Sankara's, we have, I think, a fine piece of cumulative evidence, if not indeed to disprove that Sankara was the author of the Bhashya, yet enough to throw a considerable amount of doubt on the accepted view. If what we have said is true, then a good deal of speculation lately indulged in, that many of the Puranas hitherto considered very modern are in reality much anterior to Sankara, must fall to the ground, since only in this Bhashya are any such quotations found.

Blugavad Gita, Anandasrama edition, p. 521.

- 5 Here is a point which I may bring to the notice of our Saivito friends. There is an alternative reading "Siram" for "Devam" which appears in the text. This reading is given by Vignanablikshu also as an alternative. Prof. Max Muller is mistaken in saying that Sankarananda accepts only the latter reading. As a matter of fact he reads only "Devam."
- 4 I have not the time nor the space to work out this point fully. I shall try and take it up at some future time. It would be better if any of our readers were to attempt it. The Upanishad itself is a pretty stiff thing to make out the relations of.
- I do not mean to say that many of the Puranas are later than Sankara, and that the matter here set forth-can in any way settle the vexed question of the age of the Puranas. The only service which the point mooted in this paper can do, will be to save inferences being drawn from the quotations in the supposed Sankara-Bhashya on the Svetesvatara, which would only envelop the main question as to the dates of the l'uranas in more confusion and darkness. For instance there is a distinct account of Sankars in the Padma Purana, where he is said to be an incarnation of Siva, who appeared in this form to mislead people. But it could not on that account alone be contended that the whole Padma Purens is later As is quite common in Sanskrit literature, the perhaps very small kernel, out of which has developed the hage supers ructure of the Pacma Purana in its present form, might reach back even to Vedic times. A rich harvest awaits any one who can verify the Puranic quotations in writers of ascertained date, by reference to the books now existing.

M. NARAYANABWAMI AIYAR.

² Narayana's Dipika, p. 27. Anaudasrama edition of the Svetasvatara.

CHAPTER VII. THE THIRD TABLE ACADEMY. From about 1750 B.C. to 100 A.D. ITS KARLY HISTORY.

The third and the last famous Tamil academy was convened at Madura, our modern Madura, that stands on the southern back of the river Vaigai, The Marar, that is, the Pandiyan sovereigns, removed their metropolis from Kapadapuram, after the extinction of that city by the deluge, to Madart. The Panidyan kingdom was indeed the land of grace as it was in the eves of the sage Manikkavachakar. The splendour of the city has been the theme of several classical works, such as uffurite, apares 66, Periuparris and sineci. Many of the compositions of this Academy are still in existence. though several of them yet remain unprinted. The sessions of this literary bench continued, on the authority of Nakkirar, for eighteen centuries and a half during the reigns of 49 sovereigns, the first of whom was lame as it appears from his name Mbdattirumaran; the last king was Ughrapperuvaludi in whose time was composed the sacred Kural which may be styled as the universal national code.

There were 49 academical seats in which the Professors, sat while engaged in the investigation of Tamil Literature. Thus the number of Professors who, at any time during the sessions, presided over the college, was fortynine. The poets whose names are found in 'The Garland of Tiruvalluvar', were the Professors of the college during the last years of the academy when Tirukkural was brought before them for receiving the much-coveted recognition at their hands. Innumerable poets of different vocations flourished during this period. Scattered poems from their hand are collected in compilations known as allowing and application. Works of guidance were Ahattiyam, Tolkáppiyam, Māpurānam, Isainunukkam, Bhūtapurānam and Putiyametpam.

The charm of the Tami! tongue has become a thing of the past for want of fit literary geniuses to wield its splendour; if considerable difficulty is experienced in trying to understand the beauties locked up in the classical works, such as Kalittohai and Pattuppättu, it is needless to account for the dearth of literary geniuses in Tamil. The Tamil language was indeed a bug-bear to the Professors themselves during the closing years of this academy, as we shall see lateron. In the eyes of Manikkavachakar, a Brahmin

sage, the two most difficult studies that bring physical weakness to the student were Tamil and Music. Further the importance of Tamil Literature is emphasised by sages such as Tirumülar. Jāānasambandhar, Appar and Sundarar the last of whom speaks highly of the Tamil proficiency of the child sage Jāānasambandhar. It is impossible to give any connected account of the working of the academy especially at its beginning.

The sessions undoubtedly should have begun before the Great War enshrined in the Maßabharata, as one of the poets of this period whose poem is found in Puranautru, a compilation of this academy, extols the munificence of the Chola sovereign who entertained the Pandavas and the Kanravas during the War which issted for eighteen days.

The study of Saugam works lays bare the fact that in times of remote antiquity flourished Karaikkal Ammaiyer, the Demon Devotee and Poetess, whose career thrills the reader with religious awe; Kannappar, the Hontsman, who plucked an eye of his to stop the bleeding in one of the eyes of a Sivalingam : Chandesvara, the Brabmin cowberd, who did not consider it a sin to cut off the feet of his impious father when the latter sacrilegiously interfered with the full-hearted devotion of his child whom God Siva condescended to adopt as his beloved son after the child lost its terrestrial father; Sakkiyar, the Buddhist, who in his heart of hearts was a Saiva and threw stones at a Sivalingam without fail everyday before dinner and obtained salvation by such a devotional service; Kocchenkannar, the renowned Chola Emperor; Murti Nayanar, a shepherd, who when prevented from buying sandlewood rubbed his elbow on a Sandle-Stone till it ran blood and thereby acous ed divine grace; all flourished about the beginning of this period or some a little earlier. The histories of these esints can be seen in the Periyapuranam of Sekkilar, a work of rare importance in Tamil. As the Demon Saint, Kassikkal Ammayar, was a postess as well, we begin to delineate her career.

CHAPTER VIII, Ka'raikka'l Ammaiya'r.

Karaikkal Ammaiyar whose real name was Punitavatiyar was a well educated Saiva lady of the merchant class. Her father whose only daughter she was, gave her in marriage to a man of the same caste, and the young pair lived at his own residence

at Karaikkal. The young husband looked after the trade affairs. On a certain day, he was presented with two choice mangoes of high value by a person who came to him on business. He sent the mangoes to his wife. Just then there came to the lady a man whose hair was silvered over with age with apparent signs of great hunger. His plight enhanced by his sincere love to God moved the heart of the lady who was naturally of a tender bent of mind. The dinner was not, however, quite ready and the hungry guest could not be made to wait any longer. So the lady served him with cooked rice which was the only preparation ready and also with one of the sweet fruits. The old man had a hearty dinner, and thanked the lady and went away. The husband who came home shortly after, was served with the remaining fruit while at dinner. As the fruit had a highly relishing taste, he anxiously asked his wife to serve him with the other fruit also. Not knowing what to do she went inside as though to fetch the fruit and sincerely prayed to God for a fruit. Divine grace surely dawned on her and her request was complied with, and the devout lady placed the fruit on the leaf. The unusually sweet and indescribable taste of the fruit roused a sort of suspicion in the man's mind who, then, requested her to explain without reserve the real origin of the fruit. The devout dame, who could not hide anything from her wedded husband, explained the secret origin of the fruit. The husband who was astonished at her account of the fruit went to the length of pressing her to fetch one more similar fruit by divine favour to prove her veracity. This also she undertook to do and Siva in her critical moments shewed His Divine grace again and a fruit similar to the last appeared in her hands which however, on being presented to her busband mysteriously disappeared from his hands. Reverential dread for his wife immediately got an upper hand in his mind and the man began to consider her as a celestial being too high to be his wife and waited for an opportunity to separate himself from her. On the pretext of making a sea-voyage on trade-business, he left Karaikkal and resided at Negapatam marrying a new wife. He was blessed with a female child to whom in honor of his previous wife, he conferred the name Punitavati.

The relatives of the forsaken lady on learning that her husband was at Negapatam conducted the lady to his house and presented her to him. The husband at once got trembled and went inside and brought his wife and his child and all three prostrated at the feet of the Divine Lady. He explained to the bewildered relatives the mysterious dignity of the dame and asked all of them to do her homage Being made husbandless, the Divine Lady thought that her beautiful form would be a hindrance to her devotion by attracting lusty eyes upon her and so: she applied fervently to God, whose favour was always within her easy reach, to deprive her of the charming form and present her with the skeleton form of a demon. To the ast unding admiration of her relatives, she shook off her flesh-bundle and became at demon through the grace of Siva; her bewildered relatives witnessed this miracle and sent down streams: of tears. She began to compose verses of adoration: on Siva styling herself as 'the Demon of Karaikkal.' She is said to have travelled to the sacred Kailasam with this form and on reaching the Holy Mount, she ascended the steps by walling on her head. God was exceedingly pleased with her unbounded and unparalleled devotion and condescended to style hereas 'Ammai' that is 'mother.' She is considered immortal and is supposed to witness for ever the Divine Dance of Siva at Tiruvalankadu a Saiva Shrine some miles from Madras.

She is one of the sixty-three canonised Saiva saints whose names are immortalised in the Versified List of Devotees furnished by the sage Sundarar and known as the Scipanom Light of Arms. She styles herself as anomedational (the Demon of Karikkal) in a certain place the says that she is one of the Demons (Historia) who surround Siva.

Her history, from its very nature, dazzles our understanding; but facts are stubborn things and there are more things in heaven and earth than are dreamt of in our little philosophy.

Her literary productions are all adoratory, being encomiums on God's (Siva's) glory. These are. Example is a Cod's (Siva's) glory. These are. Example is a Cod's C

Brands B. We subjoin a few selections from her works:-

 சா சைய்வா நில்வ பெளர்கேற்ற கூடு மனத்தாத்தும் சொன்கு ஆற் — மேஜி மந்த சது வரழ்கான மண்ணவத் தென்றம் சிறவது வர்கும் சேசன்,

[The Lord eternally frees from birth on earth, those who consider that nothing transpires without His grace and thus with much humility speak His glory and lead a life without forgetting Him even for a moment.]

- இவியோ சாரும்க் நோ மிறையை கும் சேர்க் தோக் இவ்வோ சிட்சிக்கோ கெற்சே — விளியோர் விளக்கட்டு யாக்குவிக்கு மீனாப் பிறவிக் கியாக்கட்டு கிர்ந்தேன் சாஸ்.
- கொம்சின்மே ச் பசசத்துக் கொண்ட குழகன்றன் அம்பகள் பேனி யதமுன்னஞ் — செம்பொன் அணிகரைசே, பேசதும் செடியாணிச்தால் கென்வி மணியரையே பெரது மறித்து.
- அடிபெர்ற் பாதானம் பெரு முடிகள் முக பெரின் மாழுக்கு பேரும் — கடக மதிர்தாறே கைபெரில் உள்ளிகைகள் பேரும் அதிர்தாறே மாற்கு தாக்கு,

(This describes the gigantic nature of the Divine Dance.)

CHAPTER IX.

The Saive religion is the most ancient creed of India and especially of Southern India. The fame of the important Saive sages of the Kaliyayam is immortalised by Saint Sandarar of the ninth century. The number of individual devotees who attained the feet of Sive is 63; eight of these were Tamil poets as well. These were,

- 1. Kirnikkiil Amenniyir of whom we have already given some account.
- 2. Tirumilar whose career we are to sketch in this chapter.
 - 3. Appar one of the Saiva reformers.
- 4. Timphinusumbandhur another Saiva reformer and the most distinguished manng the saints for precordins wisdom and grace.
- 5. Aigetihai Kadacackan a famous Pallava king and saint,
- 6. Cheraman Peramal a Cher. king and colleague of Saint Sundarar.
 - 7. Kariyar

The same of the sa

8. Saint Sundarur.

All these but the first two lived subsequently to the Sangam.

It is impossible to settle the times of yogic of whom Tirumflar was one; but there can be scarcely my doubt of their extreme antiquity. There are eighteen yogis of Tamil celebrity and these should have been living in ancient times. Tirumflar and Idaikkådar rank very high as poets; we give them a place about the beginning of the Third sangam, though their protracted Yogic career brings them to be contemporaries of Tiruvalluvar who flourished about the last years of the academy.

Tirumular whose original name is unknown was a Yogic sage of the North. Bent upon paying a visit to Agastya at his residence the Mount Potigai, the sage was making a tour towards the Southern India. After worshipping several Saiva shrines on his way he came to Tiruyav dutural and resided at the shrine for some days. On leaving the spot he found the dead body of a shepherd by the name of Mülan, surrounded by a thick crowd of pitying cows which licked the corpse. The pitiable attitude of the cows which are considered to be the most sacred of animals, touched the heart of the yogi, who at once sutered the corpse and thrilled it with life to the great joy of the cows, preserving his own flesh-coat in a pit in a tree He conducted the cows to their respective houses and came back to the tree in search of his body. To his great disappointment, he could not find his body; nor was this all; the wife of the dead Mûlan approached the sage who was in her husband's bodily garb, with amorous intention. He showed aversion towards the lady. She was ,however, comforted by her relatives and the sage was allowed to parsue his devotion undisturbed. Ever since this metamorphosis, he was known as Tirumular.

This eminent man sat in contemplation for an enormous period, 3000 years, and at the expiry of each year gave out a stanza; and thus when he got out of Samidhi, there were 3000 Stanzas on divine philosophy, the whole collection of which is known as Tirumantram. This forms the Tenth Book of the Saiva Bible. The Tirumantram contains as it were the essence of the Saiva A'gamas. The collection is noted for the lofty ideals of religion it preaches. We subjoin a few of the verses to serve as specimen.—

 அன்புத் சிலரு மிரணடென்ப சதிலிலா? அன்பே கிலமான தியாகு மறித்திகா?

அன்பே கிவமாவ இயாரு மறிக்கபின் அன்பே கிவமா பமர்க்இரு ப்பாரே.

[The ignorant say that Love and God are different; few know that Love and God are one and the same; if all know that Love and God are one and the same, they will melt with Love and ever enjoy beatitude].

This stanza preaches the highest divine philosophy; there is no other road to beatitude but sincere Love to Him. What that sincere Love is is described in the stanza subjoined.

 என்பே விறகா பிறைச்சி யறத்திட்டுப் பொன்போற் கனலிற் பொரிய வறுப்பினும் அன்போ மருகி யகங்குழை வார்க்கன்றி என்பொன் மணியினே பெய்தவொண் ஹதே.

Austerity, however severe it might be, does not indicate true Love; to attain God the heart should melt with Love.

 பாவர்ச்கு மாமி நை வர்க்கொரு பச்சிலே யாவர்க்கு மாம்பசு விற்கொரு வையுறை யாவர்க்கு மாழுண்ணும் போதொரு கைப்பிழ யாவர்க்கு மாம்பிறர்க் இன்றுமை தானே.

[It is within everybody's ability to sprinkle a few leaves on God; to give a handful of fodder to the cow; to part with a morsel of meals at dinner; and to speak kind words to every one.]

This stanza shews easy methods in religion and morals.

4. மரத்கை மரைத்தத மெயுகையானே மாத்தின் மறைக்தத மாமதயானே பாத்தை மறைத்தது பார்முதற்பூதம் பாத்தின் மறைக்தது பார்முதற்பூதம்

This stanza describes the subtle relation between God and the Material World. To the materialist matter is the only entity; to the spiritualist God is the entity and matter is but a phenomenou.

In addition to Some seatises on medicine.

We shall proceed to sketch the career of the sage Idaikkâdar.

CHAPTER X. IDAIKKA'DAR.

Idaikkâdar was a yogi and was frequently moving in the society of the Professors of the Mrdura College. He is considered by some to be an incarnation of Vishnu just as Tiruvalluvar is considered to be an avutur of Brahma. On a certain occasion, he wanted to have an interview with the Pândiya, probably

Ughrapperuvaludi, to shew his literary prowess and get the admiration of the king. Through his friend Kapilar who was one of the prominent members of the academy he had an interview. But the king gave a cold reception and the irritation of the poet, according to puranic accounts, resulted in the evacuation of the God and the Goddess of the local shrine from Madura to a place some distance yonder north along with the Tamil academy. The wrath of the poet was subsequently appeased by the king and God Somasundara along with the academy came back to Madura.

He was a friend of Tiruvalluvar and accompanied the great dictator of morels on his way to the Madura Bench for getting recognition to his famous Kural. Idaikkådar extemporised on the merits of Kural in a distich which runs thus,

கூளைத் தொளேத்தேழ் உடிலப் புகட்டிக் குறைகத் தரித்த குறன்.

Idaikkådar contributed his mite towards the subjugation of the vanity of the Madura literati.

He was a great authority in Tamil; a grammar by the name of and what is attributed to him. Amirtasagarar the famous commentator on Kârihai, a beautiful and succinct treatise on Tamil Prosody, makes frequent references to the verses of Idaikkadar.

It is, to repeat again, a difficult task to give satisfactory accounts of yogis. The careers of yogis stand as stumbling blocks in the way of the chronology of Tamil poets.

others are considered yogis of Tamil fame; their diction seem quite modern but that can be no reason to bring them down to the later times. In Tamil the literary dialect and the spoken dialect were always marked by a wide gulf of difference. These siddhas purposely condescended to write in the spoken dialect of the masses as their aim was but the education of the people and not to shew their literary eminence.

We shall proceed to give some account of the compilation, Puranânûru, as it is the source of much information about the poets, kings and patrons who flourished in the academical times.

CHAPTER XI. PUBANA'NU'RU.

The Purananuru, as its name implies, is a collection of 400 small poems on Public matter mostly state

inflairs. The compilation is noted for its high historical value and the student of the history of Tamil literature will find it a rare bequeathment from the ancients about their social customs and manners. The Tamil public is much indebted to Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar, the Profussor of Tamil Literature, Kumba-konam College, for his indefatigable labours in rescuing this rare record from oblivion and decay.

The careers of the important ancient Tamil kings, patrons and bards of the academical times furnished by this precious book will be dealt with subsequently. The importance of the collection lies in its authentic portraiture of the habits and customs of the Tamil race about two thousand years ago. Some of these important customs will be dealt with herein.

Hero-worship was in vogue; on the death of a prominent hero, a statue, not however remarkable for its finish, was cut out from a stone and implanted on the public way. The name and prowess of the hero were imprinted probably below; a pandal of cloth was raised over the statue and adorned with peacock-feathers and garlands. Sacrifices were also offered.

People of the mountain regions offered sacrifices to deities for timely rain and for stopping it when there was too much of it.

Kings and chieftains who died of illness instead of dying in the battle-field were laid on the grass (films) and their chests were split with the sword, in order that they may enter the heaven of heroes.

The fortifications of the enemies were levelled to the ground and ploughed with asses instead of exen and wild seeds were sown over the soil. This is no doubt a barbarous revenge.

Corpses were burnt in most cases and somotimes huried in arms. These arms were known as thall (1-18) and sometimes as **copps**. Now and then burial-arms are met with under the soil near Tanjore and these are known as copositions. As the name signifies, men worn with long age, should have been confined in these mud pots, if we give credit to the popular belief. Protracted existence, which may be even 200 years of age, in the case of some abandman human beings, stifles the play of the senses but life does not become extinct. The bedy shrinks and the man is but a heap of flesh without any locomotion and cravings. Such beings, it is said, are softly laid within big mud pots and are worshipped. So the popular belief goes. The urns meutioned in

Puranahuru, do not however, seem to indicate such burisla.

Kings used to lower their broad numbrellas on the approach of Saiva shrines.

"The couch is blown at royal mansions at about the daws of day to wake the kings from slumber.

Weapons were greased with oil and decorated with garlands.

The Tamils believed in the potency of Karma which follows the doer in the next birth to make him enjoy its fruits. Persons who disbelieved in Karma (at a count = the result or fruit of deed) were despised. Atheists were abborred.

Women also were addicted to drink. The toddy pots were sunk under the soil or confined within the hollows of bamboo reeds to gain vigour.

Men of learning, though of humble origin were respected and adored by men of high caste. In fact caste was no barrier to the recognition of one's merits.

The kings used to conduct Holy sacrifices (ansa) for their good as well as the good of humanity. Such occasions were times of much holy rejoicing. Kings, lerds, chieftains, Brahmins, minstels and poets flocked on such occasions.

S. A. TIBUMALAIROLUNDU PILLAY, B.A.

(To be continued).

PADU-TAL.

1. Padu is not a sign of the passive voice. See Hakkanakkottu pp. 44-6, 54-5. It is preferable to treat it always as an independent verb, meaning to fall, fall to, in, on, off, out &c., a winch, upince, அன்பப்படன் கே., mean to fall into. இன் திதாற்பட்டத (Chintamani), Les (Kantam. Sinkamuka 29) are instances, in which padu means "to fall out"=to happen. இருக்கப்பட்டமனிரக் men who happen to be. புகி பிடிக்கப்பட்ட காப் is ambiguous. It means the dog which is used to catch, or be caught by, tigers. It is plain the passive sense is not in uic, but is inherent in all active verbs; for 40 24 is and is equally ambiguous. well a see up ares up au Doine winthe feet that ride the bull and the head on which rides the moon = the moon-ridden head. So is apssiule sigs ambiguous. But ap ull ersser = Sattan on whom blows fell. @ssiuc=

night fulling (i. e. at nightfall). கான் அடிபட்டேன் = கூடிபட்ட கான் = I (am that) on whom blow fell, like படி கடி கட்கு கழ் which means "the food for which cattle has toiled" or அடிபடல் may be taken as one word, meaning. I am beaten, like the Latin vapulo. என்றேல் வெயில் படுகின் நது. கழல் படுகின் நது கூரல் படுகின் நது கரும் படுகின் நன் கரும் படுகின் நன் கரும் படுகின் நன் கரும் படுகின் நன் கரும் கரு

2. Although there are hundreds of instances in which padu means "to come out", I do not remember one in which it is used in connexion with the sun in the sense of rising.

இரவி பார்க்கிலேன், முக்குப்பு வலென்பா கொகைப்பட்டான் (Rக்máyanam, Uyuttakândam Piramat 87). எவ்பு கொகைம் (ib Sundara 182). எற்பு பொழுதி விளைலோ முன்றில், வீழ்பூஞ் சேக்கை மேலினி திருக்க (Silappadhikâram U'rkân. Il. 83 and 85). ஏற்பட வருதி (Kurinjippàttu 1. 39). கத்ர்பட (Chintâmani Kemasari 92), கதிர்பட்டது (ib Suramanjari 37).

In all these instances it is the sunset that is meant. But it is a question if spun@ was ever used to express "sunrise", as it ought.

3. In distributing the natural day among the £2. (regions) Tolkâppiyar, following most probably the order fixed by Agastyar, lays down thus:—

"The evening for forest, night for mountain, வை குற கிடியல் for field, சற்பாற for sea coast and midday for desert."

4. On this rule there is much dispute among authors and commentators as to the meaning and application of ஊத்த விடியல் and எற்பாடு. I shall confine myself to that which concerns ஏற்பாடு, as the rest of the dispute is foreign to the subject in hand.

Nacchinarkkiniyar,* Nakkîrar the (supposed) commentator of Iraiyanar Ahapporul (the supposed work of Siva), the Ilakkanavilakkam, and the Ahapporul vilakkam understand "sunset" by the phrase sipuno. Sivajñana Swami insists that it means "sunrise" and produces copious arguments to prove that it should mean so. He has, no doubt, the best of the argument, but he is unable to quote a single author who uses the phrase in the sense of "sunrise".

- 5. Paduttal, the causative from padal, means "to fall." ஆற்றப்படுத்தல் = to make a man fall on the (right road) =, to introduce, to lead &c. மீன் படுத்தல் = to cause fish to fall into the nest = to catch fish; being the causative of மீன் படல். In the Kalittohai we have கூட்படுக்கப்பட்டாய். In the Râmâyanam. Uttara. அக்கினத் தடைப்படுத்து, சட்புமாலியைத் தடைப்படுத்தி, மண்ணைகப் படுத்தின். In the Kântam Sûran amaich. 115 உயர்படுத்தல்; Uyutta, second day 283 தானேயைப் படுத்தல். In all places the root-idea of the word is plain.
- 6. From this idea we get ∠∞ ∠ (war) in which the nature of the principal act seems to lie in the letter.

 ∴ There are innumerable words of two and three letters ending in 4., 6 or ∞ ⊥ in all of which there is some act perceptible of a nature causing some inconvenience or hurt.

THE LETTER &

- 1. The second rule in தொல், எழுத். நூன்மாபு is explained by Nachchinarkkiniyar thus :—
- "The dependent letters are the short , short and the aspirate called the three-dotted letter. The aspirate is described as three dotted, in order to show that its shape is formed by three dots. Modern scribes however write it without the central dot. The author describes its shape, because it is unique and does not form syllables by taking a vowel after it as the other letters of the alphabet do. As this letter is designated as one formed of dots, it follows that the other letters of the alphabet are formed with lines."
- 2. Sivajñana swâmi, as is usual with him, finds fault with this explanation (Virutti p. 27) and insists that the expression dotted-letter applies to the short and the short as well as to the aspirate: for, he says, anciently all short vowels were destinguished from their long sounds by placing a dot over them. But in* his commentary on the Nannûl, for I take it to be more his than Sankaranamacchivûyar's, he admits (aus. aus. 35 a rule taken over from Osca) that the original shape of the aspirate was one of three dots and that it has subsequently undergone a change. Evidently the remark in the Virutti is an afterthought.
- 3. Under Nannûl எழுத். எழுத் 5, the Swami quotes the following Kural Venba of Valluvar,

It appears the writer here evinces prejudice and dogmatism.
 Ed.

[•] Here again has the writer sufficient proof for his statement ?— Ed.

Apple Armille Bore Alberta. Outer Omertial with

a an instance where the aspirate is an a.d. ., i. e. forming a syllable with a vowel after it. e the aspirate a.d. *Good is "ha" forming the door "wha". Under the above cited rule 85, there is sed a Kural venba which runs thus:—

செல்லி சீக்கிரும் ஓட்கிய வதர் இவல்கு முத்தி விளம்,

he double aspirates introduced before and & evidently intended to save the Oca . For and see, being each a we, require the mc ? in each line to begin with a der. But and as which begin the second fi are each si. But 2si can only come after a sen or is. To make donand and source a cris or is one of the two aspirates in each word should be nounced a "ha", as we ha .. and me ha .. ch are universate each, or det ba 2 and out which are and wie each. It would not have a sufficient to introduce one donly, for, Derie deta would still continue to be us, as no ler would take a single & as being anything but peopent. The only remaining view that may be en is to consider the sand to be separated n the adjacent letter of and to acquire the status figures. But the same end might have n attained by inserting an a after each so. cient poets however always thought that three sonan's coming together would take the same e as was occupied in pronouncing one vowel. Be as it may, there is no doubt A is ha in the owing instances.

வானுயர் தவத்தி விற்கு மாகதன் பானே யக்கை மேனைக் வரிது தோர்கான் விண்ணவர் பகைகுர் தங்கள் கோனிட் அமாரன் போதுக் குதுகிர புணர்து பென்கு ஆனதற் கொச்த வாத என்றென கடைத்து கின்குள், (Kénta. Dakeh. Kayamuka utpatti 21) தூறித குதிசை யாகுமிறை பெண்ணிக், பாசம்பு சின்றதொரு பான்மையட்டிருக்கு வரசாறு மாகமிசை மாவிகையு மாமாக், கீட்பது மாமாவி வக்கதுமன் கிழும் (ib 188) The seansion is 3 கினக்சாய் and a தேமா, 'கண்ணெனப் பிசை மூன்ற காவளர் கிவி காமர் கிண்ணிகாச் சுடின் பேசியில் வெய்யக்கொடித்தின் பர

மண்ணிறுக் இருகோ சீக்குக் வடைபூறி இக்கை மன்கு." (Chintamani. Mantirasalai 30) The scansion is design. Open. Serie. as. Cymr. Now, having an undoubted ha in the language, I see no reason why we should not regularly form w, e, p⁴, &c., with it for the last letter of the series. We feel their want in our intercourse with foreign nations an intercourse which must last till the end of time. And I would go farther and say that the time has arrived that scholars and governments should seriously think of introducing new characters into the Roman and native alphabets, in order to render transliteration easy from one language into another. There is hardly a native language that can spell the Queen's name without mutilating it. What a shame!

I am aware that I shall be met by the ready reply that it was not usual for nations to enlarge their alpahets for the sake of adopting foreign words. But it must be remembered that we are living in a progressive age and that what was sufficient for other nations is not sufficient for us. Language using the Roman characters are so prevalent in all parts of the civilised world that it is necessary that they should be made competent to express all the shades of sound that are known to all the other languages with which they come in daily contact. It will, of course, be optional to scholars to master only such additional letters as may be necessary for the foreign languages which they may have occasion to study. An accomplished European scholar is more often restrained by the uncouthness of the alphabetical systems of foreign languages from acquiring them than by any idiomatic difficulties that exist in them.

C. BRITO.

THE PROBLEM OF EVIL-NO. V.

28. The world looks on prosperity as "good" and adversity as "evil," but if properly viewed, the one leads into the other, and even, the reverse may be the case. If "dolce far niente," i.e., it is sweet to be doing nothing, labour is bitter, but sweet do-nothingers will find that to be such occupation as to be placed in a dungeon; from which even such a mind would busy itself with schemes of escape. To be lasy is to be dead, "Labour" is the source of all the good in the world; labor ipse voluptas, i.e., labour itself a pleasure, an antidote to dolce et niente. While taxiness brings in adversity, labour brings in prosperity. In the famous Sanskrit Book, naishadha-likdaya, it is said that prosperity ill-used in

really adversity, " so as a satisfied as a size and vice versa, adversity well-need is really prosperity. The great philosopher Francis Bacon lay down the wisdom culled from the experiences of the world, that —

- (1) "Good things which belong to prosperity are to be wished, but the good things that belong to adversity are to be admired."
- (2) "Certainly, if miracles be the command over nature, they appear most in adversity."
- (3) "The virtue of prosperity is temperance, the virtue of adversity is fortitude."
- (4) "Prosperity is not without many fears and distastes; and adversity is not without comforts and hopes."
- (5) "We see in needleworks and embroideries, it is more pleasing to have a lively work upon a sad and solemn ground, than to have a dark and melancholy work upon a light-some ground." And,
- (6) "Prosperity doth best discover vice, but adversity doth best discover virtue."
- 29. Curiously enough in the Aryan account of the Churning of the Ocean, the Gods on one side, and the demons (Daityas) on the other churn the Mountain Meru; that amongst the products from the vast milk-ocean there were both Amritam (nectar), as well as visham (poison).

மார் குறைவேறையை (Vishnu Purana. 1-9-97.) நிறிந்த கூறையை இருந்த கூறையில் குறையில் குறையி

30. Excess of pleasure becomes positive pain, and excessive pain, if experience does not warrant us as readily to posit, becomes pleasure, becomes at least unpainful. In this way, a state such as that of sound sleep which is neither a pleasure over a pain experience, may be postulated as positive pleasure, nay, more than pleasure, if gauged by the agreeable fresh feelings of one who awakes from after a sound sleep. Herbert Spencer in his Psychology says we have, indeed, occasional allusion to states in which "joy is almost pain," showing a perceived approach to this effect of excess. We have heard of deaths from excessive joy, as well as from excessive pain, deaths we have heard instances of, resulting from a big fortune suddenly falling to the lot of a poor man, and sudden reverses of fortune on a well-to-doman, producing a shock.

31. Pleasure derived by means of any of the ten perceptive as well as active organs with which we are endowed, if persisted in long enough, loses zest and passes a limit beyond which disagreeable feeling supervenes called pain. Herbert Spencer's lines on this subject are to the point :-'If I hear a sound of beautiful quality, an agreeable state of consciousness is produced; but if this sound is unceasing or perpetually repeated, the state of consciousness loses its agreeableness without otherwise changing. A glow of delight accompanies the sight of a fine colour; but after having the colour before the eyes for a long time there remains only the consciousness of its qualitythe delight is gone. Similarly, if I go on tasting something sweet, there comes a time when the gratification ends, though the sense of sweetness continues. Doubtless the sense of sweetness itself eventually becomes deadened; but the gratification gives place to nausea before this happens.' When we sit down with an appetite to our meals, there is a gusto, which gradually ceases; and when we have no craving for food, when we are sick for example, the very sight of it even produces disgust. If I go to a party in a room scented with sweet-smelling odours and flowers and foliage, from an odourless outside atmosphere, the first sniff of the perfumed air from within produces such exhibitation upon the nerves, that the consciousness after gradually waning, invests my east after remaining in the crowded room for sometime, with a refreshing exhibaration from the soothing outside breeze. How often have we not heard of head-aches in rooms impregnated with high scents, and the Indian ladies often complain of it of the strong-scented "champaka" flower which they are accustomed to wear in their hair. As an experience of extremes, you might have felt a freezing sensation by unknowingly dipping your finger in scaldingly hot water; and a burning (biting) sensation in extremely cold water. The Prince of Wales is said to have once been made by a scientist to dip his finger in a seething mass of lead (?) and not experiencing any warmth. Diseased people writhing in agony relapse into sleep, such that will not come usually to healthy persons, and even a complete forgetfulness of self, the unconsciousness, the coms. Death may thus well be called a cessation of all pain, the great Doctor who cures all maladies.

"Till Dr. Mors his visit make
To question you with quiet craft
And smiling says you'd better take
. His draught."

It was Epicures that taught that "life has no more evil for him who has made up his mind that it is no evil not to live."

32. Thus, persistence in one consciousness is not only impossible, but any attempt made therefor is attended

with disagreeableness. "The human mind, 'said Luther,'s like a draukard on horseback, prop it on one side, and it falls on the other."

Lord Lytton in his 'Strange Story' says: "that which is morey to myriads may seem hard to the one; and that which seems hard to the one in the pang of a moment, hay be mercy when viewed by the eye that looks on through eternity"—In other words, what may appear as will to our narrow view may produce lasting beneficial soults, and what may appear as pleasure may bring hout permanent injury; and that what appears crooked our limited view may be straight; and what is actually erable, to our perspective (therefore defective or deceptive) eight seems inclined. Let us take inspiration then rom on High, and cultivate implicit trust in the vast oul-soothing ancient spiritual lore banded down from sage a sage unaltered in sense or import.

33. The Divine Bhagavadgita, into which we wish the nader to get an ineight, formulating 3 kinds of pleasures, sars on our subject:—

్ ఖాజుత్విచ్చారు. తీవరం లోజుమైపిరశివరాడ్సి

Adhyaya XVIII. 354.

"Hear further, chief of Bharatas! from me The threefold kinds of Pleasure which there be, ... www.saiden.js chierosous.xs.a.

Do. XVIII. 86.

"Wherein who rolls assiduously thence Travels in sorrow auto the bitter and."

The above stanza is introductory to the three kinds of sensure to be next defined. The introductory however shows plainly that what to the ordinary world is exceptable as pleasure is but sorrow, for, the former, whatever other qualification it might possess, has that sevitable qualification, viz, transiency, and hence is to be sassed under sorrow.

'' ఈ త్రవ్యనికి మంత భరిణా మెడ్రార్బుల్లో పతం । తత్సులు సాత్వికాండ్రార్లో క్రామాత్రుల్లో పాదశంకి''

XVIII. \$7.

"That which at start like poison may appear, But proves nectar in results final; 'tis said, Is pleasure, Satva type, composing soul Enjoying it in conscience 'part from sense."

" విషయంద్రియనంయాగా ద్ర్వేద్రేస్తుత్తుత్తుం ! తరిజా మీవిముక తత్పులరాభున్నుతం!"

XVIII. 38.

"At first what seems as sweet and thus desir'd Proceeding from contact of sense with object, That pleasure which in end is kin to bitter, Pronounced is of type named Bajas. ఆయర్లోరాజ్లం నేద హాఖం జూపాకపార్కి? ! - శ్రీరారణ్యకారావేదం శిశ్రామనామాత్రం! "

XVIIL

"That pleasure which so termed soul-captivating At first, and all the time 'tis endured, Begetting sleep and sloth and disaster, Is cited as of type be styled Tamas."

Therefore,
" ### \$\forall \text{\$\forall \text{\$\frac{\fr

Bh. Gits. XIV. 20.

"Having orressed these 3 qualities."

or. "నుబత్రయడుతుంపొట్టర కన్ని)కట్టక కృత్తయందుకాతో? _____ యారియాకినయాక్సేక e s 12

Vishnu Purana. I. 7, 47.

"This is composed, Oh Brahman, of the 3 qualities, which are the 3 giant forces of nature; and he who mounts them, is he who is above the plane of sense, and has therefore passed into a region and a mode of life and experience, inexpressible in terms of the mundane existence, and ineffable nasmuch as no disquisition between good and bad is there admissible."

34. This interesting paper on will opened with the stalement that it is impossible to take any one thing from the 3 ms, material, mental, and moral, and put it down as absolutely evil. J. H. Kellogg M. p. in his "Man, the Masterpiece," heads a paragraph "Pain, a Blessing." This is the optimistic view it has been the purpose of this paper, in various ways to present to the reader. Dr. Kelloug says "when we violate a physical law, nature warms us that we must cease wrong doing, and mend our ways. If we might thrust any portion of the body into a fire without being burned, how long would we be likely to escape serious injury from this destructive agent, as the result either of neglect or carelessness? If we need the first binks of warning nature gives us, we may be protected from the grave injuries which often result from neglecting her warnings; but if we ignore the friendly warning of danger which nature gives whenever we go astray from the path of physical rectitude, in the form of pain, discomfort, or other unpleasant symptoms, nature after a time ceases to enter protest against the abase to which she is subjected, leaving the body practically defenceless against the exemies of life and health with which it is surrounded."

Physically; morally, "The dire consequences of sin are as much the result of the infraction of the principles which are the natural out-growth of man's moral constitution, as the pain which follows the exposure of the flesh to mechanical violence, or the action of a chemical agent, is the result of the violation of those laws which

relate to his physical constitution, and which governs the relations of his body to external things."

The Saints even courted pain. About this extraordinary portion of humanity we shall have a great deal to say, but for the present it is enough, in connection with the subject of this paper, to say in the words of Herbert Spencer "And the half-awoved beliefs very commonly to be met with, that painful actions are beneficial and pleasurable actions detrimental, has been, and still is, upheld by creeds which present for the worship of men a Being who is supposed to be displeased with them if they seek gratifications, and to be propitiated by gratituous self-denials and even by self-tortures."

35. In our own world, we have ideals of justice, ideals of repentance, and ideals of mercy—we see man judging man, and dispensing punishment. Question, the object? To convince the punished that the act for which he was found fault with was a wrong act, and the suffering was intended to convince him of this, so that he may not (1) repeat that act, and (2) become reformed, or in other words become a cleansed man, so that what the evil-doer looks upon his purishment as evil, is really an act of mercy looked at from the point of view of the ultimate good effects, i.c. looking back from the result. We are sojourners on earth, each with an environment, influencing him for happiness or misery, -and with yet a free-will to act in accordance or in harmony with ethical laws established by ages of experience as good for mankind. We so often suffer, and an astonished soul seeks for an explanation, and fails to find it within the small compass of a single birth. It doubts that there could possibly be a God of mercy. An analogy here would be useful, that of a troublesome Child who has got sick, will not take medicine, and looks upon its mother or the docter, say, as his bitterest enemy and tormentor. This is the child's view, but the position of its enemies (?) is diametrically opposite. They would much against the will of the recalcitrant child, force the medicine down its little throat. This is an act of mercy. Carrying this to the God-head, and considering that our little selves on earth are ignorant, blind and helpless, the trial which we are undergoing here -infinitessimally small, compared with eternity - is perhaps for our reparation and preparation to become fit for higher things. Is not so, education, and our school? Divine Mercy extended to Divine Love furnishes us with another explanation, and we begour readers to listen to it, not with their intellects morely, but with their hearts. In misery nere, we will imagine ourselves as chid or smitten by a merciful (fod. Instead of our fretting and feeling chafed, and turning back in revolt, we bow and bend with a weeping heart to Him, and tell Him that it must have pained Him and more to have had to, or been obliged to, beat his children

than the pain felt by them, and therefore we pray that the incident do make the reciprocal love all the warmer we can see that really our submission is a transference to Him of the feeling of our pain and an eternal debt is laid on His love. Will not the embrace between man and his God be all the closer, will not the union between the Child and the Mother all the firmer?

Saint Bhattar has sung :-

పిలేపక్స్)యాక జననిపరిభా గ్రామిజనా - హితసా)తోనృతాడ్డు భవతిరక భాచిత్రలువధీకి 1

కిమేతన్ని స్థ్యాసక్ ఇహజగర్స్మమంచితైపాతాయైర్విసార్య స్వ జనయసిమా తాతిదనిగా ॥

The first half of the stanza is to our point :--

"Oh, Divine Mother (symbol for the principle of Marry co-existent with the principle of Justice), thy Lover our Father, rarely on an occasion is angered with his creatures who have become saturated in evil deeds, angered through His wish to be kind to them." Thus the trial we have is the outcome of his wrathful kindness, or kind wrathfulness, to connect us, and evolve the "good" out of "our compound" of ages.

36. There is still another view. Somehow, say, we are put to a test. Are we cowards to escape it or are our Souls strong and courageous enough to stand it? Like the University examination, take it. He who passes is the victor. So then be this thought our consolation for all the things which we think as evil. If the one day's examination decides you for life, then the infinitesimally small span of the test-life is easily bearable for it promises eternity.

ALSONDAVILLE G.

(To be continued.)

LONGITUDE AND TIME AMONG THE HINDUS IN THE 12TH CENTURY A. D.

The earth revolves round its own axis. The time taken to complete a whole revolution is called a day. The day is then divided into 24 hours or into 60 madis for convenience of transaction. For this purpose the san may be taken as stationary. But it must be borne in mind, that in astronomical calculations, the relative motions of the heavenly bodies are all that are required, and the figures can be arrived at only by assuming the earth to be fixed and the san to revolve round it. Therefore, whether we believe with Aryabhatta that the earth turns round its own axis, or with Blaskara, that the sun goes a

daily round about the fixed earth, the basis of theoretical calculation being identical, there can be absolutely no difference in the results that are obtained.

The carth revolves from west to east, or apparently, the san travels from east to west, as we are every due deluded to imagine. The sun was therefore be high overhead in the hances at different places at If different times. Thus if we had the time of a certain place, say Ceylon, to be the standard, different countries will have moon at different times according to that truical clock. And this difference of time at noon will continue to be constant for all other times of the day or the night also. Moreover il a place X is to the west of Y, then, because the earth turns from west to cost the sun will be overhead first at Y, and then only at X. That the time at X will always be less by a constant quantity than that ut Y. On the other hand, for the same obvious reason, the time at %. a place to the east of Y, will ever be in advance, by a fixed difference, of the time at the latter place.

The interval between two consecutive culminations of the sun is a day; for, in a day, the earth will have made a complete rotation. Now if we divide the circumference of the earth into 360 degrees of longitude, and the day into 24 hours or 1140 minutes, we see at once that for every one degree of longitude between two places, the difference in time will be about 4 minutes.

Let us take an illustration. Taking the longitude of Ceyion, according to modern atlases, to be about 80° E and that of Japan to be nearly 140° E., the difference is 60 degrees and the time of day in the two places must vary by 240 minutes or 4 hours. If, therefore it is suurise or about 7 4 u. in Ceylou, the time in Japan will simultaneously be 11 A. M. or about midday. Again, the longitude of New York and Washington in America being about 80" W., the latter place will be almost exactly below the feet of an asternmer in Ceylon, and the langitudes will differ by nearly 100 degrees, and the times of the two places by 640 minutes or nearly 11 hours. Therefore, it will be sanset at New York when it is day-dawn in Ceylou. Again, the longitude of Italy may be roughly taken to be 15 R, and differs from that of theylon by 65 degrees. The gap between the times at those two places is therefore approximately 250 degrees or nearly 4! hours. Thus at sucrise in Ceylon, the time

in that western land of classical fame will be about 1 or 2 A. M., or very nearly midnight.

What wonder then that a Hindu astronomer of the 12th century abould have clearly intended much of what we have stated above, seeing especially that he worked with crude and rough materials on lines entirely different from those pursued by the modern professors of the West! I simply quote the stanzafrom Bhaskaracharya's Goladhyaya, and give what seems to me to be the true translation of the lines, leaving the reader to form whatever conception his fancy or his astonishment may warrant him to cherish;

ल्ड्रापुरेकेश्य यदोदयः स्यात् तटा दिनार्थं यमिकोटिपुर्याम् । अधस्तदा सिद्धपुरेस्तकालो स्यादोमके गाधदलं तदीव ॥

"When it is sourise in Ceylon (Lanka), it will be midday in Japha (Yamikoti), sunset in America (Siddhapura) down below, and midnight in Italy (Romaka)."

S. VANKAT PAMANAN.

MAGAZINES.

Prahaddle Hadrate . of August to hand. The number opens with ' Glimpees' which shows that God's mearanting Himself is not inconsistent with His infinitude. The second page is devoted as usual to the sayings of Rama Krishm. A visit to Kedar-Bashi is the next article which contains information of much use to pilgrims. A Palmyra Palm by Advaitin contains a sage's reflections on a palmyra palm. After marvelling at the surprising yieldingness of the palmyra tree as he recognised that not one part of all its vast growth but served a purpose, the sage turns to man and ends his reflection in the realisation of the divinity within himself. Don't-Touchism is a strong and reasonable protest against the popular attachment to caste-projedices, and the subscription ' Twentieth Century' is very significant considering the progress of il age. The writer classifies men according to their gamas and suggests that one's gone may be taken as the standard more than anything else. For, 'Satva corresponds to spiritual magnetism, Majas to animal and Tumus to its leaterat. He is not also without support from Sanskill bucks. A paragraph of his is, we think, worth quoting.

"Gooking, serving and taking first in company with others the findividuals of the species cating, have been enjoined to be discriminated. The person who properts and serves find, must not be

one, from whom the currents of cross-magnetism are likely to flow and charge and contaminate the food. So also in respect to the company with whom the food is eaten. Now, without entering into the worth or otherwise of this ides, we ask : Is this precaution. taken in the choice of the cook, waiter, and company now-a-days? Is the belief consistent with the present practice? The cook and the writer are as a rule drawn from the most uncultivated and lowset section of the caste, ninety nine per cent of whom are immoral and Tamasic in the worst sense of the terms. No thought is also taken or discrimination exercised in regard to the company at table (in the pamkti) if all of them happen to be of the same caste. But if a far pure and superior person of another caste cooks the food, or even does so much as to touch it, a Brahman cannot take it. He shall not also sit in the line. What is all this if not sheer and deliberate blindness? Where is the harm of eating at the same table (pamkti) with even a pariah, if he happens to be a pure and Satvic person? Some will say it is not usual for a pariah to be pure and Satvic. Well-Yes, nor is it usual for all Brahmans to be pure and Satvic now a days. Mind we are talking of the present ulons. Is it same to grovel in a pit for eternity, if it happened to have furnished security at one time? Is it rational to move for all time in a groove which chanced to offer firm footbold sometime, centuries back? Do the members of the four castes now answer to the same definition of their respective distinctions as they did before ?"

The last four pages are devoted to reviews and news.

The Herald of the Golden Age, the official journal of The order of the Golden Age, founded to proclaim a Message of Peace and Happiness, Health and Purity, Life and Power. This is an excellent monthly (Single copy-one penny) which does inestimable service in the way of preventing cruelty to animals in countries where vegetarianism and kindness to damb creatures are popularly regarded as crimes. The August number contains many interesting things presented in a very vigorous language. A New Form of chivalry gives an idea of how the order of the Golden Age has determined to faifil its aims. The Editorial Notes suggest to us some methods of belping the cause of the journal. It also contains 'a beautiful little sermon which some kind friend has sent me (the Editor). We must be in harmony with the beautiful and not at discord with the best within us. This is the message.

"Who is there that does not acknowledge that his own well-being consists, not exclusively in the absence of physical pain and the presence of the sources and conditions of physical pleasure, but also in the moral, spiritual, and emotional relations in which he finds himself with human beings, the animate and inanimate nature around him, and the all-embracing and all-pervading Spirit in whom we live and have our being?

The man who in advocating any line of supposed progress, finds himself attempting to benefit humanity by securing some degree of physical comfort at the expense of establishing a spiritual discord between man und his surroundings, should surely ask himself whether he is not misconceiving the assential conditions and objects of human action."

Besides there are news which helps us to understand how the world advances towards the Golden Age. Mr. Reynold's thoughtful letter which describes the work done in New Zealand is of absorbing interest. He says in his closing para.

"He is the world's saviour who invents a method or devises a means whereby millions of human beings may be put in possession of the means of life, for the great question with the mass of bumanity is not what to do to each other, but how to live. The great question with the masses is not simply the moral law, and

the higher code of nature, but what to feed their children with and I affirm that the destiny of any race will greatly depend upon what it feeds its people on. Fleshfoods demoralize and corrupt a nation. A mixed diet for a race, consisting of fruits, nuts, cercals and vegetable, elevate that race physically, mentally and morally."

'Six Month's Hard Labour' is a very instructive account of the life in prison-houses of London. The article deals minutely with everything that goes on in prisons—the hours of work, the supply of books, the general treatment of the prisoners etc. A comparison is also drawn between the British prisons on the one side and the United States prisons and the Continent prisons on the other. The Household Wisdom is a lesson on cookery.

We have also received, The World's Advance-Thought and The Universal Republic, The Theosophical Review, The Universal Brotherhood Path, The Lamp. The New Century, The Brahmavadin, The Arya Bala Bodhini, The Prasnottara, The Astrological Magazine, the Upanishad Artha Deepika and the Juana Bodhini.

EXTRAGTS.

We extract the following from The World's Advance Thought and The Universal Republic:—

The most beautiful spiritual lives may often be those that the world despises, because they are not governed by society's laws. What the world calls "failures" may often be grand spiritual successes.

An internal Heavenly state always creates an external Heaven, wherever one may be, here or hereafter; an internal Hell always produces its like externally.

One person who practices love and good will towards his neighbors has done more for the growth of Truth than ten thousand who preach about it without the practice.

When we have so trained our spirit, mind and body that we can at any time still all internal and external inharmonies in our being, stilling even our every thought, we then stand in the Holy of Holies, and can wield a power undreamed of now.

Ornate ritual in the worship of God is, to say the least of it, bad taste. You cannot ornament God. "To gild refined gold, to paint the lily, to throw a perfume on the violet is wasteful and ridiculous excess."

The greatest illusion of the material mind is the illusion that the body is the self. This delusion is the basis of materialism, of the misery and madness of the world. People work and slave and trample, and crush and hurry and rush, they have no time to wear a pleasant spirit, to clothe themselves in a seamless garment of happiness. When they smile, it is a formality; when they laugh, it is the cracking of a thorn; when they cry, the sound of their mourning is drowned in the roar of the surf, as the black current of misery sweeps them down to the sea of destruction. They struggle and strive; they crush out all finer feelings and better impulses; they quench the spirit which calls with love to a nobler life.

LIGHT OF TRUTH

- or -

SIDDHANTA DEEPIKA.

A Monthly Journal, Devoted to Religion, Philosophy, Literature, Science, &c.,

Commenced on the Queen's Commemoration Day, 1897.

MADRAS, OCTOBER 1900. VOL. IV. No. 5. पान्तीशवले कर्म मायाकार्यं चतुर्विधं। TRANSLATIONS. पादाजालं समासेन धर्मा नाम्नैव कीर्तिताः॥ (7)THE MRIGENDRA AGAMA. इति वस्नुत्रयस्यास्य माक्पादकृतसंस्थितेः। CHAPTER II. वर्षायोगिकवापार विनिदागीभिधास्यते ॥ (8) परमोक्षनिशसम्बरणं. बिनिये।गफलं मुक्ति भूक्तिरप्यनुषङ्कतः। अधानादिमलोवेतः सर्वकः सर्वविच्छिवः । परापर्शवभागिन भिद्यते तेखनेकवा ॥ (9)प्रविष्यत्वासितस्याणीः पात्राजालभपोहति ॥ (1) नेदान्तसांख्यसदसत्पदार्धकपतादिषु । त्रेपदार्थं चतुष्णादं महातन्त्रं जगत्पतिः। ससाधना मुक्तिरास्त काविशेषदिशवागमे ॥ (10)स्वेणकेन संग्रह पाह विस्तरकः पुनः ॥ (2) प्रणेत्सर्वविदिखानस्युटी वस्तुसंग्रहः । बगाजनमस्यितिध्वसनिराभावविमुक्तयः । उपायार सफलार तद्व हैवे सर्वमिदं वरं॥ (11)रूखं सकारकफलं ज्ञेयमस्यैतदेव हि ॥ (3) वेदान्तेष्वेक एवात्मा ।चेदाचेद्व्याकेलक्षितः। तेन स्वभावसिद्धेन भवितव्यं जगत्कता। आत्मैवेदं जगत्सर्व नेह नाना।स्त किंचन ॥ अवीक्सिद्धे अनवश्या स्यान्योक्षी निर्देतुकीपि वा॥ (12)(4) वैतन्यं द्विकयाक्ष्यं तदस्यात्मनि सर्वदा । प्रतिज्ञामात्रदेवैतानिश्वयः किनियंधनं । सर्वत व यती मुक्ती श्रयते सर्वतोमुखं ॥ अथ प्रनाणं तत्रात्या प्रमेयत्वं प्रतिपद्मते ॥ (5) (13)सदप्यभासमानःवात् तिमहद्वं प्रतीयते । यत्रैतदुभयं तत्र चतुष्टयमापे स्थितं। बदयी अना नृतवीर्यस्य सी अत एवा विमीक्षणात् ॥ अद्भेतहानिरेवं स्यानिष्यमाणकतान्यया ॥ (6)(14)

भी गसाम्याविमोक्षी च यी नेष्टावात्नव दिभिः । सांख्यतानेपि भिथ्यात्वं कार्ये कारणनुद्धितः । अकर्तभावात् भोक्तुश्च स्वातन्त्र्यादध्याचिद्वतः ॥ (15) इह समपदार्थासस्य जीवाजीवास्त्रशस्यः। संबरी निर्जरश्रीय बंधभीक्षानुभावापे॥ (16)स्यादादलांचिताथैते सर्वेनैकान्तिकत्वतः। तदेवसत् तदेवासादिति केन प्रभीयते ॥ (17)सदन्यद सदन्य च तदेवं सिद्ध साध्यता । अथचेत् सदसद्भावः सः।युक्ततरो यतः॥ (18)तःकर्मसंकाभयाद व्यापित्वं च ते जगुः। सानवित्रसंबन्धज्ञानाभावादचेतसः ॥ (19)षटपद र्थपरिज्ञानात् मिथ्याज्ञानं निवर्त्तते । रागद्वेषी नव्यंच तद्विशेषगुणास्ततः॥ (20)क्र क्यों पे निवर्त्तनते देहसंयोगजायतः । सा मार्किर्नडतारूपा ततो मुक्तइशनो न कि॥ (21)चिद्वयंज्ञकस्य कर्मादेः क्षणिकत्वान्महर्महः। व्यज्यते जायम नव क्षाणिकति मता परै: ॥ (22)तदसत् कर्मणी भे गादतीतानुभवस्मते:। स्थिति।नरन्वये नाशे नस्मृतेनीपि कर्मणः॥ (23)विनाशाव्यणां ध्योते न मुक्तावप्युपष्ठवः । नचासयनुभवः किथद् भवावस्था परं ततः ॥ (24) इसाद्यज्ञानमुदानां मनमाश्रिय दुर्धिय: । अपवर्गमभीष्यति खदीतात् पावकार्थिनः॥ (25)यत्कैवल्यं पुंपक्रसाविवेकाद् यो वा सर्वे बह्म एत्वा विगमः । या वा काश्चित्मक्तयः पाशवत्य स तास्ताम्सर्वा भेदभायान्ति सृष्टी॥ (26)डीवे सिद्धे भाति मध्नीतरेषां मुक्तर सष्टा पुंबरान्येति नाथः । विश्वानर्थान स्वेन विष्टाय धारना सर्वेशानानीरितर सर्वदा ते ॥ (27)

REFUTATION OF THE MOKSHAS TAUGHT BY OTHER SCHOOLS.

1. Siva, who is eternally free from Mala, who is the all-doer and the all-knower, removes from the soul the assemblace of Pasas (bonds) which entangle it and reverse its previous characteristics.

Note .- This gives the Pati-lakshauam or description of the first of the three categories, styled respectively Pat-(the lord), Pusn (the bound soul) and Pa-am (bondage). The Passin is three-fold. The first variety is Mala or A'nara, the primitive ignorance of the soul, by which he mistakes himself, who is by nature intelligent and all-pervading, to be ignorant and atomic (ane), and by which he identifies himself with Maya, the primordial germe, out of which develops the material world under the influence of the Lord's Sakti. This Maya constitutes the second Pasa, while the third is Karma, the meintand denierit of the soul, in accordance with which he is furnished with suitable environments and embodiment, in order that by experiencing the world, he may turn away from it and approach nearer and nearer to the Lord's grace. These three Padarthas or categories are eternal and distinct according to the Saiva philosophy; they also hold that the Atmas or jivas are innumerable. The Pati is described below in chapters 3, 4, and 5, the Pass in chapter 6, and the Pasa in chapter 7.

The primary cause of the soul's liberation is the Grace of the Lord, his Sakti, which falls upon him first in introducing him into the manifested world, that by experience gained there, he may be rid of his. Mala, and afterwards when his merit and demerit are balanced that he may be made one with the Lord. Both functions are generally called anguraha, while the latter operation of the Sakti is specifically styled Sakti-nioùta.

This verse gives in a succint form, the whole gist of the Saiviie philosophy, and all that follows may be considered merely as an elaboration of this stanza.

2. This great tautra, treating of the three caregories and consisting of four parts, the Lord of the Universe has condensed first uno a single. Satra, and he afterwards experience upon it at length.

Note.—"The three categories" or more precisely predictional, are the Pati, Pasu and Pasa above mentioned.
"The four parts" are called Charyà-pala, Kriyt-pâla, Yog-pâla, and Châm-pâla. The first wests of practical piety and the performance of prescribed duties and ceremonials, the second of the worship of God in symbols viz., pûjê, the third of Yoga meditation and practices, the fourth of the distinction of the three categories and the attainment

I the expresse Mise of Sive and of meness with him, these are the four stages in the read to liberation, manhading on m the next. They more or less correspond to arkara's Kurma, Bhakti, Yoga and Gunna Margas. The arkara's Kurma, Bhakti, Yoga and Gunna Margas. The airea books call them also, Dhen-Marga, Satpatra-Minga, the Marga, and Ban-Marga. "The single anten" is the set verse. Argues, has noted a sealer.

B. The creation, preservation, and destruction of the nurverse and the concealment and liberation (of the soul), together with his agents and the results of these, constitute the Lord's actions.

Note.—The first five are called his punchalitya, "Concealment" is the power by which he stimulates the section of the Passa, the effect of which is to hide from the count and only the Lora, but also its impact quantities of interfreence and omnipresence.. "Aroute" are his Saktimer powers which as a two, the Sakti, par excellence so unliked countains of lochs (desire), Kaiya (action) and Grana (knowledge) the other his Tiralhéna Sakti above referred to us "excercalment." The Best leads to the liberation of the soul directly, the other to bornege, but indirectly to present in also. "Results" are the purposes or each subserved by these actions, which are libera, or experiencing of objects by the soul, and Maksie, its liberation.

J. The Creator of the universe must be self-existent, for if it is held that he came into being at some point of time (a. e., owed his origin to a cause), there will either he the fault of a regressian and infinitum, or liberation itself will be without a cause.

Note .- The regresses and infinitable in this. If you escribe a cause to the Lord, then there must be reagined a cause for that cames and so on indetentely. So on the misciple of eronomy, new so often applied as a test of missisted hypothesis, you must stop at some point, which is just sufficient to explain all the phenomena under investigation. In Indian phikmophy, this is the most frequently west variety or the remarked as attended and agreement. The second alternative that liberation will be without a cause, follows from these considerations. The lard, who is the only independent cetity, and who is the controller and heres the apportioner of the claims and needs of all beings, having been removed by this theory from the pale of independence, all beings must come to an equal level. at I as such all must be liberated or all must be bound. Or we may look at the matter another any, and comelude that the notinated Being by where grace liberation was granted, having been rendered a limited one by this theory, liberation must be quite a limples and affair, if these abould be such a in ing as literation at all.

The next two verses describe the Pasa or soul.

5. Intelligence which is of the nature of knowledge (ynana) and retion (kriya) is always and completely a characteristic of the Atman. For in the state of liberation, we hear, it is all pervading.

Note—Strigate is generally used to indicate that the Veila declares so. I am not cortain whether that meaning can be given here, for this is the only place where such a word occurs in this Agama. And from some verses below it will be seen that the Upanishads are directly attacked. It is therefore very unlikely that it will quote as an authority the very things it stigmatizes elsewhere as ansoured.

- 6. Though this intelligence exists (in the Atma), yet from its not being manifest, it should be inferred that it is overnewered by some chatraction. And therefore fill it is finally liberates, the soul is anbject to one whose powers are not thus fettered (rin, the Lord).
- 7. The enveloper, the Lord's power, karma, and the work of May & these four are collectively known as the assemblage of Pasas. Their characteristics are evident from their names.

Note .- In this verse, the third rategory, Pasa, is described. "The sureliger" is A suca Malu which obsences and wile the soul's innate powers of action and knowledge (or vision), its Kriga and (inana-Saktis, " The Lord's Pomer" is his Tignillowa Bakti, which is also classed among the Pates, stone in stimulation. Maya in its work of observing the soul. Kurun" or action 14 petto-med the the who desire the results: It is in the form of ment and dement and it is eternal in a neverbeginning so tes. This is a fetter, for, as long as there is any desire for fruit and homes for action, the soul is bound to this world and his true nature will not become manifest. Mayer in the germ of the material universe. This is a fetter, inastruch as its products are objects of enjoyment for the mal, and it thus obstructs the true state of the soul from becoming sintent. The commentator gives a tandital derivation for this word; in his opinion it consists of the two elements are and got. It is called Maga, because in a mundane destruction it contains (mati) potentially within itself all the world, and again at a creation, it all comes (yali) into manifestation.

8. These three categories are treated or in the first pada 'the quina-poda'), and it will be taught below that these must also taken over into the charys, kriys and yoga padas also.

Note.—That is, it must be understood to the other parts of the A: avacalso, treating of rightcons acts, penancus, and yoga-practices, that he blusses there who perform such acts by granting them the due fruits if beir labours.

The final end of a knowledge of these three categories is given in the next verse.

9. Liberation is the result of this distinction, and bhoga (enjoyment or bliss) follows of necessity. These two are differentiated into various grades, rs higher and lower. 10

Note.-Vide note on verse 26.

- 10. The Vedanta, Sankhya, Sadasad-vada, Vaise-shika and other schools also teach, each one its own liberation, with all the means necessary for its attainment. What is there in the Siva-Agama, which specifically marks it out as superior to these?
- M. The authors of those systems were not omniscient, and as such they could not determine the nature of the categories (given in the Agama) So likewise their means (of salvation) and their ends (liberation) will be imperfect. On the other hand, in the Saiva school, all these are super-eminently good. The
- 12. Among the Vedantas, we meet with such statements as these:—"The Atman is one only, manifesting himself in forms sentient and insentient," "All this universe is Atman alone," "There is no plurality here."

Note.—These are quotations from the Upanishads, which the Agama stigmatizes as unsound. The Vedantas means the Upanishads, as may be seen from Kalidasa's introductory verse to his Vikramorvasiya.

13. This is but a mere assertion, it is certain; for what is the basis for it? If you say that the authority upon which you base it is also Atma, then that itself becomes the very thing to be proved.

Note.—The process of reasoning is this. The Vedantin asserts that the Atman arone is existent. When asked for the reason for making such an assertion, he replies that the Veda teaches him so and that he relies upon its authority. The Saivite asks, "You accept the one Atma alone as real; then tell me whether your Veda is the Atman or is different from it. If you reply that it is not Atman, then according to your own assertion that one Atman alone is existent and real, the Veda must be false. If on the other hand you say that it is Atman, then the Veda, which is your authority (pramana) becomes the very thing you wanted to prove (prameya) viz., the Atma. A thing surely cannot be both pramana and prameya, the instrument of proof and the thing to be proved, both in itself."

14. Wherever these two (proof and the thing proved) are found, there must also exist the four (the former two, with the knower and knowledge.). This will mean the abandonment of Advaita; Stuerwise there would be no such thing as proof.

15-A. Also, there must result (on this theory) identity of experience for all, and absence of liberation, two conclusions which would be very repugnant indeed to the Atma-vadius.

Note.—If there is only one thing real, viz., the Atman, then all things, being nothing but the Atman, must have identical experiences. Also liberation must take placesimultaneously for all. But as the Vedantins themselves accept the eternity of the Samsa: a, it must follow that none will ever be liberated. It is patent from verses 12 to 14.A, that our Agama endorses the popular view, viz., of regarding the teachings of the Upanishads as Ekâtmir.

15-B. The Sankhya theory also is false, because it mistakenly thinks a product to be the cause.

Note.—The Sankhyas hold that the primary cause and basis of cosmic evolution is the Prakriti, which according to the Agama, is only one of the products of the Kala Tattva. According to their own holding, Prakriti is the state of equipose of the three gunas, sattra, rajas, and tamas; hence the Agama says it cannot be unity. The Sankhyas themselves hold it to be insentient and inert. Therefore according to the general rule that whatever is inert and manifold, must be a product, the Agama concludes that Prakriti must be a product, and cannot be the primary cause of the material world.

15-C. And also for the reasons that it asserts that the enjoyer (or experiencer) is no doer, and that an inert thing acts of its own accord.

Nors.—The Sankhya theory is that the Purusha or soul is an experiencer merely, but not a doer. (It must be remembered that the Sankhyas postulate an infinite number of souls). This they explain by saying that the Purusha is neither bound nor liberated, but eternally free; and that the idea of its being an agent of a doer is an illusory one generated by the influence of Prakriti, in the form of the internal organ, upon it. By the same proximity of Purusha and Prakriti, the latter appears to be intelligent, which is not really the case. Liberation consists in the destruction of the false identification of Purusha with Prakriti, by which the Purusha thinks itself to be buddhi (intellect) manas (mind) etc. The following Sankhya authorities may profitably be quoted.

Sankhya Sutras.

व्यावृत्तीभयरूप:. (1.1.160). अक्षसंबन्धात्साक्षित्वं. (I.1.161). निसमुक्तत्वं. (I.1.162). औदासीन्यंचेति. (I.1.163). उपरागात्कर्तृत्वं चित्सानिध्याचित्साानिध्यास्

(I. 1. 166).

"It (the Purseba) is of such a kind that both states (of being bound and of being liberated) are excluded." (T. 1, 100).

"In consequence of the connection with organs, it is witness." (1, 1, 161).

" It is elementy free." (I. 1, 162).

"And, Suelly, suconcerned" (I. I. 163).

The earlier Souldge Korika also says :— तस्त्राञ्च विषयोग्रात् सिद्धं साक्षित्वमस्य पुरूषस्य । कैवस्यं माध्यस्थ्यं इष्टत्वमकर्तृमावन्यः ॥

(Karika, 1 ..

तस्यासःसंयोगादचेतनं चेतनाबदिव लिङ्गं । गुणकर्तृत्वे च तथा कर्तेव भवत्युदासीनः ॥

(Kariga. 20).

तस्मान बध्यते ब्हा न मुच्यते नापि संसराते कथित्।

"And from that contrast (before set forth), it follows that the Parasha is witness, free, indifferent, and is perceiving and inactive," (Karika. 19).

"Therefore, by reason of union with it (Purusha), the unintelligent Linga (Buddhi, Ahankara etc., down to the primary elements) appears to be intelligent." (Karika. 20).

"Verily, therefore, no Purasha is bound, or is emanciputed, or migrates." (Karika 62).

As regards the independence of Prakriti, we may quote the following :-

हेतुमदनिस्त्रक्रवापि सक्रियमनेक्रमाश्चतं किङ्कं । सावववं परतन्त्रं व्यक्तं निपरीतमन्त्रकं ॥

(Karika. 10).

त्रिगुणविदेशिक दिषयः सामान्यमचैतनं प्रसम्बर्धि । व्यक्तं तथा प्रधानं तद्विपरीतर तथा च पुणान् ॥ (Karika. 11).

"A discrete principle (any one of the effects of Prakriti) has a cause; it is neither eternal nor pervading (universal); it is active, multiform, dependent, predicative, conjunct, and governed. The undiscrete One (Prakriti) is the reverse."

"A discrete principle has the three gunas, is indiscriminating, objective, generic (or common), unintelligent, and productive. So also is Pradhana (or Prakriti). The Parasita is in these, as in those, the reverse."

This kurika is rendered according to Gandapada's interpretation. Vachaspatimisra interprets the last clause thus—"The Purusha is the reverse and yet also (in some respects) similar." Though this will ward off some objections, it does not seem to be the recognised interpretation.

16. Others postulate seven categories, vis., jiva, ajiva, darata, samvara, nirjara, bandha and moksha.

Note.—This represents a special school of the Jainas-I shall explain these categories by quoting from Prof. Cowelly Translation of the Sarra-darsans-sangraha. Jira. the soul, in its innate condition is pure intelligence; but in its other states, it is bound and happy or unhappy. So the Jaines assribe the co-existence of contradictory attributes to the soul, as in this verse, "Not different from knowledge, and yet not identical with it, -in some way both different and the same,-knowledge is its first and last; such is the seal described to be." This is their their peculiar sold cade, which holds that all things present a mingled nature of many contradictory attributes. Ajira, non-soul, is pure non-intelligence, matter which forms the object of enjoyment for the enjoying soul. Arrava, the issuing outward, is the impulse to action, with body, speech, or mind. It is of a good or evil nature. according as it produces merit or demerit. It is also somewhat differently explained as the action of the senses which impels the soul towards external objects; the light of the soul coming in contact with external objects by means of the senses, developing then into perception, etc. Arrave is also called yogu sometimes, Bandha, bondage, is when the soul, by the influence of "ruse intuition, " non-indifference," "carelessuess," and "sin," and also by the force of yoga (above explained), assumes various bodies in accordance with its previous actions. Sameara, restraint, the stopping of arrara, by which the influence of past actions is prevented from entering into the soul. It may be shortly described as restraint of the senses. Nirjora, self-mortification, by which the fruit of past actions is made to decay. The first step in this is the placking out of one's bair, which practice has given the Jainas quite a notoriety. In its highest form, it is the destruction of all desire. Mokska, liberation, is entire absence of all future actions, as all the causes of bondage are then stopped, and since all past actions are abolished by the mirjara described above. After this, some Jaines hold, the liberated one goes to the end of the world, the Alukabasa, from which he never returns, Others believe that he abides in the highest regions, absorbed in bliss, with his knowledge unhindered and himself untainted by any pain or impression thereof.

There are other divisions among the Jainas, some of whom postulate two categories only, others five, and others nine. For fuller information the reader must be referred to the Arhata chapter of the Sarva-darsanasangraha, and to Sankara's commentary on the Brahma-Sutra, Second Adhyaya, Second Pada, Sutras 33 to 36.

17. These are distinguished by their saydvada; and as such when they attribute uncertainty to every

thing, and assert that a thing is both existent (sat) and non-existent (asat) who can understand this?

Note.—Syâd-Vâda or Anekântika-Vâda is literally the doctrine of uncertainty. (Syâd—may be.) But it really is a doctrine of relativity expressed in a clumsy fashion; it is a rejection of the idea of the absolute. Thus this doctrine resembles somewhat the modern theory of the relativity of knowledge and the latter-day Agnosticism.

In the present verse, the Jaina is supposed to be asked whether be believes the soul to be existent before its connection with a body, or only afterwards. According to his $Sy\hat{a}d$ - $V\hat{a}da$, he must answer that it may exist before and also that it may not, without allowing even the possibility of an alternative. To this the Agama replies that uobody can understand his absurd answer. But their real meaning, according, to their own teaching, is that a thing is sat so far as the substratum (dravya) is concerned, and asat so far as the name and form (paryâya) are concerned, sat and asat being used in the sense of real and unreal.

For an account of their extraordinary Sapla-bhangi-naya, the reader is referred to the Arhata chapter of the Sarva-darsana-sangraha, and to Anandagiri's gloss on Sankara's Brahma Sutra Bhashya under II. 2. 53. I may give here the main formulae of this "system of seven paralogisms;"—(1) may be, it is; (5) may be, it is not; (3) may be, it is and it is not; (4) may be, it is not predicable; (5) may be, it is, and yet not predicable; (6) may be, it is not, and not predicable; (7) may be, it is and it is not, and not predicable.

18. If it is said that sat and asat are different, it only establishes our own conclusion. Even supposing his (the Jaina's) idea of sat and asat were true, his system is completely faulty because (of the reason to be stated in the next verse.)

Note. -- The Jaina is now supposed to explain himself by saying that before its connection with the body, etc., the soul is sat and after the connection, it is asat, and that thus the soul can be said to be both sat and usat. This, the Agama says, is just the opinion it is contending for. It must be noticed that the words sat and asat are here used in senses considerably different from what they had in the previous verse. There the meanings were "existent" and "non-existent" in which sense alone, the Jains generally use them. But here they bear the meanings true and false as used in the Saiva philosophy. Asat here does not mean non-existent, but merely false. deluding; and the word is applied to the soul when in connection with a body, which is a product of Maya, because then the soul is made to identify itself with the latter by its deluding influence. Hence the epithet asat which is usually applid to Mava only, is transferred to the soul when it falsely identifies itself with Maya. Sat, of course, means the contrary, viz., true, and, it is applied to the Supreme. More usually, the soul is said to be sadasad, because it partakes of the characters of both, sharing for the time the characters of what it is in connection with. In connection with asat, it appears asat and in connection with sat, it is indistinguishable from sat. This name is also due to the reason that the soul san equally have a knowledge of both sat and asat. But a clear distinction is made by the Saiva Siddhanta between the soul and the other two categories.

19. Fearing that otherwise there would result a blending (mixture) of actions for the soul, they hold that it is not all-pervading (or universal.). These fools do not understand that this (non-universality) is due to the soul's connection with something else viz., mala).

Note.—The Jainas hold that the soul is co-extensive with the body, for they argue, if it were atomic in size (as the Vaiseshikus hold) it cannot influence the whole body, on the other hand, if it were universal, all actions must be ascribed to it.

Now the opinion of the Vaiseshikaa is controverted in the next two verses.

20, 21. Some (the Vaiseshikas) assert that by a full comprehension of the six categories, ignorance is removed, and along with it, its special qualities, desire, hatred, and egoism; and that in due course are also removed those qualities produced by the conjunction (of the Atman) with a body. This liberation is altogether an inert state, and so is not the liberated man no better than a corpse?

Note.—The six pudàrthas or categories of the Vaise-shika are (1) diavya—substance, (2) gand—quality, (3) karma—action, (4) samanya—generality, (5) visesha—particularity and (6) samanya—intimate relation. These are the six mentioned in Kanada's Vaiseshika Aphorisms; the Agama seems to know nothing of the addition by later Vaiseshikas of a seventh padârtha called abhava—negation. This may be an indication of its age, if other evidences point also to the same direction.

Kanada's conception of Moksha or liberation is that it consists in the separation of the soul from a former body, not followed by its union with another owing to the absence of advisidation, the state of merit or demerit following upon actions and producing their due consequences. It is no state of positive pleasure, but only it eternal constation of all pain. The process is described in the atama's second sutra—"Of the five things, pain, birth, that faults and erroneous knowledge, the destruction of the subsequent leads to the destruction of the namediately preceding, and so ultimately liberation follows." This

lister knowledge which is the root of pain, is removed, indirectly by a study of the categories. On attaining this state, all the evils appertaining to the soul, such as desire, matred, etc., fall off.

The pert four verses are an attack on the Buddhists.

22. Karma (the action of the sense organs) and the rest, which render the chit (intelligence) manifest, are momentary; and on account of this, the intelligence itself appears to be generated anew every instant. Not understanding this, others (Buddhists) think that intelligence itself is momentary.

Note, —This theory of an eternal flux is common to all the Baddhist schools. The explanation given by the Agrees of this apparent momentariness of the consciousness is this. The intelligence is always continuous, but it can be conscious of an outer world solely by means of the sense-organs. These are intermittent in their action, and so the consciousness appears as if it were itself intermittent.

- 23. This view is wrong, because of the enjoyment of the consequences of previous actions, and of the remembrance of past experiences. For the intelligence being destroyed every instant, and consequently there being no connection (between the intelligence of one moment and that of the next), there cannot be any memory, or the enjoyment of the fruits of past actions.
- 24 Moreover, (on this doctrine) the danger of the soul itself being destroyed, will remain, even in the state of liberation. And if it is said that liberation is only non-existence (or annihilation), there can be no experience at all then and our ordinary state of existence would be better for than this so-called liberation).

Note.—The Buddhist is asked what according to his system liberation will be. If he answers that that also is an ever fluctuating series of ideas, but only it is pure, then the Agama rightly enough objects that this means nothing more than an ever recurring destruction of the consciousness and that therefore it is practically a denial of a soul. If, on the other hand, he is a Mādhyamika Buddhist and defines liberation as annihilation, there is the fatal objection to it that nobody will ever wish to destroyed.

- 25 These silly men, who embracing the opinions of such ignorant fools, desire liberation, are like those who try to get fire out of a fire-fly.
- 26. That emancipation which is compassed by the assermination of Puruska and Prakriti, that which

results from knowing everything as Brahman and then censing from the cycle of births), and those so-called liberations which are really produced by the Pasa, all these are subject to change at the beginning of a new creation.

Note. - The Saivites believe that all the other systems attacked here are not altogethe theirest and mischievous, but that what these believe to in find emancipation, is simply the attainment of the plane of one of the 36 fattyna postulated by themselves. The true emancipation is when one passes beyond these 36, and enters into union with the Pati or Siva who transcends all the tattvas. But these other systems mistake the attainment of the sphere of any one of these intermediate tattvas as being emancipatien. It is emancipation, no doubt, for a certain time, and relatively, but the mischief lies in saying that there is acthing beyond that particular stage of theirs. Those who have attained those stages, must, according to the Agama, eventually return to the world of life at the beginning of a new creation. "Those so-called liberations which are really produced by the Pasa;"-this, I believe, refers to the Buddhists, Jains and Vaiseshikas, who assert that by the removal of false knowledge and by clarifying their ideas, they can obtain liberation. The destruction of false knowledge is only an activity of the intellect, and as the intellect itself is only one of the things constituting Pass, the man said to be so liberated has not yet got clear of his Pass (or bondage).

It may be mentioned that a study of this system without a table of tattres will be almost impossible. A very alaborate one has been published by Mr. Senthinatha Iyer and can be had in the Siddhanta Deepika office. A smaller one is to be found in Vol I. No. 11 of this journal.

27. But one who is liberated according to the Saiva system shines out higher than all the others; and even in the beginning of a new creation remains a ruler. Having all objects established in his own majesty, he remains free from the control of Isvars.

Note.—He is said to have established everything in his own majesty, since be has become an all-knower and an all-doer. Though he possesses such universal powers, being devoid of all desire, he never wishes for any objects and as such, remains without any action. Therefore, he never comes within the away of the Lord's activities, but remains alone and isolated from them, immersed in the blies of Siva-

Here ends the Second Chapter.

M. NARAYANASWAMI AIYAK.

THE ADMIXTURE

OF

ARYAN WITH TAMILIAN.*

—:o:—

About four thousand years ago, a swarm of nomadic people, whom the original owners of the land called Arya, which meant in their tongue "mleccha," though it came to mean "noble," in latter days, settled on the banks of the Indus. Wherefrom came these new emigrants? It was the belief of our ancestors that every living being within the Bharata-bhûmi was her own production. But the science of language has made it feasible to trace all the tribes to their respective place of origin. Where the links of history cease and the historical evidences sink, there the language and its history furnish us with information regarding the prehistorical times of a nation and trace it back to a central place, whence the people first separated from their early community.

The comparative study of the dialect of these emigrants on the banks of the Indus, has enabled us to trace them back, beyond the Bharata land, and fix their original home somewhere near the inland sea which once included the Enxine and the Caspian with the sea of Aral. As this inland sea with the desert that lay to the south of it cut the Aryans off from communication with the civilized races of Babylonia, they, after quitting the primeval community, wandered for a long time South-East, then turned southward and made their first settlement on the Western banks of the Indus It is the general opinion of Oriental scholars, that the Aryans settled on the banks of the Indus about 2000-B. C.

When the Aryans, thus first settled on the Indus, the whole Bharata land, south as well as north was well organized and inhabited by one and the same race. It is said in the Vishnupurana that the country was called Bharsta-Varsha, because it was in the early times colonized by the race named Bharata. The Bharatas were so numerous and predominant in ancient days, that the whole country from the Himalayas to the Indian ocean in the south was in their possession. In latter days, the name Bharata underwent many phonetic changes as Bhara, Bala, Palla, Bhâr, Bâr, Mhâra, Mâla, Malla, Mhâr, Mahâr, Mar, Bhilla, Billa, Pahlva, Palhav, Parthva, Palava, Parays, Brahui &c. &c. Later on, the name Gaudas was given to those Bharatas who lived in Hindustan and Dravides to those in the Deccan. Dr. G. Oppert believes that the word Bharata has been derived from the Tamilian root para (Malayalam), pâr or pârrai (Tamil), "mountain," and means a mountaineer. We agree with Dr. Oppert in considering that the word's original meaning was mountaineer, though the root para means noble or great in Sanskrit; for the native land of Bharatas or Tamilians was a mountainous

Every early king in Southern India had, within his dominion, a mountain which was to him a sanctuary and home, reminding him of the original mountain home of his ancestors. Nay, the favourite spots and shrines of Murugan, the Tamilian deity of war, are in mountains and hills. He is worshipped and his feasts are celebrated, even to-day, on the tops of hills and mountains. Besides, the two departments of Porul, a treatise on matter viz., Agam (inner), "the subjective," which treats on domestic affairs or conjuga! love, and Purram (outer), "the objective," which speaks of state affairs or life in general, give full information of the customs, habits and mode of living of the Tamilians in the times of yore; of which Agam, "the subjective," while describing the first place of meeting and courtship of princely lovers, selects a mountain scenery; and equally Purram, "the objective," while describing the warfare, points out equally a mountain side, where, as a rule, the cattleraiding, the first cause of war takes place.

All these clearly indicate that the birth place of the Tamilians must have been some mountainous region. Not only in their native land, but even in the land, to which they migrated, the Tamilians—the bearers of the story of the deluge, seem to have selected a mountainous region as their first dwelling. It is said that the ark of Manu, the lord of Dravidas

The terminology "Tamilian," here and elsewhere employed by us, is in a wide sense. By it, we mean not only that population of the south, speaking the Tamilian language, but all the people that belong to the same race and stock as the Tamils wherever they be whether speaking Tamil, Malayalam, Canarese, Telugu, &c., in the south, or the cognate tongues in North India, or as they are found elsewhere. To bring out this new sense, we have not used the term Dratidian brought into use once by Dr. Caldwell, because it has been used to refer only to the South Indian population. Tamil being the representative tongue of the family and the term Tamilian being "as elegant and as short as Arman," it will not be improper to call the whole population that belong to that stock by the term "Tamilian."

(Tamiliana), rested on the Malaya. And according to the Metrya Purana, Manu (Muni. Tamil, "the rage ") descended from his bost and underwest severe penance in a certain part of the Malaya, for the welfare of his people or descendants. For several reasons, the community in primeval times preferred to select its dwellings in mountains, hills and high-lands; "for, these regions," says Dr. Oppert, "afforded greater protection not only against the attacks of men and wild beasts, but also against the fury of the unfettered elements, especially against the ravages of sudden and disastrous inundations. Though the plains were set altogether uninhabited, still the bulk of the population preferred, where obtainable, the higher and more secure places."

It was once believed that all races that were not of Aryan or Semitic were of the Turanian family, and that their common birth-place was in the Altaic mountains. The theory propounded by Dr. Caldwell was that the Dravidians (Tamilians) came southward from Central Asia and first settled in Hiudustan, and were then conquered and subdued by the Aryan settlers, and those who fled away from the Aryan yoke came down to Southern India and settled there. But this theory is not founded on facts. The Marra

* Malaya (from Tamil melei, "mountain") is the name of a mountainous range to the western Ghâte, between Travancers and Tinnevelly, properly the mount Pothlyn, where, it is mid that, the Tamil language took its origin. That means that it was the first place in India touched by the Tamilians after their migration from their original home, Malaya, "the mount," or Pothlya is still mered to the Tamilians; and it is believed that Agastya, the Tamil Musi, fivrd here. The chinese traveller Hionen-Thanny has mentioned in his accounts that Malays was the abode of saint Avalokita, wershipped by northern Buddhists in Tibet, China Sand Japan. The Jains believe that Agnetya was his pupil. This mountainous range abounds in sandal trees, hence the name Maloyojum the Sanskrit word for mandal tree. The Hebrew texts furnish us with information that, even three thousand years ago. its fame for mandal trees and gold obtained in its neighborhood had reached the Western Asia, and that the navy of Hiran brought to the King Solomon gold from Ophir with plenty of algum (trees). The word algum, as it is found in the Hebrew texts, in the Tamil word for sandal tree naukon. And Ophir is identified with that (a. of), now a small village in the south, but a sesport in hy-gone-days, near the older metropolis of Pandya kings. [The Zamindar of Singampatti, Siva Subramania Therar Avergal who is the prend possessor of this holy region has quite recently discovered over of plambago and iron quite on the surface. A thorough prespecting with scientific aids will discover to him notold gold. Singampatti by the way is about 3 miles from Ambasamudram and it is the prottiest and most picturesque little town we have seen. Mount Pothlys is quite within a short distance but the climb is a difficult one. The Zamindar will be -happy to arrange for any party of visitors to this sacred hill-Ed.] dynasty of Southern India was, from early times, contemporaneous with the Bharra or Bharata dynasty of Northern India, and even prior to it. Moreover, the native home of the Tamilians was not in Central finia, but in Western, Asia—the fertile land between the two rivers, the Tigris and the Euphrates, which was once exteemed as the Paratise of the World-That fertile land was divided into two halves, the Sumir in the North-West and the Akkad in the South-East. Kame was another name of the Babylonian Sumir which the Finnic race behaved to have been its first mountain-home. So, Elam (Illam, Tamil "home"?) another name of the Babylonian Akkad as well as of the mountain in it, was the primitive home of the Tamilians.

The Tamilians who were akin to the socient Akkadians of Elam and Chalden, the first and most civilised nation in the ancient world, whose literary remnants of 4000 B. C. are forthcoming, and "most of these are still lying under the soil awaiting the spade of the explorer," moved towards the east in two divisions; one branch, by ses, landed in South India and settled there; while the other taking the land route, entered Northern India by the Bolan Pass, colonising at the same time the northern borders of the Persian Gulf and the Arabian Sea and settled in the Gangetic valley. The western branch from Samir, after quitting the primeval community made their way towards the west and spread over Europe. The ancient Etruscans of Italy, the Magyars of Hungary and the Finns of Finland, are the collateral branches of the Eastern Tamilians.

The colony of the Eastern Tamilians as it was named after them Bharata-land or Bharata-Varsha was in no way inferior in fertility and utility to their original bome Elam or Elavridham, which is extolled in our Purinas. Nevertheless, the Southern Bharata was also, in ancient days, named Pandu as recorded in the Egyptian letters, whence the ancient Egyptian rulers believed themselves to have proceeded. The Egyptians held the Pandu a holy land. This happy event of the Bharatas or Tamilians repairing from Elam to Bharata-Bhumi should have, we believe, happened a few centuries before the first Arvan emigrants settled on the banks of the Indus. For, when the Aryans first set foot on the western banks of the Indus, they saw that the country of the Bharatas was well organised, fully developed and ably ruled by mighty kings. The Rig-Vedio hymns

speak highly of their " seven castles" and " ninety forts" which were, we believe, within the Paujab. The earliest rulers of northern India, in pre-Aryan times, were known by the name of Bharatans; and this dynasty with its twenty kings, whose rule should have listed for at least five centuries, had come to an end before the Aryan emigration. At the time of the Aryan advent, the royal sceptre of the land was swayed by another set of kings of the same family whom the Arvans called Asura, "lord," a metathesis, we believe, of the Tamil "Arasu," "lord" or "royal." Mr. Dutt in his "Ancient India" p. 201 says :- "We know that in the Rig-Veda the word Asura is an adjective which means "strong" or " powerful" and is invariably applied to Gods except in the very last hymn of the last mandale. In the Brahmanas the word changed its meaning, altogether and was applied to the enemies of Gods." The Sanskrit word rajan, "king," is a modified form of the Tamil "Arasu" or "Arasan"; the law of this change, modelled on a well known principle, being given in our first article on "Tamil Philology" under the explanation of the word ulagu (see p. 42. The Light of Truth, Vol. II. No. 2). The pure Aryan word for king is pati, as rispati, not rajan. Even the Tamil word irrai, 'God' or 'lord,' is philologically and historically connected with the Egyptian re, "the Sun God" and the Roman rea 'roy.' These words are, we venture to say, of common source, having rafor the radical element.

The Aryans, as they first settled on the banks of the renowned river Indus, were not at all invaders, but a peaceful and pastoral people who generally used to rove about from place to place with their flocks in quest of new pastures. Hospitality is the peculiar and well-known feature of the Tamilian character. As this ruling race has always been remarkable for its hospitality and tolerance, the strangers were received kindly and allowed to till unoccupied lands in the country without any difficulty. Neither wars were waged nor battles fought between the ruling race and the new comers on their entrance into the country. It is true that there are some accounts of battles and insignificant wars in the Vedic hymns that occurred during the wanderings of the Aryans and during their stay on the western banks of the river Indus. The aborigines who had already been expelled by the Tamilians from the land and had taken refuge in the deserts and the hilly tracts and lived chiefly by plunder and rapine might have given

trouble to these nomadic tribes by stealing their cattle and property and thus creating quarrels. Consequently, the pastoral Aryans were obliged to go forth to oppose their raiders and rescue their herds stolen by these aborigines. We call them aborigines in the absence of a race name and we have no records as to their origin and the race they belong to. The remnants of these primitive people of the land are yet found in mountain tracts of the Western and Eastern Ghats, Central India, and the Himalayan slopes. Some of these tribes may even speak a Tamilian idiom as the Lapps use a Finnic idiom, but they are in no way related to the Tamilians in blood. These aborigines were styled in the Rig-Veda Dasyas, 'enemies.' and as often as the Aryans became victorious over these insignificant enemies, they called them also I esus, 'slaves,' and made them do menial works. These ugly and awkward people are, in the Vedic hymns also nick-named as "noseless," "gross-feeders on flesh," "raw-eaters," "without gods," "without rites," " mousters," "demons," etc.

The unsympathetic foreign writers of the ancient history of India. class these uncivilised primitive tribes along with the Tamilians as belonging to the same stock, without any regard to the facts of a race, which could boast of a more ancient civilisation than the Ary us. The hasty conclusions of such foreign writers are due to a want of a thorough investigation into the facts hidden in the dark depths of immenterial times. Even Mr. Dutt, in his "Ancient India." follows only what has been investigated by Western oriental scholars, and puts those results already investigated in a systematic manner couched in beautiful language. Though he has the advantage of being a native of the land, he has not made any new investigation on the field. He has not made, in his work, any proper mention of the ruling race and the prominent people of the country at the time of the Aryan migration and even fails to enquire who the Rajangus were. that taught wisdom to the Arvan Brahmans, and who were Kapila-the great logician, Krichna-the author of the celebrated "Gita," and Buddha-"the Light of the East" and other eminent thinkers and writers of bygone times. From his work, it is clear, that he ha: not studied much about the southern people, their con. dition, civilisation, literature, and language. Thus his work may be fitly entitled "the Ancient Argans" rather than "the Ancient India " Every step that the migrating Aryans took in the Bhurata land in described by him as an Argan Conquest-an expresion which has an agreeable sound, but no meaning

Besides, he says that Southern India was conquered by the Arvana about the tenth century, which is far from the truth. But it cannot be denied that carnest Arran inquirers, who belonged to that period when the though ful Upanishads were composed, came to the south-the Athens of the Northerners and the birth place of philosophy and religion, in order to get a thorough knowledge of philosophical and teligious speculations. Consequently, most of the philosophical works, i.e., the Sutras, which I llowed the Upanisheds, were written in Southern India. Such eminent writers and commentators on Sotras and Dharma-sastras as Bodayana and A'pastambe, leslonging respectively to the 6th and 5th centuries B. C., were southerners and belonged to the school which flourished in the Amira country between the Godavari and the Krishna. As a lear oil pent ser stated once: "the attempt to find the basic element of Hindu civilisation by a study of Sanskrit and the history of Sans'crit in Upper India is to begin the problem at its worst and most complicated point. The scientific historian of India, then, ought to begin his study with the basin of the Krishan, the Cauvery, and the Vaigai, rather than with the Gangetic plain, as it has been now long, too long, the fashion."

Now to turn to the subject. We have seen that the first period of Aryan history from their very first settlement on the banks of the Indus, to the compilation of the songs of the Rig-Veds, is fixed between 2 July and 1400 B C. It is believed, therefore, that for the completion of the Vedic hymna, it had taken a period of six hundred years. We learn from these old hynns, that in that period the Aryans made an advance on the border country by emigration and even invasion, and got possession of the whole of the l'unjab and became a settled community there. It is probable and possible that friendly aliens swelled their ranks and bands, as is generally the case with migrating people, and by amalgamation of the heterogeneous component elements, the immigrating swarms became gradually powerful and significunt and were united into a national body. Though the Arvan community, in the land of the five rivers, presented to the outside world the aspect of a gennine race, it is hard to believe that they formed a honnigeneous group of the Aryan tribes. We may infer from the legends of the Puranes, and the traditions of the Brahmmus, that the early community in the l'unish was composed of eight distinct Gotous or tribes, onethird r which can be truced to belong to an alien

race; among that united body the Afric, the Kanus and the Vissimitrus, were not of Aryan but of the Tamilian or Bharatan race. Nevertheless, most of those who were counted for Britmans, in fact belonged to a Tamilian family. In those goodwid days there were no caste distinctions, and inter-marriages were prevalent. This alliance of the case comes and the original owners of the land residued by and by in increasing power and strengthening polity.

This is not a new thing in the history of the world. Is it not a fact that the western branch of the Aryan emigrants, who were divided into five distinct tribes—Celts, Tentons, Slava, Latins and Greeks, after entering Europe and settling in the different portions of the country coalesced with the original settlers of the land? The Iranians, a section of the eastern branch of the Aryans, who entered Persia, properly Parthia, became united into one nation with the old Parthians, Pauthyas or Pahlyas of the country who belonged to the same race as Pallas or Pallayas of the Tamilian family.

The further progress of the said Aryan community in its advancement beyond the Punjab was restrained towards the East by the mighty Bharata kingdom that flourished at Hastinapura, near modern Delhi, on the banks of the Ganges. There were other Bharsta or Tamilian kingdoms of which, Panchala, Kosala, Videba, Visala, Madhura, Magatha, and Malva were very prominent. But all these countries between the Himelayas and the Vindhya, extending from the eastern sea to the west till the borders of Persia, paid their homege and tribute to the imperial throne of Hastinapara until the breaking-out of "Mahabharata Yuddba" which took place at the modern Thancabwar, 26 miles from Umballs, about the 14th century B. C. Thus in the Great War all the Rajns of the Bharata land, joined the one or the other side, though the war began among the kindred people—the Kurms and the Panchalas of the Tamilian family. The Great war was a death blow to the Tamilian or Bharata kingdoms in the north; consequently all the petty kingdoms become independent; the bar that had restricted the Arvans within the limits of the Punjab was removed. The Bharata nation had already lost its warlike character and become effeminate and enervated owing to over-indulgence in laxury.

These facts may be inferred from the Rig-Veda-The hymps of the Rig-Veda speak of the Punjab and The Ganges and the Jamus are Kabul alone. rarely mentioned in them, which shows that they were not yet generally known to the Aryan community within the Punjab. The Aryans were till then the worshippers of nature, the sky, the storm, the thunder &c., and the offerers of animal sacrifices. The celebrated spots for performing the religious ceremonies and sacrifices were the banks of the river Indus, its tributaries and the Sarasvati. It is also clear that they were alien to the philosophical and theological views of the Tamilians and to their 'supreme self-existence' the God Siva. Dr. Stevenson was the first to point out that "Siva is not named at all in the ancient hymns of the Vedas." Although Rudra could be identified with Agni, Agni and Rudra could not be identified with Siva; for, Daksha is said not to have invited Siva to his sacrifice, though all the eleven Rudras were present with him. Siva was the Tamilian God and was worshipped in two forms; one as a spiritual object of meditation and the other as a material symbol or "Linga"* to represent the invisible to the visible eyes. Adoring God with flower and incense was prevalent among

the Tamilians from very early times. Flower represents the heart, and incense the melting of it. It is said of the pious Ravana-Asura, the king of the South, the Emperor of Ilam (Ceylon) and the learned author of Ravanabhêt-a treatise on Phonetics and of Ravaniyam-a treatise on Grammar, who belonged to the Teluga section of the Tamilian family, that he was a staunch votary of "linga" and carried alway. with him a golden 'Linga' which he worshipped with incense and flowers. Not only Ravana, but all the Asuras, as Bana, Bali etc., as well as the ancient Tamilian sages were staunch devotees of Siva, worshipping. him in the spiritual form or in the form of 'Emmble' and this worship was totally alien to the Arvans within the Punjab, who were not yet converts to the Tamilian religion.

If there was any rivalry between the Tamilians and the Aryans, it was, in fact, owing to their religious differences. The Aryan worship of natural phenomena and their unmeaning sacrifices, appeared to the philosophical Tamil mind to be sacrileges. The deities of the Aryans were treated with contempt and the sacrifices were checked, whenever and wherever they were found to be performed, by this powerful race (vide the story of the Daksha Yagam given in one of the previous numbers). This is why we see that the honorific name Asura, "lord." by which the Tamilians were at first addressed by the Aryans, changed its meaning as the enemy of the Gods in the last mandala of the Rig Veda and in the Brahmanas.

A thoughtful mind, is struck at once by the high development the Tamilian speculation of God had attained when compared with that of the Aryan. The Aryans called their object of worship by the name Deva or Devus "shining one" which the Tamilians called it Kadarul (**Lap**) meaning 'beyond the earth,' beyond the sky,' beyond the comprehension of the human mind,' 'eternal,' endless,' expansive;' and that object of worship was also otherwise named by them.' Siva,' nothing but 'goodness,' 'righteousness' and 'gracefulness' from the Tamilian root sem (G**\varphi**) 'good.' 'right.' 'graceful'.

To be continued).

PANDIT D. SAVARIROYAN.

Linga, "emblem," properly means 'a mark to fix the mind, the purpose being to ensure meditation; thus by this mark or symbol, the invisible God is introduced to the mental eye by means of the material eye. The word linga was abusively and absurdly interpreted by licentious men, in later days, as membrum virile, as the counterpart of the Vedic sisnadera; and this philosophic term "linga" with the interpretation of these bad men was handed over to the European Schola ; who, no wonder, consider it barbarous. But we may assure our readers that the Tamilians are not at all responsible for such perversion. For, the Tamilian idea was that any symbol that could give an idea of God bore the name of this philosophic term "linga.' For instance, in South India there are five holy places where the emblem or linga is respectively represented by the five elements, i. c., earth, water, fire, wind, and akasa. In Kanjivaram, God Siva is represented by prithri -the earth. in Tiruvanaika (near Srirangam) by appu-water, in Tiruvannamala; by toyu-fire, in Kalastri by ragu-the wind, in Chidambaram by akasa-the air. If professor C. Lassen had known the Tamilian speculation of this philosophic term, he would not have ventured, we think, to adduce this worship of linga to the Dravidians (Tamilians) only on the consideration that it would be too low for the Aryans to be connected with this worship of lingu.

MANIMEKHALAI.

I have often heard Tamil Writers of the present my complain that they do not generally get encouragng admirers, that the public do not go in passionately or their verses or their procewritings. mertions never startled me On the other hand I ras inclined to regard them as so many proofs for he dearth now of genuine literary productions. The cets of Nature that adorned the three sangams, the fosts of Devotion that illumined the post-sangam ago r the Poets of Imagination that flourished during he medieval ages, are no more. Their place has been surped by versifiers who turn out beautiful verses, for for great part patchworks of words and thoughts taken indiscriminately from those founts of poetry. similarly prose pieces of the modern age that are with any perusal are mere copies from old works manged a little to give them a semblance of originality or paraphrased versions of poetical works but inculcate high morals which suit all times. I do mit however include among these writers such real geniuses as Vadalir Ramalingam Pillay, author of the Tiruvarutpi, whose utternoos like the same of early ages would continue to interest manand as long as the world exists. In my opinion, the the hat are mechanically produced even as they are assed brough the printing press point to the transiand state of the Tamil mind which, losing its hold on he vulgar imaginative portry developed to a dangeone extent during the later ages, is swinging between he pastry of Nature of the sangams on the one side and the modern refinements of it as adapted from oreign cources on the other. As for good Tamil price, we can only say that it is yet unformed. lowing elegant style that can harmonize with grand and sublime thoughts remains still an ideal.

In these circumstances, it is indeed a relation find the works of these bygone days of dear remembrance restored from the hands of white ants or oblivion, and presented to us for careful study and pleasant appyment. Among the benefactors in this direction, it. Swaminatha Aiyar, Tamil Pandit, Government folloge, Kumbakonam has laid us under obligation more than others by his editions of Tamil classical vorks. The great works in Tamil are glassified as the Potein Kargas. The Patta Potta, The Bita Tohai etc., of which the whole of Patta Patta has een published by Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar. Parani-

naru, one of the eight collections, also is given to us in print by the same enterprising editor. The Puncha Kavyas are Jicaka Chintimoni. Silappulhikeram, Manimekhalai, Kundalakeri und Valgigopuli. these, the first three have seen the light of day through the same energetic Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar. Of the remaining two, we have very little to say. There is no Tamil Pandit living who has had the pleasure of eving them at least except as fragments turning out in old commenturies. The subject-matter of these two kavyas, however, have been set before us in the pages of this . magazine by N. B., who says " the stories given in the next two chapters (Vaimapuring chapters 34 and 35 from which he has translated the stories) are explicitly stated by the noet to be the stories of Kundalakeri and Valaigapati." Unfortunately the names of the kavyas are not even incidentally referred to in the course of the stories and this leads us to suspect much their authenticity. But Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar has a pretty long note about Kundalakori in one of his appendices to the Manimekhalai, wherein he says 'There is reason to infer that because the work forms the history of a Bhikshuni, Kundalakesi by name, mentioned in the Kundalaken Vada, it came to be known as the Kundalakeni. And the following paragraph extracted from the Journal of the Mahabodhi Boriety (September and October 1900) forms in all probability the plot of the Kundolnkeri, as the heroine of the poem according to Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar has been a Bhikhshuni.

" Bhaddaya Kundala Kesi, a daughter of a rich banker, was brought up with all' the comforts of life, and the father had made her to live in the upper storey of his mansion. On a certain day, when she was looking through the window to see what was museing by, she saw a young man being escorted by the city police, on a charge of robbery, to the place of punishment. The young man was the son of a Minister of the King. She saw his face and fell in leve with him, and told her father that she must have the young man as her husband. All the father's arguments were in vain, and he had to bribe the police by giving a thousand pieces of money to liberate the young man. Ble had him as her husbane for some time, but the pernicions habits of his dishonest past life came back, and he thought of robbing her of all her ornaments by taking her to a secret place and killing her there. In order to take her away with all her ornaments and jewellery,

he told her that he had made a vow to the deity to offer a balikamma sacrifice on the day that he was being taken by the police (Nagara Guttika) if his life was spored. The wife was asked to put on her hest dress and ornaments which she did, and he took her to the top of a big rock. There he told her that bis object was to kill her by throwing her down the precipice and take away the ornaments. She was equal to the occasion, and showing that she was prepared for it dodged him, and getting hold of him pushed him down the precipice. Seeing him killed and being disgusted of the world, she went to the naked Ascetics, the Nigantas, and sought admission in their sisterhood. According to the principles of the Nigantas, she had to pluck her long beautiful hair. After some time, she being disappointed with them went to Savatthi, where she met the disciples of the Blessed One, and after a controversy she accepted the religious life of the Bhikkhunis and attained the holy state of Arhatship."

About the Chintâmani or the Silappudhikâram we have not to despair as they have been published with commentaries. This we have already noted. And the Manimekhalai is the latest edition of his series of 'Tamil classics.' Therein all the later developments of his editorial powers have been exhibited and we cannot help remarking when we see it that it is a typical edition surpassing in some respects even European editions of a refined order. In patient researches or extensive learning, in carefulness of arrangement or perspicuity of expression there is hardly any Pandit who can call himself an equal of Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar. And the European editors have for the most part to deal only with printed books which can all be procured and kept nicely arrayed in their lib. rary. Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar's library is his olai manuscripts oftentimes mutilated a good deal by the silent and active work of white ants. If referonce works happen to be printed books as they very rarely are, they afford by no means an easy reference, containing matter clausily put together without the choice-arrangement that prevails in well-edited works. To be just, Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar's early publications themselves partake to some extent of this disadvantage.

Now to have a fair idea of the exact nature of the edition, the Manimekhalai with commentary, which it is the purpose of the present article to review, let us have a look at the table of contents printed next to

the title page. Preface, An account of the author, The History of Buddha, The Buddhistic tenets, the Budd histic Union, An abridgment of Manimekhalni story Explanation of names that occur in the Manimekhalas Table of contents to the Manimekhalai Text, Manimet khalai Text and Explanation of difficult words etch that is given at the foot of every page under the text following the plan of some European editions, Alphae betical index to difficult words. Alphabetical index w difficult phrases, Alphabetical table of contents ts things found in the Text, Alphab-tical contents to things found in the commentary (Difficult word Explanation), Alphabetical index to illustrative stanzar found in the commentary, Names of Books Tamil and Sanskrit referred to or quoted in the commentary, The names of things etc., revealed by this poems References of books where the Manimekhalai is quoted, Other stories that owner in the Maninckhalai, The names of Buddha. The opinions of great ment converning the Buddhistic faith, and Errata are a complete list of what we can find in the edition of the Manimekhalai commented on by Mr. Swaminstha Aiver himself. - Although from a glance of this table we can assure ourselves of the comprehensive nature of the edition and of the utmost painstaking of the editor it will not be out of place to briefly survey each item of the contents. But we fear space will not permit us to give a review of all the items as may be desired and we will have to confine ourselves to some only of which others are more or less repetitions and amplifications.

Preface.

The Preface opens with an invocation to Ganesa and it is followed by an ahaval which introduces the work and gives the name of the author as Maduri-Kûlavânikan Sâttanâr.

'Hambûranar, Nacchinarkkiniyar, Parimelalahar, Adiyârkkunallir, Samayadivâkara Munivar (the commentator of Nîlakesittiratru,) the commentator of Ilakkanavilakkam, Tiruvottiyûr-Jū'na Prakasar (the commentator of Sivajū'na Siddhiyâr Parapaksha), Sankaranamassivâyar (the commentator of Naunûl) have all considered the work as an authority and have largely drawn from it to illustrate their comments. Descriptions of nature are so vivid that we are transported as it were to the localities mentioned in the book and this effect is enhanced by the use of the appropriate figures of speech calculated to picture the

"Alie or woods, rivers or mlands in their true colors. h hade names of extinct deities and the modes that habre prevalent of worshipping them, the characteristhe manners of some rare species of the human race, waphic descriptions of cities, mande, mountains, "Rests and temples, charitable institutions and public beemblies, the religions that were in vogue mring the age of the Manimekhalai and their "unets, short notices of some kings and sages we among the many useful digressions that the west contains. Skilful use of words and pregnant agnificance attached to them are already praised by deuprakasa Swami in his Venliaikorai. As the shoem is a personal history of Manimekhalai, a wladdhist. we find in it the Buddhistic tenets in shundance. Although many virtues are explained serein, the oftenest touched upon is that one should in pure in thought, word and deed and should rie extremely kind to all animals at all times."

In some old books the poem has been referred to by same 'M nimekhaiai Turneu.' The Editor after riving his reasons for this name, proceeds to discuss the right ending of each stanza e a or an and mys that although in strict conformity with the beles of grammar ser only can be used, he has shouted we in preference to the other to suit the opular taste long accustomed to this incorrect usage. He next explains the meaning of the word www) Gatha is a sanskrit readering of stanza "lus . To prove his statement he has quoted from the Nilakesittarattu where gatha is interchangeable with stanza without any danger of being mis-understood. He also refers to the manuscript of the puem found in the house of Mithilaippatti-Tirucchirrambala Kavihijar wherein the stanzas of the Manimekhulai are all Pittus (crie). Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar has spared no pains in getting mannaript copies of the Text Manimo. khalai so much so that he even applied to Prof. Julien Vinson of France for help in this direction. Next. as all criteria for testing the authoriticity of the Buddhistic doctrines have become extinct, he has aboured not a little to put before us the correct sending of the stanzes after doing away with the erroneous interpolations and alterations that have crept in during the centuries that intervened since the composition of the puers. In this work of determining the true form of the poem, the Desirana of Inana Sambaudhar and others that refuted the Buddhistic religion, the portions of the Sicajana Biddlagar

Parapaksham that deal with this religion and its branches and the commentary thereon of Tiruvottiyür-Jāina Prakiesr, the Nilakesittirattu and the Virasoliyim have all contributed their quota.

Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar is much indebted to Mr. Rangacharyar, M.A., for the extreme readiness with which he came forward to explain any Buddhistic term or tenet whenever required. He is also indebted to the Hon. I'. Kumiraswami of Colombo for kindly making references to Sri Sumangala, the Head Master of the Buddhistic College, Ceylon, when doubts were set before him and supplying solutions as far as possible. There are a number of Tamil and Sanskrit Pandits of the Presidency who deserve our thanks for co-operating with Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar in preparing the work and seeing it through the press. Here ends the preface of the editor, which we can call almost perfect if he but cared to append a few lines on the age of the Maninekhalai.

The Author.

As account of the anthor of the poem comes next. Külavänikan Sittanir was a member of the last sangam. Kulam meaning grains, the author evidently was a tader in grains. It was he who induced Chenguttara, the Sera King, to construct a temple in commemoration of Kannaki and open festivals therewith after convincing him sufficiently of the high virtue of Kannaki and relating to him at length her history. It was he again who induced in the brother of the King and a Sannyani, Hangovadigal, a desire to make a poeur out of the story related, and the Silappadhikuram was the result. Sittalai Sittanar is another name by which our author is known. It is said that he got this name become whenever he heard in the sangun any composition that was not worthy of hearing, he knocked about his head not wishing to wound the feelings of the writer and hence he always hore an ulcorated hand. For want of leisur the Editor says, the further history of the author has been left out at present. This can be the Editor's plea only if he can satisfy us that whatever else found in the book is not at least less important than the account of the author which he proposes to give on a future occasion.

The Biography of Gautama.

The History of Buddha occupying about fifty pages is a complete biography of Gautama Buddha presented

in simple and touching language. The biography as a whole is not a translation surely from any English or Sanskrit book. Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar must have indeed worked much to present the matter obtained from various sources, the Manimekhalai inclusive, in the orderly form which marks his writing here. The country of Gautama's birth, his parentage, childhood and boyhood, marriage and renunciation are all narrated in detail and with the accuracy of a Boswell only if we would overlook the puranic conceits that mar the otherwise excellent biography. The date of Buddha's attaining Nirvana, I'sana Sahabdam 148 (543 B.C!) is a little better than useless when the corresponding date in the ante-christian era is not known.

The Buddhistic Tenets.

The Buddhistic Tenets again is a systematic treatise, although not on a grand scale, regarding the. cardinal doctrines of Gautama's faith. The opening para is a statement and refutation of the popular opinion that Buddha founded and established a creed antagonistic to that of the Brahmans. The opinion of Rhys Davids is quoted in full (in a translated form) and Max Müller, Oldenburg and Monier Williams are referred to as subscribing themselves to this opinion. Subsequently a passage is quoted from Max Müller's Sacred Books of the East [No XV, Preface Thus by a careful study, the main Page 52]. principles of Buddhism are found to have had an existence prior to the appearance of Gautama. The Buddhistic works themselves proclaim to us that there were many Buddhas before Gautama, that of them 24 were very famous and that Gantama was the 24th.

By a reference to the status of the Hindu Society in ancient times, Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar traces the growth of philosophical thought among the Hindus and points out that Buddha was a necessary outcome of the time. It was in those days that people who took to living in jungles as sannyasins found time to postulate the Six Darsanas which have been since a stronghold to all philosophic thinkers of later generations. The chief problem that actuated their minds was 'How to get freed from birth which is unmixed pain?' The solution they arrived at may be expressed in the words of The Manime-khelai thus: "The Born are doomed to ever-increasing pain, those who will not be born are blessed with eternal beatitude. Of attachment the former is an

outcome, and by renunciation the latter is obtained." In this respect the Hindu Darsanas and the Buddhistic Texts do tally with each other, and still more the resemblance becomes marked when we notice their agreement in denominating the blessed state of endless happiness as the Nirvana. Although some minor points of distinction are discernible between the Vedantin and the Buddhist in the detailed explanations of the state of Nirvana and in the prescription of means that lead to that state, the fact that the Advaita Vedantins are called disparagingly by other Vedantins as 'Pracchanna Bauddhas' does not fail to signify the close relation which Buddhism bears to they Vedanta.

Of Buddhism there are two kinds, Mahâyâna and Hînayana. Mahâyâna is prevalent in Nepaul. Tibet, China, and Japan. Hînayana is the creed of Burma and Ceylon. And the Manimekhalar contains references only to the latter. The Mahâyâna creed is represented by many books in Sanskrit, discussions having been often held between Rauddhas of that class and Vedântins, in Northern India. The Tripitaka in Pâli is the only text that represents the Hînayâna creed.

Gautama Buddha was of opinion that it was impossible to establish by the method of inference the subtle principles contained in the Vedanta. Yet, he did not neglect the Psychology of the Sankhyas and the Vedantins or the rules of conduct established by the great men of olden times. Selfishness of every kind was, according to hum, productive of much misery and disinterested work led to freedom from worldly bondage. *'He to others belonged who did not care for self." "The lordly He and eternal who cared not for his own life but who worked for the cause of all lives else."

Buddha was not one of those who maintained that the chief hold of good conduct lay in a strong belief in the existence of God and the sonls and in a knowledge of their characteristics and their relation to each other. He probably thought that it was impossible for any one to probe into the mysterics of God and the soul. But he firmly believed that the highest end of human existence was emancipation from birth ever attended with misery, that the ideas of 'I' and 'mine' were the great obstacles that blocked one's

[•] The statements within inverted commas, nuless otherwise indicated, are to be understood as translations from the Manin & khalor.

enciuation was obtained, there was no room for

We have reason to think that Buddha attached ore importance to actual condust than to the endor philosophical disquisitions about flod and the ul, as be found that the former followed in whatsever degree tended to lossen the misery of the netd.

Some go so far as to call Baddha au Atheist and an Austina Vadi (one who maintains the nonsistence of the Soul). Of the teachings of Buddles simbolied in the l'itaka, not one seems to lend itself this view. Such people again regard the Nirvana a void state. This cannot be said to have been Inddha opinion.

When Gantama was blessed with wisdom at the dot of the Bodhi tree, in his mind entered the bur satyas on which foundation stands the whole thrie of his teachings. " The ever-increasing binery by attachment is cansed, the happiness omancipation by non-attachment secured. These ajointly form the Four Principles of Truth." the lines of the Munimekhalai that deal with To origin of misery are almost taken verbutim "hom the Buddhistic Text, the Tripitaka "HI Ignor-*sare are Actions the result, and from Actions Know-"Mge proceeds. Knowledge gives rise to Name and Arm, and they in turn to the five organs of sense and inind. These organs six, of Contact with things, * to the cause. On Contact depending, Experience Dones. Of Experience, Desire is the outcome. And desire to Attachment gives rise. Attachment, of an . Sgrogate of actions is the root. On this aggregate hard all Birth proceeds; with Birth, Old age, discuse and Death, Pain and Weeping, Suffering and Care, and Despair, all the fruits of Actions. Thus braid the origin of misery." The means of obtaining Acdom from misery is then described : "With Ignorace departs Actions all; with Actions, the Knowkige that differentiates. When Knowledge departs, limes and Forms along. Names and Forms departig, the Organs Siz are no more. With the Organs is, the Contact with things does leave, and Contact with it the faculty of Experience does stend. With Eperience vanish all kinds of Desire. And Desire ails not Attachment to take. Atlachment to Karma inls a death blow. Karma falling, the wheel of arth no longer turns. When freedom from Birth is scured, secured also is freedom from Old Age, Disease

by to the End, and that in the state of Nirvina where and Death, Pain and Weeping. Suffering and Care, Dospair and all the rest. Thus is stated the means of obtaining freedom from misery." In another place it is stated that whosoever born among men cares to know the characteristics of these twelve, Ignorance (Avidyin, Actions (Namakarus), Differentiating Knowsedge (Vijinina), Name and Form (Nama Rupa) Six Organs (Shadiyatume), Contact with things (Sparse), Experience (Vednas), Desire Trabas), Attachment (Upadama) Aggregate of Actions (Bhava), Birth (Junua) and the Fruits of Actions (Karmaphala, knows also the great Nirvina. Not caring to know, he fulls a victim to the frightful hell. As these technical expressious are intelligible enough although seemingly obscure, we refer the reader to the poem [Stanza 30. Lines 51-103] for further explanation of the subject.

> According to the Buddbistic Psychology, all animal objects are found to be a combination of five elements known as Panchaskandas viz., Form, Experience, Sign, Action and Knowledge, When a person dies, the five elements part themselves one from another; in such a state his good karman and bad resting like seed in the Vijiina skanda (the element of knowledge) induce it to take hirth by combining with other skandas. When birth is taken, however, whether the class to which it will belong should be man, celestial, Brahma, inhabitant of hell, beast or devil is determined by the karmas. Even those born men will have to descend to lower births if their bad karma predominates. Differences in the births as man, celestial and Brahma are due to differences in good karms. Those who are torn among the abovementioned six classes are located in the thirty-one regions constituting the Brahmanda, otherwise known as the Chakravila. In the sixth Gatha is given a full description of the Chakravala. Besides the Maninekhalai, the Enitor Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar has had recourse to many other books both in Tamil and English and occasionally in Sansbrit to support his statements and elucidate his sabject.

"The ten sinful actions that should be avoided in all religious practices by all kinds of practitioners irrespective of their stage of life (Grhasta or Sannyasi) are killing, stealing and lusting, these three appertaining to the body; lying, talebearing, using hot words, indulging in vain talk, these four to utterance belonging; Desire, anger and delusion, these three in the mind springing."

The Editor concludes his small treatise on the Buddhistic tenets with an analytical explanation of the Duties of Parents and Children, of Teacher and Disciple, of Husband and Wife, of Friends, of Master and Servant and lastly of Uphsakes (Buddhistic Grhastas and Bhikshus (Sannyasins).

The Bauddha Sangam.

The Bauddha Sangam or the Buddhistic Union is a description of the Buddistic Sannyasins and their modes of living. After surveying the times of Buddha with special reference to its sannyasi-creating tendency, the Editor proceeds to describe in detail the set of rules that should regulate a Sannyasin's conduct. The kind of food he should take, the nature of cloth he should wear, the habitation he should choose, and the daily observances he should follow are all clearly explained and with the accuracy of a Buddhistic treatise.

An examination into the Buddhistic customs of old reveals to us that women also were permitted to become sanuyasins and that in that stage of life they were known as Bhikshunis. Buddha prescribed eight rules to regulate the conduct of the Bhikshunis. These rules, however, point out that the new stage of life allowed to the female population did not in any way increase their liberty. The same restrictions that bound them in the household life continued to the end although in an altered form.

The chief hold of Buddhism, after Buddha's time, was the Buddhistic Union, and even now it is the Bhikshus, that propagate the principles of Buddhism in countries where it is the prevailing creed. The importance of the sangam can still better be understood from the fact that the whole contry will have to give up Buddhism if the Bhikshus in it choose to adopt any other religion.

Manimekhalai story in brief is a prose version of the stanzas, made purposely to instruct the nonpandit class and is hence written in a style at once simple and clear.

Explanation of names is a short dictionary which gives accounts of persons and things that occur in the text.

The Poem.

Now to the text. The subject matter of the poem may be briefly expressed thus. The heraine of the poem, Manimekhalai, is the daughter of Mâtavi, a dancing woman, and Kovala, born of a rich merchant at Kavirippûmpattinam in the chola country. Mâtavi

hearing of the sad death of Kovala at Medura bids go bye to her profession as a prostitute and surrends, herself at the feet of Aravana Adihal (a muster of te form of Virtue), a Buddhistic Sage to be initiated and the ways of sageship by knowing the four Satvac ::the five Silas. (The four Satyas have been alreads explained. The five Sîlas are non-killing, abstineau from intoxicating drinks, non-lying, non-lusting att. non-stealing.) And henceforth she lives in a Buddh.sta monastery; Manimekhalai also with her moth, all along; learning even in an early age to walk in the path prescribed by Buddha. One day, in obediences her mother's behest, she goes to a flower garden is fetch flowers accompanied by Sutamati. fearing Udayakumaran who comes in search of his being captivated by her beauty, she takes shelper in a crystal chamber attached to the garden ai, comes out when all cause for fear is past. is taken by her family deity, Manimekhalai, to is island known as Manipallava and there at the veri sight of the Buddha Pîtikâ, she knows the occurrent ces in her past birth. The Deity initiates her is three incantations and also informs her that it we Rakula, her husband in her previous birth, that hak thus appeared before her in this birth. Later de she goes to the tank, Komukhi, with the assistang, of Dvîpatilakû, the guardian deity of the forementione pîtikâ and takes possession of Amrtasurapi (in the shape of a mendicant's bowl). She then proceeds to Kavirippumpattinam and pays her respects \$1. Aravana Adihal. After varrating to her briefly the history of A'putra and the means whereby Chinta Devi gave to him the Amrtasurapi, he speaks very eloquently on the importance of feeding the hungry; Manimekhalai immediately undertakes to fulfil the mission imposed on her by the saint and gets hely first alms from A'dirâ, a very chaste wife. Ship cures Kâyachandika, a Vidyadhara woman of her, unmitigable hunger, by giving her a handful of food; taken from the Amrtasurapi. She is glad to notice : that the food in the vessel is ever on the increase. and proceeding straightway to the public hall knows. as Ulakavaravi supplies food to the hungry who art : found there collected in thousands. While she is leading this life of charity, Udayakumaran conied, in search of her, and to escape him she assumes the form of Kayachandika and goes to the local prisonhouse. There she supplies food to the hungry and transforms it into a charity-house. Later on she mourns to find that Udayakumaran has been murdered

by Kayachandika's husband and is consoled by the Soity presiding at the temple where this bloody act was committed. Mavaukilli, the father of Udayakomaran, imprisons her and she is set free through the influence She goes to N gapura and thence of the garen. proceeds with the king of that place to Manipallava. By showing the Baddha pitiki, she makes him know his met birth. Dripatilaka informs her that Kavarippilmpattinam is swept away by the sen. She starts on a pilgrimage to the temple of Kannaki, the deity of the chaste, which was situated near the town of Vanji in the Sera kingdom (Coimbatore district). Worshipping Kannaki reveals to her, her fature. She then obtains access to the congregations of the religionists who are assembled there and acquaints therself with the various religious tenets by questioning them on the vital principles. She meets by taccidence Maattavan who is doing penance there and hears from him patiently what all he than to say. As directed by him, she goes to Kanchiparum and supplies food to the hungry who are almost dying. Aravana Adihal by chance comes in her way and preaches to her on the virtues the possession of which leads to Nirvana. Manimek halai isottles herself at Kanchi to perform panance with a tview to attain Nirvana. Thus ends the story. This is however, related in the poem with all the grace of descriptive history and all the charm of imaginative poetry. To many an incident related in the poem we cannot lend our belief without sacrificing a good deal of our historic sense. The introduction of the Supernatural is decidedly a romantic affair. In this respect perhaps it should sink much in our estimation allowing prominence to the Silappadhikaram of which it is a continuation. But the characteristic purity of the Buddhistic imagination and of the Buddhistic lore together with the refined taste of ancient anthors have saved our author from revelling in riotous imagination as the authors of later days have done. The stanza adopted by the author is the running Aharal that may be compared to the English Blank verse. The language of the poem, it may not be out of place to remark, is a little simpler than that of the Silappadhikiram or any other work of the sangam period, and consequently has not called for much annotation from the commentator.

Alphabetical table of contents for what is found in the commentary is a very useful appendix. It contains among other things short notices of Tamil books, some of which are not yet printed. Mr.

Swaminatha Aiyar has clearly abown in this part of his work that he has not failed to imbibe the historic spirit of modern times, and indeed it was a pleasure to us to note that he has applied the usual methods to fix the dates of some works and has also tried to utilise the researches published by the Government Epigraphists.

On the whole, the edition has been prepared very satisfactorily and we commend it to all students of Tamil Literature with the hope that they will strive their utmost to encourage the author in his useful work of editing Tamil classics by providing themselves each with a copy of the edition. We hear from various sources that Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar has on hand many prepared editions which will soon be published, and among others a history of Tamil Literature. When they are published, however, it will be a grand acquisition, in the literary field, to the Tamil nation which can never be sufficiently grateful to the publisher, Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar.

8. A. P.

NACCHINARKKINIYAR: A STUDY.

(Continued from page 71).

The Kalittohai is a classical work which serves as an Ilakkiyam to the Tolkappiyam Ahapporul. But it is not a mere Ilakkiyam. It is written in the purest Tamil and in the most pleasant manner. The condensed similes, for explaining which credit is due to Nacchinarkkiniyar, a credit which is specially alluded to in a eulogium to his commentaries, are a peculiar feature of the work. Mr. Damodaram Pillai might well say in his preface to the Kalittohai that the eulogium which represents the good scholars of Tamil literature as feeding on the spittle of Nacchinarkkiniyar, probably applies only to his commentary on the Kalittohai. The Kalittohai is more to be enjoyed than studied; and the subject matter of the book is perfectly suited to afford pleasant reading.

The Chintómani is one of the five great Tamil epics, and also the chi-f among them. For a long time this was the only well-known classical work among the learned pandits of the Tamil country. Considering the chasteness of its diction and the sublimity of its

[•] The last line of the enlogium:

siletiadu iwida speşky iletwei sülatt.

that the Chintamani deserves, as Or. Pope suggests, to be placed side by side with the great epics of the world. A passionate admirer of this epic ought not to be made a subject for decision if he believes in the traditional account of the Kamba Râmayana, the story that Kamban drew from the deeps of the Chintâmani a cupful of imaginative love and utilised it for writing his renowned Râmâyana. But the Chintâmani is written in a highly condensed style and therefore defies all attempts at unlocking its treasures without the help of Nacchinârkkiniyar. And though be, a giant among the makers of Tamil literature, is unusually brief here, he is always to the point.

Nacchinaarkkiniyar's commentary on the twenty stanzas of the Kuruntohai is not accessible to us at present; and the illusion about the magnitude of his work, that he wrote commentaries on the Tirukhural and the Tirukkovaiyir, has already been dispelled. The Venba* found in the Tiruvavaduturai copy of the Tirukkural, besides stating definitely the number of commentaries written by Nacchinarkkiniyar, adds that his commentaries are vrittis. In Tamil, commentaries have been divided from time immemorial into two kinds, kandihai and rritti. Of these the kandihai is compendious, and the writti elaborate. The function of the kandihai is limited to mere explanation of the work commented upon; while the vritti, besides serving the purpose of the kandihai, also criticises the extant commentaries or the probable interpretations, and supplements the criticism with additional information on allied subjects. From their very nature we can see that the vritti is infinitely superior to the kandihai. Now Nacchinarkkiniyar, it should be noted. qualified for the title of a crittikira, an elaborate commentator.

But the marvellous powers which he has exhibited in his works are of so superior an order that we wish to pass to them from the question of the nature of his commentaries. The extraordinary memory of the man shown in the aptness and abundance of his illustrations and in the ready application of necessary materials is equalled only by Macaulay's. In the

whole range of his commentaries no statement is must placed, and no fact is an excrescence. His unswerve ing uniformity of style and method is the next things that strikes us. It is very common for great men tot produce at the same time works of high merit and works inferior in rank to them. Our commentator always explains fully whatever he understands to explain; and his commentaries occupy about six large volumes That is an excellence which is very ancommon. The clearness of expression which he maintains: throughout, in spite of the vastness of his work, has perhaps never yet been equalled. He is never a pedant. Nothing seems to be a difficulty to his. masterly intellect, which takes up anything and everything in its sweep and utilises it for its own purposes. We are not familiar with examples of commentators who struggle to get over difficulties by explaining them in a way that lays open all the more plainly their vain efforts to shirk crucial points. But it is a noteworthy fact that we hardly meet with anything of this kind in Nacchinarkkiniyar. Before his vast and minute knowledge of literature no difficulty is left unexplained.

His mind is clear, and so too is his expression. which is only a reflex of the mind. As a natural consequence, his style is always easy and flowing is neither ornate, like that of the modern Purinus nor ultra-grammatical, like that of some modern commentaries Granting that he had it in his view to write in a grandiloquent style, the nature of his work did not o'ten permit him to do so. But on a superficial reading of any one of his commentaries, we may hit upon some passage which scarcely finds a parallel in the grandiloquent writings of more modern times. It cannot but strike any discriminating reader, however, that while modern writings show the close workmanship and laboured style of the author, Nacchinarkkiniyar's passages are marked by their easy flow and natural elegance. The rare sweetness of his expression induced his contemporaries as well as posterity to call him by the name of Amrita Vayar, * a term of endearment which at once denotes the sweetness and the permanent qualities of his utterances. Nacchinarkkiniyar may rightly be said to have proved faithful to his name, which means, 'To lovers sweet'. He had a tolerably good acquaintance with Sanskrit,

பேருக் தொல் செப்பியரும் பதுதுப்பாட்ட கோக்கில் மர்க் குதுக்கொளையு செற்று என்று ஞ் — சார் திருத்தாகு மாழுனிசெய் நிறுர் மணியும் பிருத்திருர் இருக்கினி - மே.

^{*} Pattappatta, Introduction, page 8, last stanza.

In acquaintance which, although insufficient to enable him to compete with any Sanskritist was enough for all practical purposes. To judge from the love he had for the language, he probably did not think it becoming to mix Sanskrit grammar with Tamil and, like the later writers, quote authority from Sanskrit for every minor particular. That he had sufficient throwledge of Sanskrit is manifest from his notes, which are sometimes derivations of complicated Tamil words from Sanskrit or references of difficult constructions to Sanskrit grammarians.

Nacchinarkkinivar never voluntarily distorts things. Such fanciful statements as that which ascribes a reign of twenty thousand years to Pandiyan Makirti are the ontcome of sincere belief. Apart from an erroneous chronology, to which he is not alone a victim, his textimonies are often most valuable. Besides the one which, as we have already shown, Mr. Sundaram Pillai turned to good account, there is another that may be noted. In his article on 'The Ten Tamil Idyle' Mr. Sundamm Pillai, said, ' Equally apocryphal appears to me the commentary on 'Iraiyanarahapporul', also ascribed to Nakkirar. It a doubtful whether there existed any prose literature set all in the days of Nakkirar." And then he procreds to point out some obvious inconsistencies which sure now admitted by many to be the result of later interpolations. Mr Sundaram Piliai's doubt as to the existence of prose during the Sangham age need not trouble us, for we know that prose passages occur in Perandevants's Bharatam. which belongs to that age What we wish to point out is the fact that Nacohinarkkiniyar bears testimony of Nakkirar's having written the commentary which goes by his name. Nacchinarkkiniyar speaks of this commentary twice. and on both occasions with due respect to Nakkirar. If Nacchinarkkiniyar's testimony is insufficient, there is Adiyarkkunallar's I to supplement it. Apart from these testimonies, we cannot quite understand how such a scholar as Mr. Sundaram Pillai was led to counlade that the commentary was probably not Nakkirar's, for the style and treatment of the book distinctly prove it to have been a product of the Sangham age.

Impartiality is another trait of the character of Nacchinarkkiniyar as an author. What more is needed to illustrate this than his inclusion of the Tamil of the Brahmans among the objects that excite laughter, while he was himself a Brahman? His idea of the learned is very dignified, and he does not respect literari for the antiquity which they claim, as Mr. Sundaram Pillai seems to have held. They are valued for the excellence of their work and character; for his immediate predecessor Perasiriyar, as well as Manikkavachakar, who lived some centuries earlier than either, are quoted with approval by him.

Seriousness is another important from of writings. Nacchinarkkiniyar is very seldom emotional, but when he is, the reader cannot but come under his spell. Words then proceed from his mouth as if from the mouth of a prophet or an oracle, and he would be a very dense person who could remain uninfluenced by the spirit which they diffuse.

The critical spirit is not foreign to a developed Hindu mind, and Nacchinarkkiniyar showed that be had it in a marked degree. The system that Përdsiriyar adopted of quoting the very words of the older commentators when he had to explain the things exp' .ined, Nacchinarkkiniyar never stooped to adopt. When he proceeds to explain anything, he does not quote rerbation the words of the older authorities. An examination of a commentary of his and a comparison between it and the old once soon convince us that he had long been familiar with the older commentaries, and that what he wrote he wrote as if it were the product of his own mind. The language is always altered, and generally there is something added or if it has seemed necessary, a different explanation is given. In rare cases the reader is referred to some other authority.

The remark of Sivajāāna Yogi,* that Nacchinārk-kinivar persistently maintains his own doctrines even when they are opposed to the truth, is not wholly groundless. Sivajāāna Yogi, when he made this remark, had in his mind probably the commentary on the Solladhikāram of the Tolkāppiyam, for he is vehement against Nacchinārkkinivar only to lean on Sēnāvaraiyar, who was in his opinion a great Sanskrit scholar. But this remark cannot be applied to the commentary on the Solladhikāram as a whole, Nacchinārkkiniyar has sometimes shown that his commentary is decidedly an improvement on Sēnāvaraiyar's. In his commentary on a certain sutram t Sēnāvaraiyar, unable to get an example to illustrate

^{*} Madrus Christian College Magozine, August 1891, page 124.

⁺ Totkappipum, Poral, pages 809, 814.

I Siluppadhilibaraw, page 199.

^{*} Tolkappiyam, Batra Vritti, Page 46.

⁺ Batram 342.

for in the writings of the learned no example can be found. Nacchinirkkiniyar, however, was successful in getting an example from the Chinamani, and thus outdid Senavaraiyar in his own field.

Dr. Bower, in the introduction to his edition of the Chintamani, criticises Nucchinarkkiniyar's commentary thus; " His comments are very much on the plan of European annotations. He paraphrases the text, and points out grammatical peculiarities; he quotes Tolk ippiya sutrams throughout, explains obsolete terms, and gives the various readings which existed in his day; but his style is condensed and his language pedantic. His productions, however, show great powers of analysis." If Dr. Bower was inclined to call Nacchinarkkiniyar's style condensed, I wonder what expression he would have used with regard to Perasiriyar's method of writing. The attribution of pedantry to Nacchinarkkiniyar also indicates a fatal misunderstanding of the commentator and his works. Again, we wonder how Dr. Bower would have characterised Sênîvaraiyar's commentary or Nakki. rar's. We quite agree with his other remarks, and would only supplement them by saying that no commentator of a later generation bears comparison with Nacchinarkkiniyar in regard to his works. What Washington Irving said of Shakespeare's commentators may without much impropriety be said of many of the commentaries of recent times. He said: "His (Shakespeare's) whole frame is overrun by a profusion of commentators who, like clambering vines and creepers, almost bury the noble plant that upholds them."* If the Tamilians would see again a commenteto: like Nacchin rkkiniyar, they must see him in the man who lives, moves, and has his being in his commentaries. Until that man appears in their midst, does it not behave one and all of them to give their days and nights to a careful study of Nacchinårkkiniyar, whose works are an imperishable monument of his genius and acute understanding as a critic and annotator of the classical works of the Augustan age of Tamil literature?

THE VIDYA AND AVIDYA OF THE ISAVASYA.

The passages of the Rig-Veda, "The one Being. the sages describe in several ways" (I. 164. 46, and X, 114. 5.) have a far more comprehensive application than the contexts in which they respectively occur. What is said there of the Deity is equally true of the mode of attaining it. Just us, by whatever diversity of names the One Entity is spoken of or known, the same Supreme Intelligence is everywhere meant, in the same way, however various the methods may be by which an advance in evolution and the achievement of spiritual ends are said to be possible, all of them tend to the same goal, and a primary unity is discovered to run through them all. No simile can be apter than that of the same rain-water that at first took its rise from the ocean, returning to the same source by different innumerable rivers. and s'reams (Mahimna) The first endeavour of every religious student must be not to create differences where the texts are in accord, but to unify the meaning though the texts are seemingly contradictory. That was the noble purpose which Sri Sankaracharya had in view and which he successfully carried out, and that is the direction in which every advanced science is developing in this enlightened age.

Amidst apparent conflicts and overlappings, the spirit of the Scripture is in most cases clearly discernible. Fresh water springs are known to lise to the surface of the sea from the very bottom through all the bitter brine. The short and concise Upanishad, the Isavasya, speaks of a vidya and an avidya, by which I understand juans and karma. The passages are not at first sight concordant or supplementary. The first sloka enjoins the protection of one's self by the complete abandonment of the world we live in The next sloka proclaims in all seriousness that a man should live the hundred years of his life by performing all the duties prescribed by the Vedas, without lear of being affected by their results. The 9th, 10th, and 11th slokas speak directly of vidva and avidya. The 9th says that avidya lands one in darkness, and vidya, on the other hand, in a still gloomier darkness. The next one says that by vidya and avidya different things are understood and that the results are different. The 11th sloka recites that he who follows both vidya and avidya together, crosses

[·] Sketch Book.

S. ANAVARATAVINATAKAM PILLAI.

beyond death by his avidya and renches eternal immortality by his vidya. What does the Upanished mean. The first two slokas indicate the broad aim of what follows. Vidya must mean knowledge and avidya action. The two bindas into which the Vedas divide themselves are held to supplement and not contradict each other. May not the same be said of two sets of ideas in the same Upanished, an Upanished of such limited extent as the Isavasya.

The opinion is prevalent that this Upanished owed its origin to the accendancy of Buddhism and its principles. This may or may not be the fact, and it does not concern us in any way. Its object, however, is the same as that of the Buddha, namely, to arraign in strong language the permissions hypocrisy of some, who, appearing to be possessed of the sacred lore, pretend to have found out (only in words) the narrow pathway that directly leads us to salvation, and give up all moral, social and religious bouds to lend additional color to their pretensions and their frauda. Such persons may not be consciously guilty of the crime attributed to them, but once they are in their fools' paradise, the evil consequences of an nuconscious step follow each other in quick succession, The Kuthopanishad authoritatively states that " fools, presuming themselves to be all knowing, though steeped in ignorance, rush headlong to destruction, as blind men led by the blind." (1. 2. 5.)

der!

.

Atting

The brahmavidya or the "path of the heart" is said to be "as sharp, as the edge of the rasor" (Katha, I. 3. 14.) The same comparison is to be found also in the Bible of the Christians. What does this simile mean? It means that he who travels along that difficult path must do so without swerving a hair's-breadth either to the right or to the left. It is only a line without breadth, and the gentlest motion, be it never so imperceptable, takes away the marching soul altogether off the line. As the "Voice of the Silence" puts it, "Long and weary is the way before thee, O Disciple. One single thought about the past that thou hast left behind, will dray thee down and thou will have to start the climb anew."

Here we not come across instances of men who have abruptly renounced one or more fleeting pleasures of this world, only to return to it with a gelish intensified all the more by an unprepared-for separation? The human mind, thrown suddenly and with vehemence on a hard and anaccestomed ground rebounds from it with astonishing elasticity like a tennis ball. Without systematic preparation, gradual submission to hardship and a tenecious assiduity, it is impossible, may, it is rush and risky, to plunge into the extremely difficult pathway of juans. It pains me to remember the sad death of an esteemed friend of mine, who took to the life of a recluse on the shores of the Narmada in the prime of his youth, and left this world within the short space of a year which was mainly due to the abrupt change in his diet and other conveniences of daily existence which his autrained constitution could not bear and to which it had to succumb in the long run.

What is the advice to be given in such cases? A comparison of the two paths and a clear definition of the evils of a hasty procedure, would be of little avail. The wandering eye sees the dazzling splendoor of the distant horizon, and will take the hazard of being blinded by that effulgence rather than turn aside to a light which is pronounced to be decidedly inferior to the former in the intensity of its brilliance. A flat abuse of the method of knowledge would be against the aim and the spirit of the whole of religious literature. The only way out of the dilemma is to place two different texts in juxtaposition without pointing out in detail their connection or their signi-From this we have to draw an inference, which, while it is not in direct opposition to either of the expressed views, is yet reconcileable with both and brings to prominence that unity that is always intended to be discerned by a coherent interpretation of the different texts.

It is pointed out that by leaving off karma, and taking to jumns, an incapable novice loses the fruits of both and subjects himself to a double suffering. The results that are enjoined for actions will never

come to him, for he does no action. Nor will he reach final bentitude, for his juans never pierced his skin and touched his heart. By all means follow the higher pathway, says the Upanishad, provided you have gone through the necessary training and have sufficient confidence in your powers and capabilities, or provided a proper gurn is to be found who can be relied upon as a safe and competent guide. But, in the absence of such circumstances, karma is the only desirable and harmless way. If you do good karmas by methods warranted by the Sastias, only good will come out of it. In no way should you do bad actions. It may be said that such a high degree of perfection cannot be approached by the performance of karmas alone, as by the direct knowledge of the attributeless Brahman. True; but every ascent commences from the first rung of the ladder, though the last one alone is the direct and proximate cause of our reaching the top. Moreover, much good can be got from the performance of actions without any desire for their fruits. This is the karma-yoga which is so flatteringly spoken of in the Bhagavad-gita. (V. 2). "Action for its own sake" is the watchword. What a commendable self-sacrifice! Who will doubt the cheerful promise of Sri Krishna that this too leads one to the same Unity to which all other processes tend (Gita, V. 12)? Did not Janaka and others reach the height of perfection by this method and by this method alone (Gita, III. 20).? When there are so many brilliant possibilities before us, there is no reason to discard such a golden mean and adopt' a different method, as difficult as it is dangerous, without the necessary precautions and safeguards.

We can go even a step further. Even those who are on the way to perfection and those who have even reached perfection need not look down with disdain on the ignorant world that makes so much of rituals and ceremonials. Sri Krishna Himself did not think it a disgrace to proclaim to Arjuna that, though He was perfect and had nothing to gain by performance of actions, yet He remained doing actions without any definite aim, merely to set an example to the whole world and to keep a-going the evolution of the

universe. "As the Lord, so the people," and if the Lord indulges in inertia, the people too will grow inert, and the destruction of the worlds would be near at hand (Gita, III. 21-26).

Thus, while not condemning the karma-path and proising the gnana-path, nor, on the other hand, adding to the merits of the former at the expense of the latter, the Isavasya takes the only reasonable and possible course, namely, by prescribing Karmayoga for all those whose competency is not so far developed as to guarantee an unobstructed passage through the doorway of renunciation. It points out the perils of a rash and abrupt metamorphosis of the mind which inflicts a multiple loss on the foolhardy victim.

This is the spirit of the Isavasya, which every student might discern by a careful contemplation of its passages.

S. VENRATABAMANAN.

[N.B.—1 am asked to append a translation of the stanzas referred to in the above article. I give my own free rendering of Stanzas 1 and 2, and borrow the authoritative version found in the "Sacred Books of the East" for stanzas 9, 10, and 11.

- 1. All this that moves on earth is full of the Lord. Protect thyself by renouncing them all. Do not covet anybody's wealth.
- 2. One must live a hundred years, always doing (good) works.

 It is so, not otherwise. You will not be affected by the results of such works.
- 9. "All who worship what is not real knowledge (good works), enter into blind darkness: those who delight in real knowledge, enter as it were, into greater darkness."
- 10. "One thing, they say, is obtained from real knowledge; another, they say, from what is not knowledge. Thus we have heard from the wise who taught us this."
- 11. "He who knows at the same time both knowledge, and not knowledge, overcomes death through not-knowledge, and obtains immortality through knowledge." S. V.]

LIGHT OF TRUTH

SIDDHANTA DEEPIKA.

A Monthly Journal, Devoted to Religion, Philosophy, Literature, Science, &c.,

Commenced on the Queen's Commemoration Day, 1897.

VOL. IV.

MADRAS, NOVEMBER 1900.

No. 6.

TRANSLATIONS.

THE VEDA'NTA-SU'TRAS WITH S'RI'KANTHA BHA'SHYA.

(Púrvapaksha):-These imagined fires-such as those made up of speech, those made up of mind, etc. -enter into the sacrifice of ritual, whereof the fire built of bricks, just spoken of, forms a supplementary part, Accordingly, these fires are only alternatives for the fire built of bricks, as in the case of 'mental cup.' The explain. The cup offered on the tenth day, called avivakya, of the Soma sacrifice occupying twelve days,-the earth being regarded as the vessel, Praj pati being regarded as the Deity, and the ocean being regarded as the substance (soma),forms part of a citualistic sacrifice, though it is a mental cup, because the taking up of it, the putting down in its place, the offering of it the taking of the remaining liquid, the invitation to drink it, and the drinking of it can be achieved only in mind: similarly, though mental, these fires form part of the ritualistic sacrifice.

And because of the extended application. (III. iii. 45.)

These fires form part of the ritualistic sacrifice, because the particulars connected with the fire built of bricks are extended to them, in the words of the S'ruti, "each one of them is commensurate with the one mentioned above."

(Siddhinta): - As against the foregoing we hold as follows:

(They are), indeed, knowledge alons, because of the determination and of the Bevelation- (III: iii: 46.)

They are knowledge alone; i.e., they form parts of the sacrifice of knowledge. Though it is known that these are fires formed of knowledge because of their being merely imagined as fires, the S'ruti determines the same thing again in the words "these, verily are built only of knowledge"; and this determination shows that they form parts of the sacrifice of knowledge. And the S'ruti expressly declares that it is only a sacrifice of knowledge, in the following words.

"They were built of mind; in these the offerings were taken by mind; they praised in mind, and they extolled in mind. Whatever act was done in the sacrifice and whatever act pertained to the sacrifice, all this was done by mind in mental forms, in the mental fires built of minds."

Wherefore they are parts of the sacrifice of knowledge.

And because of the greater force of the direct declaration, etc., this view cannot be set aside. (III. iii 47.)

Our view cannot be set aside on the mere authority of the 'section (prakarana)', because it is weak when compared with 'indicatory mark (linga)', with the 'wording of the passages (vakya)' and with the 'direct declaration (S'ruti)'. The sruti says:

"These, verily, are only built of knowledge; and by him who regards them thus always, all beings are won. Even though he be asleep, these fires are built up in knowledge in the case of him who regard them in this way."

(Objection):—'In these, the offerings were taken up in mind:" in such passages as these there is no particle denoting injunction; and therefore there is no sacrifice of knowledge as distinguished from the ritualistic sacrifice.

(Answer) :- The Sûtrakâra says :

From the supplementary (statements, we learn that these are distinct from the ritualistic sacrifice), as the other (sacrifices of) knowledge are distinct. It is also seen (elsewhere). This has been explained. (III. iii. 48.)

"The offerings were taken by mind": from this and other such supplementary statements in connection with the sacrifice, as also from such direct declarations as "these, verily, are piled by mind," we understand that there is here an injunction of a sacrifice of knowledge. Just as the Dahara-Vidva and the like are regarded as sacrifices quite unconnected with any of the ritualistic ones, so, from the supplementary passages and from direct declarations such as those cited above, we understand that the sacrifice of knowledge is also unconnected with any of the ritualistic sacrifices. And there are instances where passages which merely assert are construed into injunctions, as for instance, "what one does with knowledge, that surely is more powerful.*" This principle has been well explained in the Pûrva-Mîmamsa III. V. 21. Wherefore it is but proper to maintain that these fires are only parts of a sacrifice of knowledge.

The Sútrakâra now supposes an objection and answers it as follows:

(This view is in) no (way objectionable) because (the transfer of particulars from one to another) is seen even on account of some similarity, as in the case of death. No occasion indeed for the relation of space. (111. iii. 49.)

" Each one of them is commensurate with the one mentioned above: " in these words the particulars connected with the fire built of bricks are transferred to the mental fires simply because of the similarity of : results. The same fruit that accrues from the fire built of bricks through the sacrifice with which it is connected accrues also from these mental fires which form part of the sacrifice of knowledge. The S'ruti does not certainly mean that these mental fires occupy the same amount of space as the fire built of bricks We find particulars connected with one thing being extended to another on occount of some point of resemblance, whatever that point of resemblance may be. The passage "He verily is death, He who is the person dwelling in this orb," identifies the person dwelling in the sun with Death simply because both are alike destroyers. Here, certainly, the person dwelling in the orb does not occupy the same space as Death. Thus, the identification being possible on account of any point of resemblance whatever, no objection can I be taken to our view.

The Sûtrakâra states ano'her reasen as follows:

And from the sequel, such is the meaning of the passage; and they are appended because of the preponderance. (111. iii. 50.)

From what follows in the Brahmans, we understand that the passage speaking of mind-built fire and so on means that these fires exist only in knowledge. The passage referred to runs thus: "that piled fire is this world, indeed," and so on. In this passage, the S'ruti enjoins a Vidya (upasan) productive of a distinct fruit. The mind-built fire and the like are treated in a ritualistic section simply because of the details to be imagined in connection with these fires abound in that section. Therefore, these fires form part of the sacrifice of knowledge.

Adhikarana-30.

Some (hold that it is not proper) because of the existence of the self (A'tman) in the body. (III, iii. 51).

Now a doubt arises as to whether it is proper or not to contemplate in all meditations of the Supreme, the nature of Brahman as described above.

(Pārvapaksha): Some hold as follows:

As the worshipper dwells in the body and manifests himself as the actor and the enjoyer, it is not proper to contemplate, in the meditations of the Supreme, the

[·] Chhi. 1-1-10.

Parames'vara as the Self of sech a one,-to contemb Mate as such Him who is Three-eyed and so on.

(Biddhints): As against the foregoing contention be Sütrakara mys:

the eggestic (should be essengiated because the self-realisation) depends thereon, as in the case of the realisation (of Brahman). He (incompraity: whatever (III. Hi. 82.)

- It is not that the Paramitman should be contemplated as the Best of the worshipper who manifests simeelf as the actor and the enjoyer. On the contray, it is the opposite nature, as manifested in the one iberated from the mundame life,-i.e., in the one whom all sine have been shaken off, and so onhat should be contemplated, because the realisation of the true pature of the Self depends upon such conemplation. The realisation of the true until of Brahman, for instance, depends on the contemplation d Brehman as He really is The Stuti says:

"According to what his will is in this world, so will he be when he has departed this life."*

tecordingly, inasmuch as the worshipper should to contemplated as liberated from mundane life, as ree from all size, and so on, there is no incongruity "batever in contemplating the Parames'vara, -who us to be contemplated as the Self of the worshipper, -in His nature as the Three-eyed, and so on.

Adhikarana-31.

What are connected with the subdillary parts are not (confined to them alone). They are indeed common to all Vedes in all their reconsists. (111, til. 63).

A doubt arises as to whether those things which are enjoined in certain parts of the Vedas in connecion with the subsidiary parts of the contemplation should be regarded or not as forming parts of all conemplations.

(Purcapaksha): -Now a repetition of the Pranava n connection with the Dahara-Upisana is enjoined in he Kaivalya-Upanishad as follows:

"Having seads the A'tman the (lower) grani, and the Pranava the upper arani, by repeated churning the wise man burns up the bond."†

In the Atharvas'iras the smearing of the ashes is mjoined in connection with the Pas'upata-Vidya (the contemplation of the Pas'upati, the Lord of the souls,) s follows:

"With the Mantra, 'Pire is the seles' and so on, let him take up the sahes, rub on the limbs (with it) and touch them all. This is therefore the your relating to the Pas'upati, for the liberation of the soul from bondage."

Bleewhere the wearing of the ashes in three lines is enjoised in the words "Let him make three lines." As oveness with Sive is declared to be the fruit of this kind of worship, it must form a necessary part of the worship of the Supreme. Such things as are thus enjoined as parts of the worship of Brahman should (according to the Purvapakehir) be observed by the worshippers only in the case of those kinds of worship which are enjoined in the particular parts of the Veds referred to, because of their context; they cannot be common to all.

(Siddhints - As against the foregoing we hold as follows: There is no rule that the smearing of the ashes and the like which are enjoined in connection with the worship of Brahman should be confined to the students of those particular parts of the Veda in which they occur. On the contrary, they should be observed by all worshippers of Brahman, whatever Veda they study and whatever recension they follow. The s'ruti or direct declaration should prevail against what we may make out from mere proximity or

Or. no incongruity as in the case of the incentations, etc. (III. HL 54.)

Just as there is no incongruity whatever in using, in connection with a sacrificial rite common to all recensions, those incentations (Mantres) which occur only in certain recensions of the Veda in connection with that sacrificial rite, so too here.

Adhikarana-32.

The whole is essential, as in the case of the sacrificial rite. So, indeed, the Sruti teaches. (III. 111. 55).

Now a doubt arises as to whether, in the case of the Vais' Anara-Vidya, the contemplation should be practised in separate parts or as a whole.

(Purrapakska) :- The s'rati teaches that the heavenly region, the Sue, the Air (Vâyu), the Ether (A'kas'a), Water, and Earth should be regarded respectively as the head, the eye, the vital air, the trunk, the urinary organ and the foot of the Vais'vanara, the Universal Man. A contemplation of each of these parts separately has also been enjoined in such words as the following, occurring in connection with the contemplation of the heavenly region, and so on.

"And whoever meditates on that Vais'vanara Self eats food, sees his desire, and has spiritual lustre in his house."†

[·] Chhl. Up. 3-14-1.

⁴ Op. Cit. 1L

^{*} Kàlàgairadra-Up. † Chha. Up 5—13—2.

Accordingly, inasmuch as the word 'contemplate' and the declaration of the fruit are found mentioned in connection with each separately, it is but proper to practise the contemplation of each past separately.

(Siddhinta):—We say that the contemplation of the whole is essential.—To explain: After declaring that the heavenly region, etc., are the head, and so on, of the Vais'vanara who has to be contemplated as having the three regions for his body, the Sruti declares further that the realisation of Brahman is the result of the contemplation:

"But he who worships the Vais'vanara Self as a span long, and as identical with himself, he eats food in all worlds, in all beings, in all selfs."*

Now, the contemplation of the Vais'vanara as a whole is resent alpas founded on proper authority when compared with the contemplation of Him only in part: i is said that the former alone leads to the realisato a of Brahman. The Sruti is not quite earnest when speaking of the contemplation of the Vais'vinara in part, or of its fruit. After enjoining, for instance, the sacrificial rite in the words "when a son is born one should offer an oblation of twelve dishes to Vaisvanara," the Sruti goes on: "What has been offered in eight dishes purifies him, as Givatri, with spiritual lustre;" and so on. Just as the s'ruti is not quite earnest in speaking of the. oblation of eight dishes or of its fruit, so too here (in the case of the Vai 'vânara-Vidyà). And the S'ruti expressly declares that the contemplation of the whole is surely essential In the section referred to, after the questions, "What Self, O son of Upamanyu, dost thou worship ?"..." What-Self, O Prachinayogya, dost thou worship?", the answers are given in the words "I worship the Heaven. O Lord, O King;" "I worship the Sun, O Lord, O King." Having in these words taught the contemplation of the constituent parts, the s'ruti has declared here and there the fruits thereof in the words "He eats food, he sees desire." and so on. All this notwithstanding, the Srnti declares that evil would result from the contemplation of the separate parts, in the following words of the King:

"That, however, is but the head of the Self, and thus your head would have fallen (in a discussion), if you had not come to me."

Wherefore, surely, the contemplation of the whole is essential.

Adhikarana-33.

They are different, because of the difference in the designation, etc. (11I. iii. 56).

Here a doubt arises as to whether in the contemplation of the Supreme we should combine together all the various descriptions of the Supreme, or contemplate each separately.

(Pirrapaksha):—In the contemplation of the Supreme, we should combine all together, inasmuch as Brahman, the object of contemplation, is one and the same, though various contemplations are taught severally in the several recensions of the Veds.

(Siddhanta):-As against the foregoing, we hold as follows: Because of the impossibility of combining together the infinite number of contemplations taught in the Vedic texts which are infinite in number, the several contemplations are distinct from one another, since Brahman, though one in Himself, has distinct attributes. It has been shewn that there may be such a distinction in the worship of one and the same Being, just as different cakes are offered to one and the same Indra when endued with different attributes, i.e., according as He is addressed as the King and so on, or just as the sacrificial fire is worshipped differently according to the place occupied, as Garhapatya, and so on. Hence the conclusion that, because of the distinction in the designation and other such appendages, the contemplations of the Supreme taught in different recensions This special section has been devoted to the same subject, only with a view to refute the theory that the Vedas do not erjoin contemplation or knowledge (jn'ana).

Adhikarana 34.

They are so many alternatives, because their fruits are all alike.

(III. iii. 57).

Thus, the contemplations of the Supreme in different forms have been treated of. A doubt arises as to whether, in the case of a devotee, they form so many alternative courses, or they should one and all be practised.

(Pūrvapakshu):—What is the prima facie view? It would seem proper that the Dahara-Vidyā (the contemplation in the heart), the Sad-Vidyā (the contemplation of the One Existence) and the like, should be practised in conjunction, because it is possible that more effort produces more fruit. There is nothing to shew that they are so many alternatives.

(Siddhanta):—As against this view, we hold as follows: As regards one and the same individual, all the several contemplations of the Supreme form so many alternative modes worship—Why?—because the realisation of the unsurpassed Bliss of Brahman, which is the fruit of the intuitive perception of Brahman, is the same in all cases. If the aim is attained by one upasana only, why should one resort to others which are of no use? Moreover, by one upasana, the immediate perception of the true nature of Brahman is attained in most intense meditation, because the devotee firmly regards himself as one with Brahman. By abandoning one and resorting to another, there will be only a wandering of the mind. Hence it is that they are all so many alternative courses.

A. MAHADEVA SASTEI, B.A.

(To be continued.)

[•] Chha-Up 5-18-1.

⁺ Chha 5-12-17.

	इत्यं शक्तिः कुर्वती देहरूसं
7	देशमानादुस्पते देशशस्टैः ।
	वस्या मेदा येपि बाशदयस्युः
	तेषि मोक्तः रूबमेदेन सद्भिः॥ (14)
(1)	CHAPTER IV.
	पतिस्वरूपम करणं.
(2)	स इत्यंविग्रहोनेन करणेनाइतीमसा ।
	करोति सर्वदा क्यं यदा यदुण्यवते ॥ (1)
(3)	तत्रादी केवलाणूनां योग्यानां कुदतेश्वकं ।
	नामदि शक्तिभिर्युक्तं सप्तकेगिटकरिच्छदं॥ (2)
(4)	तेषामनन्तस्मूहमश्व तथा श्रेव । श्रेवोसमः ।
	एकनेत्रैकदरीय त्रिमृतियाभितयुतिः॥ (3)
(5)	श्रीकण्डच शिलण्डीच राजराजेचरेश्वराः !
	रेषद् माप्तयोगत्वानियोज्याः परमेष्टिनः ॥ (4) सर्वश्रत्वादियोगे,पि नियोज्यत्वं मर्लादातः ।
(0)	1 ab
(7)	(4)
(1)	ते स मन्त्रेश्ररव्यक्तशिवशक्तिप्रचीदिताः।
	कर्बन्यनुप्रहं पुंतां यदा येषां सपुच्यते ॥ (6)
.00	मयोक्भृदेहसापेक्षन्तदर्भमीवरुष्यति ।
(8)	कत्वाधिकारं स्थियन्ते शिवं विश्वति सेन्धरं ॥ (7),
	विनाधिकरणनान्यत् प्राधानाविकतरघः ।
(9)	क्त्वाधिकारमीद्रेष्टमपैति स्वाध्वसंहृतौ ॥ (8)
	ततो इनन्ताषामि व्यक्तः पतीनां प्रन्यितस्वतः।
(10)	कलाबारब्ददेहानां करीयष्टादशं शतम्॥ (9)
	तानप्याविश्य भगवान् साञ्जनान् भुवनाधिपान् ।
(11)	येभ्यत्सर्विमिदं येषां शक्तिः कर्मनिबंधना॥ (10)
	पणतृन् पशुशास्त्राणां पश्रृस्तदनुवर्त्तकान् ।
(12)	स्वसाध्यकारकोपेतान् कालघामानधिस्थितान् ॥ (11)
	स्थितौ सकारकानेतान् समाकम्य स्वतेजसा ।
	युनिक्त स्वार्यासेध्यर्थं भूतेरनामेळाक्षेतः ॥ (12)
	भौगसाधनमाक्षित्य कृत्वा कारणसंश्रयं।
(13)	
	(2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) (11)

भविनां भविक्तानां सर्वभूतिहितो यतः ।
स्वापावसानमासादा पुनः प्राग्वत् प्रवर्तते ॥ (14)
स्वापप्यास्ते बोधपन् बोधयोग्यान्
रोष्यान् रून्धन् पाश्यम् किमकर्म ।
सायाञ्चाकीर्व्यक्तियोग्याः प्रकुर्वन्
पञ्यन् सर्वे यद्यया वस्तुजातम् ॥ (15)

EXAMINATION OF THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PATI.

1. Having understood that the body and the rest are mere products, we must, by inference, conclude that there is a creator of these, with special characteristics. (viz. Jnana and Kriya-saktis).

Note.—"Body and the rest"—these are tanu, karana and bhuvana, a body which experiences, the means of experience (viz. the senses) and the world (which is the object. 44 experience).

- 2. He is eternal, for he is not conditioned by time; He is not confined to a locality, for he is all-pervading; He possesses creative powers, for he can create either gradually or all at once.
- 3. He must possess a karana (or instrument), for no action is seen to be accomplished but by an instrument. This must also be understood not to be adventitious, since it is eternally, existing.

Note.—The Sakti is not a thing which comes into being at some point of time, and which is afterwards used by Siva. It is co-existent with the Lord and is part of his nature.

4. This karana is none other than the Sakti itself; it cannot be unintelligent, because it must be intelligent; though one; it appears as manifold in perception and in activity, on account of the variety of objects.

Note.—There is a double meaning on the word karana. It means both an instrument and a body. Both meanings are freely interchanged here. The next verse is a reply to the objection, that since the Lord cannot create without Karma and Maya, he should not be called the creator, but rather the latter two. The Sakti must be intelligent, because it is one with the Lord who is the Supreme Intelligence.

5. The world is not the product of the seed of production, preservation and the rest (v.e., of karma), nor of Prakriti, nor of the soul. Then there remains only

the residual theory that it is the work of the L To one who is liberated, he is always Siva.

Karma and Maya cannot be said to be the sause of world, because they are unintelligent and hence can have a will to create. The soul cannot be the cause, a he is not free, being bound down by Male. There the Lord, who is both intelligent and free must be creator. To a liberated person, the Lord does not ap in his active aspect, creating, preserving and destroy the universe, but as pure Bliss.

6. It may be objected that there being no pertible connection (between the creator and his citions), the reasoning will break down; but the vame objection will hold against the inference estimates the inference of the blishing a connection between smoke seen on a land fire in general, from the example seen in kitchen-fire.

Note. - The objection is that we do not actually see creator in the work of creation, and as such the infere is bad. The Againa replies, "Anyhow you accept principle of reasoning by which, merely by seeing sm issuing out of a hill, you infer the existence of fire on You infer so, because you have established a general of nection between smoke and fire, from your seeing en day in the kitchen, that smoke always implies the sence of fire in it. As a matter of fact, you do not tually see the fire on the hill at the same time as youthe smoke. Therefore the principle of your objection apply here also; and the effect will be the abolition all inference." The example given here is the fami illustration of a syllogism in the Nyaya philosoph. Every school, though rejecting the Nyaya's conclusing as to salvation, accepts its chapter on Logic almost co pletely.

The next verse raises another objection.

7. A product is always associated with an eventual bodied agent; and it is also seen in ordinary extrience, that only a person with a body can appropriate the Lord must be like us.

Note.—The Lord since he is an agent, must have body, the objector says. And having a body he multike us, be subject to all the ills of embodied existence. The next verse gives the reply.

Since Mala and the rest cannot attach to it, the body of the Lord is or pure Sakti (energy), and is not like ours. His body consisting of the head, etc., is coupposed of the five Mantras which are subservent in his five operations, and which are called I'sa, Tatpk rusha, Aghora, Vama and Aja.

Note,—These names are the first words of certain Vidio hymns. See Taittiriya Aranyaka. X. 43—47. The five operations are creation, preservation, destruction

Vamadeva, Aghora, Tatputusha and I'enna. Some shooks ascribe these fire to Brahma, Vishna, Badra, swars and Redfeiva, for instance the Tamil work, it Vilakkam. (cores 6).

or begin some funciful derivations of the words Isana he rest.

la'àna, because by this attribute of His, occuthe loftiest position, the Lord governs (ta'=to) the whole universe. From its resemblance to jore-head (in being high-placed), it is also called the.

to.—learn is said to be the fo head of Isvara's because by that he blesses all be an and initiates into true knowledge.

Tatpurusha. Vaktraka is so called, because it des (ushati) in each and every (tat tat) body is and because it protects (transit) and illuminates stjonts).

when.—The body is called yer or a citadel, on account a being like a city guarded by the senses and organs, the convenience of the soul seated in the heart. It hinates by manifesting the Juana-sakti of the soul, it protects it by the removal of all fear of the souns-tonsequent on the soul obtaining knowledge. The statement of the soul obtaining the mouth," since is body of Siva, Tatpursaha Mantra is said to form mouth. The Agama however further on gives a life derivation for it.

i. The word "heart" (hridays) is synonymous i wisdom (bodhs); and since the Lord's wisdom are benign, it is called Aghora (not terrible). i sometimes secondarily styled Ghora, on account is being terrible on the parigraha path.

bts.—The third mantra called Aghara hridaya, which is ito be the heart in the Lord's body, is explained, a called hridaya (heart), because the word is a inym for wisdom, which this mantra is said to be, a Agama here is cidentally explains why Isbara is compis called Ghora, terrible. In the paragraha path, or as isometimes called the 'impure' path (anadha-adhra) teath of Maya (Maya-adhea), it is said to be terrible to hvil-dosra. Not that it is in reality terrible, but to those(t) suffer the evil consequences of their deeds, it appears is so; in reality, this also is intended by the Lord the release of the soule who are immerced in ignoma. Paragraha is used as opposed to the anagraha of a Lord, by which latter He liberates and bieseen in The real reason why it is called Ghora is because special function as Ghora-salti is destruction.

th. The three objects of wordly existence are holgrely styled. Yama on account of their inferiority. It which has Vama for its abode, which is always bet by nature and ever resplendent (deva), is led Vama-guhyaka.

iste.—The mantra which is said to be the fourth memtof Siva's body, the Sakti, is here explained. The three fects of wordly existence, vis. the acquirement of virtue, wealth, and pleasure, are called Vima, because of their inferiority to the fourth, liberation. This mantra or Sakti arranges for the due enjoyment of the fruits of a person's actions, if they come within the first three objects of baman existence. A person's past tarms and the results which would in consequence accrue to him cannot be known by any one. Hence the Sakti which averanges these is called secret (gukyaku), and faucifully it has been made to correspond to the gukya in the Lord's averalled body.

13. Sadyojāta is so called, because bodies for the souls spring forth in an instant (sadyas) at his mere wish. Or he is Sadyomarti, either because he produces embodiments (mūrtis) for yogias, or because of the rapidity of his actions. It is not that he himself has a body (mūrti).

Note, —The special function of this Sakti is creation. It produces bodies for yogins composed parely of mantras, It is said to form the feet in the body of Siva.

14. Though the Lord has no embodiment, yet since the Sakti, in this manner, discharges the functions of one, it is referred to by words which signify a body. This Sakti, though one, is differentiated as Vama and the rest by the Great Ones, on account of the diversity of its activities.

Here ends tue Third Chapter.

THE NATURE OF THE PATI.

1. The Lord, having an embodiment as described above (consisting of mantras), always performs his work, in due time and manner, through his instrument (the Sakti) of irresistible might.

Note.—Desirons of describing the nature of the Pati yet further, the Ayama begins an explanation of the expression sarva-brit, all-doer, in the first verse of the second chapter.

2. First he creates eight qualified kevala souls, accompanied by the saktir Vama and the rest, and surrounded by seven crores of mantres.

Note.—"Kevala," is explained by the commentator as rijadas-bevals, denoting the souls that are free from Karma and Maya, but yet remain affected by Afrava Mala. But usually the word is used the condition of the soul, when it is completely immersed in Mala, and has not yet taken up an embodiment, and as such may be said to be free from Maya and Karma. It is only in the latter sanse that we meet with the word in Sivajana-Siddhi. Perhaps the resemblance between the two states, in that in both the souls are affected only by Mala, might have led to such a use as in the Agama. But there is ease important difference between the two for in the heavily state, as ordinarily understood, Mala completely obsources the souls, whereas here Mala is of extreme tenuity and but throws the lightest veil over them.

 These are Ananta, Sûkshun, S'ivottama, Ekanetra, Ekarudra, Trimûrti of measureless brilliance, Srîkantha, and Sikhandin, who are Isvares over the Isvares, who are higher than the Rajas over Rajas. Since they are yet a little removed from perfect union (with the Lord), they remain subject to His control.

Note.—The commentator thus explains the curious phrase rajardjesvaresrorah; the lowest class riz., the Rajas, are Indra and the other gods; the Rajas over them are the hundred Rudras; the Isvaras who are above them are Mandali and others, above all these come the eight mentioned here. They are called Mantra-mahesvaras. These are not yet in perfect union with God, because there is a little mala yet attaching to them, in the form of desire of rulership. They are styled by the Saiva school, Vignana-kalas.

5. Though possessed of universal knowledge and the rest, they are charged with duties by the Lord, on account of a little Mala yet remaining in them. They are differentiated as higher and lower, one in relation to the other; and so also are the mantras which are below them.

Note.—The mala in the case of these is the lordship or rulership exercised by them. They have passed beyond Maya and Karma.

- 6. These (mantras), directed by the Sivasakti which is manifested in the Mantresvaras, bestow anugraha (grace) at the proper time on such souls as are fit for it.
- 7. Half the number (of these mantras), dependent on the bodies of those who employ them, having performed their function along the whole adhva (path), enter at the end of the world into Siva together with their Isvaras.

Note.—The function of these mantras is to bestow the anugraha of the Lord on all who deserve it. They function within the Mâyâdhva i.e., the path beginning with Mâyâ.

8. Without any substratum, the other (half of the Mantras) discharge their office, according to the will of the Lord, below the Prådhåna-vikriti, and then attain (to Siva) at the end of their adhva (path.)

Note.—"Prådhåna-vikriti." is explained by the Tamil version as "Suddha-vidyå." How it came by the meaning is not clear. The two words comprising the compound are Sankhyan ones. It may mean either "the primas modification" or "the modification of Pradhåna (i.e., Prakriti)."

9. Then the Lord, who is manifest within Ananta and the rest, creates a hundred and eighteen rulers from the Maya-tattva, having their bodied composed of the tattvas beginning from Kala. # (3-1)

Note.—These are more fully described in the last chapter of the Agama and have been referred to in the fourth verse as the lower kind of Isvaras, of whom Mandali is the first.

 Afterwards the Lord enters into those rulers of the worlds (bhuvanas) who are tainted with mala, from whom arise all these worlds, and whose power are limited by Katma.

Note.—These are Brahma, Vishnu, Rudra etc, who a generally classed among the Sakula souls, i.e., souls ware affected by the three malas. They create the marial words out of Pradhana or Mûlaprakriti. The powers are exercised in accordance with the karma of t souls living in these worlds. Sûnjanân—tainted wipigment, pigment being a name of mala, as granthi, kuwas of Maya in the previous verse.

11. He then creates the propogators of Passastras together with persons to follow them, along witheir respective means and ends, reaching up to tworld of Kala.

Note.—Pasu-sastras are systems the goal of the best which reach only to a discrimination of the pasa or sor they do not understand the nature of the Pati or the Lor In contradistinction to these, the Agama calls its teaching the Pati-sastra. For instance we may take the Advanta Sankara. The Agama will say that what he consider the Nirguna Brahman, which he holds to be the only polity and essentially non-different from the true nature the Jiva, is merely the soul which he mistakes for a Highest Being. It will say that its system goes far high that it recognises a Supreme Lord, who is the very Self this self, who is its very intelligence and who rules a blesses this soul. The highest place assigned by the Agam for the followers of these systems is the world of Kalagi rudra.

12. Pervading by force of his energy (icchá-sak these along with their means in the state of conservation, He employs them for the accomplishment their ends, remaining the while unrecognised by a beings.

Note.—"These" refers to the passs of the former ver and the Bhuvanas or worlds appointed for them.

13, 14. The Lord, ever merciful to all being withdraws all the accessories of experience, at merges them into their primal cause (viz Māyā), order that those who are in the world of experience and those who are tired of it, may find rest. At He then remains pervading this (Māyā) as well the souls. This cosmic slumber having ended, I commences as before.

Note.—" Accessories of experience"—are the hod senses and the world outside. When the souls have four sufficient rest, the Lord begins again his work of sie tion, or rather of evolution.

15. Even in this resting state, the Lord instructionse who are fit to receive instruction, obstructhose that deserve to be obstructed (by his tirodhân sakti), matures (or ripens) the souls and their karm makes the powers (saktis) of Mâyâ fit for emerging into manifestation, and otherwise watches over ever thing in appropriate manner.

Here ends the Fourth Chapter.

M. NARAYANASWAMI AIYAR.

PADMAVATI*

(A NOTE.)

Then about two venrs ago, a novel by Mr. A. Shavish was appounced. I looked forward to it a certain amount of anxious interest. thaviah is a distinguished alumnus of the Madras Satian College and a very encounful student of dear old Hindu College at Tinnevelly. What I w of and had heard about him led me to expect he would socceed in his attempt, and I am glad the book has been received farourably; though hed it has not fully realised the very high expecons I had formed from the name of the author. had previously read the "Kamalambal"-that ightful classic of my greatly esteemed and much ented friend B. R. Rajam Aivar; and that has paps influenced me a little. I feel the same sense disproportionateness, that comes upon me as I "The Christian" after "The Ordeal of Richard erel", the greatest novel of this century. But "The Christian" is a decided success as Mr. neman will accept and a fine book, as the and for so many editions proves. " Padmavati" his a decidedly fine book in its own way and it erves to be warmly congratulated. Further the mil novel is of recent origin: there are not many them and we should always feel kindly disposed ards those who strive and add some contribution it; especially when it comes from one who does so the mere love of it. Industry and a taste for dilectual pleasures are peculiarly respectable in who can afford to be idle and who have every ptation to apend their time in airy gossip and converse. It is impossible not to wish success to who resisting such strong temptations loves work hits own sake.

Ir. Madhaviah had already put in his first parance as an author in Tamil, when he gave us affirst part of the story. That was a decidedly ditable performance; and the present book sains the reputation of the first. He has untitledly some of the valuable clements of the felist—a keenly observant eye, a widely retentive mory and a capacity for properly using them. I must be permitted to say that the book now

occasions when a little conciseness would not have been amiss; and the style lacks that allusive charm which while interesting the ordinary reader takes captive the "student". But these are precisely the faults—if indeed such an expression can be applied—which time seldom fails to core; and from the promise of the present work we may prophesy a successful literary career for the author.

It will be unnecessary for me here to recapitulate

and then betrays the literary novice. There are a few

It will be unnecessary for me here to recapitulate the incidents of the first book ; it has been before the public for about two years, and most of us that take any interest in Tamil literature have read it. Our author begins the present part with the arrival of Gopelan and Narayanan in Madras. They determine to join the Christian College, and go to see it in a jutka. Here is a pretty description of the jutkawallab-that "borrid" fellow that somebow acents mofussilites, and asks four times the usual fare; we compromise for double and as he drope us he howls and we are glad to get away by paying him an extra suna or so. Then comes a tribute to the Christian College in pious fulfilment of the old "promise", " In loyal love of thee I vield to none first place"-which it will be ungraceful on our part to dispute. Still to call it the premier institution of the presidency may to some sound hysterical and a convenient forgetfulness of the Presidency college. But with all that is said of the Professors we cordially agree. Very learned men, they are supreme over all in kindness and benevolence and sympathy. They love their work, their college, their boys, the land they live and labour in; not very highly paid, they use what they can spare of it in deeds of " assistance "-gengine " charity ".

This is followed by a hymn of praise to the Rev. Dr. Miller. All of us join in that hymn. All the lines of that venerable countenance are before us; all the little peculiar cadences of that voice from which scholars love to hear the lessons of a benevolent wisdom are in our ears; all the fire and brilliancy of his eyes attracts us like the lighthouse does the night-invested sail. There have been occasions indeed when our views on contemporary matters have not been in harmony with—nay even adverse to—those of the Rev. Doctor; but the sincerity with which they are felt and the courage with which they are expressed, leave us still admiring the "MAN."

In the second chapter we are given a view of the daily routine of our friends. Narayanan the scholar-

Padmavati, a story of the Tamil country (in Tamil), Book II, ga. Madbavich, n.a., Madras, Srinivasa Varaduchari & Co. 2. 18 as.



ship student works well and maintains his position in the class: Gopalan, the rich man's son, comes out a failure. This forms the subject of a little dialogue between them and the failure throws the blume on his wife, who, he says, occupies, whether he likes it or not, his whole attention. This is a dictum that we see is now growing in strength—of numbers at least. It was our intention when we were reading this chapter to dissent from it; and we are glad that the author has impliedly done so for us in the sketch of the married life of Narayanan and Padmavati. It is the noble woman, that like a guardian angel warns us of dangers ahead, comforts us in our sorrows, firms developing resolves and inspires endurance, foresight and skill.

The plot of the tale is simple; Narayanan, while at Madras receives intimation of his wife's puberty. and during the next recess is "married." He, his friend Gopal and their wives all spend the remaining part of their vacation time in that lovely sanitarium, Courtallam, a place which has justly drawn a glowing and elequent chapter from the author. It has been our privilege to visit these delightful hills on more occasions than one, and never once while there, have we felt, even for a minute, the dreadful monotony and the lingering torture of a tedious hour. ancient shrine, the glorious waterfall, the rich green of the storied hills, the sweet song of birds, and the antics of those lawless pranceful bands, that half way up to the jealous moon go in a flung festoon, all these inspire the heart with vernal delight and joy, able to drive all sidness and despair. Ascend then. the lovely hills and what a charmed circle of rest meets our entranced gaze-the grand and stately silence of virginal nature, unbroken still, in parts, by the ruthless step of man's civilisation, a haven of perfect calm, delicately disturbed by the fluttering wings and soft voices of birds, the gentle murmur of the freeborn winds of heaven, the low ripple of a distant stream and the soothing meledy of a far cascade. All the emotions there are ours-from fun, frolic and jollity to meekness piety and devotion.

The pleasant stay of our friends in these charming hills is only marred a little by the illustured step mother of Gopalan and his father who is ruled by her with iron will. On the re-opening of the Collège while Narayanan brings with him his wife, Gopalan comes only with his sister leaving his wife behind him, believing that in her absence glorious days of work

and study were before kim. They as before together, One day Narayanan and Gopalan play attend a certain theatrical performance note chiefly for its well sung songs, Narayanan having partiality for music. On mention of this ! Narayanan to his wife Padmavati, unexplain she feels an instinctive dread of coming evil. be silences her and off they go. The description the perfromance at the theatre is an admira chapter of the book; a fine example of vivid realistic portraiture. In one of the actors Goper and Narayanan recognise the long lost brothers Gopal; they wait till the performance is over. the prodigal brother is brought home. On look: at his sister the kindly natural instincts overcise even this gallant gay Lothario and he weeps. all this repentant regret is but of brief duration | early the next day he gives a graphic account of life after his disappearance. Sankaran's-for is this precious brother's name—history is brid this; he gets disconsolate at being left unmarn while his old father goes all the long distance fi Tinnevelly to Tanjore, to get for him a stepmoth he is incited to claim partition from his father by father's local enemy: and to get legal assistance he to Srivaikuutam, where while selling his jewels the goldsmith, to meet present expenses he is quil. taken hold of by the police, who extort from him jewels under threat of prosecution for some their. despatch him to Trivandrum under a special escore as to keep him out of their way. He there lives witwoman of that place and shortly after, findingle: brother-in-law in that town he steals his mistress' and runs away. Then after a roving reckless life the Bombay Presidency and elsewhere he come Madras and falls in with a company of actors whom he stays until found out and taken back !his brother, as we have seen. He threatens le go home and institute the partition suit : buth brother dissuades him from it promising to write: his father himself and thus detaining him in Made

Sankaran's associations and his late recktess had fully wrecked his nature and made an absorake of him: his talk was course and his mann repulsive, his mind ever clouded with the turfumes of vice. He cast a lustful look on Padmar whenever he chanced to obtain a glimpse of her; thence, avoided him studiedly. Once when Go and Narayanan were away at college, Padmi sat re-

s her room; where beside her, her mother and al's nister lay selvep. With quick smooth steps, Sankaran hurried thither and glancing at her his lust-lit eyes, he hastily called Padmi to come Terrified she silent sat: her arms her eyes red: this noting, he a little shell upon her w, which autocked her paralysed voice and she a frightened cry. The women beside her woke, weeping l'admi moaned the plaintive tale : which in Gopalau on his return heard, he sharply quesd Sankaran, who with as indifferent air, gave a sible explanation. Nursyanan shortly after rehed to another house with his wife and mother. topal, who to obtain more time for work, had left wife behind him, was no whit better sow. For a indeed he worked a little : but, latterly, any igirl, reminded him of his wife and the happy aded days of the last session : little by little evil subts made their way into his mind; and the face Dropadi" which he saw at the Chenga Bazaar attre heanted him. Through his brother, he obled an introduction to one Seshiengar the gentlewho figured as "Dropadi"-and he in his turn Induced him to his concubine Sala, a previous Muitance of Gopal as readers of the first part know. on that time begun with him an era of duplicity. avoided Narayanan, and he hid a good deal from sister. He never felt the same as before. He r & on, began to write letters to Sala. These letters est obtained by Seshaiyangar for Sankaran, who - i with thoughts of vengeance on Padmavati for exposure of him, cut out the address from those ers and addressed them to Padmavati, so that read as love letters from Gopal to Padmavati. letters being posted were received by Narayswho on reading them grew suspicious; and ever wards a salleuness characterised him. He did ever think of questioning Padmi, so much was he A second similar letter coming to him co-, ded him in his alienation from Padmi. He then hight of sending away his wife to his fatuer-in-law with a lying letter to him that his wife wanted to some some time on account of ill health. Shortly Gonal left Madras for the holidays. That night, fring to know the cause of her husband's coldness. s facked him some question to which he returned

way answers and threw to her the letters of Gopal

explanation; on her asking why those letters were

n her Narayanan angrily said that they were

ressed to her and she faints Shortly after res-

toring her, rebuking her for her faithlessness he goes out, Later he leaves that his father-in-law's business had failed, that he was a rained man and that as such, Padmi must stay where she was; and he is thus builted in his attempts to seperate from his wife. His father-in-law's failure reduces Narayanan's income a bit, and his scholarship money not being enough to maintain him, he becomes a private totor, which gives him a few rapers. But this was not enough always to maintain him . 't was a living from hand to mouth. One touching incident of this nearly starving life is recorded as how his mother rather than increase the expenditure starved horself on some occasions and noting one of which he sold some of his beloved prize-books, and gave her the wanted money. Padmi seeingall these events thought of selling some of her jewels and surprise the money into her husband's hands.

Gopal had meanwhile returned after the vacation. His letters to Narayanan during the recess and one usking him to meet him at the railway station, were left unheeded. On the evening of his return Gopalan happens to pass by Naravanan's house and Narayanan himself was just coming home in a melanelloly mood. He did not wish to meet Gopal who happening not to notice Narayanan went his way. On Narayanan reaching home he heard his wife singing and seeing by that that his mother was not at home. he thought that his wife was in high spirits owing to having met Gopalan, who as we know, had not even entered the house, but only passed by it. Narayanan entering his house asked if "that fellow" had been there: not knowing what he meant, she asks 'which fellow" and then drops into his hands the sale proceeds of the jewel. Naravanan mistakes it as a gut from Gopal and roundly questions her if he has given her unything else in consideration of her unfaithfulness. She had not expected this hefore: trembling and weaping she explains to him th sale of the jewels of which she had not told him before as she wanted to surprise him with the money, and also for fear that he might not permit her to sell her jowels: but he is unconvinced and frantically kicks her. The prognant Padmi falls unconscious and the fright with the treatment she received. results in a m iscarriage. His mother who returns a little after urges him to get medical aid; he then explains to Dr. Miller the state of the circumstances and the Revd. gentleman with

his usual loving kindness sends his own doctor. Padmi slowly rallies and sometime after, Narayanan's mother dies, her very last act being to place Padmi's hands in Narayanan's and with a look that spoke more truly and eloquently than words, imploringly ask him to love and cherish the precious heritage she was leaving in his hands.

Thus were husband and wife left alone. Narayanan grows worse in mind. If but to be wroth with those we love, doth work like madness in the brain, expression fails to state the excruciating torture and feverish agony that follows on our belief that one whom we loved and trusted had proved faithless. Narayanan's mind was ever stretched on a wrenching rack of thought: futile tears filled his tired eyes: and often he thought of killing his wife. Once when seriously contemplating it, that last look of his mother rose before his eyes like a fairy vision, and dispelling for the moment mistrust and anger reminded him of the past delights of his earlier married days, sweet as a song sung perfectly. He returns home delirionsly singing to himself the well known song,

Tears, idle tears, I know not what they mean,
Tears from the depth of some devine despair.
Rise in the heart and gather to the cyes,
In thinking of the days that are no more.
Dear as remembered kisses after death
And sweet as those by hopeless fancy feigned
On lips that are for others; sweet as love,
Sweet as first love, and wild with all regret,
O, death in life, the days that are no more.

and as he came by his door heard his wife singing the tender "hymn" whose pleading plaintive notes came quivering from her lips:—

Prince of my soul*
My life's goal
Fond heart's desire
Love burns like fire;
No fault of mine
Handmaiden thine
Turned thee 'gainst me
And plunged me in sorrow's sea:

(Chorus) When wilt thou hark to me?

When will thy bosom warm towards

When will my head nestle on thy bre
And there have rest?

11

My life thou art,
And mind and heart:
Affection's fountain thou,
Ever and now.
Te me, in woman's guise
The pole-star of the skies:
All things: aye, even
My thoughts' sweet heaven.

(Chorus) When will thou hark to me?

When will thy bosom warm towards a '
When will my head nestle on thy brea
And there have rest '

and suddenly with one piercing cry she fainted fell. Bitterly weeping Narayanan, wildly rushe and clasped her to his breast.

Gopal's sister had latterly been asking him when had neglected Narayanan all these days and as was answering, Narayanan suddenly enters, and a Gopal to go out with him to discuss some matters private. The whole affair is explained and Nara nan learns that the tell-tale letters were addressed Sala, from whom they were obtained for Sankan who used them as we have seen. All these were corborated by Sala herself.

Then follows a reconciliation and under Nayasan's influence Gopal is induced to leave a Sala and bring back his wife. The happy days on more return and our author bids us good by eleave them happy and us delighted: but as in this maperverse of all possible worlds there is no jewithout alloy, our delight is mixed with regret the there is no more of the book. We feel much incline to "ask for more" and I believe it is but fair to hop that so educated a gentleman as the author won! not behave like "the master," but indulge us in or little whims, and give us some more "Scenes i Hindu life".

These verses are a free rendering of the "hymn": it has not been found possible to preserve the unutterable sadness and the wailing melancholy of that wild tune.

LIGHT OF TRUTH Siddhanta Deepika.

MADRAS, NOVEMBER 1900.

The Rev. G. U. POPE, M.A., D.D.

We are glad to present our readers with a fine portrait of the veteran Tamil Scholar in commemoration of the publication of his Translation of the Sacred Tiruvachakam. We cannot do better than quote his almost pathetic words with which he records his life's work.

"I date this on my eightieth birthday (24th April 1900). I find, by reference, that my first Tamil lesson was in 1837. This ends, as I suppose, a long life of devotion to Tamil studies. It is not without deep emotion that I thus bring to a close my life's literary work.

"Some years ago, when this publication was hardly projected, the writer was walking with the late Master of Balliol College in the quadrangle. The conversation turned upon Tamil legends, poetry and philosophy. At length, during a panse in the conversation the Master said in a quick way peculiar to him, 'You must print it.' To this the natural answer was, 'Master! I have no patent of immortality, and the work would take very long.' .I can see him now as he turned round, -while the moonlight fell upon his white bair and kindly face, -and laid his hand upon my shoulder raying, "To have a great work in progress is the way to live long. You will live till you finish it.' I certainly did not think so then, though the words have often come to my mind as a prophecy, encouraging me when weary; and they have been fulfilled while he has passed out of night.

We hope to publish a thorough review of the work and a full sketch of the old Doctor, who would be content to have inscribed on his tomb the bare words, 'A Student of Tamil'. And whatever criticisms the book may elicit, we could assure our friends of the old scholar's undoubted love of the Tamil people and their literature and religion. We owe him in return our deepest love and gratitude, and love and gratitude have been the surest traits of the Tamil Race.

LEAVES FROM AN OLD INDIAN'S NOTE BOOK.

BY THE REV. G. U. POPE, M.A., D.D., BALLIOL COLLEGE, OXFORD.

The Purra-nannurru. The Hill-Chieftain, 'Strong-bow.'

One of the seven celebrated generous chieftains of the old Tamil country was Val-vit-ori, or Athan-ori ("Strong-bow"). His title expresses his special characteristic: he was a Niurod, 'a mighty hunter." His hill was Kolli, on the Malabar coast—a hill from which the Sera (or Malabar) kings take one of their

titles. He was also celebrated for his lavish gifts of righly caparisoned elephants. Three sough are inscribed in his praise (152, 153, 204).

This chief is mentioned in the Pattn-pattn as having fought with another of the seven liberal kings, Karl (of whom we shall hear by and-bye). He is also named in 158, but simply as the 'Lord of the gleaming hill of Kolli.'

His especial bard was Van Paranar, whose lyric we translate in a somewhat condensed form. It is doubtless a fair picture of the old Tamil highland chiefs before the Muhammadan invasion.

The Generous Archer. [152]

What artisan thy arrows excellent
Fashioned with many a stroke, O thou, in chase
Victorious ever with thy mighty bow?
Low lay they mighty elephants, and slay
Tigers with wide cavernous jaws.
And spotted antelopes with branching horns!
Before them falls the woodland boar's huge head.
The guano, neighbour of the lowly ant,

They kill. Yet Ort hunts not for mere gain,— Destroyer mighty though he be; for he Reigns the right wealthy Lord of Kolli's fruitful kin

Around whose base the mountain streamlets flow,—
Whose mighty breast chaplets of pearl adorn

O minstrel maid, sing thou a triumph-song!
And ye, bear burthen with your tambourines.
Make ready lute and lyre, tabor and drum,
And every instrument of joyous melody!
Then will we pass from land to land, and say:
There is no huntsman like to him: in war

No arm so strong as his to guard and rule— The Lord of Kolli's hill, Ori's proud height; The brave one, loved and loving, rich in gifts!

Another bard, whose epithet was 'Owner of the elephant that chews the sugar-cane,' and who is otherwise unknown, has composed an interesting poem in his praise.

The Sea and the Streamlet. [204]

'Tis shame to wealthy churls, 'give ye,' to cry;
Sorer disgrace when these their gifts deny.
Doubtless, who saith, 'Take this my gift,' does
well;

Who saith, 'I take not,' doth in worth excell.

Who thirst for water will not stoop to drink
Where sparkling wavelets play on ocean's brink,—
Tho' draught be crystal clear. Where cattle pass,
And thronging thick make bank a muddy mass,
And the' the streamlet trickle scant and slow.—
There's well-trod path to where sweet waters flow!

If thou give not, thy suppliants blame the hour And inauspicious signs, and fate's dread power;—They blame not thee, as all forlorn they sigh, For thou art liberal as th' o'er arching sky!

The lavish generosity of the archer-chief is celebrated in hyberbolical strains in 153, which is a singularly artistic Tamil lyric.

Bewildering Munificence. [153]

Daily the chieftain of the cloud-crowned hill* Gives askers elephants caparison'd.

Great Athan ori height,—his hand adorned
With radiant gems and gold, grasps the round
disc,—

Insatiate lover of the deadly strife.

To see his gifts showered down like kindly rain My merry company went trooping forth. Garlands and ornaments of silver twine, With jewel-lotus-flowers, in no cool stream That grew, and line of elephants they gained.

As they went forth, according music loud
Sounded on every side from instruments
Well-strong: but they—because they hungered
not?—

Forhore to dance, and quite forgot their song.t

These verses give the merest glimpse of the mighty Tamil archer. For twelve centuries they have existed in South India, have been the source of many legends in other literatures, and are mixed up with traditions of the Pândiyan kings of Madura, who, being supposed to be incarnations of Siva, had the bow and arrows as their special attributes. But all that is received and accredited tradition about Ori is contained in these three lyrics.

THE SUTASAMHITA ON THE SAIVA AGAMAS.

The Sutasamhita, perhaps the most favourite book among Indian Sanyasins, forms part of the huge Skanda Purana, which according to itself consists of 100,000 slokas. This Purana, owing to its strong Saivite bias and the large number of Saivite shrines it mentions, has generally been put in the ninth or 10th century A. C. by western scholars. And it must have been with a shock of surprise that they would have received the announcement of Prof. Bendall, that he had secured a manuscript of the same Purana dated the sixth century A. C. in Nepal. Allowing, as we ... must, under the circumstances, at least two centuries for it to become famous so as to be preserved as a Purana, we may provisionally assign it to the fourth century A. C. It is quite likely that it is considerably older; but the fact that a portion of it, the Sutasamhita mentions Bauddhas and Jains positively prohibits us from going behind the third century B.C., when only Buddhism came into prominence as a State religion, menacing the existence of Hinduism itself. Probably the beginning of the Christian era is the safest date that can be assigned to it at present. This argument, of course, assumes that the Sutasamhita formed an integral portion of the Skanda even in those times; and till we get more information regarding Prof. Bendall's manuscript, we may proceed on the assumption that it was so.

This preliminary matter will make us appreciate in due measure the mention of the Saivite Agamae by name in the Sutasamhita. In the very first chapter in enumerating the eighteen Puranas and the eighteen Upapuranas, occurs a sloka which says that just au Iswara is the the source of the Agamas like Kâmika and the rest, so the son of Satyavatî (Vyasa) is the source of the Puranas. (I. 1. 12.) In IV-8, 22—24, the Bhârata, "the Tarka and other Sastras," Saiva Vaishnava and other Agamas are mentioned. I may

^{*} Kolfi.

⁺ Paralysed and struck dumb by his munificence.

here state that the Rhorata is very often mentioned in the body of the book, so that all mention of it may be omitted hereafter, after giving a few references here. (1, 1, 36, 47, IV, 19, 26, IV, 22, 2, IV, 29, 28, etc.)

In IV, 20, 14-27, occurs a list of things, the succreding one of which is declared to be better than its preceding one. In this ascending scale are to be found worship of God according to methods devised by oneself rolely, adherence to the Banddha Agama, the Arka Agama, the Prejapatya Agama, the Vaishuava Agams, and the Saiva Agama. The Saiva Agamas are said to be divided into two portions, one having a low source, the other a high one, of which the second is declared to be superior. This the commentator capilla as follows: that the lower portion has its origin from below the navel of the body of Siva and that the higher one comes forth from the five Saktis of God called Isins, Tatpurusha, Aghora, Vamadeva and Sadyojita, forming the well-known Saiva Agamas Kamika and the rest. I He then quotes from some Agama to show the distribution of them to each of the five.

From Sadyojāta —the five Agamas biginning with Kāmika.

" Vāmadeva —the five beginning with Dîpta.

" Agbora —the five beginning with A'ptivijaya.

" Tatpurusha —the five beginning with Rourava.

" 1'sāna —the night beginning with Prodgita.

Then the Samhita proceeds that the Smarta rules are better than rules in Agamas, and that better than both is the Srauta Dharma, and so on. The mention of the Banddha and Jajna systems is to be noticed. It is not condemned as intrinsically bad, but as only lower than some other systems.

Our next passage occurs in IV. 23, 2—6, where we find mention of Dharms Shastras, the BhArata, Vedangas and Upavedas, of the Kamika and the

other Agames, the Kapala, Lakula, Pasupata, Soms, Bharrava, Vaishpava, Brahms, Bauddha and Agamas, and of the Lokayata, Tarka, Mimarusa. Sankhya and Yoga systems. 1V. 89. 23., informs us that the Smritis, the Bharata, the Saivagames and Turks teach only Advaits and never Dvsits. The superiority and the all sufficiency of the Veda is described in IV. 45, 52, where the Sambita asserts that knowledge of the Lord can be obtained only from the Veds, and that knowledge derived from other Agamas is no knowledge at all. It then proceeds to my that the other Agamas (i.e., the Veda itself being called an Agama) teach only a fragmentary portion of the truth contained in the Veds, and quotes as examples the Saiva, Vaishnava and the other Agamas we have become familiar with by the previous quotations, which, it says, are fit, only for lower Adhikaris (i.e., persons fit to follow them). This is rendered much more emphatic by the 8th chapter of the Brabone Gite, where six slokes (25 to 30) are devoted to the explanation that the Agamas are not meant for men who follow the Veda, and that they are solely intended for such as cannot go to it or have fallen from the Vedic path. In the first verse of this series, the Saiva Agamas are mentioned as example, and in the following ones, it is taught that the same principle applies to all the other Agames also. The Samhits then proceeds to extol the excellence of the Veda, and winds up the chapter with the statement that while Tantrikas incorporate Vedic teachings with their creed, the followers of the Veda do not stand in need of what is taught in the Agamas.

Looking back over our few gleanings from the Sntaanmhita, we may easily gather, that at the time the book was written, which we have provisionally accepted as the fourth century A. C., and which may in fact be much earlier, there was a considerable body of what are called Agamas, appertaining to the particular cults of Siva, Vishnu, Brahma, &c., and that there was some antagonism between these and the Veda, which the Sutasamhita tries to reconcile by the theory that they were given out by God for lesser Adhikiris or less developed men, while the Veda was only for the

¹ In this paper I have used only the Asandashrama edition, which contains the commentary of Mådhavichärya elso. I have not consulted the Grantha edition.

[?] The Suinambita makes a distinction upong tiniva Agamas, the now-known 38 Agamas being classed as Eigher than one others. I do not know whether there are any other Saiva Agamas than these twenty-eight; at least I have not not with mention of any.

³ This the commentator explains as A'yurvede, Dhanurvede etc. i.e., the sciences of medicine, of war etc.

⁴ The Kapilian are a certain Saivite left-hand sect, who are noted for carrying a garland of skulls, and for eating and drinking from them. There is a graphic description of them in Bhavabhuti's drama Melatimadhava.

⁵ I do not understand what this is. There is another reading Métula, which means 'relating to Nakula.'

A very similar series of verses occurs in the Brahma Gita portion of the Sutasamhita. Chap. 9. Versea 25 to 46.

highly developed. We also learn that there were two divisions among the Saivite Agamas, the higher comprising the now-known twenty-eight beginning with the Kamike, the lower having to all appearance disappeared. It is evident that a huge body of literature must have perished, for now we have absolutely none of the Agamas mentioned in our extracts, except one or two of the Snivite ones. And it is sorrowful to think how with these, have also gone our hope of ever tracing to their primal sources, the history of many a ceremonial quite meaningless at the present day. That such a considerable literature existed even at the time of the inception of the Puranas, lends colour to the surmise entertained by many that some of these Agamas had their origin in times almost coval with the dim days of the Brahmana period.

There is also another source from which evidence may be gathered, viz., Tamil literature, almost the whole philosophical portion of which is dominated by The greatest of the Saiva saints, the Agamas. Tirumûlar, who is specially worshipped in perhaps the most revered Saiva shrine in Southern India, Chidambaram, mentions the twenty-eight Agamas and even gives the names of nine of them. His great work, the Tirumantiram, is, on his own avowal, a condensation of the Agamas.7 This saint is ascribed by some Tamil scholars to the first century A. C. but so far as I am acquainted with the literature of the subject, no reasons are given for this date. Another early saint Manicka-Vachakar also mentions these, though not by their individual names. Mr. Tirumalaikolundu Pillay has recently attempted to place the latter in the second century after Christ." This, if well-founded, will also go to confirm the conclusion we have already arrived at, from Sanskrit sources, regarding the antiquity of the Saivite Agamas.

M. NARAYANASWAMI AIYAR.

THE PROBLEM OF EVIL-No. VI.

36. Elsewhere Aryans have said :

Trans: As like father with good intent permits surgery and application of scalding remedies (silver nitrate, e. g.) to his sick son so doth God in placing his children in affliction wash them of sin.

Affliction is the chemicals the great Washerman applies for the bleaching of unclean clothing;

Side by side with the Aryan is a Mahomedan saint Jalahdin Muhammed, Er-Rumi, who in his book of verses "The Greater Warfare," most enthusiastically sings in the following strain. The lines are so beautiful that they are worth committing to memory:—

"Did not our God mean mercy in his wrath How could the lord of mercies thunder forth? A child may tremble at the lancet's smart; His mother knows there's healing in the dart. It may half kill him, but restores sound life; So God's great mercies for surpass our strife. Men judge of what they see by what they think. From judging Justice, men of sense will shrink."

To our narrow view, our position on earth surrounded with things thought good and bad, is necessarily a riddle; the best explanation that is given by many souls of the saintly order, we may well submissively acceptentatively with full liberties to explore into the unknown to find if possible a better solution.

37. A dip into Vishnu Puchna. In the description of what is "Hell," Bk. 11 Adh: 6, (Hell being taken, as representing all that is evil), Sloka 46 runs as follows:—

Tra-is: "What contributes to the delectation of the mind is heaven (Svarga), and to its reverse (i.e., pain to the mind) is hell (Naraka). Virtue and vice, O, the best of the twice-born! are, thou wilt admit, symbolised by the terms Naraka, and Svarga." Delectation of the mind and pain to the mind as arising from the practice of virtue and vice, is meant; i.e., acts done in accordance with the the injunctions of the laws of ethics (shastras), giving tongue to the voice of inward conscience.

Then runs the Sloka :--

Trans: "Nothing can be designated as absolutely that thing which produces pleasure unadulterated or pain un-

⁷ In the second verse of the chapter on space defin he gives the number of verses contained in the Agamas as twenty-eight crores and one lakh. In the fourth, curiously enough, he gives seventy crores and one lakh, as their number. I do not know if I interpret the latter verse right; any how it seems to me to be the plain meaning.

In his small pamphlet styled "The Age of Manickavachakar."

[[]N.B.] I must mention that there is no mention of any Upagamas in the Sutssauhits. Apart from this, there are reasons to think that they form a body of literature, which came into heing at a much later time. Personally, I think they mark a revival of Salvism which followed upon the publication of the classical scholastic works of Indian Philosophy. In this connection, the amission of any mention of these Upagamas in Suresward's Manasollasa, while the primary Agamas are mentioned, is significant.]

mixed; as, under different infrommstances, that same one thing gives rise to pleasure, again pain, again anger, again malice do.

Slokes 48 and 49 conclude the subject as follows:— 6 நீல நீல்கின் சிற அருக்காரும். உண்டு ! 8 நீல் சாக்கையேல் நாச்சு வை வாகையே 1 46 ! 6 நாக்கோத் சரை நேர்வில்லில் நாக்கு 1 46 ! காத்துவில் சாதுகையை நாக்கு நாக்கு 1 49 !

Trans: Thus there is no cause which is by itself a cause of sorrow and none per w of enjoyment. The nudulations of the mind alone characterise pain and pleasure."

If by the power of one's will, a mind wandering in the various stages accompanied with pleasarable or painful feelings can be abstracted therefrom into quiescence—which would be an almost superbeman feet, tried by very few men, and attained by fewer still—that is called "windom" the state of ineffable, happiness, eye, bestitude in excelsis, and which may be rightly called "the absolute good." So, there is that "absolute good," vain man! if you could only deceive your mind away from thoughts of evanescent things.

38. The famous Prahlada of the Aryan Puranas, thus discusses the question of what is good and sril:---

The meaning of the above is simply:— "Evil to him who evil thinks," and when such thoughts i.e., doing harm to others, which he knows as harm,—for he would not have others to do it to him,—do not exist, no evil exists.

39. In the Neti Shestra, the following Stanzas cour :
ক্র-বিশ্বত ক্রেক্সের । ক্র-ক্রক্সেরটিন্তর

i.e., "Benefaction to others 's virtue (good), malefaction is vice (evil),"

And again,

(పాణాయభాష్ట్రవోడి స్ట్రేమం భూరాము తేశశా , అత్పై కే స్మేకరూ తేమ దయాంతర్వంతి సాధకు 1

i.e. "Good men show mercy towards beings, because under such conditions themselves, they are aware of their agreeable feelings."

If in our hum-dram, and work-a-day world, every mortal was inspired with this motive, heaven then on earth! Let none despair however, for let every one eunobled by such sentiments strive to follow the teaching, and aspire after the high ideal to the best of his moral nature. Gita says: (vi-32).

ಆತ್ಕ್ ಬ್ ಕ್ಟೋನರ್ನೈಕ್ರೆನ ಹು ಬಕ್ಕತಿಯಾ ಕ್ಷಾರ್ ಮಾನಿ ಕಾಡುತ್ತಿಯು ವರ್ಷಾಸಿ ಎರೆ ಜಾನುತ್ತಾಗಿ

"He is the perfect being who like himself in all, perceives the good and the evil without difference.

40. From the beginning, the design of this paper has been that of Pascal's Pensees so that our renders may not tire, so that different views might address themselves to different minds. We therefore hop again—variety being the principle—from Puranes and Niti Shataras to Malthusianism, a while.

What causes minery in the world? It is said, poverty and again, over-population? Consider each in itself, and then as related to such other:—

Poverty.—Joses Christ was a poor man; and Buddha Ghosha made himself purposely poor. The former was godly, the latter godless; and yet, poverty was by both of them liked. A poor Arab's wife thus spake to her husband:—

- "How very poor we are! What hardships have we borne!
- "The whole world lives in pleasures; we've the butt of
- "We have no bread ; for condiment we've griof and cares.
- "Jug, pitcher we possess not; drink we naught but tears-
- " By day, our only raiments scorching solar heat;
- "Our had clothes in the night, the moon's rays pale and sweet."
- "The woman's husband answered: "Pray now, silen ...
- " Our life is most part o'er. What's left us but to weep ?
- "The wise man cares not for a little more or less.
- "These both will pass away, like torrent's wayward-
- "A torrent may be clear or muddy, black as ink.
- " It will not last. Why then should we about it think?"
- "Within this world what millions, living creatures all,
- "A life of joy still lead, quite free from let or fall,
- "A dove is always cooing praises to the Lord,
- "Upon a tree, so long as day may light afford.
- "All those anxieties that fall on us like darts.
- "Are but the vapours, temperts, of our human hearts.
- Whoever leads a joyous life finds death severe.
- 'And he who's slave to body, mars his soul's career.
- 'I choose the road that leads straight to contentment's door,

800 years ago exclaimed ోపి లేవర్వ ల్పే)యా ్ జనని పరివూడ్డాననీ ಇರ ಶಿಂಕ್ರನ್ ಕ್ಷ್ಮ್ರತ್ನಾರವರಿನ ೯ರ್ವರಿಕ್ಕ್-ಅವರೀ," meaning that like a father, the Omniscient, seldom on an occasion, is angered in order to be benificient to a creature steeped in sin. To follow Miss Cobbe :- "; such are the sufferings (of rational beings) which punish and repress sin, and those through whose fires the poblest and the purest virtues have ever passed to perfection. That there is some wondrous power in suffering thus to bring out of human souls qualities immeasurably nobler than are ever developed without its aid, is a fact equally plain to those who have watched the almost divine transformation it sometimes effects upon characters hitherto hard, selfish or commonplace; and to those who have noted how thin-natured and unsympathetic, if not selfish, are at the best those men and women who have lived from youth to age in the unbroken sunshine of prosperity. Even among very ordinary characters, and where the lesson of suffering has not been deep, there are very few of us, I believe, who after the lapse of a little while would wish that we could unlearn it. or return to be the slighter, feebler, shallower-bearted beings we were before it came. Rather do we recognize the truth of the poets' words:

"The energies too stern for mirth,
The reach of thought, the strength of will,
'Mid cloud and tempest have their birth,
Through blight and blast their course fulfill."

45. Cobbe however, like any of us is not satisfied; and the Problem of Evil she pursued fairly well, till the threshold of death, but beyond? Why even now, it "baffles" "the ingenuity of mortal man" "to explain." And if the "riddle of the painful earth" has to be reconciled with the all-beneficency of God, "the solution is yet to be given to that dark problem hereafter." Even Jesus Christ, "one of the holiest of men" than whom no man had loved more his father, God, exclaimed at the supreme hour of his agony, "My God, why hast thou forsaken me ?" Miss Cobbe says that " that ancient story, stripped of all its misleading supernaturalism, seems to me the sufficient evidence that God reserves his justice for eternity." As all theists unanimously declare, our travail on earth, is of the child-birth kind which as a lawof nature precedes the ineffable joy given by the child's birth itself. The question is whether a mother's love is not enhanced all the more for the pains?

Saint Jelaluddin Er-Rumi sang :

"Plagues, troubles, fears and cares of various degree All spring from many sides and fix themselves in thee. Bear all with patience; slowly thou 'It experience gain Thou 'It recognise the truth; the dark will be made

"Should God's decree encompass thee with blackest night, The same decree will readily help set thee right.

Should Providence at times thy life to menace seem,
'T was Providence that gave it, can prolong its gleam,

Should life's events appear to threaten every way,

God can in Heaven prepare a home for thee to stay."

So that, like Miss Cobbe, and like this Holy saint among Mussalmans, shall we wait? and that waiting is not long; it is certainly microscopical when compared with eternity, and each traveller when he gets to his bourne shall find the explanation waiting for him there.

46. Many paras behind, a verse from Sri Vishnu Purana was quoted:—

a ಕರ್ಡಿಸ್ಟರಣಂವರ್ಷ । ಸಂಕರ್ತನ್ ಇವಸಂಸ್ಥಾರಿ ।

I-E. "The forgetfulness of Vishnu, or the All-immanent is Holy Spirit is real misfortune, remembrance of Him alone is real fortune."

Miss Power Cobbe, who is a godly woman, writes exactly in this spirit:—" The Supreme Justice may.....reward virtue—not with the dross of earthly wealth or bealth, or of celestial crowns or harps—but with the only boon the true saint desires:—

even the sense of union with God; and punish vice—not with disease and disgrace, nor with the fire and worms of hell—but with the most awful of all penalties:—

the severence of the soul from Divine light and love.

Many saints, Kulasekhara of Travancore, Nammalvar, Yamunacharya, and all martyrs of the godly-kind unanimously proclaimed in like manner. "What availeth if the whole world be gained, but one loose his soul?" This is the stand point from which all great men looked at.

47. An exemplification of distress making characters of men is found in Debendra Nath Tagore, the Brahmo Reformer. Brought up in a life of profuse wealth and luxury, he did not escape its demoralising influence According to his own account, from the sixteenth to the twentieth year of his life, he went on "intoxicated with the pleasures of the flesh," regardless of his "spiritual interests and dead to conscience and God." He thus describes how he was awakened:—

"Once on the occasion of a domestic calamity, as I lay drooping and wailing in a retired spot, the God of glory suddenly revealed Himself in my heart and so entirely charmed me and sweetened my heart and soul, that for a time I continued ravished—quite immersed in a flood of light." "After a long struggle", he says, "the world lost its attractions, and God became my only comfort and delight in this world of sorrow and sin."

48. Mr. Ingersoll, the reputed American agnostic had naturally the "Problem of Evil" continually pressing itself on his notice, and he could not like Mrs. Annie Be-

it see its consistency with the theory of an all-good Cres-The convulsions of nature startled him and lashed into rage. In her "peace," Beaunt found the riddle red, but here is Rev. L. A. Lambert's unctification for troubled soul of logarsoll; when he said bitterly "for it is hard to see the plan or design in earthquakes and stilences," Rev. Lambert taunted him thus: "A hoy stood ar the railway gasing philosophically at a passing train, burning sinder from the amoke-stack struck him is the . He mused on the incident in this way : ' For me it is ed o see what design or plan this great corporation could be had in spending wast sums of money to throw that der in my eye. It is somewhat difficult to discern de-In or benevolence in it." Who will say that boy was k a philosopher and an egotist, or that a fortune does are a swell him when he is old enough to take the lecture-. bd ?

49. When logersoll said "prosperity is good," he was az adently not in the plight Devendra Nath Tagore was met to para 42 anie). The results of prosperity however are m; selt on in the following language by Rev. Lambert : " the in plosophy of history teaches that prosperity leads to the wnfall of nations as well as of individuals. What did paperity do for Egypt, Greece and Rome ? It made the pple laxarious, voluptuous, and imbecile, and buried the numents of hardier ages in rain. It was the siren that Hannibal, Alexander and Comer to untimely graves, Mapolean to Moscow and Waterloo. Prosperity leads decay, national, individual, intellegenal, moral and phywe fal. When prosperity is at its senith, decay is at the or ; when the tree is in full bloom, there is but one step the sere and yellow leaf. Prosperity has evil conseknoes, and if, as you say, consequences determine the is sality of actions, how can prosperity be good?

120 50. In the Maha Bhasata, which is called the 5th six fida, in many places, and particularly in the Anomannika is properly and mixture of good and evil, are pated severally in 'Svarga,' 'Naraka' and the Earth, as a that we here i.e., on earth, of that mixed link in the min of evolution, have to eat of the fruit of both good and evil mixed together.

sat; in other words his nature is dual, celestial and strestrial, and according to theosophy which divides man iso seven principles, the upper triad, vis. Atma, Buddhi ad Manas, as belonging to the celestial constitution, and belower quaternary, the Sthula Sarius (gross body), is Linga Barius (subtle body), Plana (vital airs) and is man (animal man or desires), as constituting his earthly indencies; the former "levitating" or lifting him up havenward, and the latter, gravitating down to the madane.

ALKONDAVILLI G.

(To be continued.)

THE WORD "AYAL."

As the readers of the "Siddhauta Deepika" are aware, Ayal is one of those Tamil words on the derivation of which I differ with Pandit Savarioyau. He is of opinion that ayal and the English alien are cognate words and that the European stem alia, to which the latter is ultimately traced back by Professor Skent, is but a metathetical modification of the Tamil sial (sysl): Moreover, he says that the terminal all means "not," and that the idea of 'not being close or kin' is conveyed by aiyal. The Precedent which the learned Pundit quotes for the novel explanation of al, is found in the Tamil Kadal, which he analyses into kada = pass over and al = not, and which he thereby makes a conveyance for the signification of "the impassable"

Before considering whether the connotation of ayal or neighbourhood necessarily excludes 'closeness or kinship,' or whether it is almost the same as relations dwelling in the vicinity, let me shew that the anslysis of Kadal and the meaning assigned to its parts, are not quite satisfactory. Granting that the stem Kadameto pass over or cross, is its radical element, it is very probable that the ancient Tamilians meant by Kadal 'that which should be crossed over' as distinguished from land upon which men and animals do walk; compare the expressions 'acd acieps' and to cross the sea.' If such be the primary sense of this Tamil name for sea, one is bound to accept that the union of Kade with the verbal suffix al brought forth Kadal by a process of coalescence similar to the Sanskrit Dirgha Sandhi, and that the resultant long a was subsequently shortened.

Instead of accounting in this circuitous manner for the form of this appellative, it is possible to bring it under the list of derivatives from the root Kad=to connect, to bind to tie, to gird, to build, &c. Even the stem Kads is traceable to this root, as it necessarily implies passing over a barrier or difficulty. Kadappu (s_\dilpi_\dilpi_\dilpi_) a stile or way through a hedge serves well to ward off cattle from the enclosure, while it gives entrance to mankind. In this connection it strikes my mind forcibly that the Tamilian doorway was originally a Kadappu, Kadavam (s_\dilpi_\dilpi_\dilpi_) or Kadavu (s_\dilpi_\dilpi_), and that the two latter forms ceased to exist at some remote period in the past when phonetic corruption gave rise to spa\dilpi_\dil

vincial usage to denote a path or way (Vide Dr. Winslow's Tamil-English Dictionary). Numerous as are the offshoots of the problec Kpd, I reserve their consideration for a future occasion in order to avoid here a long digression from the point in view.

The Derivation of Kadul from Kad makes one to understand that the service of the sea as a barrier, or protection for the land, or the facts of its beginning the land was clearly visible to the person who first gave utterance to this name. If this be the true account, there is no doubt that the al here is merely a verbal suffix (சொழிச் பெயர்விகுதி) denoting 'being or existence' and identical with the al in * ' a o s-to remain or to stay, from which comes a de a -the night. or the time when persons remain at home. Further examination brings this al into the closest proximity to the al implying negation. Though this statement is apparently absurd, yet it is really true. It is a paradox in Tamil philology, and the apparent absurdity will be entirely removed when taken-a synouvm of al-not, is taken to parts. It is made up of al and the negative suffix a (4). If the first part also implies negation, then the whole should convey an affirmative meaning, but it is not so. Therefore the root al affirms something which is denied by a; hence its identity with the former root is a necessity. The negative al is nothing but a dwindled form of Acient. It is however the parent of acient or in that which is not day' or the time when the sun is absent,' of sig-to dwindle into nothing, to diminish, &c., from which is derived a saa-deficiency or want, and of Award-pain or sorrow.

lt is interesting to note here that not only அல்லர். but also இல்ல which is traceable to the root of இல்residence, has dropped off the negative suffix, and that al (அல், il (இல்) ul (உர்) and ir (in iru) are modifications of a common root-denoting 'being or existence.'

Turning to the subject of this article, I hope that I have endeavoured my best to prove that al in ayal is only a verbal suffix as in Kadal. Moreover ayal denotes nearness or vicinity and ayalar are neighbours, or persons who dwell near one another. In primitive times when towns and cities had not come

into existence and when people lived in hamlets earlian by itself, none but relations would be meant avalar or neighbours. Hence it amounts to an availar or neighbours. Hence it amounts to an availate to say that aival is an antonym of kin. conclusion, I wish to point out that in word-collection the post fixing of al (not) is quite unknown to the Tamil language, and that whenever it enters into the composition of names, it is invariably prefixed. Compare And Carp And Carp and Andrew and And

S. W. COOMARASAWMY.

REVIEWS.

ABHITA'NA KOSA.

We give a right hearty welcome to this nel publication, which is decidedly "The Tamil classic Dictionary." News reached us some months ago this such a book was in preparation and we even had the pleasure to see the first four pages of it. Now too w have not the whole work before us but only the* first part of it which contains 10 forms (Demi) of 8 page each, and from the nature of the treatment adopted can only be said that the Dictionary when published in entirety will contain more than 1,500 pages. The Kosa proposes to give short accounts of deities sages, asuras, kings, pandits and others that occur in the Vedas, the puranas and the Itihasas, of half lowed rivers, hallowed places, mountains, animals and trees and also of books with special reserve to the eract time when they were composed. Names will be dealt with, however, alphabetically and each part wil contain 80 pages each. This Dictionary, we think is written on the plan of European Classical Diction naries. But the English Classical Dictionary will have nothing to do with English authors or books, in short anything English. Unlike that, our Abhitima Kord (lit., a book of names)—the name is not very happy a Sanskrit name for a Tamil work-has a larger scope, and thus aims at supplying information not only on subjects that are connected with the Sanskrit tongue but also on Tamil subjects largely. We have no doubt that the work will be very useful as a book of reference. Explanations of allusions are clear enough, so much so that we even venture the statement that the lady who did not find any story in Dr. Johnson's Dictionary, will relish our Abhitans

^{*}ACI மல்கு from Mal-10 be abundant or full, occurring in கவிto be plentiful or to-be cheap, சூ a large quantity or heap of stone or said.

⁺ Compare this word with he was wicked, of which herether adir-means graceful as in hereing and him beauty, and a is a negative-particle.

^{*} Copies of the first part can be had of Mr. A. Muttutambi Pillai, Navalar's house, Jaffna, at, As 8 each. They can also be had of Messrs Ward and Davy, Jaffna.

a provided she has a tolerably good knowledge of sail and is shown this book, and above all she is ag at this hour. But we would be doing great stice to the author if we fail to point out the desoft the work. We would in a way check the terment of it by withholding our remarks which, lough bitter, should be expressed when we have progress of Tamil Literature in our view. We rouly give here some examples of the errors comted by the lexicographer and we give them on the terstanding that Mr. Muttatambi Pillai will bestow to care in the preparation of the parts that are yet topear, meanwhile profitted by our criticism.

the tank of a lexicographer is not an easy one. a single publication that has a bearing direct or arect on the work in hand he can afford to give a mentary stay in his shelf. He is the best-informed b that one can find as far as the sphere with which has immediately to deal, is concerned. And about keenness of intellect and the strength of memory inced hardly say anything, for they are the first minites that can pever be dispensed with. Now, bout entering into the appleasant task of examining abilities of one who has undertaken to write a work evaluable service to the Tamil-reading population, shall merely point out what to us seems to be erhous. In the first place, it would have been of henre use if the author had given the derivative mings of all names, sa he has done only in a very cases. For example, Ekadanta and Adhyatmaand a sand to signify Ganesa and a Sanskrit *k respectively. But that is all. How the names I connected with the things that they signify the thor has left for the reader only to discover. n, we cannot say to what extent he is justified in string Ahavala (stanzas) to describe the Tamil man while in his object we see clearly involved the interests of the non-pandit population of the - bil country. He could have cared to learn the rent traditions about the lives of Arulnaudisivalaryar and Umapatisivachirvar. We regret to to that he has not seen the Tamil Lingapordes : stotherwise notice of it should appear under the ding ' a sustanu.' Advaitam according to anthor can mean only the peculiar side represented Sankara. That the meaning of it is always open Miscussion has entirely escaped his notice. Many the readers may be familiar with the 'The Garland Tiruvalluvar' a book of enlogiums on the kukkural. There are in it more than 50 stanzas

composed by different members of the last sungam. While the author in his dictionary notices those members, many of them he disposes of in a line saying they belonged to the last sangum. But to Kaunganir, he gives more than 5 lines and the special feature of it is that he is pleased to record the substance of the eulogium he composed on Kural. That stanza unfortunately is very barren of any admiring epithet, and lacks commendable beauty in itself. We cannot indeed see the propriety of introducing the particular stanza in preference to that composed by Kavisigarapperundevanar and others. The latter as already remarked appear almost naked for want of a little care on the part of the Kavaniyanar is made to proclaim that whatever is said by Tiruvalluvar has been once said by ancient authors in their works. That proclamation is not certainly very complimentary to Tiruvalluvar. Yet, out author has chosen Karanivanar as the type of the culogists. The name of Urndrajaumakanuar does not appear in the dictionary. Probably the author is inclined to identify him with Urudrajanmar. In that case he should have stated Turning now to the question of that explicitly. chronology of which a good deal was promised in our anthor's prospectus it may be observed that he has taken no pains at ail in that direction. Even the little that he gives hardly approximates to trath i. r., the dates ascertained with the help of inscriptions and by a study of Tamil literature. Just fancy a famil lexicographer who professes to instruct the Temil people, fancy him propounding a new theory that the age of Ativirarama Pandiyan is determined to have been about the Salivahana Era 733 (A. D. 806) on the strength of some stone inscriptions. Our lexicographer indeed has unearthed a curious fact. Ativiraraman according to him is only a contemporary of Sundara, one of the Devara-hymners. Pattinattu Pillai, Sekkilar, Kamban, and a host of others who are considered by extant Tamil scholars to have flourished anterior to Ativirarama Pandiyan must have all been totored by the royal poet only. Yes, this is doubtless an instance of 'New Investigation.' The same argument applies to the date of Ottakkûttar whose age is said to have been about 1200 years ago i. e., A. D. 700. In determining the relative ages of Adiyarkkunallar and Nacchinarkkiniyar, he blindly follows Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar and says merely that Adiyarkkunallar lived anterior to Nacchinårkkiniyar. Almost all Tamil writers have been

touched upon, but with no reference to the age in which each of them flourished; and if any reference is made, it leans more towards untruth than towards truth. The author who has cared to give in full the history of many Itihasic and Puranic characters could have given also intelligible accounts of Tamil writers as far as can be gleaned from Tamil literature. Tamil readers generally are all well versed in the Purânic lore and the Puranic stories retold here do not deserve in our opinion to be twice-told tales. They could be dismissed with a line or two. All readers do not and cannot have access to the Tamil classics and the author therefore would have done well to extract from them accounts of authors and other historic characters that will amply repay a perusal. In the note on Uttaramîmamsa, he says that it is the Vedanta Darsana written by Jaimini. We have read that the Vedanta Darsana was composed by Vyása and that Jaimini was the anthor of Jaimini Sutras (Karma Kanda Sutras). But to connect both of them requires great skill; and again Uttara Mîmâmsâ is Vedânta Darsana no doubt but Jaimini's work goes by the name of Parva Mimamsa or Mimamsa merely. Lastly to make Ellappa Navalar, the commentator of the Tamil Saundarya Lahari. to make him, I say, the author of the book itself and give him no other claim to Tamil authorship in defiance of Arunaikkalambakam, Arunachala Puranam. Sevvandi Puranam, which are professedly his works argues want of study and neglect on the part of the lexicographer. To make, again, Ambar another name of Sendau at whose instance the Divakaram was composed while we have the authority of the book itself to regard it as the residence of Sendan, and to call Attiraiyan a Tamil great commentator without having the power to grasp the meaning of the expression ' அத்திரேயக பேராசிரியன்' which can a y mean the commentator Perasiriyar who came of the Atreya Gotra is simply absurd. Similar errors are not rare in the Kosa; but for want of time and space, we have to close our remarks with pointing out these only. We trust, however, that these, forming the types of the errors he is likely to commit, will give an idea as to how the author should improve the work when he prepares the subsequent , irts. We have no doubt that the Kosa when complete will be an excellent work of reference and study provided the author improves his book in the ways suggested.

S. ANAVARATAVÍNAVAKAM PILLAI.

KAMBARAMAYANAM.

This is admittedly a great work in Tamil and poem widely read. But like other books it fails attract the modern anglicised Tamil students; and think the chief cause of it to be the absence of an good commentary. The text of Kambaramayanam in spite of its popularity rather tough and the mean ing of a great number of stanzas is open to much di pute. In such circumstances, it is only natural the earnest students should stand under the necessity spending a few hours with Tamil scholars who has mastered the traditional commentary communicate hitherto orally. And as the busy present-day ste dents cannot afford to undergo such hard tuition, the aften abandon the study of the Ramayana. Now would be a great boon if any Tamil scholar coul come forward with the traditional commentary an commit it to writing and hence to print, so that it ma be of use to many. Of such attempts* Kambaramaya nam Aranyakandam with commentary is one thoug not the first, and the commentator is Mr. Kandasan Kavirayar of Udumalpet. The attempt we think of the whole can be pronounced to be good and w heartily recommend the book to all Tamil student fully believing that it will be of incalculable service to those for whom it is intended.

ERRATA.

P. 82,	Sloka	23.	For	दृम:	read	1 3	ुम:-	
P. 97.	,,	1.	For	मलोप	त re	ad	मलापेत.	
P. 98.	,,	15.			re	ad	स्वातन्त्र्य.	
,,	"	18.			re	ead	सदायुक्ततरो.	
,,	,,	19.			re	ad	सामान्येतर.	
"	,,	22.			re	ad	जायमानेव.	
,,	,,	26.			16	ad	पाशजन्यार.	
"	,.	27.			re	nd	सर्वदास्ते.	
P. 125	First	col	umon.	Slo	ka	1. 1	read वस्तु.	

It is to be regretted that so many errors have crept into the text of the Mrigendra, but it will be noted that almost all of them are due to the indistinctness of the types used; and so far the blame must rest upon the printers.

8. read स्वाध्व.

10. read येभ्यस्सर्व.

Second

To be had of Mr. Arunachala Kavirayar, 20, Ramaswami street. B. T., M. dras, at Rs. 3 each.

LIGHT OF TRUTH

- OR -

SIDDHANTA DEEPIKA.

A Monthly Journal, Devoted to Religion, Philosophy, Literature, Science, &c.

Commenced on the Queen's Commemoration Day, 1897.

VOL. IV.

MADRAS, DECEMBER 1900.

No. 7.

TRANSLATIONS.

E VEDA'NTA-SU'TRAS WITH S'RI'KANTHA-BHA'SHYA.

(Continued from page 124).

Adhikarana 35.

The interested ones, however, may be conjoined together at will, or not, because of the absence of the foregoing reason. (IVI. iii. 58.)

 as the Self of the devotee, the realisation of Divinity is attained while still alive, as a result of intense meditation. There is no evidence whatever to shew that in the same way the interested (Kāmya) contemplations, such as the contemplation of 'name' as Brahman, produce such intuitive realisation. As the interested contemplations are not calculated to produce the result spoken of, it would seem proper to practice as many of such contemplations as possible, with a view to produce a proportionately greater result. Hence the conclusion that one may resort to either one alone of such contemplations, or more

Adhikarana 36.

In the case of the members (of sacrificial rites), they follow (the rites) to which they relate. (III. Hi. 69.)

Now, again, a doubt arises as to whether the Uphsanas connected with members of sacrificial rites, such as the Udgitha and the like, form part of the rites concerned, or they are independent.

(Púrvapaksha): Such a doubt having arisen, the argument on behalf of the prima facie view is stated by the Sütrakara as follows: The Upasanas relating to the Udgitha and such other members of sacrificial

rites do, like those members themselves, form part of the sacrificial rites concerned, since, as in the case of the milk-pail and so on, no result is said to accrue from such Upisanas where the S'inti speaks of them.

And because of the commandment. (III. iii 60)

Because there is an injunction in plied in the words "let him contemplate the Udgîtha," and because no injunction is implied in the words "whatever he does with contemplation (Vidyà)," tetc., we conclude that the Upasanas referred to, do form part of the sacrificial rites.

Because of the rectification. (III. lii. 61.)

"He who knows that the Udgitha is the Pranava, and the Pranava the Udgitha, rectifies, from the seat of the Hotri priest, any mistake committed by the Udgâtri in performing the Udgîtha:"‡ in these words the S'ruti impresses the necessity of conjoining the Upâsana. For this reason, too, these Upâsanas form parts of the sacrificial rites. The mistakes referred to consists in performing the Udgîtha without knowledge or contemplation (Vedana). The S'ruti, which speaks of rectification by other means in the absence of the knowledge, certainly points to the necessity of conjoining the knowledge or contemplation with the sacrificial rite. Because of this necessity, the Upâsanas referred to, form parts of the sacrificial rites.

Because of the declaration that the attribute is common. (III. iii. 62.)

"By that (syllable) does the threefold knowledge proceed. 'Om,' thus does the Adhvaryu priest give an order; 'Om'; thus does the Hotri recite; 'Om'; thus does the Udgâtri sing." Thus the Pranava is associated everywhere; and since the Upasana is here spoken of as an attribute of the Pranava, it may be concluded that the Upasana is a necessary part of the sacrificial rite. The word 'that,' (occurring in the passage quoted above) referring to something that has just been spoken of, must here refer only to the Pranava combined with Upasana. Wherefore it cannot be made out that the Upasanas connected with the Udgîtha and the like do not form necessary parts of the sacrificial rites concerned.

(Sidhhanta:) As against the foregoing the Sûtrakara states the Siddhanta as follows:

Certainly no, because of the absence of the declaration that they go together. (III. iii. 63.)

For the Upasanas to go together with the sacrificial rites is to form necessary parts of them. Because

*Chhâ 1-1-1. † Chhâ 1-1-10. 2 Chhâ 1-5-5.

is to form necessary parts of them. Because

this is not declared in the sruti, the Upisanas do perform parts of the sacrificial rites. "What a maperforms with knowledge, that alone is more powerful:"* in these words we are told that such Upisanas are the means of producing distinct results of them own; they cannot therefore form parts of sacrificial rites. "Let him sing the Udgitha": here the sruteaches merely that the Upisanas are related to the Udgitha; and therefore those Upisanas which asconnected with the members of sacrificial rites are necessary parts of those sacrificial rites.

And because of the revelation. (!II. iii. 64.)

"A Brahman priest who knows this saves the sacrifice, the sacrificer, and all the other priests:" thus speaking of all being saved by the Brahman priest's knowledge, the sruti shows that the knowledge is not quite necessary for the Udgatri and the other priests and that it does not therefore form a necessary part of the sacrificial rite. Wherefore it is but right to hold, as we have done above, that the Upasana are not absolutely necessary for the sacrificial rites.

THIRD ADHYA'YA-FOURTH P'ADA.

Adhikarana I.

In the preceding section has been expounded the nature of the Paravidya, the supreme wisdom. And now, with a view to expound the nature of the a's'ra ma dharmas,—those duties which are enjoined on the several holy orders,—which are accessories to that wisdom, the Sutrakara declares that the end of man's is attained through wisdom alone

Thence is the end of man, because of the word. So says Ba'dara'yana. (III. iv 1.)

Thence,—that is from wisdom alone,—accrues the end of man, because of the following passages of the S'ruti:

"The knower of Brahman reaches the Supreme."

"Having known S'iva, one finally attains peace." \$15. So thinks the blessed Badarayana.

Now a doubt arises as to whether this is possible or not, because of the passages of the S'ruti pointing both ways.

(Pûrvapaksha):—The prima jacie view is stated follows:

^{*}Chhå. 1-1-10

[†] Chhâ. 4-17-10.

¹ Tait. Up. 2-1.

^{§ 6&#}x27; vetA Up. 4-14.

es appendage, it is a more arthern'de conserving man, as in

other cases. So thinks Jaimied. (111. tv. 2). ... he end of man accrues not from knowledge. y? For, it is the agent of actions that is declared e one with Brahman in such passages as "Thou That," where the words referring to the agent and henen are put in apposition to each other; and to the agent as one with Brahman conduces to the ration of the agent and thus forms an accessory he ritual. As to the S'rati, however, speaking of independent fruits of knowledge, we hold that it etitutes a mere Arthavida, on the principle enuned in the Pürvamimamea (iV. III. 1). Accordingthe end of man does not accrue from knowledgewhinks the teacher, Jaimini.

Because it is seen to be the custom. (III. iv 2.) funtom to the same effect is also seen. A'sva-Kekaya, who knew Brahman, says: "I am og to sacrifice, O Lords." Thus we learn that ral is essential even in the case of knowers of Ahman, such as Kekaya.

Because there is Fruti to the same effect. (111, iv. 4) anowledge is a mere appendage to ritual because, the words " whatever one does with knowledge," bwledge is declared by the S'ruti itself to m a part of ritual. The S'ruti means that what a does is done with knowledge.

Because of the embrace (III. lv. 5.)

Him do knowledge and work embrace :"I from he words we understand that knowledge and rial are united in one and the same person; and brefore knowledge forms but an appendage of mal.

Scause of the injunction in the case of him who is presented ef it. (111. iv. 6.)

litual is enjoined on one who has studied the Mas till he has understood the meaning, as may been in the passages like the following:

"He who has learnt the Veda from a family of teachers, according to the sacred rule, in the leisure time left from the duties to be performed for the Guru, who, after receiving his dis-. charge has settled in his own bouse, etc."

Wherefore, 100, knowledge is an appendage of mal.

Because of the rule. (111. fv. 7.)

It has been definitely laid down as a rule that the knower of Atman should devote his life to ritual. " Only performing works here a man should wish to live a bundred years."* For this reason also knowledge is an appendage of ritual. I rom that no good accrues to man.

(Biddhanta) .- Now the Spirihanta is stated as follows :-

Because of the one above is taught. Ba'dara'yana's (is valid); because so it is revealed (111. IV. S).

Knowledge is not a mere appendage of ritual. On the contrary, Badara, au'e view that by knowledge alone man attains his end holds good, because He alone who is a being other than the individual soul, other than the agent, is pointed out as the one to be known. So indeed the Srati says.

- "Let me be born manifold " †
- "He is the Canse, the Lord of the lords of senses." I
- "Superior to the universe is Rudra, the Great Sage."

In passages like these we find declared the superiority of Brahman who has to be known. fore knowledge is not an appendage of ritual.

As to the contention that custom points otherwise, the Sütrakara says:

But equal is revolation (111. iv. 9.)

Castom is found pointing equally to the supremacy of knowledge, not alone of ritual exclusively. Revelation, indeed, points to the renuncition of ritoal by knower of Brahman, as seen in the passages like the following :-

"This, indeed, said the Kilvasheya sages who knew Him : 'for what purpose are we to study ? for what purpose are we to sacrifice? What are we to do with offspring?"

One should perform, as an accessory to knowledge, that work which is not accompanied with a longing for the result; whereas one should abandon that which is accompanied with a longing for the result. So, there being no incongraity whatever, it is ritual that forms an appendage to knowledge, while knowledge is of primary importance.

As to the argument based on the text "whatever one does with knowledge," the Satrakara says :

^{*}ChhA -11.10.

¹bid. 1-1-10.

Bri. Up. 6-43. Chha R.IS.I.

[·] Fra. Up. 2

⁺ Chbà 6-2-8.

¹ Sveti 6—9. 5 Mabana 10—19.

Net universal. (III iv. 10.)

The passage "whatever one does with knowledge," does not speak of knowledge as common to call cases, inasmuch as it speaks of knowledge as if it has been already made familiar. And the knowledge which has just been familiarized is that concerning the Udgitha-Vidya. "Whatever one does with knowledge that alone becomes more virulent;" in these words it is taught that the Udgitha-Vidya, the subject of the present section, is the means of making more powerful the ritual which is associated with it. Accordingly the Vidya does not form an appendage of ritual.

As to the contention based on the text, "Him do knowledge and work embrace," the Sûtrakâra answers as follows:

Division (is meant), as in the case of a hundred, (III. iv. 11).

"Him do knowledge and work embance:" here, knowledge and work being productive of distinct results, knowledge accompanies (the soul) to yield its own fruit, and work accompanies (the soul) to yield its own fruit. Thus a division is meant here, when we say, for example, two hundred (rupees) has been realised by the sale of land and precious stones, we mean a division, namely, that land has been sold for a hundred (rupees) and that precious stones have been sold for a hundred.

For him who is possessed of the mere learning. (III. iv. 12.)

Because the scriptural text "Having learned the Veda," etc., enjoins ritual only on him who possesses the mere learning, knowledge does not form an appendage of the ritual. The injunction concerning the learning of the Veda relates merely to the getting up of texts. Even supposing that it extends also to a knowledge of the meaning of the texts, the Vidyâ or knowledge spoken of (in the passage under consideration) is something different from that relating to the meaning of the texts. Vidyâ is that revolving in mind of a certain idea, which is enjoined in the words "let him contemplate (upâsîta)." Therefore (this knowledge which is under discussion) nowhere forms an adjunct.

Because there is no specification (III. iv. 13).

In the passage "Only performing works here," etc., there is no specific reason found to shew that the whole life of the enlightened sage should be devoted to ritual for ritual's sake. On the contrary we understand that this ritual is an appendage of Vidyâ, because it occurs in a section devoted to Vidyâ, beginning with the words "By Lord should be covered all this." Therefore Vidya does not form an appendage of ritual.

Or it is a permission, for the praise (of Vidya) (III iv. 14).

The sequel says indeed that, though doing works always, one is not tainted by them, in virtue of Vidys. For the foregoing reason also, Vidys alone is of primary importance.

And some (read of the abandonment of ritual) on the impulse of desire. (III. lv. 15).

"What shall we do with offspring?:" in the words some speak of the enlightened rage's abandoting of house-holder's life on the impulse of desire Therefore, too, Vidya appears to be of primary importance.

And (of) destruction (III. iv. 16).

And some read of the destruction of all work brought about by Vidya. "His works, too, are destroyed, when, He, who is high and low, is seen." Therefore ritual is not of primary importance.

And (Vidya is found) among celibates; and indeed in the word (they are mentioned.) (III. iv. 17.)

Because we find Vidya among those holy orden whose ranks are composed of celibates, and because such cannot perform Agnihotra and other rites, Vidya cannot be an appendage of ritual Indeed, in the Vedic passage "Three are the brancher of law,"* three orders of holy life are mentioned. Hence the conclusion that Vidya alone is of primary importance, conducing to the good of man, and that it should be combined with those of the prescribed works, as its appendage, which are not associated with a longing for the fruits spoken of primarily in connection with them.

Adhikarana-2.

Jaimini (thinks there is) a backward reference, because of the absence of command. The Sruti indeed forbids.

(III. iv. 18).

In the preceding section three orders of holy life alone are mentioned as the paths of religion, in the words "Three are the branches of law." Therefore there arises a doubt as to the existence of the fourth order of boly life.

(Púrvapoksha):—Because of the absence of all injunction of the fourth order of holy life in the passage referred to, Jaimini thinks that the reference to the fourth order in the passage "wishing for that world (for Brahman) only, mendicants leave their homes," is intended as a praise of the upasana. Indeed, the S'ruti forbids the fourth order in the words, "the killer of the son of the gods, indeed is he who casts aside the sacrificial fire." Accordingly, there is a great evil in the abandonment of the sacrificial fire, and therefore no other order of life can be entered on by abandoning fire.

A. MAHADEVA SASTRI, B. A.

(To be continued).

[•] Mund. Up. 2-2-9.

⁺ Bri Up. 6-4-22,

¹ Tait-Sam. 1.5-2.

THE MRIGENDRA AGAMA.

पंत्रसम्बद्धाः

तमञ्ज्ञक्यधिकारस्य निवृत्तेस्तरपरिच्युती । व्यम्ति इविक्रयानन्त्रं जगहुन्धुःणोदेशवः॥ (1. यान् विमीचवति स्वापे शिवाह सद्यो भवन्ति ते । संहती वा समुद्रतावणवः पतयो-थवा ॥ ,2) हर्यन्त्रपतीशानपदमानी भवन्ति ते। स्थिती याननुगृह्यसि गुम्रभस्याय चित्रतः ॥ (3) वेषां अर्गारणां शक्तः पत्यविनिवनवे । तंपान्ताहरहीन्सुख्यं मुक्ती द्वेषो भवस्थिती ॥ (4) भक्तिय जिन्नभवतेषु श्रद्धः तच्छासके विधी। अनेनानुषितिः बिष्टिते। स्यूचीधयामपि ॥ (5) पद्महरयोगसिद्धानां कर्मध्यक्तिद्वयं समं। ज्येष्टादिकलयोग्यानां साधिकारासु मुक्तिषु ॥ (6) उपायादरवैद्याच्यानमृग्यते तत्त्रयं पुनः । इयोर्व्यतिकाः कथित् च्युतिसिद्धिविलक्षितः॥ (7) ईषदर्धनिवृत्ते तु रीधकते तमः यतेः । मबन्त्येतानि लिङ्गानि किंचिच्छिष्टे च देहिनां ॥ (8) योग्यतात्रयमध्यतत् समतीत्र महस्यरः । स्वापेष्णुयनुगृह्याति साधिकारमिदं यतः ॥ (9) सर्गमूले त्रितीयायां स्वापवद्भतसंहती। स यद् व्यपास्य कियते तहिथो योध्युबच्यते ॥ (10) तथा बीजं शारीरादेः पाचयत्यानिवेशनात्। न योग्यताङ्ग्रममत् सवस्यादीवधादिवत्। पाकार्दमापे तद्वलुं नेशव्यात्मानमात्मना ॥ (11)सर्वद्वर सर्वकतृत्वात् साधनाङ्गकलैस्सद् । री यञ्जानाति कुबते स तदेवेति सुस्थितं ॥ (12)बास्यावृतिश्नन्यत्वान व्यव्यक्तमपेक्षते । प्रकाशकै मसौद्यायकन्तस्थाद् विपरीतन्त्रजातुचित् ॥ (13) यानि व्यञ्जकभीतन्ते वृतःवान्मलद्यक्तिभिः ।
व्यञ्जकभ्यानुरेधिन तर्धन स्युव्योहतान्यपि ॥ (14)
नाध्यक्षं नापि तक्षेक्षं न शाब्दमापे शांकरं ।
ज्ञानभागति विमलं सर्वद्रा सर्ववस्तुष् ॥ (15)
स्वास्वक्ष्वकरणार्थविध्यद्यभिरेंशोदिताभिरिति ये विदुरीशतत्त्वं ।
ते मोचयन्ति भविना भवपंक्षमनान्
नी विस्तरेण पुक्षमाः पुश्याश्रक्षं ॥ (16)

Снягаве VI. पशुलक्षणप्रकरणं.

अध विश्वनिविश्य प्राप्तं लक्षणमात्मनः। तदीशोक्ती गतपायं तथाप्यदेश उच्यते॥ (1) कार्य क्षित्वादि कर्तेश्वर तत्कतुर्नीवयुज्यते । न स्वार्थमप्यश्विद्वावानानध्यै कर्तुगीरवा 🔣 पारिशेष्यान् परार्थं तत् क्षेत्रतः स परस्तयोः ॥ (2) पशुर्देहर तदर्थत्वान् परार्थाः स्मादयो नन् । काषीव्यक्तित्वादन्यार्थं सुतरां प्रतिपद्यते ॥ (3) वैतन्थेण मीम्यत्वाद् विकारित्वाञ्चजातुचित्। भौग्या निकारिणो दष्टाश्चिद्विहीनाः पटादयः॥ (4) यस्मिन् सति च सङ्घावाद् यादे चैतन्यमुच्यते । यस्मान सत्यपि बाबे चितिर्देहीहचचेतनः॥ (5) परिणायस्य वैशिष्यादस्तिचेन्तस्मृतिह सदा ।-नाप्येवं सुप्रतीतत्वात् स्वर्ता कायेतरोस्त्यतः॥ (6) नाव्यापको नक्षणिको नैको नापि जडात्मकः। नाकर्ताभिषविद्योगी पाद्यान्ते शिवताशुते:॥ (7)

THE FIVE ACTIVITIES OF THE LORD.

1. When consequent on the removal of the authority of the powers (saktis) of darkness, Mala also is removed, then Siva, the friend (or well-wisher) of the whole universe, brings out the charac-

vision or knowledge) and activity.

Note.—"The powers of darkness." are the powers of Mala.

2. Those souts whom He liberates at the fime of cosmic slumber, instantly become Sivas, while the souls released during cosmic destruction or creation, remain either more souls, or become rulers.

Note.—The liberated ones belonging to the latter class remain either simple muktus or attain to rulership, according to the degrees of their development.

- 3. Those sentient beings upon whom He bestows His anagraha (grace), assuming the form of a teacher, during the state of the conservation of the universe, attain to the positions of Rudras, Mantras, Rulers (patis) and Is'anas.
- 4, 5. Upon whatsoever embodied beings, His Grace (Sakti) may descend, in order that they may not return any more to the world of births and deaths), they possess two characteristics, by which even the dullest intellect may recognize a saint, viz., desire of liberation and disgust with wordly life, and love towards worshippers of Siva and towards Sastras which teach us about Him.
- 6, 7. It would be right for those who are perfected in passizina which treats only of Yoga, to hold that liberation consists in the equilibrium of the two characteristics of Karma (i.e., of pleasure and pain, which are indicative of good and bad Karma). But for those that would become worthy of the Mokshas taught here, ranging down from the highest and invested with varied powers, three things are requisite. The third is different alike from failureand from success (good and bad Karma), on account of the peculiarities of the methods and the endeavours necessary for attaining to such states.

Note.—As we have before observed, the Saiva system. teaches a large number of what are called mokskus or liberations, of which it asserts the absolute one is taught only by itself, all the others being only relatively liberations, not being permanent. The commentator marks three mokshas, which in descending order, are these: (1) the highest is attainment to the world of the Mantra-Mahesvaras, the eight described in a previous verse; (2) the intermediate one, is to the world of Man-

tresvaras, also described above; (3) the lowest one is to the world of the Rudras, such as Panchashtaka and the rest.

The object of this verse is to establish that Sakti-nipita or descent of the Lord's Grace, one of the peculiar features of the Saiva system, is absolutely essential to salvation. For the Saiva salvation is distinguished by this, that one who attains to even one of the lower states designated as liberation, is appointed by the Lord to positions of authority, which is not the case, the Agama contends, with the mokshus of other systems. If liberations were the mere equilibrium of pleasure and pain, or which is the same thing, of good and bad Karnin, the descent of Grace may not be necessary. But in this, over and above the equilibrium of Karma, there is authority given, which must be ascribed to some other agency than the two Karmas; and this agency, which awards fruits, not embraced by the other two causes, is, the Agama says, Sakti-nipita.

8. These marks show themselves in those beings from whom the power of the Lord of Darkness is either a little or balf removed or in whom only a trace of it remains.

Note.—The Lord of Darkuess is Vâmadeva, one of the five Saktis, who distributes the fruits of Karma. His energy is the tirodhâme-sakti or concealing power. The marks are three, absence of pleasure and of pain, and sakti-nipâta. The chare teristics of the last have been given in verses 4 and 5

9. During cosmic slumber, the Lord blesses the souls without any regard to these three qualities; for these are required only for liberation accompanied with positions of authority.

Note.—Moksha is of two kinds, sådhikåra, with authority, when the liberated are made rulers, and niradhikåra or kevala, in which persons liberated are not given any position of authority, but merely merge in Siva. Th first kind takes place only during the stage of full cosm development or sthiti. Liberation during other states the universe are described below. Perhaps the we kevala in IV 2 is used in this sense, that the souls v are made Mantra-Mahesvaras, were till then kevala, without any position of authority, though they seliberated.

4.

tz

10. A. The souls released in the beginning creation and in the third dissolution of the elects (bhitus) are similar to those released during nic slumber.

Note.—That is, they are not given any posts of authority.

10. B. He is said to be an one (or bound soul), the possessing that quality, which being abanioned, he becomes like that (i.e., liberated and one
rith Siva).

- 11. A. Then He ripens the seed of bodies and an erest (viz., Karms) till it actually enters (on the tork of manufacturing bodies).
- 1). B, C. Else, it (Karma) cannot yield its fruits at uce, like medicine and other things. Nor can it be aid that it can mature itself by itself.

Note:—This verse establishes the necessity of pully or spening, maturing of Karma by the Lord, so that it may reduce the dar from of the actions of the door. If there is no soutering, the Agama says, Karma will be as infection for its purpose, as usedicine given raw, without he necessary prepriation. And of course, it being ranted that Karma is inert, it follows that it cannot postaneously make itself fit for its work. Here o the onelusion is that an Invara is a necessity.

From the next verse, an explanation is begun of the nord surra-cid. "all-knower" in our original natra, the irst verse of Chap. 11.

- 12. The Lord is all-knower, because He is an allicer. It is well established, that one does a thing, the knows it, together with the means (for obtaining t), its constituent elements and results.
- 18. This omniscience (of Isvara) does not require my aid by which it may become manifest, for there is no avarana covering or envelope of ignorance) to shatruct Him; and it is free from doubts. Therefore it is never at fault.

Note.—The intelligence of the soul is covered up at test by Amera mala, such that it cannot even perceive bjects. And in order that its inmate intelligence may onic out, the Lord unites it to the Passia-kanchuka, iz., Kula, Kala, Raga, Vidya and Niyeti, by which it becomes a Puruska, or person, capable of cognizing objects and of being drawn towards them. By this process, the stelligence of the soul is partially restored, white complete omniscience can come only with liberation when every trace of mala is removed. But the Lord, who has so such mala attaching to him, does not stand in need of main ands as Kala and the rest, which the soul requires.

- 14. Such intelligences as require aids to become manifest, owing to their being covered up by the powers of Mala, change (from their hidden state, in conformity with the nature of the aids, in spite of the obstruction (offered by Mala).
- 15. Sankara's (Isvara's) knowledge is not like that obtained by perception, by inference, or by authority. It shines, free from taints, steadfast in all things.

According to the Naiyayikas, knowledge is derived from three sources only, from perception, inference, and authority. By authority here is primarily meant the Veda. The Agama says that Siva's knowledge is of an altogother different kind from these three. I should think that the idea supressed here about the nature of Siva's knowledge, comes very near Saukaracharya's conception of Aparababa-jainat. The word "intuition" will express the idea more or less convertly.

16. Such as know the truth about Isvara, that He possesses these characteristics here concisely stated, viz., It is existence, His forms, His instrument, His purpose, activities and knowledge, cause the liberation of people sank within the quagmire of wordly lite. So cannot those persons who naderstand him only in the form of pushs and pasa, however much they may know in that direction.

Note.—This verse sams up all the topics discussed before. "His forms" have been treated in the fourth Chapter, "His instrument" is the Sakti, "His purpose" is to grant liberation and bliss, Moksia and Buoya, to sonis. "His activities and knowledge" have been described in the present chapter. "Those who understand him as pasa" is directed against Vedantins, who, believe that the soul is Atma and that Atma is everything. So not recognizing anything real but the Atma, the Agama contends that the mistakenly think that the soul itself is lavars. Hence they understand the Isvara to be the soul or pasa. The other part, I believe, is directed against persons who think that Karma or Kèla (time) is the sole directing agent of the universe and that no other postulate is necessary to explain all facts.

Here ends the Fifth Chapter.

CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PASU.

1. It has been said above that the soul is (in a way) the cause of the universe; and it has been described more or less in treating of Isvara. But a brief account with reasons will be given here also.

Note.—The soul or Atma is the cause of the universe, in the sense that the whole thing is meant solely for purging away the Mala from him in order to bring about his liberation, and in the sense that the world is meant to be awarded to souls as the fruits of their Karma.

- 2. The earth and the rest are mere products, the Lord being their creator. They cannot be of any use to the Lord himself; nor can they be to themselves, being insentient; nor, on the other hand, can they be said to be purposeless, on account of the majesty of the Lord (which will not condescend to such purposeless work). Therefore there only remains the theory that these are meant for the use of another. This other is different from both (the products and their creator) and is called the Kshetrajna (i.e., Atma or soul).
- 3. This pass (or soul), is it not the body, for whose sake the earth and other things exist? Not so, for the body is insentient and as such it must clearly be for another's use.

Note.—The objection here raised is supposed to be by that arch-materialist, the Charvika, which school is, curiously enough, said to owe its origin to Brihaspati. A Brihaspati-Sutra too is quoted by some writers, as enunciating these views, but the book seems to have disappeared.

4. The body itself cannot be said to be sentient, for it is an object of enjoyment and is subject to modifications. It is ordinary experience that such

things as pieces of cloth, which are both objects of ejnoyment and modifiable, are devoid of sentiency.

- 5. If it is urged that the body must be sentient, since sentience exists only when there is a body, yet because in a corpse, even though the body is present there is no sentience, it must follow that the body itself is insentient.
- 6. And it cannot be objected that deathis but a special modification, for then there must not be any memory at all. And the soul may not be said to be without memory, for it is evident to all. Hence there must be one who remembers, apart from the body.

Note.—The objector here replies to the objection taken to his view in the former verse, thus. The body is seen to undergo a series of changes from youth to old age and that all through it is sentient, but that only the final change of death puts an end to its sentiency. The Agama objects to this explanation, that if the body is sentient, and it is ever changing, never the same for two moments together, there can be no continuity of conscitutions out the conscitution of the conscitution. And memory cannot be adenied, for it is evident to all.

7. The Atma is not aryapi (not omnipresent, limited), not momentary, not one, not insentient (or inert), not a non-doer, and is ever united to intelligence, for it is heard that after the Pas'a is removed, he attains to the state of Siva.

Note.—" It is heard "—the Sanskrit word is "s'ruteh," which ordinarly means, "because the Veda declares so." But I have shown some reason against the probability of such a meaning in a former note. This verse sums up the matter of the whole Chapter.

Here ends the Sixth Chapter.

M. NARAYANASWAMI AIYAR.

1

19 6

SIVAJŠA'NA SIDDHIYA'R

07 -

ARUL NANDI SIVA A'CHA'RYA

SUTRA VII-ATMA DARSANA.

Adbikarana I and 2

(Continued from page 80).

Sat curnet know, and I all cannot exist

Is If everything is Sat, then no continues knowlege of anything can arise. If Sat becomes the hower by union with Aast (its products—the organs), to; Asat cannot appear in the presence of Sat, as be darkness can subsist in the presence of light. If put say that Asat itself is the knower, no, it cannot be, it cannot subsist in the presence of the Sat, and as his morely the instrument of knowledge of another.

Adikarana-3.

The Imorer of Both is the Boul.

2. The knower who knows both Sat and Asat is be atma (soul). It is neither Sat nor Asat. It is ternal and Satasat. It is not produced from strain at cause and affect. Yet it is produced from them at he fragrands comes out of the flower.

NOTE.—As Salesat, it is united to both and in union with each, it identifies itself with each so thoroughly that it is not near each. This is a peculiar characteristic of the Soul, which Prof-Drummond calls the law of assimilation.

Impurities attach to soul and hot to Guil.

3. Asat and Agnana cannot attach themselves to the Sat and Grana Svarupi and Jyoti (God), All impurities become attached to the soul. The Vedas deciare that the souls and Asat exist even eternally with God; as in the instance of the sea and water and mit.

: Hence God is Scutantaram and soul is Asvatantaram.

4. The soul is called qualified Chit and Sat, as it knows when taught and is eternal. God is eternally Pure and Free and Intelligent and by His grace, Re frees the souls of their impurities.

Note.—The analogy of the sea is particularly noteworthy. There is a world of difference between the way this analogy is used by Vedantis and the way it is ex-

plained by Siddhantis. The purvapaksha view is thus set forth by a learned Swami.

"There is but one Atman, One Self, eternally pure, " .changeable, unchanged, and all these various changes are but appearances in that one Self. Upon it name and form have painted all these streams; it is the form that made the wave different from the Sea. Suppose the wave subsides, will the form remain? No; it will vanish; toe existence of the wave was sutirely dependent upon the Sea, but the existence of the Sea was not at all dependent upon the wave. The form remains so long as the wave remains, but as soon as the wave leaves it, it vanishes, it cannot remain. This name and form is what is called Maya. It is this Maye that is making individuals making one appear different from the other. Yet it has no existence. Maya cannot be said to exist. Form cannot exist because it depends upon another's existence. It cannot be said to non-exist, seeing that it makes all this difference. According to the Advaita Philosophy. then, this Mass or Ignorance, name and form or as it has been called in Europe, ' time, space and causality,' is out of this One Infinite existence, showing us the manifoldness of the Universe: in substance this universe is one."

So according to this Swami, the One Infinite existence is God, and its Form is Mays and its name Ignorance! God is the Sea, and the multiformed waves are Maya. The one is nuchanged and muchangeable and yet these changes into multiform waves are but appearances. it is on account of these changes and appearances dualistic knowledge, and ignorance, and sorrow and Samsara results, and the One Infinite Existence which is eternally pure becomes finite and impure! It is on account of these appearances, God becomes a man, a dog, a worm; and but for these appearances God would remain a God. What converts indeed a Divinity into a brate caunot be unrea and non- vistent, and of no moment as denoted by the use of the word 'but' in the sentences quoted above. If these changes and appearances are vital how can the One Infinite Existence be called and nuchungeable too ? And what constitutes the real difference between changeable and unchangeable? The very first definitions which the new beginner in Physical Science. meets with are about 'stable' and 'unstable equilibriums.' in nature. The 'Stable' is that which remains unaffected and without change of form by the surrounding forces of nature. 'Unstable' is easily affected by those very same forces and their forms are easily changed. And as examples are given, 'solids,' for the 'stable,' and 'liquide' and 'gases' for the 'unstable.' And what is here called the unchanged and nuchangeable. It is the sea-water, which physicists expressly call unstable and changeable. And yet there is no incongruity in the comparison, and no contradiction in terms!!! It is the sea water which is called here

enchangeable and unchanged, which is ever the sport of the olements and the sun and the moon, which changes with each gust of the wind and with each phase of the sun and the moon! This ever-changing and tempest-tossed and discoloured waters of the deep, are they to be compared with the unchangeable Infinite One? The horrors of an howling sea who has not read of, if one has not actually witnessed? And that true poet of nature makes his Miranda truly wish

"Poor souls, they perished!!

Itad I been any God of power,

I would have such the sea within the earth."

So we would have wished too there was no sea, and no God, if all our sufferings and sorrows, poverty and misery, hatred and all the evils of our Samsara-sagara and ingnorance, were lut appearances of this One God!

And then again, on the analogy as explained above, what little of power and self-dependence (Swatantram) is left to this One Infinite Existence? Is it self-luminous and self-dependent or is it the sport of every chance? The sea that is played on by every wind and tide, cannot be called to have any power and independence. Much less this God who is played on by Maya and ignorance? Our Swami eloquently asks, "suppose the wave subsides, will the form remain?" But suppose we ask "when will the wave subside?" What answer will our Swami return to this question? The waves will subside when the winds subsided. When will the winds subside? Echo answers when? When will Maya and Avidya leave us? When our Karma ceases. When will our Karma cease? Echo answers when?

The inherent faliacy in the use of this analogy as above stated is in taking the large body of sea-water as representing God. Though popular use justifies us in taking the sea space and everything contained in it as the sea, yet the true sense of the sea is the sea space, containing water and everything else, the all container, the formless, changeless and unchanging and infinite space. In this view how beautiful is St. Meikandan's simile as explained by Kanandan's Vallatar and how full of meaning.

் கடல் சிலம் நீரான்மு உப்பு மலம் கண்டாட்டி அடர்வாயுப் போதல் அவேசாண் — இடைவிடா தல்வாதி யுட்சானாட் ஐம்பொதியிற் பரபடம்போட் இவ்வாதிணைச் சுழற்று செருன்."

The Sea is Sivam, Water is the Soul; Salt is Anava-Mala, Avidya or Ignorance, Karma is the Wind; the feelings of 'I' and 'Mine' (Pasa Botham or Pasa Gnánam) are the waves. The First cause of all, in accordance with your Karma ever makes you revolve as does a top, in various births in conjunction with your external and internal senses."

In this view, God's Supreme Omnipresence as Immanence in nature is positively brought out and i Supreme Self-dependence and Svatantratvam is us destroyed; while the Soul is not left without freedom: Will and without means and hopes of salvation. By effect and practice, he can put down his Pasu Botha or grant and become balanced in good and had acts (Karmat submitting himself to the Will of the Lord, then he can reach that condition of Perfect Calm (Nirvana lit, not flowing on water or air). What for? To reflect the Gloriand Light of the Supreme Lord and to lose himself is that Glory and Light. Look at the incomparable word of St. Tirunular.

் உரையற்ற தொன்றை உரைசெய்யு மூமாகான கடையற்ற தொன்றைக் கரைசாணலாகுடோ? இடையற்ற கீர்போற் சிக்கைத் தெளிவார்க்கு புடையற் றிருந்தான் புரிசடையோனே."

"O Ye Fools, who attempt to speak of the unspeakable Can you reach the limits of the limitless Sea? As the waveless stilled water of the deep, If you reach neace of mind, Then Surely will the Lord of the braided hair Appear to you in faultless Glory.

SUTRA' VIII.

1. Just as the King's son taken and brought up among savages does not know himself to be different from the others till his true father came, and separating him from his wild associates, acknowledged him as his own, and had him respected even as himself. So also, does our Lord appearing as the Gracious Gurn separate the sorrowing soul, which is caught among the savages of the five senses and is unable to know his own greatness or that of this friend from its sensory environments, and purifying it of its dross and transforming it even into His own Glery, places it under His Flowery Foot.

J. M. N.

(To be Continued.)

TAYUMA'NAVAR'S POEMS.

Continued from page 238 of Fol III).

்ள் மார்க்க அரசும் இப்படிகளும் இது கல்ப சம்தே தப்பெற்குள் தாதென் குறி பின்மார்க்க செரியினிறும் கண்ட நின்ன பகர்வரிய நில்வேண்றப் பார்த்ச போதம் சென்மார்த்த விருந்துக்காம் கெளியே பென்ன செல்சமைத் தவர்களும்பை திறைஞ்சா தேபர் கண்மார்க்க செஞ்சமுன் செனர்குர் தானே சண்டவுட அனத்தம் காண்ட வாகும் (சசவ).

143. In no religion but this we see harmony:

The Highest Mokaba's Guina-Sadana as well

As patience to assimilate the bigotry,

Conceitedness, fanaticism and verbul spell

Of low religionists that no good logic smell:

Ay I In th' Supreme Hall in Thillais we nothing

But Akas-grindkas—the world's great fair to sell Commodities of various kinds and many,

Which respond to souls' varieties in teste and fate,

Which feed their cravings, which out their likes and dislikes,

Set by the cause and effect of their Karmic state?
Hence I too joy ‡ that the 'Hall of Divine Wisdom, strikes

A universal Bell; a universal gate
For all to enter and assume th' bliss which each
likes 5

காண்டக்டுபறப் புறத்திறுக்கு படியே புக்கும் என்றி காட்டுமேல் நுக் சொறுக்புறியாக் கடவு சேரி கீண்டுவி மையுமாகத் குறக்கும் காட்டா கேறப்சியு சடைக்கிலால் சிற்ற மாகி கேண்கேற் பெல்கெறியுச் சமியக் குரர் வில்வது குரன்மு ஏலாம் கிச சாரங்கள் முண்டியை கைகண்மனவர்க் கூடு பெல்லாம் பெறுச்தாம் வல்புறமும் புனிக்கை மாடு (சசச).

144 O! The Supreme Lord of all, all sacred writings declare. Thy Divine nature to be alike both within and without. Thou art The Interpenetrating Universal Intelligence, ever existent and unchange able, limited by no dimensions of any kind, beyond all thought and description and not ascertainable by any criteria such as the attributes of lives or dislikes, the measure of nearness or remoteness, or the acts of union or separation.

ஆபெசற் சமியது சத்தத் கேற்ற கணையாய் நூலாவ் யணச்ச சத்தி கோசமுறு மானத்த மயம் தாவி புவீர்க்குவிறா கொலாஞ மோன்கா சிற்ப மோசமிருண் மானைவிறை வுவிர்கள் செல்லா மொயித்த தென்கோ ஐபவார முயத்தி யாகப யாரமிச சுறுவைவர்கு சத்தி வந்து 'அரசுமாற்று' பதித்ததன்கோ குணெனுமப்பான்மை சென்கொன். (சாவி)

While Thou art the eternal Sat shining inseparably with the Saktis that do constitute Thy Female Energy; While Thou art the Universal Bliss; While Thou art the Pure Intelligence and the Suprame Cause of the Benevolent Scheme of Pravidence crowned with even-handedness; and While Thou art, as a fact, the animating Life-Principle of all souls in the Universe, How was it that the souls became enshrouded with mala ignorance), Maya, and Karma? How was it that the egotism of 'Iness' came to be possessed by the souls? And how was it. O Lord, that, by means of soul's acts and thoughts of devotion due to Thy Beneficent Kiriya Sakti, Thy Gnana Sakti, caused itself to be felt by souls matured?

Note.—Here our Saint points out that with no contradiction or inconsistency the souls (themselves Chite or intelligences) can remain cushroaded with Anoru (ignorance) though they co-exist with and are dependent on the Sapreme Chit (Intelligence) for their life. Existence or evolutionary stage or condition. A consonant has a sound of its own, mute as it is. Yet it cannot sound, distinctly except when in co-existence with vowel A or its modifications. In fact it must sound ever along with the vowel. It cannot get on otherwise.

R. SHANNEGA MEDALIAN.

(To be continued .

^{*} Thille is the Secret place of 'Chidambaran.' Where Scilates Mürtl-the highest manifestation of Parabrahasan for the ske of souls or Jivas which are in need of spiritual promotion.

[†] The technical term is 'Chitanbha' or 'Chithakasam.

I'I too Joy' The mint says this, for he calls himself stony barred and unweathy.

The Rech religious school bas its own ideal to worship or practice ad has its own Goal to reach. But each method and end must bru one or other of the four Margas and four heavenly mansions saited by this (Saiva Niddhanta) School. C F Notes under verses 80 and 141.

SVETASVATARA UPANISHAD.

P. Narayana Aiyar, B.A., B.L. High Court Vakil, Madura, writes as follows to Mr. J. M. N.

My dear friend,

I read the first instalment of your article on 'The Svetasvatara Upanishad' in the Madras Review for November 1900. I am glad to find that in the midst of your office work you are able to spare time for your beloved subject.

I may say a word or two on the subject itself. There is the incompatibility as some think between the idea of God being represented as Rudra 'destroyer) and the idea of his being represented as Siva (mangalam-bliss). In this universe, lower forms give place to higher forms. Destruction of lower forms is closely followed by elevation of other forms. That is the theory of evolution on the form side. Moreover Rudra aspect precedes most naturally the Siva aspect. Before the destruction of the lower nature of man, how can he be enabled to behold Siraall love, all harmony, all bliss, all wisdom. The form side must be destroyed i. e., the idea of separateness must be extinguished before unity, unification, harmony or oneness can be attained by the soul-Jiva-Arma. All ideas of separateness belongs to the lower nature of man. We generally compare the various degrees or stages of love or Bhakti to our social relations of different grades. There are generally four degrees of Bliakti. The first is that of Dasa (servant) and master, exampled by Saint Appar. The 2nd is that of father and sou-exampled by Saint Guanasambanda. The 3rd is that of friendship-exampled by Saint Sundarar. The fourth the highest is that of busband and wife-exampled by Saint Manikavachagar. Now you see that in the lowest the idea of duality exists. It is vanishing little by little as you rise to the higher and higher stage of Bhakti and at last it vanishes

into nothing Unity is reached. When that last step is reached by the Jiva-Atma, then it ceases to be Jiva Atma. Then "the sun light comes and dew drop falls into the shining sea;" then the "Sivam" is reached. In all our sacred books this grand idea is given in all manner of graceful similes and symbols How can one be fit to attain wisdom from Dakshina Moorthi-the great Mahadeva as spiritual teacherwhen the heresy of separateness still clings to his This must be destroyed before the aspirant gets this highest state. The story of "Tripura Dahanam" denuded of its veil of s imbology is this teaching of the slaving of the lower nature-the destruction of the form-and the consequent blaze of wisdom-light Our bigoted Vaishnava sectarians, or the non-metal physical Anglo Saxons cannot dream to understand much less realise the several aspects of Siva. In the five Martams of Siva, we have five aspects of the god head-(1) Satyojutham corresponds to creative aspects (Brahma). (2) Vamaderum corresponds to the protect ing aspect (Vishnu). (3) Agorum corresponds to dest toctive aspect (Rudra . (4) Thathpurusham correst ponds to Throdhana aspect (!swara). (5) Isana cord responds to Sadasiva aspect (i.e. Para-Brahmam Vide Narayana Valli in Ambasyapasai. If you go of in this way, I am sure that you will find correspond dences throughout. Sectarianism which divides mad from man, and creates a good deal of exclusiveness for each is the curse of all theology.

When I began this letter I had no idea to dilate a such length on this subject which is near my heart You will excuse the length. I do not know how for you may agree in the ideas herein shadowed for the

With my best wishes.

I remain,

Yours sincerely,

P. NARAYANA AlyAR

THE ADMITTURE OF ARYAN WITH TAMILIAN.

(Continued from p. 108.)

is admitted by all students of the ancient history adia that the Rig-Vedic Sanhita was the sole and whole work of the Aryans in the first stage of their signation. We have also seen above how, in the period of the Aryan immigration, the amalgamated grounity of the Punjab had not crossed the Sutlej. thow, in the above said Vedic compositions, there is mention of, or reference to their settlement beyond Sutlej. Clearly then, it follows that their chief her of settlement during those six-hundred years, bely, from 2 000 to 1,400. B. C., were the Punjab rell as Kabul and Gandhar to the west of it. One prtant conclusion of this view is, that the people lived at the time of the Aryan settlement on the muite side had not yet become amalgamated with simmigrants, and therefore that they belonged to altogether different stock, i.e., Bharatans or Tamihe as we have stated in the previous part.

is only in the Brahmanas, the Araniyakas and the mishads, works composed in the second period of the an immigration between the 14th and 10th centur-B. C., that mention is made of the Kurus, the chalas, the Kosalas, the Videbas and the Kasis lived in the Gangetic Valley. Now, on the fall of Imperial throne of Hastinapura after the Maharata War, all the feudatory kings became indepenand the Arvans of the Punjab moved downwards. but this time, they took pessession of Kosala and equently came to be known as one of the prominations in the Gangetic Valley. There is every en to believe that the renowned King, Raghu, the ind-father of Dasarata, was the founder of the Aryan mety at Saketa in Kosala. Deserata was the most perful of the Aryan Kings that ruled at Saketa and reign was the longest and the most glorious one. ling his reign, the kingdom of Koesla enjoyed its instan period and its capital Saketa received the new as of Ayodhya, 'unconquered.' In later days, the were of the Ramayana and other similar works have, paistake, mentioned Desarata, the father of Rama, see 56th King, confounding the Aryan kings with, wrongly including them among, those of the milian of the Solar Race that ruled at Saketa prior te Aryan dynasty.

Though Hastinapura, the capital of Kuru, had lost its superiority over other kingdoms after the Great War, yet it was held as the first power among the civilized and prosperous countries in the Gangetic Valleg, where the five kingdoms, the Kuru, the Panchala, the Kosala, the Vidèha and the Kusi, were in confederacy. It is said that after the war the victorious Pandavas retired to the forest, according to the Tamilian custom of those ancient days, to do penance, and that Parikahit the grandson of Arjuna, one of the Pandavas, ascended the throne of Hastinapura; who was, in turn, succeeded by his son Janamějaya. Janamějaya Párikshita was both a lover and supporter of learning and his reign saw the dawn of the Aryan literature.

It may be surprising to many how Janamejaya, a Bharatan or Tamilian King was so much interested in promoting the Aryan language. But we hope to show by facts and analogies how such a thing could and did occur. We believe that the Aryan dialect had already become the language of the Royal House of Hastinapure owing to the marriage of Dridarashtra with a daughter of the Aryan King of Gandhar, if not before that time; just as we see at present, how the Telugu replaced the Tamil in the Royal Court of Pudukota owing to the marriage of one of its princes with a daughter of one of the Neick Kings of Trichinopoly. Moreover, it appears that Daryodhana, the son of Dridarashtra, had a well organized government and a mixed council formed by the wise men of both the Tamilian and the Aryan, and in that council we may see how well the unselfish but extravagant Karna, the king of Anga, represents the Tamilians and how the self-interested and cunning Saguni, the Prince of Gandhar, represents the Aryans. As a result of such inter-marriages and friendly intercourse, the Aryan men of lore had a ready welcome in the house of the Tamilian rulers, and their followers had freequarters to settle in, in the Kurukshetra. Thus these Aryan settlers were, in course of time, given to enjoy the same privileges as the Tamilians and had every facility to coalesce with them in Hastinapura as they did in Kosala, their conquered country.

This fusion, as it were, of the two distinct nations brought with it the inevitable and concomitant result—the amalgamation of their language, religion etc. Thus the Tamilian tongue absorbed by the Sanakrit swelled its vocabulary and the Tamilian religion

[&]quot;Says Mr. Dutt, "we scarcely meet with any allusion to retirement in forests in the hymns of the Rig-Veda, and forest life and retirement are undoubtedly a far later institution than macrifices."

mingling with the Aryan received thereby what would appear to be a stain. The Pandavas, rather the Panchalas, and the Kauravas were the worshippers of Siva; but their descendants or successors on the throne of Hastinapura became by the Aryan influence, less godly, having the same reverence to the Aryan deities as to their own, and much belief in the sacrificial rites which had been condemned by their ancestors.

Having demonstrated how Aryan and Tamilian mingled together, we next proceed to show how the Arvan literature saw its brightest days in Janamejaya's time. To the Aryans of the Punjab, the sacrifires were simple and pure; and horse-sacrifices were performed merely for the purpose of feasting; but after their intercourse with the wealthier courts and their settlement in the more prosperous countries in the Gangetic Valley, the sacrifices became ponipous and stately, and the horse-sacrifice became a means of expiation of sin and of the assumption of the Imperial title. Janamejaya was himself a great performer of horse-sacrifices for the expiation of the sins of his forefathers. Many elaborate and dogmatic works then came to be written for the explanation of the sacrifices and their usefulness as well as the ritual to be observed on such occasions. These -compositions are all the contributions to what is called the Bruhmana Literature. which assumed a form in his time and most of which dates from his time and is owing to his generous support of learning.

Besides, this, the Great Epic Maha Bharata, the subject of the Great War, was composed in his time Vaisambayana addresses Janamêjaya and relates to him the War History. It is hard to believe that the author of this Great Epic was Krishna V a, the Bharatan, who is said to be the half-brother on Bhishman, and to have anticipated the War History. We do not hesitate in supposing that this grand work as well as the compilation of the Vedas were the 'thought' of some of the pupils, belonging to his school, who attributed, as they were bound to do, the fame to their master, according to the then custom. (The student of the history of Mathematics may well know, how an unfortunate pupil of the school of Pythagoras, the Grecian philosopher and Mathematician, was drowned for the pains, simply for having openly confessed that he added one more to the solids mentioned by Pythagoras.) If Krishna Vyasa, the Bharatan, was really the compiler of the Vedas and author of the Maha Bharata, his time, certainly, should be not anterior, but posterior

to the Great War, i. It is but reasonable to as a whole, or in any oposition of any one min later poet and editor," shis mite towards enlarg ancient Epic; every new orate its new-fangled te Krishna-worship which bodily t ansplanted in Kuru-Panchala war."

Besides the composi believe that even the d sections must have take This division undoubted Aryan settlement in t sacrificial rites assumed ance. As it was the cus verses, during their wo introduced in the Ary And the hymns selecter from the old Rig-vedic § tions, named the Sam during those ceremonies Rig-vedic hymns and v called the Yajur. These the Rig. go under the For the chants of the S: ancient Arvans were m king Ravana, who first ing or music in the Bha

The Atharvan, the lass of the various mantras of gathered from the various mantras of gathered in the Aryan digya. It is said that ly bit by a serpent, and avowed hatred towards by a desire to extirpate the sorcerers to his Coperformed by them; and this Athervan, a treatis sorcery was compiled as pilation that was in later Vêdas, which thus became

Thus in the Court of J to the Aryan literature brilliant for its culture. Jammejaya falls in the first century after the Great. War and it is within the close of one century after the Aryan settlement on the Gangetic valley that their literature began to exhibit a considerable progress.

In this period there was no organised caste-system nor was the Code of Manu yet framed. But the people were mainly divided into two sections, the Rajanyas and the vayeyes, the former of whom were the ruling race who were once called Asuras, 'lords,' by the primitive Arrans of the Punjab; and all but these went under the wide name Vaisyas which, once, was the general name given to the Aryan settlers in the Punjab. But new circumstances arose, which favoured the creation of a new kind of office and in consequence, of a new class of people. Those who were thoroughly acquainted with the Brahmana Literature and who exhibited a good stock of knowledge of the elaborate ceremonial rites were called Brahmans. They officiated at the eacrifices and as doing so was no doubt a means of accruing wealth, many, whether Tamilian or Aryan, were induced to follow the Brahmanical profession. The sacrificial ceremonies were really channels that conveyed in many cases to the last pie, the treasure of the monarche to this newly created body. Though the knowledge of the Brahmanas were to them a source of fetching immense wealth, yet their intellect, not satisfied with the unmeaning rites, was in quest of genuine knowledge. There were among them many that were honest seekers after truth.

But this state of things came to happen chiefly in Kuru, their colony and in Kosala, their conquered country; and the Brahmans had never brought their influence to alter the condition in the other Tamilian courts in the Gangetic valley, where the Brahmans and the Brahmanas were not much regarded. In olden days, every Tamilian king had a Purilishet, 'assembly of the learned,' in his court. From the literature of this

period which remains to us, we frequently hear of the learned assemblies in the Tamilian courts, specially of Janaka of Vidéhas, of Ajata Satru of Kasis, and of Pravahana of Panchalas. Among these the most promiment and remarkable was that at the court of Janaka Janaka, the Rajanya, was himself a great philosopher and teacher of the time. It was he who gave a get-up to the Upanishads containing the genuine Tamilian speculation of Philosophy, to learn which, men of learning from other parts, very carnestly betook themselves to his court. One of those who ranked foremost in his court was the Brahman, Yainavalkya, to whom Janaka imparted his knowledge. Thus Yainavalkya was converted from the Aryan Brahmanism to the Tamilian Rationalism. It is said in the Satapatha Brahmana that, when Yajnavalkya, with two other Brahmans, went to Janaka, the king proposed to them the question: 'How do you perform the Agnibotra?' and that Yajnavalkya, who was near the answer but not quite correct, while the other two failed, followed Janaka and had the difficulty explained. Originally a pupil of Bhrighn, Tajnavalkya, not content with his master's teachings, vomited, so the story goes, all that he had learnt from him, that is, the Black Yajur Veda, left his Gurn for Surya, 'the Sun,' and learned from Surva the white Yajur Veda. It is unquestionable that Surva represents the Tamilian king Janaka of the Solar Bace. It was there in his court that the stupendous Satapatha Brahmana too began its starting which comprehends most of the Tamilian mythology, theology and philosophy as well as the history of the Deluge, the advent of Manu-the Dravidian (Tamilian) Prajapati, the dogmas of Transmigration, the eternal Beatitude etc. These were quite alien to the Arvans and are first stated in the Satapatha Brahmana of which Yajnavalkya is held the author.

We bear also, in the Kaushitaki Upanishads, of Ajata Satru of Kasis, himself a learned man and a patron of learning, as well as of Janaka, that Gargya Balaki, a learned but boastful Brahman, came one day to Ajata Satru and challenged him; but at last being convinced, only asked him: 'May I come to you as a pupil?' In reply the king sarcastically said, "I deem it improper, that a Rajanya should initiate a Brahman Come, I will make you know clearly."

Another reputed Brahman, as Yajnavalkya, was Gautama, alias Uddalaka A'runi, who held a prominent place among the Aryan Theologians; it is clearly stated and frequently repeated in the Upanishads that

It is to be noted here that the Tamilians were very skilful in ancient days in magic power which may be said to have been brought by them from their native land. Elam and Chaldeas, and imparted to the Aryans within the land. The ancient Chaldeass were indeed famous for their knowledge of the magic arts. Even to-day the Malabar is noted for its sorcery and has been perpetuating it from of old. In the Great War, if Arjana, the Bharatan became victorious, it was owing to his unsurpassed skill in sorcery which be dexterously and opportunely, though unfairly, used along with his archery. Every warrior was more or less acquainted with this magic art in older days. What are believed to be Disagrass "divine arrows," used in compaigns, were nothing but the arrows ent with the aid of this sorcery. The Atharvan replete with such mystic mantras, malicious in nature and intended specially to destroy one's enemy, is thus kept ever mysterious, known only to a few.

he was an honest and fervent student of the Rajanyas or Tamilians, and learned wisdom from them.

There is many a passage in the Upanishads in which the Rajanyas. i.e., the Tamilians, are represented as the wisest teachers and the most genuine speculators; and the Brahmans learned wisdom from them. The following, found in the Chhandegya Upanishad, will confirm this fact more fully. The above-said Gautama, puzzled with five questions proposed by the Rajanya Pravahana of Panchala, went to him to have his difficulty cleared; to whom Pravahana replied. "Gautama, this knowledge did not go to any Brahman before you; and therefore, this teaching belonged in all the worlds to the Rajanya class alone"; and then imparted the knowledge to him.

Henceforth, the Aryan began to shake off his belief in Polytheism and to acknowledge the Tamilian Monotheism. Everywhere were held discussions as to what Brahman is and what Atman. The Tamilian Philosophy, ever since recognises God as the Universal Being -- "all things have emanated from Brahman, are a part of him, and will mingle in him and have no separate existence;" from which has risen the later Pantheism, the proper Hinduism, a corrupted form of Tamilianism. These Tamilian speculations of Philosophy are the contributions to the Upanishads which are the healthiest and most vigorous of the Aryan literature of the time; and, as a consequence, the Brahmana literature found a natural grave, being totally eclipsed by the upanishads. This reaction and diversion of the Aryan mind and their conversion to Tamilianism is mostly due to the great Tamilian Janaka who hence claims great admiration and reverence of the Aryan world. Verily Janaka sat on the throne of thrones; his learning and culture placed him foremost amongst the Kings of his, time; and the period of his reign is, indeed, one that deserves to be remembered at all times.

This Tamilian King Janaka was the contemporary of Dasaratha, the grandson of Raghu, who was the founder of the Aryan dynasty at Saketa. It seems that the line of the Parikshitas, had become extinct, and their names were still fresh in the memory of the people of Janaka's age. The fact that the sins of the Parikshitas were atoned for, by their sacrifices, became one of curiosity and discussion in Janaka's time. We find an account of a discussion between Yajnavalkya and his rivals in Janaka's court, and one of the questions put to Yajnavalkya by one of his rivals is, "Whither have

the Pirikshitas gone?," and Yajnavalkya answers, "Thither where all Aswamedha sacrificers go." This fact with the acknowledged theory that the Brahmana Literature preceded the Upanishads, brings the age of Janaka, the originator of the Upanishads, a few decades after the time of Janamêjaya, the promoter of the Brahmanas. Now, if we place Janamêjaya, who succeeded his father Parikshit after the Great War," in the second half of the 14th century B. C., then the period of Janaka falls naturally in the first half of the 13th century B. C.

Dasaratha, as above said, was the greatest of the Aryan kings at Saketa, and one of the prominent kings that bore rule along the Gangetic valley. We know that this Dasaratha is connected with the renowned Tamilian Janaka, by his son's winning the hand of Janaka's only daughter, thus effecting the union of the two races, Tamilian and Aryan under the influence of one of the Visvamitras of the Tamilian priestly class to whose care Dasaratha committed his son Rama with the approval of one of the Vasishtas, his family priest.

* The date of the Creat War, according to the Hindu Chronology, goes farther than five thousand years. But the date acknowledged by all the Oriental Scholars falls in the 14th cent. B.C. Happily we have some accounts of trustworthy historical records, which with other proofs, corroborate the latter as nearly accurate. We know for certain that Bimbasara of Magadha, the contemporary of Buddha, and the 52nd in descent from Jurasanta, connected with the Great War, lived in the 5th cent. B.C.; if we allow an average period of 20 years for the reign of each of the 51 Kings, the Great War must have taken place in the 15th cent. B.C., and at any rate, it may be established that it is not after the 14th century B.C.

† There are many legends that show the existence of great rivalry and jealousy, from very early times, between the two priestly classes, the Visyamitras and the Vasishtas. The former, with braided hair, are, in the Vedic works, called 'the sons of Bharata' or 'the Best of Bharatas;' while the latter, with knotted hair on the right, are said to have belonged to the tribe of the Tritsus. The Tritsus, though an Aryan family, are, in the Maha Bharata, called Sudras; the reason assigned for, being that many of the Tritsu faraily, and even of the descendants of Vasishta who belonged to the noblest of the Aryans, became in course of time so low and degenerate as to be classified, in later days, under the Sudra caste. The later Puranic legends class Visvamitra as a Kshatriya, and Vasistha, as a Brahman. Of course, Kshatriya and Brahman stand here, respectively for Tamilian and Aryan. In accordance with this account, Vasishta became the favourite of the Brahmans and was greatly glorified by them. To him they attributed all kinds of virtues to make him worthy of their reverence. On the other hand, they have, without even the faintest shadow of charity, showered all sorts of calumnies, on Visvamitra, Vasishta's sworn foe and rival. But this is far from fact. As Visvamitras were Bharatas by birth, and Vasisthas, Tritsue, and as the Tamilian Kings were then more powerful and mightier, so the Visvamitras, by virtue of their proud position and great learning, were held with great reverence and given great liberty even in Aryan houses; which created the envy and jealousy of

The union of the two royal courts of Videba and Kosala proved very contagious; so much so, that before the end of the twelfth century, i. e., within three centuries after their emigration from the Punjab, there could scarce he found, the distinction between the two races, in all the countries lying to the east of the Panjab, the west of the river Sona, and the north of mount Aravali, with the ancient desert Pariyatra to the east of it. This land, situate, within the above limits was named 'Aryavarta' by later writers, and this amalgamated race became so civilized and prosperous that they now almost forgot the Punjab, the original abode of the primitive Aryans; for we see it not included in the Arvavarta, which we hear of mostly in the Brahmanes, Araniyakas, and Upanishads, the compositions of the second period of the Aryan immigration.

After the Aryans, thus, became Tamilianised, the earnest Brahmans with a thirst after more accurate knowledge in philosophy and religion introduced in the Upanishad literature, came, on frequent pilgrimages, to South India, the birth-place of religion and philosophy. They were, no doubt, entertained by the Tamilian kings of the south and they learned the Tamilian speculations of religion and philosophy from the Tamilian Acharyas, the Andanas (ais ai) who adorned the Tamilian courts. It was at this time, that is, after the intercourse of the Aryans with the southerners, that the Sutra literature were composed, and most of the works were given birth to, in South India itself. The literature of the Aryans which were hitherto wont to be written in proce came now to be composed in verses, in imitation of the Tamilian mode; which can be best seen from these Sutras.

Thus, from the beginning of the 10th century B. C., are we given to know of the frequent intercourses of the northerns and the southerns, and many of the Brahmans who now came to the south, remained there, without showing the least desire to return to their home. The Aryans that thus settled, intermingled with the Andanas (Airms), the cream of the Tamalian race and learning, whose students they had the good fortune to become, and, therefore, to associate

with whom they should have considered an unhopedfor privilege. It is unquestionable that a great number of the Brahmans of to-day, throughout Southern India, are of purely Tamilian stock.

We are generally given to understand that the first Aryan was Rama, the hero of Bamayana, who first explored, and set foot on, the South Indian soil. But this cannot be let pass without question. For, Rama, lived in the time of Janaka and so belongs to the 13th century B. C., when, the Aryans knew nothing of South India. Ramsyana was, beyond doubt, composed between the 8th and 5th centuries B. C. The author of this popular Epic, seems to have taken into his head an old story of the south, which he agglomerated with the story of Rama of Kosala, and completed the grand work, Ramayana. Moreover, the author without noticing the vast difference of time and place, confounds the age of Dasaratha and Janaka of the extreme north, with that of the king Ravana and the sage Agastya, of the extreme south, who (the latter) lived before the settlement of the Arvans on the western banks of the Indus.

Just as the Aryans and Tamilians, in the Punjab, became one in the first period of the Aryan immigration, so in the second period too, the two races in the Gangetic valley were, before the 10th century B. C., moulded into one, so much so, that there could rarely a line of division be drawn between the two races. How far this amalgamation was left to work for itself, may best be studied from the existing religion and language of the people.

PUNDIT, D. SAVABIROYAN.

(To be continued).

A SHORT SKETCH OF TAMIL LITERATURE.

(Continued from page 89).—CHAPTER XI.

THE FAMOUS CHOLA EMPERORS OF THE ACADEMIC TIMES.

Among the various Tamil Emperors of the Chola dynasty, that reigned over the Tamil Land, while the third Tamil Academy flourished under the patronage of the Pandyan Sovereigns, three of them were famous for their military prowess and literary patronage. These were Köcchengannanar, Karikala, and Perunarkkilli Portions of their career can be traced in Purananiru and some other academical works.

the Vasishtas. The hatred, arowed by each other, grew to such an a tent that it culminated not only in the exchange of hard words but also in open assault. However in the time, the high-minded Visvamitras, the representatives of the powerful nation, predominated over the Vasishtas, by the laudable superiority of their mental and physical power. So we see Visvamitra (a member of that family) having gained an upper-hand in the Aryan court of Decarate.

Acchengannanar (about the 5th century B. C.), seems to be the earliest of the three, as Nakkirar the most critical poet of the academy, why lived about the close of the academy refers to him in a mythological way in one of his poems, in the eleventh book of the Holy Saiva Bible.

Kôcchengannanar (= the red-eyed king) is also one of the 63 Canonised Saints whose pious fame is enlarged in the Great Puranam by Sêkkilâr. He is believed by the orthodox Saivas to have been a spider in his previous birth, which, on weaving a canopy over the Siva Lingam at Tiruvanaikka (a famous shrine on the banks of the Cauveri near Trichy), is credited to have been given the birth of a potent religious monarch after its death. This is attested by Nakkirar, Appar and several others of still later times. Appar of the 7th century alludes to him thus,—

அரணிலாக உளிய காவலரு சிழலாக வீசன் வரணியலாகத்தன் உரய் தூலிஞற் பந்தர் செய்ய முரணிலாச் சிலக்சி தன்னே முடியுடை மன்னஞைக்கித் தேரணிதாஞ**ன்மை**யத்தார் சாய்க்காடுமேவிஞரே,

At about the time of his birth, the astrologers who were present in the court of his father are said to have told him that if the birth of the child could be delayed for some minutes, the son would be the greatest Tamil Emperor. The mother on hearing this asked her attendants to suspend her by her legs till the arrival of the auspicious moment. This was done and at the right moment she delivered of her son whose eye was very red. She felt glad at the delivery and addressed the babe 'Are you my red-eyed king' and shortly expired.

In time he became the most potent sovereign of the day and as he had by Siva's grace a knowledge of his pre-natal existence, he built many Siva shrines of which the temple at Nannilam is very famous for its architecture. His fame as a builder of temples is praised by Tirugnânasambandar in one of his hymns.

In a certain battle, he won a victory over the forces of a Chera King by the name of Kanaikkalirumporai; Poigayar a favourite poet of the court of the latter, got a passport of relief to his imprisoned king by glorifying the heroism of the Red-Eyed King in a War Song of his, known as sera be not point. This passport did, however, no good, for the imprisoned king in a fit of heroic rage consequent on the delay in bringing water to quench his dying thirst, extemporized the following and died of thirst without drinking the cup in his hand:—

குழுவி மிறப்பிது ஊரை அடி பிறப்பிறும் ஆளைக் தென்ற வோளிற் நப்பார் தொடர்பபடு ஞமலிலினிடர்ப் படுத்திரீஇய கேனல் சேளீர் வேளாண் சிறபத மதகையின்றி வலிற்றத் தீத்தணியத் தாமிரக் துண்ணு மளவை * மீன்மசோ விவ்வுலகத்தானே.

(Puranânûru 74).

Köcchengannanar after a prosperous career attained the feet of Siva.

Poigay ir, the poet who eulogised on the military glory of Köcchengannanar, was in all probability identical with one of the twelve Vaishnava Saints, though they claim for their sage a stupendous antiquity which breaks down by the internal evidence in his writings. He is considered by them to have been born in the Threthayugam but he very often alludes to the feats of Sri Krishna who lived about the beginning of the Kaliyugam.

Poigny ir and two other devotees Bhûthar and Pêyar are considered to be the most ancient of the Alvars litthose that sink deep in divine ecstacy). They are therefore known as 'The First Alvârs'. All the three were natives of Tirumunaippadinadu (the part of South India embracing the modern districts of South and North Arcot). In the introduction to the Villibhara'am reference is made to them in the following stanza:—

பாவருக் சமிழாற்போபெரும்பனு உற்பா வவர்டா திகாவி உவின் [இ மூவரு கெருக்கியெ - ழிவ்னக்சே ந்தி முஞர் 2 ஊத்தொழு சசன் ஒ சேவரு சுறையு மின் வமுங்கா சூச்சேஞ்சம்டக்கடவுள்ப்பாடி பாவருமதி, சோர் மூலரிலிருவா பிறச்ச காடிக்கு கணைடு.

These poets by chance came on a religious tour to Tirukkoilûr an ancient village near Tiruvannamalai); after sunset. Poigavar repaired to a place where he had just sufficient room for taking his Bed. After some time there came Buutattar in search of some place for Poigaiyar told him that the spot where he lay could give room only for one to sleep or for two to sit down. They agreed to pass away the dreary night in conversation by both sitting together. While they were thus passing the time. by peculiar play of circumstances there came to them Pêyar in search of accommodation for the night. Then Poigayar said that the place could give sleeping accommodation for one, sitting comfort for two, and standing room for three. Pêvar was admitted and all three stood together and we.e whiling the night in conversation on religious matter. Shortly after they found standing to be irksome, for, unknown to them, there came to them an intruder in the person of Narayana. They understood the divine trick and each of them lit a 'Spiritual Lamp' by compoth eulogiums in Venba metre in praise of Vishnu's py. Their verses are found in the Nalayirapra-thidam compiled by the Sage Nadamuni of Kambar's h. A few of their stanzas are subjoined to serve as enimen:—

POIGAITARE VERSES.

Tentagedurú mitalem Gaussa

dinagederú mitatori - Gain

mryfurm 40a mig Gain Gaineth

firyf lámacadump.

வைச் திருக்குவியபஞ்சரா இசையரானே கை உள்க…புசுமேய இதுராயானடிக்கே போதோடு சிசேக்டு அதோதா சிற்பார்தார்

BHUTATTARS VERRES,

grupasiturus to the Governo

drugo Pioned fritari—orius
gratori do 62 stritori serempo
gratori do 62 stritori serempo

இலர்பெகுமை சிற்றிச் செயம் தம் ஆமாருக்கா மறியாராவர் — அறியமை வூசோன் சென்னும் சி மன்னுகிழ்த்த மாயினன் த வூசொன்ட செஞ்சேலிரு.

PEYAR'S VERSES.

ஆக்கண்டேன் டெக்கேயிகளைடேக் இறைம் ஆக்கையி சிறமுக்கன்டேன் — செறுக்கினரும் இபக் குழிகன்டேன் புசிசகைக்கைக்கண்டேன் ஆகுதி கண்ணன் பகில் ந

வந்தன்னன் மாகடல் திறுள்ளன் நஞான் இந்துள்ளன் தன்புரப் நார்பன்....போத்துச் இந்ததுக் செற்தகர்த் தேட்டோதனைன் அரசுகர் தேட்கும் மகுக்கு

frumalisai Alvar, who is considered to be a yest, cans to have been a contemporary of 'the first Alvara'. The Vaishnavas in delineating his career have made as a man of unbounded egotism; he is also the most capacious of the saints. His verses without evincing set high form of divine love shew the utmost hatred as Siva. In his eyes Siva was a stumbling block to may ana's glory and so He is not only lowered below that you had not considered to be a creation of Brahma:—

சன்முகின நாராபணன் படைந்தான் உடன்முகலும் நான்முகமாய்ச் சங்சரினந்தான் படைத்ததன்—யான்முக நேதாதி மேவிட்டறிவிர்தே ஒழுப்பாரின் [மாய் ந்தாமல் தொண்கிலிர் தேர்க் ந

Where there is true love to God there will be little size left for deprecation of other deities. In many size stanzas he cries down at the devotion of men

for Sive or for God in any other form. This virulent post had an admirer in and owner; the post, when he heard of the intended departure of and owner in from Kanchipuram, owing to a misunderstanding with the Pallava king is said to have improvised,

கணிசன்னன் போய்ன்குள் சாமருழுக்கத்க மணிசன்னு சிடிக்கேண்டா— உணிவுடைய செக்காப் புகையும் போசின்றோட சியுமுன்றன் பைசோகப் பசங்கருட்டில்கோன்.

Narsyans, in response to the request, is said to have been ready for departure, when the king tendered an apology and the anger of the poet was subsided.

The histories of the Vaishnava Saints are drawn without the least historic scumen, and so, much trust cannot be hid on their accounts. On the other hand Saivas have done no violence to reason in their accounts of the Saiva sages in Periapuranam. Sekkilar, the biographer of Saiva Saints, had a great historic insight and was careful especially in places where he had no internal evidence to proceed upon.

Some say that Tirumriliani Alvar was originally the Saiva Sage Sivavikyar who afterwards gave up Saivam for V. ishnavam. It is impossible to decide the truth of the statement, but, in one point their diction is similar; their verses are characterised for virulent denunciations.

We shall proceed to give an account of Karikala Chola.

Karikala another famous Chola Emperor (about the beginning of the Christian Era; comes next to Kocchenhannar in his heroic achievements. He was the son of the Chola Elanchetchenni; he took to wife the daughter of a Vellals of Naugur. Thus it seems that Tamil sovereigns should have been Vellalas by caste. He was the most renowned warrior-king of his time; he pushed his conquests to Northern India and went as far as the Himelaras and imprinted his tiger ensign on the mow-capped mountain. In a battle at Venni he got a victory over a Chera King; he also subdued the Pandya in the same battle. He was remarkable for his wisdom as a judge. His great fame can be learnt from the splendid epic poem, Silappatikaram, as well as from two of the imperishable Tamil Idyls Porumarattruppadai and Pattinapualai. His capital was Pugar or Kaverippumpattinam at present a submerged city. He was a great patron of poetic wits and his munificence towards them was unbounded His military glory. munificence, the fertility of his dominions and the charm of the river Cauvery can be well understood from the Idyl Pormarattruppadai.

The next Chola Sovereign of repute of the Sangam times was Perunarkilli who flourished about 100 A.D. He was, however, friendly with the Pandya and the Chera and conducted a Rajasuya Yaqam similar to the one accomplished by the Pandavas. The Tamil kings, warrior-chieftains, petty rulers, poets, bards, minstrels and Brahmins flocked at his metropolis, Urayûr, to honor and benefit by, the occasion. The Dravidian Sapho, namely, the renowned Auvaiyar, was present on the occasion and exhorted the Tamil Sovereigns to be benevolent towards the poor as well as towards the Brahmins, there being no other better road to virtue, and prayed for their longevity.

CHAPTER XII.

SOME SCATTERED ACCOUNTS OF POETS AND KINGS OF THE ACADEMIC TIMES.

TYPICAL FRIENDSHIP.

Kopperuncholan was an ancient Chola king that reigned at Urayûr; he was also an accomplished poet and a great patron of Tamil bards. Two poets of very tender feelings, Potthiyir and Picirandyar were his bosom friends. The king was unfortunate in his sons who rose against him. The father got infuriated and prepared to wage war against them; then another poet of his court appeased his wrath and dissuaded him from battle by reasonable pleading. Getting disgusted with the worldly life the king retired north (probably to the Ganges) to court death. His friends Picirandyar and Potthiyar followed their king to share his woe; Potthivâr, however, was requested by the king to go to Urayur and remain with his (Potthiyar's) wife till her delivery of a son. Accordingly the poet went home. and the other two died in his absence and were buried : offigies in stone were raised over their graves. When Pottbiyar returned after the birth of a son, he was disappointed to find that his friends died leaving him alone to bemoan the loss; so he also died on the spot broken-hearted. Another poet who visited the spot sang on their glory thus :-

> பொன்னுக் அபெரு மூத் தமன்னிய நாமலேபயர் ச ≞் மாநமணிய நிடைபடச்சேய்வாயினுக் தொடைபுணைர்க் தரு விபோக்கைமமைக்குங்காலே யொருலழித்தோன்றியால் கென்றுஞ்சாண்டுேர் சான்றேர் பாலராப சாலார் சாலார்பாலராகுபவே

[Red gold, and coral, pearls, and rare Gems the mighty mountains bare,—
Remote their homes in sea or mine;—
If once the precious things combine.
And men in costly shapes entwine,
Henceforth in blended beauty one they shine.
So worthy men with worthy side by side
Remain; the worthless with the worthless bide.]
(The Rev. Dr. G. U. Pope's translation).

Thus true bosom friends live and die together; survival is impossible.

ii.

SUTTEE.

Suttee or death in the fire along with the dead husband, was in vogue in India from a very remote antiquity; still, it was not compulsory on the part of the widow to perform suttee; virtuous dames, however, considered it essential for their chastity: further the life of a widow was almost an unbearable curse in those days; to escape such a curse the courageous dames courted death.

At the death of a Pandya named Bûtha Pandya is his wife, Perunkôppendu (Quanticasio Queira) when diesuaded from entering the funeral pyre along with her dead husband, reprimanded her bad advicers thus and entered the pyre:—

பல்சான் நீரே பல்சான் நீரே
செல் கெனச் சொல்லா தொழிக்கையிலக்கும்
பொல்லாச் சூழ்ச் சிப்பல்சான் நீரே
யணில்வரிக்கொடுங்காய் உரண்போழ்க் இட்ட *
காழ்போனல்வினர் கது கெய்தீண்டா
தடைபிடைக்கொக்க கைபிழிபிண்டம்
வென் வெட்சார்தொடு புனிப்பெய்தட்ட
வேண் வெக்க வல்சியாதப்
பரத்பேய்பன் னிப் பாயின் நில தியு
முயலற்பெண்டிரே மல்லேமாதோ
பெருங்காட்டுப்பண்ணிய கருங்கோட்டிம
தமக்கரிதாகு கதில்லவெமக்கெம்
பெருக்கோட்கணைவன் மாய்க் தெனவரும்பற
வன்னி தழவிழ்த்த தாமனை

(புறம். 246வது அகவல்.)

[Oh ye of wisdom full! Oh ye of wisdom full! Ye bid us not go forth to death; ye would restrain;

There will be a special chapter on the natural observation of the Sangham poets.

^{*} The academic poets were keen observers of nature; they drew comparisons from nature very copiously; they found books in the running brooks and other natural objects.

O ye of wisdom full, but evil is your connect here!
We are not of those content to live forlors,

And feed on bitter berbs, where once they feasted
royally.

4 ..

We lie not on rough stones, who slept ere while on sumptuous conch.

The pyre's black logs heaped up in burning ground. To you indeed seem terrible; to us, Because our mighty spouse is dead, The waters of the pleasant lake where spreads. The lotus flowers and the fierce fires are one.]

(The Rev. Dr. G. W. Pope's translation).

Widowhood was an unbearable penance to many sung widows who therefore considered death a better besing than the accursed lot of a widow.

iii

THE CAREER OF KUMANAN

The presented a exercite out his priced head for relieving the poverty of a hard.

Kumanan was one of the rarest men the world has ser seen. His fame as a helper of the distressed can well understood in the light of the Tamil saw, 'Oss Lie staro Gua gris' (' in point of gift, Karns ad Kurasna rank foremost '). After the death of 'the tien reputed donors of the Tamil Land' of whom an gount will be given in the next chapter. Kumanan and alliakkodan were the greatest benefactors of the poverbetricken Tamil bards. Kumanan, the hill-chieftain Naviram was one day out to the jungle on a hunting coursion. His younger brother who was waiting for a opportunity of wresting his brother's dominions from s hands to instal himself king, set a very high price s the head of his benevolent brother. Kumana spent a days in the jungle and was rather joyous to see be heavy burden of sovereignty shifted from his soulders His faithful ministers and bards were paying an visits in the jungle. A certain Tamil bard by the ame of Quasis to see same (Sattanar with a big head), no was quite unable to keep the wolf out of the door. paired to the magnanimous Kumanan with the followw touching song expressing his dire poverty :--

அடுகளில் நக்த கோடுப் சிர்பின் ஆம்பியூப்ப தேம்புபடுவுழுகாப் பாதுகின் மையிற் சேடுவாடு நிரம்கி வீல்கி துர்க்கு பொல்லால் துழுமே கையதோ நமூடிக்குன் மகத் துழுக்கோக்கி சீதோடுகிறைக்கு வீரிதழ்மழைக்கணென் மண்டுபானெல்லு கோக்கிகின் இ சிற்படங்கிகினே சற்போர்க்குமன வெள்ளியேறிந்தின் வாடுவிற்கியத் தொடித்துக்கொள்ளா தமையினையில்லே பண்ணையை சம்டுன் பற்சை சல்யாழ் கண்ளுர் முழுவின் வயிச்ப சின் கைதிர்க்கும் குடிப்பிதர்தோ செய

My high hearth where victuals were prepared at a forgotton antiquity is covered over with cobwebs; the wolf, therefore, is ever at our door; my babe out of unbearable hunger and thirst, sucks its mother's bloodless nipples, the secreting pores of which are closed, and begins to cry; my wife's eyes on seeing the disappointed infant rain incernant tears; on seeing her and plight, I thought of no better road to kill poverty and repaired to you, famous in battle; O Kumana, sprung from a dynasty famous for remedying the distress of bards and minstrels, though you yourself are in adversity, I cannot go away unrecompensed from you.

Kumana who had nothing to give the poet, to enght of the high price that was set on his head by his ambitious brother and presented a sword to the poet with a request that he (the poet) should cut his head with it and take it to his brother and get the promised reward. The post who was astonished at the lord's munificence had recourse to a stratagem; he took the sword and went to the wily brother of his lord and addressed him in angry words shewing the sword and praising the unparalelled generosity of Kumanan, to whom the adversplight of the poet caused greater anxiety than his own painful situation. The brother's heart was sorely touched; he therefore seriously repented for his folly and went to his brother in the jungle and submissively implored him to resume the reins of government and everything gladly ended, and the poet who thus gloriously brought about the reconciliation between the brothers was richly recompensed. The bard returned to his bewailing wife and praised the unparalelled bounty of Kumanan and led a prosperous career

IV.

NARIVERUUTALAIYAR.

effect esponet

Nariveruntalaiyar was one of the academical professors of the 3rd Sangham; he possessed a very awkward countenance probably resembling a jackal's; on paying a visit to a certain Chera sovereign (CasúQugas Gasalaidausas) his distorted face received its natural shape and the poet after praising the king exhorted him to protect his subjects just as persons would ten-

der babies in their charge. The poet was remarkable for his sage counsel; he has advised old men in the following terms:

பங்கா ு நீரோபலகா கூறீரோ கபண் முள்ளண் கைகை முதிர் திரைக்குட்-பயனின் முப்பிற்பல் சான் மீரே கணிச்சிக்கூர் ப்ப-கை சேரு ந்திற கொருவண் பி.ணிக்கு ந் ±ால சிரங் தவிர் மாதோ நல்ல ந செய்தலாற்றி ராயினு மல் அதிசெய் + லோம்புமின ததா கென்ல் சாரு மூவப்பு சண்றியு கல்ல நிறுட்டதே சக ்யுபார துகே.

[O great men of old age with hair turned greyish-brown like the thorny bones of the kayal fish and loose hanging skin, you begin to repent for your past deeds only at the approach of the god of Death; if you cannot do good to the world, at least try to do no harm; this, indeed, is both a praiseworthy act and the proper track to heaven.]

This poet was present in the academy when Tirukkural was brought before them for approval; on that imperishable monument of Tamil Literature, he has bestowed the following eulogium:

இன்பம் பொருளம் வீஃடன்னு மிக்கான்கு முன்பறியச் சொன்ன முது மொழிதூன்—மன்பதைகட் குள்ள உரிதென் றலை உள்ளு சருவகள் சொள்ள மொழிக்கார் குறன்.

[Seeing that the ancient Vedas which dealt on the four great gifts of mankind, Virtue, Wealth, Terrestrial Happiness and Heavenly Bliss, are rather inexplicable to mankind, Tiruvalluvar gave out his Kural for their easy acceptance].

THE IMPORTANT PANDYAN SOVEREIGNS OF THE ACADEMICAL TIMES.

The important Pandyan sovereigns were முதகுமேப் பெருவழுதி, உச்சிரப்பெருவழுத் and தலேயாலங்கானத்துச் செருவென்ற ரேமஞ்செழியன்.

Mu'hukudumipperuvaludi was famous for his innumerable Holy Sacrifices conducted in due accordance with the Vedas; he subdued his various enemies by his true heroism in battles without any foul play or stratagem; he was equally remarkable for his bounty, and above all he was a pious devotee of God (Siva).

Ugrapperuvaludi (about the beginning of the first century A was a terrible war: or and an accomplished poet; he abouted a refractory chief named General war and took into his hands the famous fort of according to the same which is about famous Saiva shrine; his lite-

rary fame was in no way unequal to that of the professors of the Madura Board and so he sat among them during their literary investigations. It was in his days that the Bard of all times, Tiruvalluvar, composed his National Code of Morality and came to the academy for receiving their formal imprimatur to his imperishable work. He attested his approbation of the unparalleled work as follows:

ாண்கதையின் கெப்ப்பொருளேமுட்பொருளா க**ுன்முகத்தோ** *ரூன்மறைக்* துவள்ளுவனய்த் உக்துரை**த்த— துன்முறையை [ன்** வந்திக்கச்சென்னி வாயவாழ்**த்**து சக**ைன்னஞ்சஞ்** செத்திக்ககேட்கசெவி.

Thus he considered Tiruvalluvar as an incarnation of Brahma for the benefit of mankind; the work was to him a sacred object for worship as it was the quintessence of the Vedas.

He did much for the cause of Tamil Literature; it was at his instance that Ahanamuru, a collection of 400 songs, on Love affairs was compiled. Auvaiyar has praised him more than once.

Talakiyankanattu Cheruventra Nedunchelian or 'the Long Pandya who won the battle at Talayalankanam' (about the middle of the first century A. D) the successor of Ugrapperuvaludi was also a great hero and benefactor of Tamil bards. The famous battle is described with graphic pathos by Nakkirar of the Madera College in Nedanalvadai, one of the Ten Classical Songs. This Pandya, unlike his predecessor Ugrapperuvaludi. was a little proud of his military prowess for which the dizzy monarch was gently and cautiously reprimanded by Mangudi Marudanar, the fearless saint and poet of the academy, in his Madhuraikkanchi, another of the Ten Imperishable Tamil Idyle. (An account of these famous Idyls will be given separately later on). The advice was a mere hint to the young king who in spite of his various praiseworthy accomplishments such as heroism, benevolence towards his subjects, munificence towards the poor and poetic admiration, did not keenly feel the transitoriness of all earthly glory. The great poet who had much admiration for the sovereign wished to prune the only defect by inducing the mind of his glorious monarch to turn to the life to come. The king also had the greatest admiration and regard for the fearless bard, Mangudi Marudanar.

This Pandya's glory was sung by some of the luminaries of the College, Nakkirar, Kalladar and Mangudi Marudana: and some other bards of his dax.

In all probability Nedunchelian the of Kannagi's wee (in Silappatikkaram) is identical with the victor at Talayâlankânam.

VI.

THE IMPORTANT CHERA KINGS OF THE ACADEMIC TIMES.

hingdom of the Chers anciently embraced the Travancore, Cochin, Malayalam District. Coim-District and a portion of Salem District. His was a sid Vanchi, otherwise known as Book wherefrom the last Cheraman along with his and mint, Sundarar, is said to have passed away abode of Siva; the sudden disappearance is edoubted fact but he is wrongly considered to smone away to Mecca; it was from this date, 825 What the Kollam Era begins. Vanchi is considershome to be identical with Karur and this is quite on for it was the temporary residence of the Chola reagns and with some their permanent metropolis i the case of wait of one of the 68 Deified Tamil was the language spoken in these emions as the Chera himself is reputedly a Tamil mign. The present dialect, Malayalam, was not in since in the academic times, for a prince of the amplood, Hangovadigal composed one of the imperishsupic poems, in Tamil, his own language. No doubt provincialisms are met with in his diction. It sed have been many conturies later when these percialisms increased enormously as to give to the image a distinct tings which resulted in differentiawho language as Malayalam and an offshoot of

- There Sovereigns whose dominions were more than those of the Pandys and the Chola were each patrons of Tamil Literature and so we see samming of the Sangham poets often resorting the courts for fit recompense. Pathittuppattu, one to Eight Compilations (all \$100,000,000), is solely in the Cheras who rewarded the poets who commit in a lerdly way.
- ்க career of the following Chera kings deserve sanbrance: these were பெருஞ்சோத்த உறியன் சோனர கூற்கமைரம் பன்றப்பி பன்பாளர் செல்புகழ்க் குட்டுகள், அன்றிக்கு பெருஞ்சோனிரும் போறைவாக செல்குட்டுகள்.

minim deremps seems to be the oldest of the see of whom any literary account exists. He said have flourished about the beginning of the miscademy as was a contemp rary of the saivas and the Lauravae. This famous king was sent during the Eighteen Days War and sumptu-

onely entertained the disputing princes, the Pandavas and the Kauravas. A poet of his court praised his bounty and prayed for the perpetuity of his fame in the second agenus of Purananuru.

Cheras whose fame is immortalised in process. A post by the name of Deserouse's resorted to his court with a postic calogium on the king. When the munificent sovereign wished to know what fit recompense the post would receive at his hands, the post who had a distain for worldly enjoyments requested the monarch to enable him to enter the Celestial World (Swarys) by the performance of Yagams (Holy Sacrifices) conducted in strict socordance with the Vedas. The astounded king accordingly performed the Holy Sacrifices and it is credited that the glorious poet with his wife attained Swarysm.

Ouggi Coral gie Gurano was another magnanimous Chera monarch; he lived about the beginning of the Christian Era; a. scademical poet by the name of Correct came on a visit to the sovereign and feeling tired unconaciously fell asleep on the drum-couch in the palace. The king who was a great sympathiser was fanning the sleeping poet till he got up trembled. The panic-stricken bard was soothed and the tender-hearted king's glory was culogised by the poet. Tender-heart. ed as the king was, he was a very terrible warrior in battle For in an expedition against the indomitable Athiyaman, one of the Seven Great Benefactors of the Poor, he gained a victory by capturing Athiyaman's impregnable fortress of Thahadur and slaving him in bettle. The famous work Thahadur-Yatthirai (Pilgrimage to Thahadur) was probably composed on this Chera king.

Grace who probably succeeded Gunei Consil Co

S. A. TIRUMALAIKOLUNDU PILLAI,

(To be continued.)

NOTES AND COMMENTS.

A thing to admire indeed is the wonderful organizing power of the West, challenging one's attention in the giant railroad companies of America and not less in the humble natural history club of some small provincial town. But there are circumstances when we might perhaps wish that there was less of the bee-hive look in affairs of momentous importance. The Church of Rome, no doubt, was very wise in its generation, when it put a brilliant interpretation upon that old Bible text "One cannot serve both God and Mammon at the same time." Surely if Mammon were himself God, we need not take the trouble of serving God as a separate entity: it were just as efficacious (and, by the way, a good deal more profitable to the Church) if we leave the Church to deal with Mammon (in quite a spirit of Christian charity of course) and only serve God in the person of the Vicar of Christ. How foolish when there is the soft bosom of Mother Church which will tenderly remove from you the weary weight of Mammon, to refuse such absolute mercy. The Church will, of course, thus think and ponder its burdens and the wanderings of its erring children, in a mood of excruciating self-pity.

But the Bride of Christ appears in quite a different a pect when viewed by the dry light of the intellect. As one writer, summing up the purport of Marie Corelli's Master Christian, puts it, the Church is the idea of vicarious salvation run as a prosperous financial undertaking by an infallible priestcraft. Such is the fierce tirade which Marie Corelli delivers against the very Church with which she is at one in so many essential points.

And the effect of this tirade is doubly re-inforced from another quarter. It is announced that the Head of the Orthodox Greek Church of Russia has issued a secret circular, excommunicating the only true Christian of modern times, one whose conscience is sensitive to the subtlest forms of the injustice which underlies our modern civilisation. His simple croed may not be sound political economy as expounded by its doctors; but there is in it the true essence of that sublime doctrine of Charity, which proclaimed amidst the death-struggle of the Roman Republic and the birth-throes of the Empire, peace and good-will unto all men. A shade more of attention to the utterances of Jesus and

less faith in the ponderous exegesis, under which warring creeds have smothered them, will make power fully towards removing the almost polar antithem between the higher and the lower classes, which in the continent has produced the ever-rumbling volcant forces of Anarchism.

We may cull a few sentences from this curious document. "By numerous works, in which he has sentence forth his religious principles Tolstoi has shown himself a declared enemy of the Church. He does not recognize the existence of the Trinity in Unity. He denies the divine character of the Second Person of the Trinity the son of God, whom he considers as a simple mortal He blasphemes the holy mystery of the incarnation and falsifies the sacred text of the Gospels. He disavous the Holy Church, which he regards as a human institution, and also the ecclesiastical heirarchy, and he blashemes the holy mysteries and ceremonies of religion. In a word, he belongs to those whom the Holy Orthodox Church expels solemnly from her bosom and publicly excommunicates." As a possible for these sins, celebration of divine services and expiatory masses are prohibited in the event of his death.

There is a somewhat notable article in November's "Theosophic Gleaner" on two "Undiscovered Planets by Mr. Sutcliffe. These two which he styles Vulcan and Adonis, he supposes to have been originally the moons of Mercury and Venus, which receded further and further, as our moon does now, till the link between themselves and the planets became broken by the Sun's attraction, and they became independent bodies revolving round it, in highly eccentric orbits. He concludes their existence from two pieces of evidence one being the observations of a body very close to Venus, and exhibiting phases like it, by very eminent astronomers ranging from 1672 to 1791, the other being the observations of small bodies rapidly crossing the Sun's disk. From these materials Mr. Sutcliffe has drawn very remarkable conclusions. It is found that the eccentricity of the intramercurial planet Vulcan is about the same as that of Mercury.* while that of Adonis is almost the same as that of many comets and is thus without a parallel in the Solar systems vet observed. It is so high as .5464; and the result is that the orbit of Adonis cuts both the orbits of Vulcan and Mercury and almost touches upon that of Venus. These are very startling conclusions, and the observations of the eminent astronomers upon which these are based cannot be now ignored as has hitherto been the case. As befits a theosophist, the gentleman begins the article with the statement that these were regarded by Occultists as very secret planets known only to the initiated, and that later Astrology in its ignorance substituted the Sun and Moon for them. M. N.

Mercury's eccentricity = 2056.
 Vulcan's = 2110.

ME LIGHT OF TRUTH OR SIDDHANTA DEEPIKA.

MADRAS, 23 JANUARY 1901.

(SPECIAL).

IN MEMORIAM.

VICTORIA, R & I. OBHT. XXII. IAN. MDC./CCI.

a strong and a pure light has gone out the radiance of a clear vision and beauticent purpose "-John Modey.

wong watched I, and at last to the sweet dale.
Went down, with thoughts of two great women,
thoughts

If two great women who have ruled this land:

If her, that mirrored a fantastic age,

The imperious, vehement, abounding spirit,

Mightily made, but gusty as those winds,

Her wild allies that broke the spell of Spain;

And her who aways, how silently! a world

Dwarfing the glorious Tudor's queenliest dreams;

Who, to her well nigh more than mortal task,

homenity mourns to-day the loss of its highest-evolved the world weeps with wee the death of its noblest in: England and her colonies lament the demise of de Queen : and united India stands sobbing in unmolable grief beside the bier of its first sovereign. Source the dawn of authentic history, an united Irdia sainly been an ideal, a cherished aspiration and a vague And this unrealised dream of ages-the great senont knit together under one Imperial away-became accomplished fact when Her Majesty in 1857 assumed acontrol of her Indian dominions. Sixty four years of siglorious reign and about forty two years of her direct has brought to the country blessings untold and inmerable. As has been observed by a well known writer, mile and varied have been the measures of convolidaand conciliation and civilised progress that have been might into existence and put into force in this vast metry and amidst its enormous and varied populations. spite of occasional familie and pestitence our people are wileged to enjoy the blessinge of peace and freedom. section has advanced with giant strides, and cheap teature has beloed wonderfully to raise the average tel of intelligence among the masses of our people. The madations have been laid of a collective political life of wich the people in their palmiest days of antiquity were mangers. Traditional custom, sectional prejudice and and superstition have given way before the advancing m of education and colightenment; and cheap postage, is telegraph, the railway and the steamship have · ment of co-operation in industrial, literary, political and mer pursuits. These of themselves are sufficient to superior, devetion, loyalty and affection in the hearts of Hath brought the strength-in-sweetness that prevails, The regal will that royally can yield:
Mistress of many peoples, heritress
Of many thrones, wardress of many seas.
But destined more melodiously than thus,
To be hereafter and for ever bailed,
When our imperial legend shall have fired
The lips of sage and poet, and when these
Shall, to an undispersing andience, sound
No sceptred name so winningly august
As Thine, my Queen, Victoria the Beloved!

William Watson.

for the first time was governed upou principles broadbased upon the people's good, which finds eloquent expression in that noble document, the proclamation of 1857 .- 'In their prosperity will be Our strength, in their contentment Our security and in their gratitude Our best neward." As Sir Madhava Rap said "In the whole of the world's history, there has not been found a ruler proclaiming as Her Majesty has done to the people of India that her security and peace and reward lies in the happiness and well-being and good of her subjects." To the Hindu in particular, in whom religion forms the dominant part of his nature, the sovereign is an incornation of Vishnn-the God-preserver of the Universe; and to offer homege to the sovereign regults as prayer to Vishua. What wonder then that at the very mention of the name of the Queen, there wells up in every Hindu heart anever fresh stream of loyal attachment unto her who, immeasurably superior in wisdom and beneficence to all who ever sat upon a historic throne, has, as woman, wife, and mother and in every relation in which she has stood towards men and women brought into contact with her, nobly fulfilled ber duty and shone forth as an exemplar for mankind in all ages and all nations-an example of prosperity borne with meckness, of adversity borne with patience, of the path of duty unfulteringly followed, and of a blameless existence which has been the source of pride in every one of her subject's hearts, and whose pure and radiant influence combined with quick, tine sympathy and supreme exquisite tact has shed its holy light on thousands of homes.

Alas now! that the melody of that life should have gone silent and its music mute: the beavenly force that dwelt here victorious should be no longer here and have reached its end. What solemn meaning lies in

that sound as it peals mournfully through the soul. All now is closed, irrevocable: the changeful life-picture growing daily into new coherence, under new touches and bues, has suddenly become completed and unchangeable, dipped in the aether of the heavens to shine transfigured and to endure for ever. She has put on the garment of eternity and become radiant and triumpliant. Hope is suddenly cut away and only the backward vistas of memory remain, shone on by a light that proceeds not from this earthly sun.

If the death of the Queen Victoria has turned the empire into a land of mourning: if each one of us is conscious of having lost that calm feeling of satisfaction and security which has gradually been interwoven with the existence of the empire since the day she ascended the throne : if it seems as though the sun of our prosperity were darkened and a pillar of the state had fallen, it is becaue in her we have lost that which has never failed to acquire, the unlimited confidence and the enthusiastic veneration of every human heart-of one who in every contingency of life, in the presence of bewildering temptations, in the midst of luxury and splendour, in despite of the allurements of vauity and ambition, trod day by day and hour by hour in the uninviting path of duty. And nobler monument than shapen brass or sculptured stone is that spontaneous sorrow which only love unlocks and which not even death may still.

"Victoria's reign was indeed a reign of victoriesvictories over sea and land; victories not only over peoples and territories but over barbarous systems of slavery, superstition and prejudice; victories of enlightenment and civilisation: victories in science, in learning, in education and in national advancement, and all the most brilliant adornments of a throne. All the great kingdoms of ancient days fade into insignificance beside the grandeur, the progress, the steadfast making for Truth, Justice and Freedom. which pre-eminently distinguish the British rule. All the power, the brute force, the barbaric ostentation of conquest and egotism of the Roman Caesars vanish like a mist of the marshes when confronted and compared with the one clear Light of Unsullied Goodness in the heart of our Mother of Nations-the simple woman who by pure love and faith has done more than countless legions of fighting men could ever do for the glory of their country, and has fulfilled a far higher destiny and won a far greater fame than any conqueror who ever ruled by fear. Her little hand held the great empire in the gentle grasp of loving Her spirit was with her soldiers on the field of battle and when they came home disabled or wounded, her welcome presence softened and soothed their pain: the sons and daughters of her loyal colonies were ever sure of her true and tender greeting : her

children of India were the constant subjects of he blessing and benediction. Unique in goodness, unique in glory, unique in power, unique in history, she was the crowning glory of her splendid reign. No one like her has been the founder of new civilisations; the enginfter of great changes which has spread into wide and increasing action: no one like her has been beloved woman, with and mother, as well as monarch of an empire and friend of all: no one in her position has won so much love and so little enmity."

To such a one, triumphant in victorious grace, death ne terrific foe appears, but wears an angel's lovely form he proves a friendly messenger to that blest clime when life is not a breath, nor life's affections a transient fire, and where parting is unknown; but which is a whole eternity of love framed alone for the good. And those who believe no in word only but in deed and in truth in the great desting of enfranchised souls will not need to compassionate any true and upright spirit which is called away from this life on earth. It may leave behind child and fame and fortune: but duty and virtue are with it still and that peremptory call is an upward summons, a step in it high career. With the survivors the whole world will mourn. Yet such a death is a stingless sorrow. No parting can sever the spiritual hond which the strom heart chooses to maintain. What love has lost in joy if has gained in consecration: it is uplifted at one stroke among flawless and eternal things. Thus shall even her nearest and dearest feel as the years roll by; while she has passed from the visible to the ideal world where such as she-fellow-citizen with the saints-are more than sovereigns and where all high hopes find their goal. And when that bright illustrious day when death itself shall die away dawas, the Archangel's turmpet-sound shall ring through all the earth's remotest bound and summon to the judgment-seat of God, Earth's whole created race. And while with hallelnjahs ring all the regions of the sky, Victoria, admitted to that eternal light where never one moment's darkness mixes with the unwearied day, receives straight from the hand of her Maker, the Heavenly Victorian Cross, amongst her ancient peers Sita. Savitri, and Damayanti, Janaki. Dasaratha, and Yudhishtira.

The splendours of the firmament of time
May be eclipsed, but are extinguished not:
Like stars to their appointed height they climb
And death is a low mist which cannot blot
The brightness it may voil.

R. K. SASTRI

OUR BELOVED MOTHER QUEEN-EMPRESS VICTORIA.

There has been weeping and waiting in every home Tears would flow however one attempts to chave them Such love and loyalty had never before been evoked by any other sovereign. And this has been felt by the old and the young alike. Our little girl of eight summers wanted to kick her school-mate, she said, because the latter gave out during the day (22nd) that the "Rani was dead " and she corrected her by saying, "No, No, the Queen Lives" Her hold heart quivered when the next day she learned that the mountal news was only too true. You should have seen ber face and manner when she mouned that she could no more repeat " arge 20." If the public notices of Her Majesty's death have been contitless, one must realise how far greater have been the quantity of private correspondence and mutual condelence on the subject of our Beloved Sovereign's death and these tokens of love and reverence are all the more sincere and true because they were never intended for the public eye. Here is one such which we publish below. "I dere say you have received the news of our beloved Oueen Empress's decease. It is a shock and no less a surprise in that it has been so sudden and nexpected and has engulfed the nation with whose joys and sorrows she was ever ready to sympathize in the most profound and heartfelt sorrow. Raja and Some have already read up C. V. S.'s book on the Life of the Queen and recognise the death. I dare say the English among whom the great Queen lived and worked will lose her kindly and interesting personality. Queen though she was, her cup was not an unmixed one in that her joys and sorrows were equally balanced. Though her position was godly yet she suffered like a mortal. And her patience was no less godly and enduring. As a dutiful daughter, wife, mother and queen, she stands alone, and has by far surpassed all her predecessors. It is a "significant fact that with the dawn of the new century a new Governor and a new Emperor are given to us. Long live the Emperor and may His reign be no less glorious and prosperous and conduce to the happiness, prosperity and contentment of the governed."

We say 'Amen' to the prayer uttered in the last sentence, and we also repeat fervently. "May Her soul test in Sivam" "May the New King-Emperor live long."

Our Magazine has hitherto appeared on the 21st of every mouth in commemoration of the Jubilee day, but as the day of reception into Bliss of Heaven is more important with us, it shall henceforth be published on the 22ad of each month



LIGHT OF TRUTH

- OR -

SIDDHANTA DEEPIKA.

Monthly Journal, Devoted to Religion, Philosophy, Literature, Science, &c.

Commenced on the Queen's Commemoration Day, 1897.

OL. IV.

MADRAS, JANUARY 1901.

No. 8.

TRANSLATIONS.

E VEDA'NTA-SU'TRAS WITH S'RI'KANTHA-BHA'SHYA

(Continued from page 148).

siddhanta):-Now, the conclusion is stated as

thould be entered on, as Bedarayana thinks, because of the S'ruti pointing to its equality (III. iv. 18.)

ird Bådarsyana regards that like the order of meholders, etc., the other order also should be stred on. The evil connected with the abandoning tecrificial fire must apply only to the order of meholders, inasmuch as the S'ruti speaks of all irs of holy life as of equal importance, as the dwing passages show:

Three are the branches of law."

Wishing for that world only mendicants leave their homes."

sence the existence of the duties of the fourth ser of boly life.

or it is an injunction, as in the case of the carrying (III. iv. 20).

"For, above he carries it for the Devas:" in this passage, (the carrying of the firewood above for the

Devas) is enjoined, because it has not been enjoined anywhere else. So, too, in the present case, there is an injunction.

an injunction,

Or, there is even a direct injunction in the Jabala-Upanishad: "Having finished student's life, he should be one a householder. Having become a householder or a forester, he should leave home" This passage cannot point to any restricted order; for, the S'ruti says: "Having abandoned fire, or having lit no fire at all, on whatever day he is disgusted, that very day he should abandon home." For him whose mind (antah-karana or inner sense) has been purified on the ripening of the good act of former births, it is possible to leave home while jet a student. But the prohibition contained in the passage,

"Having discharged the three debts, one should direct the mind to liberation. But he who reserts to it without having discharged the debts, goes downward,"

[•] Manu 6-34

refers to him who has not yet/grown digusted with the world.

Some hold that, because of the prohibition of the abandoning of sacrificial fire in the passage "the Killer of Devas' son is he who abandons the sacrificial fire," the leaving of home (i.e., the fourth order) should be entered on only from the student's life, but not after entering on the life of a householder. If such were the case we can attach no meaning to the following injunction:

"Having become a forester, he should leave home Having abandoned fire, or having lit no fire at all, on whatever day he is disguested, that very day he should leave home."

Wherefore there can be no restriction that one should leave home only from the student-life, or that one should leave home after having become a forester.

As to those who say that one should leave home only from the student-life, their meaning is as follows: There are only two orders of holy life,—one with fire, the other without fire. Of the two, the latter comprises the student and the mendicant, and the former comprises the householder and the forester. Of the two, the abandoning of home from the student-life is far preferable to the abandoning of home as resorted to by one who has first lit the sacrificial fire and then abandoned that fire. If the meaning were explained otherwise, then there would be a contradiction in the Sruti, Hence the necessity of the duties of all orders of life.

Adhikarana.-3.

If it be held that they are mere praises because of their referring (to parts of sacrifices), (we say) no, because they are new. (III. iv. 21).

"Let a man meditate on the syllable Om, called udgîtha; That udgîtha is the best of all essences, the highest, holding the highest place, the eighth."*

A doubt arises as to whether in the passages like the foregoing the contemplation of the udgitha, etc., as the best of all essences, etc., is enjoined or not.

(Pûrvapaksha):—What view suggests itself at first? It would appear that the contemplation of the udgîtha, etc., as the best of all essences, etc., is not enjoined; but that, as in the passages "the (earth) is the ladle," "the heavenly world is the A'havaniya," the predicating,—with reference to the udgîtha, etc.,

which are parts of sacrificial rites—as the best of essences, etc., are mere praises.

(Siddhinta):—No, because they are new. The are not mere praises, but the contemplation of the udgitha, etc., as the best of all essences, is sure enjoined, because they are new. And according the argument relating to the ladle cannot apply her because here there is no proximity to the injunction of the udgitha as there is in the case of the ladle Wherefore, the contemplation of the udgitha, etc as the best of all essences, etc., is certainly a subject of injunction.

And because of the word of injunction. (III. iv. 22).
"Let a man meditate on the udgitha."

Here a word of injunction occurs. For this reas too, there is an injunction as to the contemplation of the udgîtha, etc., as the best of all essences, et

Adhikarana.-4.

If it be held that they serve the purposes of pariplava, (we say) no, because such are specified. (III. iv. 23).

In the Vedântas, stories like the following taught at the commencement of Vidyês:

"Pratardana, forsooth, the son of Divodasa, can by means of fighting and strength to the below abode of Indra."*

A doubt arises as to whether they are intended pariplava or for the praise of the Vidyas.

(Pûrvapaksha):—What view suggests itself inst? That they are intended for pâriplava. In the sacrifice of As'vamedha, the king shall be seated whis family and the Adhvaryu shall tell Vedic store in their presence. This is the act called pâriplatenjoined in the words "let him tell pâriplave."† cause of the injunction "they should relate all store in pâriplava,"† the stories occurring in the Upashads are intended for pâriplava.

As to the stories occurring in the Upanishads, as strakara says that, as forming one coherent with

[·] Kaushi, 8-1.

[†] Asvalayana-Smuta-Sutra, 10-6-10.

¹ Ibid 10-7-1,2.

and for the (praise of those) Vidyas, they are

" all because in the same way they are essential as one

t whole with the injunctions of sacrificial rites, these form coherent wholes with the injunctions of sacrificial rites, these form coherent wholes with the injunction of Villyan, and, as such, they are certainly and for praising the Vidyas.

Adnikarana 5.

10;

5.4 :

1 × 1

i hence ne necessity for lighting fire, etc (III. iv. 25)

impreceding adhikarana the existence of the final find holy life was explained. A doubt arises as to mer there is a necessity or not for the lighting of grificial fire, etc., as subserving Vidya.

** Since ritual is enjoined as an appendage of there is a necessity, even in the case of celibates transcess Vidya, for the lighting of sacrificial fire,

slows: Their Vidya does not stand in need is lighting of sacrificial fire etc. For we riven by the S'ruti to understand that they sattained Vidya by renouncing ritual: 'wishing at world (for Brahman, only, mendicants leave momes."† But, their Vidya stands in need of the smance of those duties only which pertain to swn order, it being impossible for them to light merificial fire, etc.

Adhikarana 6.

pithere is a necessity for all, because of the argit enjoining sacrificial rites, etc., as in the case of a heree.

(III. 17. 26.)

the preceding adhitarans it has been shown that dy's of those belonging to the fourth order does and in need of the lighting of fire, etc., since atter is inconsistent with their order. Now, a tarjees as to whether, in the case of the order of a issholders, the Vidya stands in need of the rituals

frapakala):—Now, we say that even in the case of the householders, Vidya does not stand in sof the ritual such as the lighting of sacrificial sor, the ritual cannot form an appendage of a since it is not associated with it in the case of a children. As to the argument that Vidya as cliscussion) stands in need of ritual, because the subserves Vidya as the prayaja, etc., subserve

the Dars's and the Pürnamiss, we sak, how does the adhans or the like ritual subserve Vidyà? Does it subserve Vidyà by way of contributing to the result, as the Pràyaja subserves the Dars's? Or does it subserve Vidyà by way of contributing to the very being of Vidyà, as the husking of the paddy subserves the Dars's. It cannot be in the former way, since then, as a result of action, Makti (liberation) would be non-eternal. If the latter were the case, then the analogy fails because such is not the relation between the Dars's and the Prayaja. Therefore ritual does not form an appendage of Vidya. Wherefore, even in the case of householders, Vidyà does not stand in need of ritual.

(Siddhinta):- In the case of householders who have to perform the sacrificial rites, Vidya requires all rites such as Aguibotra, because the S'ruti study of the Veda. by sacrifice, by gifts, by penance, by fasting; " and the sacrificial rites, etc., are things commanded by the Parames'vara. Just as a horse, though a means of transit, stands in need of proper equipage for the purposes of transit, so also does Vidy's stand in need of ritual. When freed from all longing for results, the Vedic ritual subserves Vidva by way of contributing to its very being, and liberation does not therefore constitute a result of the ritual. On the contrary, liberation (moksha) is the direct result of knowledge (juana). Thus, in the case of householders, Vidya requires sacrificial rites, stc. In fact, in the case of all orders of holy life, Vidya should be necessarily associated with the duties of the respective orders; and it therefore stands to reason that VidyA should be associated with ritual.

Adhikarana -7.

Still he should be endued with elamness and subjugation. because of their being enjoined, and because, as the accessories thereof, they also should be necessarily observed. (III iv. 27).

"Having become calm and subdued, quiet, patient and collected, he sees the Self in self "time and patients."

"By faith, devotion, meditation, do thou know."1

In such passages as these it is declared that Vidya stands in need of calmness, subjugation of the senses, etc. A doubt arises as to whether, in the case of the order of householders, acquisition of these is possible.

(Pircapaksha):—As having to do with the sacrificial rites, etc., they are concerned in many kinds of activity; and therefore, the acquisition of calmuesa and sense-subjugation, which consists in the cessation of such activity, is not possible in their case.

(Riddhinta: - As against the foregoing, we hold as follows: The householder, though engaged in the

^{% .} Sam. 1-5-1.

^{4.} up. 6. 1.22,

Bri. Up. 6-4-22.
 Thid. 6-4-23.

¹ Kaivalen Up.

actions enjoined, should abstain from prohibited and interested actions, and thus caltivate calmness, etc., inasmuch as calmness, etc., faith, devotion and meditation are enjoined, and because, as the accessories of Vidya, they should be necessarily practised with a view to the acquisition of Vidya. Calmness (S'ama) consists in the suppression of the subjective affections such as fundness and enmity. Subjugation (Dama) consists in withdrawing all senses from prohibited , activities. Quietude (Uperati) is abstention from all probibited and interested actions. Patience (Titiksha) is the endurance of pairs of opposites. Collectedness (Samadhana) of the mind consists in its being turned towards the Supreme Principle, it being at the time free from lassitude and other kinds of distraction. Faith (Sraddhâ) is that phase of pure mind (Sattva) in which it has conceived an aversion for all other sciences not conducing to the summum bonum, and in which it feels an intense longing for a familiar acquaintance with the Upanishad, the Supreme Wisdon, the science of the Paramatman. Devotion (Bhakti) is the Vedic ritual, constituting that service of the Supreme Being, Paramasiva, which is quite unconnected with the worship of any other God, which is effected by the threefold group of senseorgans, which forms that lofty eight-fold path of devotion. Mumuksha is an intense desire to obtain an intuitive vision of that mighty splendour of Liberation (Moksha Lakshmi) wherein the devotee is penetrated through and through by the Supreme Bliss; and this state of Mumuksha is attained through the attainment of calmness, etc., by him who is endued with Vairagya, -with indifference to all pleasures of this world and the next-and Viveka, the faculty of determining the real and the unreal. All these supreme virtues, from Viveka. (discrimination) to Mumuksha (desire for liberation)-should be studiously practised by all orders of holy life seeking Vidya.

Adhikarana 8.

And there is permission of all food when there is danger to life, because of revelation to that effect. (III. iv. 28.)

In the Prana-Vidya (the contemplation of the Supreme as Life), the S'ruti says:

"To him who knows this, there is nothing that is not (proper) food."*

This passage appears to teach that, in the case of him who is devoted to Prâna-Vidya, all food is permitted. A doubt arises as to whether this is reasonable or not.

(Purvapaksha):—Since eating is a thing with which we are all familiar in other ways and is therefore not a thing fit to be taught by revelation, it is the permission of all food, which, otherwise unknown to us, is the fit subject of injunction here.

(Siddhanta):—To this we reply as follows: Even in the case of him who is devoted to Prana-Vidya,

the permission of time, but only wher in the case Ushas knower of Brahman resort may be had t case of extreme dan follows:

"When the Kustones, Ushast with his virgin chief enting besaid: 'I have put away for m to eat of them. said: 'There is said Ushasti: drunk what was fore unclean.' those beans als 'No,' he replie if I had not eawater would be

Wherefore, it is c ger that permission even to him who is (Para-Vidyâ, the cor

And because of the a Permission of all life is in jeopardy, serving purity in 1 passage:

> "When aliment h ture attains pur attained purity, remains firm, th

And it is also a
That the permiss:
of only when life is:
in the Smriti:

" A man partakin ever, when life sin, any more the water."

And hence the text abou

And accordingly, that one should rise "A Brahman shou

Thus, even in the permission of all fo life is at risk. Othe act, he will prove an of the Supreme Lore

[•] Ibid 1-10, + Ohha 7-26-2.

[·] Chha 5-2-1.

न साधिकारे तमसि मुक्तिर्भवति कस्यवित् । THE MRIGENDRA AGAMA. CHARGE VII अधिकारीपि तच्छकेः परिणामान्त्रितते ॥ (16) पाश्यक्षणप्रकाण, लीप न स्वत एव स्यादिश योग्यस्य वस्तुनः। ंबयाविद्यादयः पात्राः सध्यन्ते लेशवी-**धना ।** सर्वया सर्वडा यस्माज्ञित्पयोज्यमचेत्रतं ॥ (17) क्रमाभवाय पत्रयो मवन्ति मगती-णवः ॥ (1) यदा तारादिना वैदार तुदन्तिय न गिगिणं। ंशाशामाने पा तन्त्रसं वक्तस्यं कि। विश्वेषने कोटाविष्टार्यदायित्वाद् दुःलहेतुः प्रतीयते ॥ (18) ृताभाविकञ्चल्युकंषु मुक्तबळी निवर्तते ॥ (2) त्तर्वगःवान्महेशस्य नाधिष्ठानं विदन्यते । "कृत्वज्ञान्यस्य बशिता दश बद्धाय वडयता । न च यत्रास्ति कर्तव्यं तिश्मिनीदाश्यमेति सः ॥ (19) ्रितावती ते बद्धत्वमुक्तवे बद्धमुक्तये।:॥ (3)धर्मिणीक्नुप्रही नाम यत्तद्वर्भानुवर्त्तनं । ्रक्षरपारतन्त्रयं बद्धन्वं तस्मिन्तिःये विद्यादिवत् । न सोस्ति कस्याचित्रनातु यः पद्मा नानुकर्वते ॥ (20) ्रेंग्किसाधनसंदीक्षा व्यर्थो न्लमनवा भिया ॥ (4) गताविकारनीहारनीर्यस्य स्वत एधते । ंशसञ्यापकाच च्छकिनिधिरप्यथीसद्भये । पशोरनुप्रहोन्यस्य तादर्थ्यादस्ति कर्मणः॥ (21)ः इत्हार्व शांभवं वापि नान्विष्यग्रन्थया फर्ल ॥ (5)नेदिलपरिणाविलक्षमयोरनुवर्तनं । ः हिद्रावरणयस्याणीः पंचस्तीतसि शक्ति । मलस्य साधिकारस्य निवृत्ते। तत्पारैच्युती ॥ (22)्रार्थावैर्वह्रभिः मोल्तबह्रष्टं पशुमिर सदा ॥ (6)इसेवं यौगपद्मन क्रमात् सुघट एव हि । ं क्षेत्रुत्वपशुनीद्वारमृत्युम्व्श्रीयकाञ्जनैः । मावापार साधिकारायाः कर्वणश्वाक एव ते ॥ (28) ्रे अविद्या वृति र गम्भनि पापम् कश्चपारि भिः॥ (7) देवं सर्वभूतानामनादि निविदं महत्। CHAPTER VIII. कर्मविचारधकरणं. · ज्यात्मस्यस्यकालान्तापायिशक्तिसम्दर्त् ।) (8) ्रनादिस्यपर्वाग्वा त<u>देत</u>स्ततीन्यया । अवेन्द्रियशरीरार्वे अद्योगस्यानुमीयते । 🤒 अगद्धि मुक्तानेवञ्चित्मोक्षे यत्नर तता मृषा ॥ (9) निमित्तवागामिभावाद् यतोश्नागाम्येहेतुवत् ॥ (1) ा श्रीकं बहुसंख्येत् तादगुत्रश्चियखतः । ताय प्रदेशवर्षित्वाद् वैविज्यात् क्षणिकत्वतः। ् कृत तच्छक्तपीश्नेका युगपन्युक्वदिकीनात्॥ (10) पतिपुरिनयतत्नाच सन्ततत्नाच तत्नुणं॥ (2)भ्येता यादेश्वरीशक्तिर सर्वानुप्रादिका शिवा । र्रशाविद्याखपेकित्वात् सदकारि तदुच्यते । र्भानुक्तनादेव पादा इत्युपचर्वते ॥ (11) कर्म व्यापारजन्यत्वाददृष्टं सूक्ष्मभावतः॥ 🟴 क्रेणामयती ताथ रीधान्तं कार्कविस्थिता। (3)जनकं धारकं भीग्यमध्यात्मादित्रिसाधनं। ्र विन्शेस्त्रमाधसे तदानुप्राहिकीच्यते ॥ (12)त्रस्यानृतयोनित्वाद्धर्याधर्यस्वरूपकं ॥ क्रींबदाबनुप्राह्वं हदिरोधितया यिवः। (4) 🚁 🏥 प्रभावना शक्तिः सर्वानुप्रादिका कयं ॥ स्वापे विषाक्रवभ्येति तत्त्रष्टानुषयुज्यते । (13)मायायां वर्तते चान्ते नामुक्तं लयमेति च ॥ 🎢 व भूतोषकारार्व प्रवृत्तस्य जमत्ममीः । (5) श्रकारकमानिश्य युज्यते किंच वीदनं ॥ (14)इति मायादिकालान्तमन्त्रकमनादियत्। अतीदमाय कुरते मलस्याणीरनुप्रह । कर्वव्यञ्जकमप्येतद्रोधिसवाच मुक्तये ॥ (6)🧝 ै विक्रयते किंचित् तहुपायेन नान्यथा ॥

(15)

THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PASA.

 Now the Pis'as such as Avidya and the rest, on whose removal the souls become Lords of the universe, are shortly to be described.

Note.—" Avidya," ignorance, is here used for Anavamala.

2. If there were no Pas'as, we cannot explain how the soul has become subject to another. But if it is said that the soul is by nature so, then the word 'liberated' can never be applied to a liberated person.

Note.—If the objector answers that the soul is by nature bound and dependent, the Agama says, there can be no liberation at all, for the soul cannot become free, if it is by nature non-free. But as a matter of fact, we see liberated persons and the very word applied to them implies that they must be free.

- 3. It is seen that one free from bondage (i.e. Pasa) is independent, while one who is bound, is dependent. Such alone is the distinction between a bound and a liberated soul, in their respective states of bondage and liberation.
- t. Therefore bondage is but subjection (or dependence). If this state were an eternal characteristic of the soul, in the same way as is its intelligence, then liberation and all the variety of means to accomplish it would be useless. Hence enough of this idea.
- though it is the abode of an evernal and omnipresent Chit-s'akti, why should it, for the accomplishment of its desires, seek after truits, either of the nature of Pas'a or of Siva?

Note.—If there were no fetters for the soul, it would always be consiscient, and as such, would not desire either objects of enjoyment, which constitute the Pas'a, nor would be desire to be liberated and be one with Siva, for he is already free. Hence it is concluded that there must be postulated a Pas'a for the soul.

6. This veil over the soul is known by a variety of names, in the Saiva-sastra in its five courses, and these are ever unknown to Pas'us (i.e. those who are still bound.)

Note.—The veil or covering over the soul is the Anavamala, whose description beginning here ends only with the chapter. The Saiva-sastra has already, in I. 18, been described to be characterized by five courses. The commentator in explaining the former verse gives a fanciful explanation, which we have quoted there, but it makes

no sense here. By "
of what the Agama s

 These are mirechi. mula, anja mila and kshaya.

Note.—Pasntra, is pasu-nihôra, the mist márcehâ, swoon or dipigment: aridya, ig struction; ruj, malad pâpa, evil. sin; mâle truction.

9. It is but one beings, beginningle numerous saktis (en and perish when th

Note. -- Dense," the souls by their o require the aid of th

.. It must be e to have come into cause must be ass cause were assigne rated; and thus al be in vain.

Note.—On the the point of time, a ca Else it should be hel the soul, in which ca

10. It is one or they should, like them in this respec possessed of variou liberated at the sar

Note.—The latter objection that if Ma a removal of Mala, a tant. In answer, a one, but that it poss alone reside in the These Saktis, uninke the end of their apping distinctions with objection, is mainly more largely in wor Indian philosophy.

11. The benign

seting in conformity with the qualities of the

the troubline-sakts. This is sometimes included
by the troubline-sakts. This is sometimes included
by the sacto-sakts, because it incites them so action
threets them in their operations. This sakts belongs
be Makeser metatra (banco the name) in which the
Vidyesvarus, ria, Ananta and the rest, who control
over path, Mayorikes, are mid to dwell.

Transmuting these (energies of Male) till the fruction (to the soul) should cease, it is called the by-rake matri, when by means of the light of intellece of the sun-like Islans, it opens out the lars of the soul).

the.—This verse contains a rather drawn-out analogy the cannot well be brought out in translation. Kirke-bircida —Kir means the head and so indicates the Island that, which is said to be the head of the Lord's body. I mantia is compared to the neu, and the intelligence, which is its special characteristic, is compared to the light the sum. Just as the light of the sun is absolutely estimated in order that the eyes may fascend, even so saw disgence of the Isana is necessary to bring out the facts hidden intelligence of the soul. It is also one of theories of Indian Philosophy that the capability of trye to see is light, which is a special form of and has lasis the light of the sun. Perhaps some such relation there the intelligence of Isana and that of the soul is died here.

- 3. Everything, sentient or otherwise, is to be seed by Invarn. And as they are all opposed each the other, His Sakti cannot bless all at the same ; hence how can it be said that it blesses all?
- Moreover, it is not proper that the Lord, who ingaged in accuring the good of all beings, should (things which are barmful to the soul) and cause hair.

Note — These two slokus represent the objections of the graphship to the siddhints view, which is defended in a following three verses. The Lord aids and incites by and Anava-mala and thus causes obstruction and in to the sout.

5. It is not for the purpose of paining the soul the supports its Mala. What is done is merely to means (to some other end), but not otherwise.

flote.—"He supports its Mela." The word used is agreed which is used in a very wide sense in this Agree Literally it means to bless, to favour, but here every hof the Lord is said to be an anuquaha, since it is finally

meant for the welfare of the souls. Thus the inciting and supporting of the Mala of the soul, though immediately leading to its pain, yet in the end results in its sal-kayati n. This pain the soul is made to experience is a necessary step in its progress towards the final end, and hence pain is said to be used as a means to an end, and not as an end in itself.

16. None can be liberated so long as darkness (i.e., Mala, holds away. Its authority suberdes, as soon as, its ankli has undergone transmitation imposing or maturing).

Note.—This verse expanses the spage, means, meantioned in the previous one. Liberation is only the remoral of Mala. This Mala cannot be removed without its
militis or powers undergoing parississes, which literally?
means "a modification." That is, the powers of Mala /
which is merely dense ignorance, instead of lying inert,
must be made to become active. This can be done only
by the Lord: and this process means pain; since the soft
instead of being inert as before, interakes other things for
itself by this activity, of the powers of Mala. These
powers having exhausted bemselves, the soul gradually
emancipates itself from the heavy veil of the Mala. It
must be remembered that the Mala itself is eternal, and it
is only its subtice that the mala itself is eternal, and it

17 This Mala will never undergo modification (or ripening) of itself, even though belonging to one who is fit for the process. For it is seen at all times and in every way, that the insentient is set in motion by the sentient.

Note.—This closes the argument showing how God's graciousness towards beings is reconcilable with His siding Mala and thus causing pain to the soul. The next verse illustrates it by an example.

18. (The Lord is) like a surgeon, who though inflicting pain on the patient by applying causties and the like, cannot be said to cause his pain, since in the end he compasses the desired end.

Note.—This refers to the well-known treatment of native surgeous in cases of abecess, by applying caustic and corrosive substances.

19. As the Lord is all-pervading, there is nothing of which He is not the basis. Wherever there is nothing to be done (by Him) he remains indiff. rent.

Note.—The Lord is said to be the innermost basis of everything, at the same time remaining wholly unaffected by these. 20. The blessing (anugraha) accorded to virtuous souls, is none other than the acting (by the Lord) in conformity with their virtue (dharma). There is no virtue for any one without the Lord's action.

Note.—Dharma is the virtue attaching to a man when he does virtuous deeds and which afterwards produces the fruits of his deeds. But these fruits are produced by the Lord in conformity with the nature of the deeds. Therefore without the Lord's intervention, there can be no dharmo worth the name.

1. This anugraha grows up of itself within that soul from whom the power of the energies of mala have departed: it exists from the function of the other (Mala) being directed to that end.

Note.—The fatter part of the verse seems to mean this, that anugraha is nothing but the absorption of the saktis of Mala within itself, which process is referred to as the departure of the power of the energies of Mala. The object of these saktis also is finally to be drawn into their basis, the Mala, again, and thus to render fully manifest the innate intelligence of the soul. Thus anugraha is coextensive with the removal, or as it is termed in another place the "transmutation," of the powers of Mala. The "end" referred to is this "transmutation."

- 22. It (anugraha) is the action (of the Lord) in conformity with the intelligence and the modifiability (of the soul and of Mala respectively), when the sway of Mala is gone and that (viz., the tirodhana-sakti of the Lord) falls off.
- 23. Thus (has been explained the action of the Lord) simultaneously (obstructing and aiding the soul). His gradual action is easily understood. The nature of Maya in authority (i.e., in activity) and of Karma has been described above.

Note.—The first part of this verse refers to Mala by which the Lord immediately obstructs, which obstruction in the end aids the soul. The nature of Maya and of Karma also must be taken to have been included in the general statement occurring in the latter half of verse 17 that all non-sentient things are set in motion by sentient beings.

Here ends the Seventh Chapter.

INVESTIGATION OF KARMA.

1. It is inferred that the association of intelligence (i.e., the soul) with senses, bodies and objects has a cause, since it is seen to have an origin. For a thing which has an origin, is never seen to be without a cause.

Note.—"A thing which has an origin" i.e., in time, and thus not eternal. The cause of the association is Karma,

2. As this (association of soul with the body etc) is characterized by places, by variety, by short duration, by its establishment in every individual and by its continuity (through many births), its cause also ust be possessed of such qualities.

- Note.—" Places," suc riety"—bodies are of diplants up to those of "Short duration"—asso not efernal, and must g
- 3. Since it requires ra and the rest (viz. His it is said to be mere Karma, being the pro (unseen), being subtle

Note.—The tirodhâna this verse as his conces of Karma are Mala and

4. It is a producer tutes the objects of en things such as relate form of *Dharma* and to truth and falsehood

Note—"Producer"—
production of bodies etc
makes them continue in
ed by being experiences
when the body etc., is v
as experiencer. As the
the objects which come
is produced by the acti
(Rêya), speech (vêch), ar
kasam, Sutra 2, verse 1(
upon this sloka. In the
real body. "Truth an
right and wrong conduc

 It undergoes rip during creation it is no it merges in Maya. F being experienced.

Note.—During cosm Karma for activity; dur ducing fit bodies and enthat they may enjoy the destruction i.e., the with into its primal cause vizform of samskåras or re the soul to activity at th It must be carefully not here mentioned, is only each individual and not declared in the next vers

6. It is eternal and Kâla. Though (eventual qualities of the soul, supresence etc.), it is ye as it is present, there is

Here ends

THE PROBLEM OF EVIL-No. 7.

51. The states of the mind are said to be four accordag to Patanjalia Yoga Sastra, and this accounts for the gatepriences of pleasure, pain do. They are "Vikabepa." Bassavadana," "Kushaya" and "Laya." (1) "Viksha-" is the tendency of the mind sever to remain at a fixed point, but ever wander, and like "light," diffuse tacif up to various objects—(2) where it dwells on obsets and subjects, past, present or fature, entaing " pleasesable" feelings, that state is Rassevadans, (3) where it in ells on the reverse kind of feelings, that state is called Kashaya," These i.e., (2) and (3), are in fact the aspects of the lat state. The 4th or lays is the black state of mind or the passive state of mind, the "tabels and the neither pleasurable nor the painfal, but-egorisuspens remaining—the state of no-thought, which night be called the ameruic state. Thus Pataujali exbreases in his language the sense of the stanza, it was he mental bath good and company at the state of in the Enle came of mail's incarceration and cusancipation, the in the being "evil" due to attachment of the mind to we likings evanescent, and the second "good" due to associstion of the mind with things of eternal interest. Ta : 2

Man is his mind. The world is what man thinks.
It's Coder hand "The greater (spiritual) warfare Jelalud-I)in
the actual med:

- t

H PE

- "Whoever shall his heart cleaner from all passion's bale.
- "Will soon perceive therein a Court and Pressure hale,"
 - " So long as man keeps company with evil thought,
- "How can be understand God's Constance is ought."

 The practice of virtue gives mind engagement, and so hat when unwarily, vice may not enter by that backfoor nawarily ones.
- 52. There is according to a Musculman Scor: 'The wisiom of contractes' according to which one side is not all the
 sicture, according to which all the elephant is not what a
 blind man, feeling its proboscis, judges it to be like a
 illiar (this is called the worst broggs in Sanskrit), and
 according to which nothing is possible to be judged as
 sither good or evil absolutely.
 - "God makes them look like dead in winter's frezen

But with returning spring wakes them to life again,

- · From goodness separate, no goodness e'er will flow
- "Remembrance, forgetfulness, both are of God

- "Engrossed be that's now with pleasure and pain,
- "Can he, by these accidents, live o'er again !
- "The bait of gross flattery is always found sweet

The sweetness is present: its finne's not present: Its smoke of destruction will burst forth at last.

"One rain there is that nonrishment brings in its track;

Another vain also that works a whole world's week a The rain of spring does wonders in the garden's field, The rain of autuma chills like ague's shivers cold.

The spring rain nourishes whate'er it falls upon.

Astumnal showers but bleach and shrivel; all times

Thus is it with the cold, the wind, and eke the sun, They 're means from which such different phases seem to ran.

In things invisible the same rule still holds good: Advantage, loss, annoyance, fraud, afflictions' food.

- "What is there beautiful that goes not to decay? Where is the roof that will not rain he one day?"
- "Alas! that I in singing songs of mirth and glee. Entirely had forgot that death would visit me.
- "Of fire and water, fire is quelled through water's wet. Still, water boils through fire, when in a cauldron set.
- "How many seeming pleasures, fair, as sugar sweet, Have poison lurking in them, death to all they meet!
- "The juice of unripe grapes is soor, as is well-known; But when the fruit has ripesed, sweet and fragrant grown.

In wine-jar when farmented, unnecess and unclean: When vinegar, spain, most wholesome is it seen."

"Lugubrious countenances are for our behoof;
That we may be reminded not to court re-proof.
And beaming visages are not without their use,
If they re-call us from mere form to sense occluse."

"Should God ordsin thy fall, thy sight will blinded be.

"Twixt friend and for the difference tho'lt fail to see."

"The origin of oil is water. This is known.

Then why are oil and water foes, as may be shown?

From water oil's created by mysterious power;

"Gainst water why, does oil rise up, and war, each

The rose springs from a thorn: thorns from the rose. In open warfare are these two. Why? What suppose? "The flowers blow and fade: the fruit begins to swell. So, when our bodies die, our souls in glory dwell.

bour ?

The fruit's reality; the flower is but a sign;
The flower's the harbinger; the fruit the true design.
The flower blown and past, the fruit then comes in

The first must perish ere the other can see light.
Unless a loaf be broke, no nutriment it yields;
Until the grapes are crushed, no cup of wine man wields.
To drugs, to prove a solace to the sufferer's ache.
Together must be blended, rolled in one smooth-cake."

All the foregoing is nutshelled in the following Slokas of the holy Bhagavad Gita and Vishnu Purana:—

యు శ్రధ గోవికు మీవ పరిణామేడి.మృతోవమం।

XVIII. 37. Bh. G.

విషయేశ్రీ ముఖ్యం మాగా దృత్త ద గేఓమృతో నమం ! పరిణా మేవిషమిన తత్సుఖం రాజాసం స్తృతం! 38. Bh. G. వెస్టైకో మేనకు బాయ మఖాయేమోక్యాద్భ వాయు చ! కో పాయు చయం క స్టాప్తార్త్ క స్టుపస్వాత్త కంకు ఈ!! II. 6. 47. V. P.

తదేక మీతయోభాత్వా, పుశర్దు:ఖాయాజాయంతో : తదేవకోపాయుడుత: సహదాయుదజాయంతో!! II. 6. 48 V. P.

The meanings of these stanzas have already been explained in previous paras.

53. Therefore, there is nothing that can be termed absolutely happy, and nothing absolutely miserable, but it only denotes the mood of the mind when consciousness of these alternatives occur or Patanjalis' "modifications of the thinking principle," and Herbert Spencer's "consciousness in general." Likes and dislikes, the perception of contraries is the root of consciousness. Here is a strange "origin of evil," if one is required, an origin, or a no-origin, in which evil is but the other side of good. There can nothing be called an "act" of consciousness unless that act is the knowing of a "difference" between, either two items of a "subjective" universe, or an "objective" universe. Let Herbert Spencer speak:—

"Consciousness can neither arise nor be maintained without the occurrence of differences in its state. It must be ever passing from one state into a different state. In other words there must be a continuous differentiation of its states. This is the analytic process.

Here, close by is the synthetic process of the mind:—
"States of consciousness successively arising," the mind requires to classify them "as like certain beforeexperienced states." "That is to say, there must be a continuous integration of states of consciousness."

Therefore, "all mental action whatever is definable as the continuous differentiation and integration of states of consciousness."

Now, who can catch this fugitive mind? Who can imprison the ever running thief? Pataniali gives rules in

his Yogasutras how to do it. Vishuu Purana, Bk. II, 53, has said, alluding to such "change" in mind, as regards feeling happy or otherwise.

మంగ్రుకురిణా హాండ్ యం : నుఖదుకురాదిల ఈ గాకి !!

Thus, "By contraries are contraries brought fourth to view,

From out of darkness was the light created new-"

54. In the 6th Chapter of the Bhagavadgita, called the ఆర్థానయాగ or ఆర్థానయాగ, or the Book of Self-restraint, Arjuna complains to Krishna about the restlessness of his mind.

చంచలంకి మన:కృష్ణ సమాధిబ: వర్ప్రతం! తప్పామాంధ్రి కూండు స్ట్రే జాయోందిన మరుష్ట్రమ్ 1 34 11 Truly translated by Edwin Arnold, the purport is:— "* * * because the heart of men Is unfixed, Krishna! rash, tumultuous, Wilful and strong. It were all one, I think, To hold the wayward wind, as tame man's heart." And Krishna admits that:—

ఆసంశయంచునాబాబాబా మనోడు 3_{2} ్గహంచలమ్1 and points out the remedy that ఆభ్యానేనతుశాంతేయ వైరాగ్యేణ చగ్రక్యులే 1

35.

i. c. Hero long-armed! beyond denial, hard Man's heart is to restrain, and wavering; Yet may it grow restrained by habit, Prince! By wont of self-command.

The above is exactly Patanjalis Yog; and when it is constantly practised, the mind will become steady, and is then compared to:—

య థాడీపాని వాత స్టోసింగ లెస్టామా మృతా। యోగినోయ త**ి** తైస్య యాంజ**్యాగమా త్ర**నః॥ 18 i.e. * See!

Steadfast a lamp burns sheltered from the wind: Such is the likeness of the Yogis' mind Shut from sense-storms and burning bright to Heaven.

55. We all know what mental attitude and physical feeling are produced by discomforts of life. A thorn pricking in our feet throws us out of balance, both mind and body, but imagine the hardiness of the Rishis, Munis, Thapasvins and Yogis of old who sat down for contemplation till their nails and hairs grew and grew and got intertwined with the surrounding shrubs and ercepers, and ant-hills grew round them and over them. But why go to Vedic and Puranic days? Only lately in the year 1895, April, we read of a Sanyasi who sat down under a tree, near Padrasarovar, Travancore, for 3 mortal years, exposed to sun, rain and winds.

exthaut any food; who scould not pick off his body even ants, flies and vermin, which crawled over it; would not form away cows and other animals which came near him and would not parait the palace-surgeon to apply any medicine to the skin-reptures to which his body in his last days became subject. In the unbelieving, materialistic age, God has shown as this phenomenon, of how a man can by practice control his mind, and in such a manner as to be callous to all feeling.

36. En parenthem, it is interesting to note here a coltateral psychologial deduction arrived at by Herbert Spencer. In the matter of consciousness referred to in rais 50, we saw how an "act" or "state" was perceived as such an account of the note which the mind took of a difference between two integers of cognition, thus showing bow difficult it was to know exactly what the posterior tate or the indifferent state of that consciousness was. when it just preceded the perception of a difference, which constitutes an origin for a set of experiences which we class as evil); and in the same manner, as long as our mind cannot penetrate the anful mystry of the one l'Itimate Reality, but must ever remain this side of the curtain drawn between it, and the facts of consciousness recognized as the two phases of that Reality known, as dijective and subjective, each translatable one from the other in terms of matter and mind. When I read the deductions on this point by a Western philosopher of the stamp of Herbert Spencer, I was simply struck dumb with such inexpressible wonder and surprise that the sound and profoundly logical scientific facts so discovered. so beautifully accorded with the Vedants Philosophy of India, which asserts a material and a spiritual manifestation, namely Prakriti and Purusha, from the one Awful Unspeakable Reality, the Parabrahma. In two places, by different motes of ratiocination. Spencer arrives at such conclusion. In his Psychology, Vol I, General Acalysis, under Transfigured Realism, he sums up as follows :--Thee more we are brought round to the conclusion repeatedly reached by other mutes, that behind all manifestations, inner and outer, there is a Power manifested. Herr, as before, it has become clear that while the nature of this Power cannot be known-while we lack the faculty of framing even the dimmest conception of it, yet its universal presence is the absolute fact without which there can be no relative facts. Every feeling and thought beine but transitory an et ligitife made up of such feelmes and thoughts he also hat transitory-pay, the obcots amid which life is passed, hough less transitory, being severally in course of losing their individualities, mickly or slowly : we learn that the one thing permanent is the l'uknowable Reality hidden under all these change. ing shapes. Could Herbert Spencer have secretly learnt nom an Indian Rishi, the famous Upanishad sentence ? -

యా స్వామతంలేన్న దుతంపుతంయున్నవేని. న్లు ఆశ్వా తువిజావతాం విజ్ఞాంధవిజావతాం ా

With hut ill-concealed n arvel and inward extany, he exclaims in his Vol. II., Physical synthesis, Results:—
"See then our predicament. We can think of matter only in terms of mind. We can think of mind only in terms of matter. When we have pushed our explorations of the first to the attermost limit, we are referred to the second for a final answer; and when we have not the final answer of the second, we are referred back to the first for an interpretation of it. We find the value of x in terms of y; then we find the value of y in terms of x and so on we may continue for ever without coming nearer to solution. The antithesis of subject and object, never to be transcended white consciousness lasts, renders impossible all knowledge of that Ultimate Reality in which subject and object are united."

And this brings as to the true conclusion implied throughout the foregoing pages—the conclusion that it is one and the same Ultimate Reality, which is manifested to as subjectively and objectively. For, while the nature of that which is manifested under either form proves to be inscrutable, the order of its manifestations throughout all mental phenomena proves to be the same as the order of its manifestations throughout all material phenomens." Friends, how glad it makes me to disclose to you, this revelation buried in the depths of little-read Volumes? Have we now cause to lift up our arms and declare to the whole world that the western and the eastern intellects which travelled in opposite directions from a common centre (the pristine Arya-land somewhere about Asia Minor), have on account of the circle of our Earth, approached each other and met? With Parasara Bhatter, in reverence and worship, we may repeat :

ఆయుతం ఉతంచుతేదు భా మతంతం మృతం పరిశిశిశ్వతం భాతిశిశ్వతం మృతపు :

ရ**0**0 த சைக்கர் சுண் கத்தி வாதுக்கிற விடும் விடும் விடும் விடும் விடும் விடும் விடும் விடும் கிடிய் விடும் கிடிய் கிடிய் கிடும் கிடிய் கிடிய

This paragraph is as it may at first eight appear, not out of place. For to unravel the problem of evil, we have, as Francis Power Cobbe has told as, to wait till that Unknowable Reality becomes more and more known to us.

57. Bhartri Hari is a famous Sanskrit poet and philosopher, and his work called \$\psi^2.05\$, is highly prized in India. In his \$\psi^2 \psi^2\$, \$\pri \psi^2 \psi^2\$, \$\pri \psi^2 \psi^

ဖြစ်ချီးသည်။ ကောက္ကေႏြ လို႕ညီ ခိုည စာသာချွန္းပံု ကိုျသားစစ်သည် တြေ့တာစုံသမီခံ ခြံတာသာနဲ႔တာ ၊ ဗမီခံသနေဖည့်ပိုနည္သမီသတ္သည့် ကိုလုံးမပ္သတာသည့္ ဗီစီများမြန္သတာ နေသည် သူ့ စာသည်းကို ကန္သတ္ ။ ဗီဒီ ။

Meaning: Mind! why dost thou in vain ramble? take thou rest; for, (according to the truth) "man proposes, God disposes" which is the same as మెట్ట్రైన ప్రచించిన్నారు, సమంద్రామిందిన్న, and again more approximately as true as Bh. Gita, Ch. XVIII, Sl. 14 వ్రమ్మా తమ్మన్ను, and ultimately as true as aphorism 256, of the B. amhasutras (11.3). rix. "మాత్రమ్మ నీట్!," explained by the popular saying "తేనవనాత్స్మా గమప్పనకుల్పి, (for) it always happens (ultimately) in a certain manner, no otherwise. (With a mind serene like this), I take things easily not doting on past events (for they are 'past), not speculating on coming events (for they are hidden in the future), and not questioning the advent of present events.

Buddhas', the 7th and 8th of the eight paths inculated by him are the training of the mind so as to be callous to evil or good. The 7th is partly as follows:—

'Endeavour always to calm your minds under any sense of pleasure or of pain.'

The 8th runs as follows :-

"Right state of mind that we should be firm in our belief and strictly indifferent to either pleasure or pain."—

Chicago Par: of Rel. Vol. I. P. 226.

58. Theodicy is perhaps a word new to you. It is derived from "Theos"—God, and "dike" justice or right. It is the science of the vindication of the justice of God in ordaining or permitting natural or moral evil. The words "ordained or permitted" denote as serious a charge as can be preferred against an All-Good God. In another place we shall attempt to meet this charge by entering into a disquisition of Brahma Sutra No. 172, II—1,

" కైపమ్యనైస్ట్ర్మిక్ సా పేష్ లె 5 పై భాహి సర్వాయంలో" but here confine ourselves to quoting a beautiful passage from Revd. Alfred Williams Momerie's (DD) address (1893) before the Chicago Parliament of Religions Vol I. Pg. 272-278. He argues thus:—

"Is the Infinite Thinker Good? • • • For when we contemplate the suffering and disaster around us we are sometimes tempted to think that the Great Contriver is indifferent to human welfare. But evolution which is only another word for continuous evolution, inspires us with confidence."

"How could there be difficulties for God? How could the Infinite be limited or restrained? Let us see. We

are too apt to look upon restraint as essentially an evil, to regard it as a sign of weakness. This is the greatest mistake. Restraint may be an evidence of power, of superiority, of perfection. Why is poetry so much more beautiful than prose? Because of the restraint of rhythm. Why is a good man's life so much more beautiful than a bad man's? Because of the restraints of conscience."

Many things are possible for a devil which are impossible for a God. The fact is, infinite wisdom and goodness involve nothing less than infinite restraint. When we say that God cannot do wrong, we virtually admit that he is under a moral obligation or necessity. And reflection will show that there is another kind of necessity, viz., mathematical by which even the Infinite is bound

"Do you suppose that the diety could make a square with only three sides, or a line with only one end? • • Surely not. It would be prostitution. A Being therefore who attempted to reverse the truth of mathematics would not be Divine. To mathematical necessity Deity itself would yield.

Sometimes pain is needed as a warning to preserve us from greater pain-to keep us from destruction. If pain had not been attached to injurious actions and habits, all sentient beings would long ago have passed out of existence. . . Further pain is necessary for the development of character, especially in its higher phases. In some way or other, though we cannot tell exactly how, pain acts as an intellectual and spiritual stimulus. The world's greatest teachers, Dante, Shakespeare, Darwin, e. g. have been men who suffered much. Suffering moreover develops in us, pity, mercy and the spirit of self-sacrifice. It develops in us self-respect, self-reliance and all that is implied in the expression : strength of character. . . . Even Christ became perfect through suffering. The truth is constantly becoming more apparent that on the whole and in the long run it is not well with the wicked; that sooner or later, both in the lives of individuals and of nations, good triumphs over evil."

" Sita" the beloved, the life of Sri Rama, was made to go through the ordeal of fire. The Pandavas, with whom God was ever near, and whom Krishpa said were his very life .. మమ పాణాపా పాండబా!, were ever put to trials.

59. Man judges man in this world, and some men in power and in their pride of self-conceitedness and vanity in believing themselves models of perfection, think they have the capacity to judge, and hold that such (in leed narrow) judgment is infallible. But they err. Do you not see this daily exemplified by the acts of autocrats, whose selfishness finds the best illustration in nepotiam bac, for which every sentiment of righteonesses, and every regard for virtue and innocense must needs be sacrificed? Philip S. Maxon D. D. Pp. 486 Vel I, Chicago Parl. of Religious says:—

"Life is full of apparent contradictions. * * * A Nero is crowned, and a St. Paul is beheaded; a Bargia receives the tinra and a Savonarola is barned at the stake; an Augustus wins an empire and a Christ is crucified." These are burnan judgments, but he says "slowly comes their removal," Otherwise Nemesis is not satisfied, and our philosophy of evil is no philosophy. It was said:

"The will of God grinds showly, but It grinds exceeding fine."

Those who smits now will in their furn be smitten.

This is the law of ethics as well as that of Momerie's continuous evolution, and that of the sacred function pertaining to Theodicy.

The Christian Scriptures say "Their works do follow with them" (Rev: XIV-131, and Math-XVI. 27) "he shall render unto every man according to his deeds."

A Mussulman poet has sung -

"He who so many crashed with power of tyranny, Like rabbish has been ewept by deaths' broom clean away."

"A man whose vast desires the world could not contain

Is sometimes by one thorn's point sent to death's
domain."

ALKOWDAVILLE G.

(To be continued).

THE TELUGU RULE IN SUPPORT OF SAMBANDHA.

-Non elision of final a in Telugu and Bambandha-

We shall quote a few clear instances from the Alwars, and the first Alwar poet that we shall quote

Instances from ingly close metrical resemblance to Sambandha more than to any other

ancient poet. It will be remembered that the first quotations from Sambardha on the peculiarity in question were from Parado Parado verses, and we shall quote exactly similar instances from Therumangai in the same metre as that of the Parado verses.

Agudas.

Instances from Thiramangai.

1.5

* ..

y 1

.

-14.

ApiBoundian Copp Opedia Phripagari Opedia Phripagari Opedia Phripagari Opedia Phripagari The metre here is exactly the same as that of the Paris Dun Bair, and we have arranged each line here in two halves just as we have done in Sambhan-

Theramangai's metrical resemblance to Bambandha.

dha before to bring home to the reader the identity of the metre which is a lovely Dravidian metre of the ancient poets. With the above

lines compare the following lines of Sambandha we have already quoted and which we reproduce here for refreshing the reader's memory.

ricipi. Pa**jini**u Bai.

வேத்தி தன்னத இத கொத்தமர் நீர்ப்பத இத தூத் தஞ்சு த இத உண்மைலி தன்னத இத போரர் தஞ்சு இது புண்ணிய மாவத இத சேப் புனல்வெல்க் அழ்ந்த

Beard wrote Pe 10p.

Other four instances from Theramangai. The following are further clear instances from Theramangai.

(1) சொல்கை நொடுப கொலே வரிந்த சொல்லில் தம்மடியார் என்ன போடு மொல் வெண்ணி கிருந்தி சடியேன் சன்ன நியீச் நியா நியீச் சம்சில் வுகைந்த

ain upå 10pups Ain 1001.

(IV. 9. 6)

With this compare the following lines of Sambandha already quoted at p 223.

pri Osrir Ostir mpi sainal Curtis pairus traiga Giderir urcarc Gard Generius s aris Corp maucus Opti pri Osti Osti Ostipri sci Ostir ar aris Curti

aniOnniiGerbei an **gr**iGuu ampini⇔ ∟jipiGr.

(8) தனக்கு செம்முடி அரசர்தம் குசில் தொண்டை மன்னவன் தின்டிற வெருவத் குலம்கோ என்.36ஐ டின்னகும் <u>சர்க்க</u> அம்கோரே காழிகை மேழுட விருப்ப. (V. 8. 9)

Beise and the underlined words are written with Sandhi which produces irregularity and we have introduced non-Sandhi.

4

(3) மலந்கு விலற்கு செடு வெள்ளம் upe adieni amo si B இலங்கு சோதி யாசமுத மெய் அமனவோ தாமையாய் விலக்கல் திரியத் தடங்கட உள் கமர்த கடர்த வித்தக்கு.

VIII. 8. 2)

(4) மின்றமா மழைத்வமு மேக வண்ண விண்ணமர்தம் பெருமானே யருளாயென்ற அன்னமாய் முனிவரோ _மாரேத்த அருமறையை வெளிப்படுச்த வம்மான்றன்னே (இருசெடுக்கான்டகம் 30)

There are more instances in Therumangai which cannot be easily demonstrated to Thermmangai's students of modern poetry and we other instances difficult of detherefore omit them for the premonatration. sent.

We shall quote one or two instances from each of the other Alwars where the peculia-Instances from other Alvars. rity occurs.

PERIALWAR.

உழுவதோர் பகூடயு முலக்கையும் வில்லும் மொண்கட சாழியுன் சங்கும் மழுவோடு உரளும் படைக்கல முடைய மால்புரு டோத்தமன் வாழ்வு எழுமையுங் கூடி மீண்டிய பால மிறைப்பொ**ழு தளவினி லெல்லா**ம் *கழுவிடும் பெருமைக் சங்கையின் கரைமே*ல் கண்ட மென் னும் கடி. கக்கே. (VI. 7. 5.)

KULASEKHARA.

- (1) எம்மான்பி லயன் நான்கு நாவிறையும் எடுக்கேத்தி பீரிலண்டு முகமுக் கொண்டு எம்மா இ செடியில் கண்க கொட்டி குறைம் தொழுதேத்தி மினிதிறைஞ்ச கின்ற செய்பொன் (13.)
- (2) உன்தானி வில்லா உணற்கி உளக்கரம் தொழுதேத்த மன்னனைவான் சின் முகைய யரிய 2ணை மே லிருந்தாயை கேடுங்கானம் பட சப்போகு என்று எெம் மிராமாலோ உணப்பயக்க maGad si Gerp Cail கன்*ளுக* நா**னில**த்தை யாள்வித்தேன் கன்ம+னே புன்னே கானே. (IX. 1.)

The suffix of in படரப்போரு seems to be வியக்கோன் as pointed out by seif of it of in continue 42 in the expression was all which he splits into

upic & softix is probably same as Telugu imperative suffix & as in some = do not send which however is restricted to negation.

THONDARADIPPODI.

பெள்ள மூரால் சுகங்களும்ப்பான் பெரியதோ ரிடும்பை பூன்கு உன்க அ நாக் கடக்குப்போற உடலு i கே கரைக் த எக் த தன் கழாய் மாஃலமார்பன் **தமர்களாய்ப் பாடியாடி** தொண்டுபூன் டமுதமுண்ணுத் கொழும்பர்சேச அகக்குமாறே.

NAMMALWAR.

(1) அமைவுடை யறகெறி முழுவத முபர்வுற வுயக்க் து அமைவுடை முதல்கெட கொடிவிகட யற்கேல் மதுவரம். (I. 3. 3)

(2) காதசனத்தை கலியும் கஞ்ச**்**னச் சாதிப்பதற்கு ஆகியஞ்சோதி யுருவை யங்கு அவத் திற்குப்பிறந்த.

(111. 5. 5)

127.

(5)

This is இரு கீற்றப்பக்கிம் metre.

(3) கன்றுய்ஞானம் கடக்கபேசய் கல்லிர்திரிய மெல்ல: மீர்த் தொன் முய்க்கிடந்த அம்ரு பெருப்பு முலப்பிலுக்கை யுணர்க் துணர்க்கு சென்றுங்கின்பத் தன்பங்கள் செற்றக்களேக்க பணசயற்றுக் அன்றேயப் போதேகிடு அதுவேலீ இ வீடாமே.

In our edition the last line is given with Sandhi | 10. which makes the line irregular and we have thought in it necessary to introduce non-Saudhi.

(VIII. 8 6.) 4

We have not quoted Natchiar as her irstances occur only in metres which not having Natchiar not survived to us are not modern and quoted. may there fore present difficulties to the reader unacquainted with the peculiarities of ancient metres.

We have quoted as many ancient poets as are available for the purpose, and the in-Instances are stauces quoted are most of them only from modern those that occur in metres that have metres only. become modern by surviving to us. We have carefully avoided ancient metres which seem

here died away only by more esprice of time raber than from west of any vitality in them to sucnin their long existence.

The instances that are found on the point are all prior to saddenance said to whose literary genius and disinterested la-. ad in the lat medern port. boars in the cause of ancient literaare Tamil is so vastly indebted. We have not seen able to meet with any instance since his time and taking him therefore as the beginning of modern metry, we may roughly say that no instances occur a modern poetry and we even venture to think that here are no instances in Possessoul which if the satement is true will have to be ranked under modern soetry. The peculiarity in question therefore may e utilised as some test to distinguish ancient from sodern poetry.

It is now clear that the instances are not peculiar to Sambandha but are only Ignorast upiexemplifications of a rule univera of Rembes ib a's poetry. sally observed by ancient poets. We have thought it necessary to say so much here on besalf of Sambandha as an opinion seems to be privatey gaining ground among readers of Tamil poetry inanding even some of the orthodox Pandit class that the poetry of Sambandha and his contemporaries is tot amenable to the so called rules of Tamil grammar and proceedy, and is remarkable for looseness of metrial and grammatical construction. Such an opinion sas been openly expressed to us in a private converation by a highly esteemed Tamil gentleman of very rreat western learning, for whose views we always encertained very great regard. Fortunately however, the opinion line not gained sufficient strengt to force itself into public utterance so far as we are aware. The fact however seems to be that in the melody of metres and in the power and accuracy of expressions and thought the ancient poets have never been equalled by the best of modern poets.

ACTHORITY IS SUPPORT OF THE RULE

When we come to consider the authority upon such the deviation rests, we find there is absolutely one in Tamil so far as we can see nor are we aware that the rule has ever been recognised by the Pandit cass. Everything belonging to ancient poetry expet the history of a few men is involved in great been my. Dravidian literature, Dravidian grammar and Dervician procedy are a perfect blank in Tamil

Dravidina pe callerities used thead in Tamil. literature. There appears to have been very great literary Dravidian activity about the time of Sambandha which was mysteriously enough followed by

a long night of literary darkness which dawned suddeny into a brilliant splendour of day light in the time

Literary imtivity maceada Sambundin. of single-straid. What befol the Tamil people before his time and why they have cessed to follow up Sambandha and Saudarar, and how

Sambandha's dazaling and brilliant day light came to be overtaken by a long night of gloomy literary darkness, we are unable to understand. So that when people awoke after the long darkness that mysteriously succeed Sambandha, they found his history and postry as belonging to a quite different age altogether, into which they ventured not to investigate. During that long darkness whatever was Dravidian was lost in oblivion and it was succeeded by pure Aryan influence and Aryan activity. Though

Tolugu recognime the peculiarity. therefore there is absolutely no authority on the point in Tamil, yet we are glad to find that the usage has been sanctioned long ago by Telugu gra-

mmarians. We shall quote the several authorities that are forthcoming in Telugu on the point of which the oldest and the best is that of Atharwana Chary.

We shall refer to the several authorities in Teluguand then try to see how far they support Sambandha.

There is a Telugu grammar in Sanskrit Anushtub

Atharwana Slokas by Atharwana Chary called

Atharwana Karika where the following rule is laid down on the point.

(1) मन्द्रवर्ष्यक्रक केल्रांक्ट्रवर्ष्ट्रकार्थिक

Venkish's gram. vowel &) where there occurs a pause in a sentence.

The following rule which occurs in Venkish's grammar seems to be but a paraphrase of the above rule.

బాక్స్ విరామకుండు ఉకారమునకు ఆచ్చువరణుగునవుడు సంధి లేఖన్నను దోమంజులేను సంధిపరిచ్చేదము art 11)

(When there is a pause in a sentence it is no fault even if final & followed by a vowel nudergoes no Sandhi.)

There is a Telugu grammar of quits recent date

Balavyakara.

by Chinnaya Sury of some original
research called Balavyakaranamu,

where the rule occurs with some modification as follows.

వాక్యావసానంబున సంధిలేమిరో చంబుగాదని యాచ్యులం డు నంధిగరిచేదము art 54)

(Learned men say that at the end of a sentence non-Sandhi is no error.)

స్ట్రామసర్వ భేయ సు.—ఆది లేని పోసర్వధర్వ మాలు వ్యక్థి మాలు $oldsymbol{\pi}$

This is an example of non-Sandhi in prose given by the author who again adds the following note.

ఇట్లు పంథినిర హంటు కావ్యంటులం బాదాంతమంద మాపెట్టైడు (70)

(This kind of absence of Sandhi is found only at the end of a line in poetry.)

These are the authorities and we shall quote instances from Telugu literature to justify the rule. We have been able to obtain only two instances on the point in Telugu, one of which occurs in Nannaya Bhatta's Bharata and is quoted in Venkiah's grammar and the other occurs in Vasucharitramu which we have obtained with some difficulty. It will be interesting to know why the examples are so few in Telugu, while they abound in ancient Tamil and we cannot say how many more examples will be forthcoming in Telugu literature on closer research. The example quoted from Bharata in Venkiah's grammar is as follows:

(ఆట వెలది) చడగడన్న కానుమ భయువిహ్<u>వాలుడు గాడు</u> <u>ఆరద్</u>రమునకు నూతుడచ్వుతుండు

The verse here being a pure Telugu metre called Ataveladi the last foot of the 1st line must be either a மால்காய்ச்சேர் or விளச்சேர், that is to say, exactly the same foot that begins the Tamil well known metre used throughout கிகண்டு, and which we have therefore called elsewhere கிகண்டு விருத்தம் for ready identification. So we find here at the end of the 1st line coance which is புளிமால்காய் a quite legitimate foot at the end of the 1st line of Ataveladi. This is the final foot of the 1st line if there is no Sandhi. But with Sandhi the lines would read as follows:

పడగనున్న హనుమళయనిహ్<u>వలుడు నా</u>

<u>తరన</u>చునకు సూత:డచ్యుతుం**డు**

Where the last foot is exam which is a warfin quite inadmissible at the end of the 1st line of Ataveladi and the metre would be destroyed. Hence to preserve the harmony of the line the author has overlooked the ordinary rule of Sandhi and indulged in non-Sandhi.

The next example is as follows:

(ಶೆಟಗಿಕ) ಹೆಯುಂಗನ್ನು ಶಿಲ್ಪು ಭಾವಧುನಿಸುವು ಯು ವಿಕಮಗುಶಾರ ಕ್ರೌಕಂಬ್ಸ್ ಸನ್ನ

ನನಲ್ಲ ಚರ್ರ್ಯ ಜನ್ಮ ಕೆಕುಡ್ಡು ರಾಜ

ఆ<u>ని-</u>, డాక్ట్రామ్ పరిశ్రా చేశుడు గొలు శ (విమాచ ిల్లే ము III. రి.)

This is also a pure Telugu metre called Thetagita. Here the non-Sandhi occurs at the end of the 3rd line and the beginning of the 4th line viz., in version where there being no Sandhi the Telugu Liu Bour as is used to connect the vowels as version just as in Tamil in the instances already quoted the Liu Bour (a) is used. This same instance in Tamil in the absence of Sandhi would be written as an another.

In Thetagita the final foot of the 1st line is a short which is supplied by the word or, but if Sandhi should have operation here under the ordinary rule we should have only or at the end which is only a syllable and not a week as the rules of Thetagita require.

These are the authorities and instances from Telugu and we have to carefully consider their full scope as upon them depends the right construction of so many excellent lines in Sambandha which are apparently irregular to our modern ear.

Vagisa's instances are simple and clear on the point but it is Sambandha that presents insuperable difficulties to us as his instances occur not only at the end of lines but even inside them and we have therefore to see what support there is in Telugu for his peculiar instances.

In the 2nd example above quoted from Vasucharitra the annotator has the following note on the peculiarity of non-Sandhi.

' ఆధిఒడాన్న చోట సంధిసేయు ఇదిలేదు" (It is needless to bave Sandhi in 'anilude'). Then the following authority is quoted.

ళ్లో • నస్యాబ్వాక్యవిరామేతు సంధిర్వానాపిడోవిషకృత్ (already quoted) అనిఆధర్వణ వచనము

' ఇక్కడవాక్యమన గాపాడము' (Here sentence means line)

In the Sutra quoted from Atharwana Charya, the expression used is and under witers in a sentence, and it is interpreted by later writers as ungarage (end of a line in poetry) and we have to see how farm the interpretation is warranted.

(To be continued.)

T. VIRABADRA MUDALIAR, B.A., R.S.

THE SVETASVATARA UPANISHAD. (From the Madras Beview).

are glad to say that Professor Max Müller has dealy the ground before us, of many missoone-ptions lallacies which were entertained about this lishad. He mests in his own way the arguments bed to show that this is a modern Upanishad hat it is a sectarian Upanishad, an Upanishad Sankhya and of Bhakti school and so on, and inclusions are that "No real argument has ever brought forword to invalidate the tradition a represents it as belonging to the Taitteiya or Yajur Veda," and he points out that it "holds in high rank among Upanishads" and that its length; in the same as the Doctrine of the Vedanta sophy.

ressor Garbe and Macdonnel however, in their is works, speak of this as a Sirile compilation, he latter scholar refers to the Upanishad itself bing the authorship to a sage called Svetasvatara, to other Upanishads. But this is not characterist this Upanishad alone. The fifteenth khands last Prapathaka of Chandogya Upanishad also the line of teachers in a similar way and their similar statement in the Manduka Upanishad and the When each Hymn of the Rig Veda has its author, it cannot be any surprise that each fular Upanishad should have an individual in; and we don't suppose the Professor inclines forthodox view that the Veda and the Upanishads in human authors, and were revealed.

regard to the other and deep rooted fallacy its being a sectorian Upani-had, we shall speak at length.

taking this objection they mean to imply also it is modern. And cariodaly enough we read solars ascribing dates for the rise of these sects sencing from the 10th and 12th centuries. And 7. W. Hunter seriously contends that Sankara the great Apostle of Saivism. But these writers it see that the History of Hindu Religion is as and as the History of the Hindu Philosophy, and the people must have had a popular religion, ain the very days, these Upanishads were compand that the Puranas which embodied the see of the Upanishad teachings existed in a

popular form even in those ancient days, and the words Itihasa, Purana, occur even in the oldest Upanishada.* These Upanishada are quoted by name in the Puranas and particular passages are also commented on.

And it will be an interesting study as to what was the religion of the people in the days of the Upanishads and Mahabharata and Ramayaua and of the l'aranse, and to compare the same with the existing phases of Hindu Religion. We may briefly indicate our own conclusions on the subject though we could not give our reasons in detail-to wit-that so far as any room for comparisons exist,—the traditions and beliefs and ceremonials and faith of the modern day Saivas (among whom may be included all Saktas and Ganapatyas), who form now the bulk of the Hindu Race, were exactly the same as those of the people of the days of the the oldest Upanishade and Mahabharata and Ramayana. According to the opinions of many old scholars like Lassen, Wilson and Mair and others, the worship of Siva represented the cult of the Higher castes, Brahmaus and Kshatriyes, and a text of Manu mentions that Siva is the God of the Brahmans, and it is remarkable how the picture of Siva is exactly the same as that of any ancient Rishi (ride some of the Poons Ravi Varma's pictures). Dr. W. W. Hanter remarks that Sankara in esponsing Saivaism combined in the system the highest Philosophy of the ancients and the most popular form of Religion.

Regarding the conception of Siva and its growth from Vedic times, scholars love to tell as that Rudra was nowhere called Siva in the Rig Veda and that he merely represented the storm (iod, with his thander, lightning and the rains, rushing down from the snow-capped hills; and that this Rudra slowly grew into Siva of the Hindu Trind, and scholars have not failed to remark about His composite and contradictory aspects.

There is considerable truth in this, and we can clearly trace that in His person is slowly built up the conception of the various Vedic Deities, Indra and Agni, Varuna and Vayu, Surya and Soma, Vishnu and Brahma, and by the time the Vedas were arranged into Rig. Yajur and Saman and Atharvan, Rudra's position as the God of gods, had become searred; and by the time of the carliest Upan shads, when the purely sacrificial Yagnas were being given

sto's Philosophy of Ancient India (1897) and Macdonnell's of Sanskrit Literature (1800).

Bribad. Ar. Up. 2 -- 4 -- 10 and 4 - 1 -- 2 Maitr. 6-32 and 33 Obandog VII. 1-2.

up, the worship of Rudra-Siva supplanted the worship of the Vedic Deities, and instead of a blind worship of the elements, a marked distinction was drawn between the Supreme God who dwelt in these elements and gave them special power and glory, and this conception was stereotyped later on by Siva being the Ashtamurti, the god who had for his body, the five elements, earth, air, water, fire and akas, sun and moon and the soul, and Siva has temples dedicated to him, in which He is worshipped in these eight forms.

Rudra is derived by Sayana from the roots, Rutdrávâyita, meaning 'he who drives away sorrow.' And consistant with this derivation, Rudra is called in the Rig Veda itself, as the 'bountiful' and the 'Healer' possessed of various remedies (the later Vaidyanath) 'benign' and 'gracious.' And the term Siva clearly appears in the following text of the Rig Veda (X. 92—9) "Stoman va adya Rudraya s'ikvase kshyad-vîrâya namasa didishtana yebhîh Sivah svavan evayêvabhir divah sisbakti svayasah nikam bhî."*

Those who are conversant with the actual performing of yagnas will know how the place of the respective priests, Adhwarya, Hotri, and Udgatri and Brahman are fixed as well as the place of the various gods. And the chief place is assigned to Rudra and apart from other gods. This will clearly explain the force of the epithet of "Medhapatim" in Rig Veda, 1-43-4 "Gathapatim, Medhapatim Rudram Jalashabhesajam, tat samyoh sumuam imabe" (We seek from Rudra, the lord of songs, the lord of Sacrifices who possesses healing remedies, his auspicious favaour) as also "king of sacrifices" (Rig. 4-3.) And Medhapati is the same word as the more popular word Pasupati, l'asu meaning the animal offered in sacrifice, Yagna-Pasu, and symbolically representing the bound soul-jiva. As the Pati of all sacrifices, He is the fulfiller of sacrifices, 'Yajua sidham'(Rig. I. 114-4) and 'Rudram yagnanam sadhad ishtim apasam' (III. 2-5). As the God of gods, He is said to "derive His renown from Him elf" 'Rudraya Srayasase' His glory is said to be inherent, independent or self-defendant God, 'Svadhavane' (Rig. VII. 46-I) He is also called Svapivata, which is variously explained as meaning 'readily understanding' 'accessible.' gracious,' 'be by whom life is con-

quered,' 'he whose command cannot be transgressed 'thou by whom prayers (words) are readily received. He is called the 'father of the worlds,' Bhavanary Pitaram,' VI. 49-10, and the kich story of He becoming the Father of the fatherless Maruts can be recalled in many a Puranic story, and local legen and comman folklore.

He is 'anter ichchanti'—beyond all thought (VII 61-3). His form as described in the Rig Veda almost the same as the Image of later days. He called the Kapardin, with 'spirally braided hair He is of 'Hiranya Rupam' 'golden formed' a brilliant like the sun, and 'shining like gold' "Y sukra iva Sûryo hiranyam iva ro' chati" (I. 43-5) And in Rig Veda, X. 136-1 to 7, He is the 'Louhaired being who sustains the fire, water and the two worlds; who is to the view the entire sky; and we is called this 'Light' He is wind clad (naked) a drinks Visha (water or poison) and a Muni is iden fied with Rudra in this aspect.

When we come to Yajur Veda, His supre Majesty is fully developed, and He is express called Siva by name 'Siva nama'si (Vaj. S. 3-6 and the famous mantra, the Panchakshara, is sa to be placed in the very heart of the three Ved (the name occurs in Tait. S. IV. 5, 1-41 'name sambhave cha mayobave cha namah Sankaraya c mayaskaraya cha NAMAH SIVAYA cha Sivatara cha"). And the famous Satarudriyam which praised in the Upanishads and in the Mahabhas forms also a central portion of this central Ved And this is a description of God as the all, the all all, and transcending all 'Visvadevo, 'Viswaswaru Visvádiko'; and anybody can see that the famo passage in the Gîtâ in chapters 10 and 11 mere parodies this other passage and these two chapte are respectively called Vibhuti Vistara Yoga Visvarupa Sandarshana Yoga which is exactly t character of the Satarudriya. The Yogi who reached the highest state " Sees all in God and G in all." In the Satarudriya and in the whole Vet Rudra is called Siva, Bankara Sambhu, Isana, h. Bhagavan, Bhava, Sarva, Ugra, Soma, Pasupa Nilagripa, Girisa, Mahadeva and Maheshude And the most famous mantra 'Ekam Eva Ruda nadvitiyaya taste' whose very existence in the Vet and Upanishads scholars doubted at one time, occiin the Yajur Samhita (Tait.) in 1 Canto, 8 Prass.

With reverence present your Hymn to day to the mighty Rudra, the ruler of heroes, [and to the Maruts] those rapid and ardent deities with whom the gracious (Sivah) and opulent (Rudra) who derives his renown from himself, protects us from the aky."

Note how often the Supreme is called the Golden-coloured, and Suulike in the Upsnishads.

i-very mantra is and if the Upaniwill be a su how ne other famous ritiyam Brahwa." mas' occurs even God and in the sing. And Prof. Irawing attention mere Impersonal ption of God as atta we have above or of surmises as ne sole reason that he and Rudra.

panishanda, these ideva, Isa, Isana, same as Deva or, and they had no mer net of words seam to have. In i, Isa, Maheswam i are freely need. Gitt, though the greatest prejudice

ects of Sivs. As of Rudrs, as all was fully evolved, rarious aspects of I and beneficent. des in the follow-

e and gentle too weet leavenly dew dies away f day, pleasant rain ull again."

fierce storm, with
r, yet no one can
n the dwellers in
the many famines.
et his existence is
and maturity of all
be noted that not
the case of other
rolent powers are
Supreme Double

Personality of Siva is thus explained in the Mahabharata by Lord Krishna himself. "Large armed Yudhishthira, anderstand from me the greatness of the glorious, multiform many named Ruden. They called Mahadava. Agni, Sthann, Maheswara, one-eved. Triyambaka, the Universal formed and Siva. Brahmans vered in the l'eda know two bodies of this God, one awful, one anspicious; and these two hodies have a min many forms. The dire and awful body is fire, lightning, the son : the auspicious and beautiful body is virtue, water and the moon. The half of his essence is fire and the other half is called the moon. The one which is his auspicious body practises chastity, while the other which is his most dreadful body, destroys the world. From his being Lord and Great He is called Mahesvara, Since he consumes. since he is fiery, flerce, glorious, an eater of flesh, blood and marrow-he is called Radra. As He is the greatest of the gods, as His domain is wide and as He preserves the vast Universe, -He is called Mahadeva. From his smoky colour, he is called Dhariati. Since he constantly prospers all men in all their acts, seeking their welfare (Siva), He is therefore called Siva."* And in this, we see Him as not only the destroyer but as the Reproducer and Preserver and as such the conception of Siva transcends the conception of Rudra as one of the Trinity.

And it can be shown that the picture of God as the fierce and the terrible is not altogether an unchristian idea.

The following paras, we call from a book called "The Woodlands in Europe" intended for Christian readers; and we could not produce better arguments for the truth of our conception of the Supreme Siva, the Destroyer and the Creator and the Preserver (vide p. 6, Sivagnanabotham, English Edition).

"And how about the dead leaves which senson after season, strew the ground beneath the trees? Is their work done because, when their bright summer life is over, they lie softly down to rest under the wintry boughs? Is it only death, and nothing beyond? Nay; if it is death, it is death giving place to life. Let us call it rather change, progress, transformation. It must be progress, when the last year's leaves make the soil for the next year's flowers, and in so doing serve a set purpose and fulfil a given mission. It

[&]quot; 'Siva' is derived from 'Vasi' which occurs in Katha-Up. see Lalita Saharranama Commentary under 'Siva.'

must be transformation, when one thing passes into another, and instead of being annihilated, begins life again in a new shape and form.

"It is interesting to remember that the same snow which weighs down and breaks those fir branches is the nursing mother of the flowers. Softly it comes down upon the tiny seeds and the tender buds and covers them up lovingly, so that from all the stern rigour of the world without, they are safely sheltered. Thus they are getting forward, as it were, and life is already swelling within them; so that when the sun shines and the snow melts they are ready to burst forth with a rapidity which seems almost miraculous.

"It is not the only force gifted with both preserving and destroying power, according to the aspect in which we view it. The fire refines and purifies, but it also destroys; and the same water which rushes down in the cataract with such overwhelming power, falls in the gentlest of drops upon the thirsty flower cup and fills the hollow of the leaf with just the quantity of dew which it needs for its refreshment and sustenance. And in those higher things of which nature is but the type and shadow, the same grand truth holds good; and from our Bibles we learn that the consuming fire and the love that passeth knowledge are but different sides of the same God:—Just and yet merciful; that will by no means clear the guilty, yet showing mercy unto thousands."

Badarayana also touches upon this subject in I., iii., 40 and we quote below the Purvapaksha and Sidhanta views on this question from the commentary of Srikanta.

"Because of trembling (I, iii, 40), In the Katha-Vallis, in the section treating of the thumb-sized Purusha, it is said as follows:

"Whatever there is, the whole world when gone forth (from the Brahman) trembles in the breath; (it is) a great terror, the thunderbolt uplifted; those who know it become immortal." (cit. 6, 2).

Here a doubt arises as to whether the cause of trembling is the Paramesvara or some other being.

(Purvapaksha):—Here the Sruti speaks of the trembling of the whole universe by fear caused by the entity denoted by the word "breath." It is not right to say that the Parames'vara, who is so sweet natured as to afford refuge to the whole universe and who is supremely gracious, is the cause of the

tembling of the whole universe. word 'thunderbolt' occurs here, it is the thund that is the cause of trembling. Or it is the vit which is the cause of the trembling, because the 'breath' occurs here. Since the vital air caus motion of the body, this whole world which body as it were, moves on account of the va Then we can explain the passage "whatever is, the whole world, when gone forth (fre Brahman) trembles in the breath." Then we de explain the statement that "it is a great term thunderbolt uplifted," inasmuch as like light cloud and rain, the thunderbolt which is the of great terror is produced by action of the air It is also possible to attain immortality by a know of the air as the following S'rnti says:

"Air is everything itself and the air is all together; he who knows this conquers death.

Up. 5-3-2).

(Siddhanta):—As against the foregoing, that Paramesvara himself is the cause trembling. It is possible that, as the Ruler, Parama is the cause of trembling of the whole uniand by the fear of His command all of us abstain prohibited actions and engage in the predictions; and it is by the fear of His command Vayu and others perform their respective dutions are learned from such passages as the ing:—

"By fear of Him, Vayu the wind) blows." Up. 2-8).

Though gracious in appearance, Paramesva comes awful as the Ruler of all. Hence the St

Hence the King's face has to be awful! (Tail 5-8-23).

Wherefore as the Master, Isvara Himself cause of the trembling of the whole universe."

J. M. NALLASWAMI PILI

(To be continued).

IGHT OF TRUTH

-- OR --

SIDDHANTA DEEPIKA.

anthly Journal, Devoted to Religion, Philosophy, Literature, Science, &c.

Immenced on the Queen's Commemoration Day, 1897.

L IV.

MADRAS, FEBRUARY 1901.

No. g.

TRANSLATIONS.

#DA'NTA-SUTRAS WITH S'RI'KANTHA-BHA'SHYA.

*(Continued from page 172)

Adhikarana 9

m the duties of the order (should be observed) because they are enjoined. (III. iv. 32.)

scase of a house-holder (gribastha) who does as knowledge (Vidyā) we understand that the other order (a'srama) such as sacrificial rites to observed, because they are enjoined as such and "He should offer oblation into the fire out life," and that they should be observed to means of acquiring Vidyā as declared in the Brāhmanas seek to know Him by a rites, gifts," etc.

And also as accessories (III. iv. 33.)

so further understand that even in the case of spossesses knowledge (Vidyå), they should be

observed, because they are enjoined as accessory to Vidya in the words "He who has known both Vidya and Avidya" etc.

Now, a doubt arises as to whether the sacrificial rites, etc., should be performed only once, or twice separately—as a means to Vidya when the man does not possess knowledge, and again as an accessory (anga) to Vidya when the same man has obtained knowledge inasmuch as they are enjoined both as a means and as an accessory to Vidya.

(Púrrapaksha):—In virtue of the two injunctions, they should be observed twice.

(Siddhanta) :- The Sutrakira says :

In any case, they are identical; because of the marks pointing both ways. (III. iv. 34.)

Because of the two injunctions occurring in the two different contexts, the same sacrificial rites may be regarded as assuming two different forms; but they are nevertheless identical, inasmuch as their identity is recognised everywhere. Though, thus, the acts are identical in themselves, yet on the principle of

^{*} Im Up. 11.

Samyoga Prithaktvas there is no contradiction involved in viewing them in two distinct lights. Though performed only once, one and the same act may be regarded in two distinct lights in virtue of the double injunction. For instance, one and the same sacrificial post made of Khadira wood enters into an obligatory (Nitya and an optional Kamya, interested) rite in virtuo of the following double injunction: "The sacrificial post can be made of Khadira wood"; and "in the case of one who desires manliness, they make the sacrificial post of Khadira wood." In the same way, the sacrificial rites, etc., are prescribed as a means of attaining Vidys in the case of one who does not possess it, and as an accessory of Vidya in the case of one who possesses it, and for these two purposes it will do to perform them only once.

And the Srati declares that there is no overpowering. (III. iv. 35.) In the words "by Dharma he removes sin,"† the Srati declares that, by way of removing the sin obstructing the rise of Vidya, the effect of the performance of the sacrificial rites is the removal of all the forces which may overpower Vidya. Hence no inconsistency such as the one urged above.

Adhikarana 10.

(Vidya is possible even for those who stand) in the intermediate stages, because it is seen. (III, iv. 36.)

It has been shewn that Vidya is possible for those who belong to the recognised orders of holy life (as'ramas). Now a doubt arises as to whether Vidya is possible or not for those who do not belong to any of the recognised orders.

(Púrvapaksha):—The Snàtaka,—i.e., one who has left the student-life but has not yet entered on the life of a honseholder,—and the widower are those who do not belong to any of the recognised orders of holy life. For them Brahmavidyà is not attainable, because of the absence of the duties of a recognised order (âs'rama) which constitute the means of acquiring Vidyâ.

(Siddhanta):—As against the foregoing we hold as follows: Vidya is quite possible even for those who do not belong to any of the recognised orders, inasmuch as we find it stated in the Sruti that Raikva and other such persons attained Vidya.

As to the contention that it is not possible of the non-performance of the duties of any recognised orders, the Sütrakara says:

It is, moreover, declared in the Smrith. (III. iv. 2)
It is stated in the Smriti that even for those do not belong to a recognised order Vidya is not attainment by means of prayers and the like

"By prayer alone a Brâlmana can attain tion: as to this there is no doubt. Let anything else, or not do it. A kind ed man is called a Brâhmana."*

Vidya is said to be possible of attainment by a special (III. iv. 38).

The Sruti states that it is possible to attain by means of special acts which are not comany of the recognised orders:

"By austerity, by celibacy, by faith, and by tation, let him seek the self.";

Let the other be superior, because of the indicatory ;
()II. iv. 39.)

It is better to belong to one of the recorders than not to belong to any, because the enables one to accumulate more merit (Di Moreover, the Smriti says "Let no twice bon remain for even a single day without belong one of the recognised orders." Thus, it is quithat to belong to one of the recognised orders than not to belong to any of them. Still, in treme cases, the Vidya ir possible of attainment for those who are outside the pale of the recorders, by means of prayer and the like.

Adhikarana II.

For one who has become such, there can be no ceasis be such, as Jaimini also thinks, because of the prohibition against ceasing to be such. (III, iv. 40).

Now, a doubt arises as to whether a descent to mer stage is allowed or not to those who have the order of celibates.

(Prirvapaksha):—Even descent to a lower of optional, like the ascent to a higher order we taught in the following passage:

"Having completed student-life, let him be householder. He may even become a wa after retiring to the forest from home."

The same act enjoined in two different contexts may put on two distinct aspects.

[↑] Mahaua 79.

[•] Manu, 11, 87.

⁺ Prasna Up. 1-2.

[‡] Daksha 1.

S Jabala Up. 4.

middhantu):—As sgainst the foregoing, we argue the rottelasion as follows:—For one who has accept the life of a perpetual student: Naishthika) it is maintition of the abandonment of that life. It is many prohibited by the B'ruti in the words, "moring his tody in the teacher's family till death." a sollowing passages prohibit the descent:

methingo to the forest, and let him not some

Taving renounced fires, let him not return again."

All there exist no Scriptural passages permitting and, as there exist those concerning ascent. Addingly, since the S'ruti expressly prohibit their ment, and since no passage in the Sroti is found perming descent, those who descend down from a three stage are not fit for Vidyá. This is also Jainiview of the matter.

Sutrakers proceeds to show that the man who mecended from a higher order cannot regain quamion for Vidya by means of explatory rites.:

he oven (the engineery rite) conferring qualification (is possible), because, since the smallt speaks of them as fallen, it is impossible for them. (EEL. 19. 41).

the case of a student who has broken the vow of city, the racrifice of an ass is prescribed as the story rite by which to regain his qualification for rites, as taught in the section of the Minamas ing of qualification for Vedio rites." Even this story rite is not possible in the case of him who sees conded down from a higher stage of life. The act mays:

*he man who, having once ascended to the life of perpetual student, descends down from it,—
in him I see no expiation, whereby that killer
if the self may be purified'.

Smriti thus denying his qualification for the story rite, he cannot regain qualification by that

ine also (regard it as at minor sin and (therefore claim (the) existence (of explaints) as in the case of eating (forbidden fool). This has been declared. (EEL iv. 48).

mue hold that, as it is a minor sin, it admits of stion, just as the enting of honey (on the part of stident) admits of an expiation. It has been said, that is tinght in the case of a student applies to the part orders when there is no contradiction." That

is to say, what has been tought in the case of an ordinary student (who in due course will enter on the life of a householder) applies to men of other orders, provided that it does not run counter to what has been expressly enjoined on those other orders.

But they should be kept outside (the pale), in either once, because of the smatti and outsen. (EXL iv. 42).

Whether it be a minor sin or a major sin, these transgressors are excluded from the path of the Vedic rites, etc. For, the Smriti says:

"I see no expustion whereby that killer of the self may be purified."

And they are excommunicated from the society of the orthodox. Wherefore, from all points of view, those who have fullen down from a higher stage, are not qualified for Vidya.

Adhikarana-12.

A trops thinks that it pertains to the sacrificer, because of the fruit (being assigned to him) by the strail. (III, iv, 44).

The contemplation of the Udgitha, etc., has been taught. A doubt arises as to whether it has to be done by the sacrificer, or by his priests (Ritviks).

(Prirapaksha,:—The contemplation of the Udgitha. etc., has to be done by the sacrificer himself, for it is he that is said to reap the fruit of the sacrifice sequiring more power, not the priests (Bitviks). So thinks A'treya.

Andedessi thinks that it is the duty of the priori, For that indeed in he paid. (EEL 17, 48),

"Let the Udgatri priest who knows this shall say ..."
In these words occurring in the sequel it is expressly said that the Udgatri priest is the one who should contemplate. According to Auduloui, the act of contemplating is the duty of the priest.

(Object):—How can it be that the act is done by one person while the fruit goes to another?

(Insucer):—For, the priests have been paid by the sacrificer for the performance of supplementary nots as well as of the main act. It is certainly for the performance of the sacrificial rites with all its supplementary acts that the priests are paid by the sacrificer. I'herefore what is done by the priest forms the act of the sacrificer; and hence no incongruity in holding that the fruit of the act goes to the master of the sacrifice.

Adhikarana 13

The third is, like the marificial rites etc, enjoined in the case of the possessor of Vidya', because of the accepted.

some (of the word). (272, iv. 46).

In the Kahola-Brahmana it is said :

"Therefore, let a Brahmana, after he has done with learning, seek to remain in childhood; theu, after he has done with learning and childhood (let him be) a Muni."

⁴⁶a. Up. 3-23-2. Mrvs Nimames 6-8-22.

^{*} Chb& 1-7-8

⁺ Bri. Up. 3-5-8.

Having thoroughly acquired learning, i.e., having determined the main drift of the teaching of the many Upanishads, let a Brahmana seek to remain in childhood; that is to say, let him lend mother mode of life, resembling childhood in so far as it is devoid of love and hatred, and the like. Having attained perfection in learning and the child-like state, then he is (to be) a Muni. Here a doubt arises as to whether the life of a Muni is the subject of an injunction or not.

(Pirrapaksha) :- As there are no such words as "He shall become a Muni," showing an injunction, it is not enjoined.

(Siddhanta):-As against the foregoing we hold as follows :- The third one, namely, the state of a sage (Muni), is enjoined, as another accessory, on the possessor of Vidya, in addition to learning and childhood, just as the sacrificial rites, etc., are enjoined. For, the word 'Muni' is familiar to all as denoting one who is given to profound meditation. This profound meditation which consists in constantly revolving the object of worship in thought is enjoined with a view to the perfecting of Vidya: the words "let him remain" being repeated in this connection. Therefore if, is possible to make out an injunction of meditation.

Adhikarana 14.

For the inclusion of all, verily does the Sruti conclude with the householder, (III. iv. 47).

A doubt arises as to whether Vidya is common to all As'ramas, or confined to some only.

(Parrapaksha): -Since the student (Brahmacharin) is dependent on the teacher for the study of the Vedas, since the householder (Grihastha) is engrossed in the maintenance of the family, since the forestdweller (Vanaprastha) is engaged in the hermit-life. knowledge and meditation are not possible for them. The S ruti connects Samnyasa with those who possess the knowledge of the Vedantic teaching in the following words:

"They who have determined the nature of things by their knowledge of the Vedántic teaching, those Yatis who, by Samnyásayoga, have purified their minds,"* etc.

in connection with the practice of meditation (Dhyana), the S'ruti declares that the devotee should be one "leading the life of the last as'rama."+ Therefore, knowledge and meditation are meant for the last order of men, not for others.

(Siddhanta) :- Vidya is quite possible for all orders of men. The possibility of Vidya for all orders of men is taught in the Chhandogya-Upanishad in the following words:

" He who....keeping up the memory of what he has learnt by repeating it regularly in some sacred spot,...he who behaves thus all his life reaches,

Mahana 10-22.

the world of Brahman and does not return, he does not return."*

Though the Sruti thus cincludes with the hold holder, all orders are meant. So also, though the last order alone is mentioned in connection with meditation, other orders also must have been means Therefore, Vidya is quite possible for all orders men. (In the Sruti quoted above in the Purvapaksha 'Samnyasa' means renunciation of fruits, and " Yat means one who has subdued the senses. All this quite possible for all orders of men.

Adhikarana 15.

Because, like the life of a muni, others, too, are prescribed (III, iv. 48 t.

The Atharvasiras says:

"Having cut off desire, having thought over h reason the root of the aggregate of causes, havin fixed the mind in Rudra, -in Rudra, they say is unity,-in view of the eternal and ancie Rudra, who is food and strength, by austern do ye restrain yourselves. This is the vow honor of Pas'upati."

This Pas'upata-vrata, this sacred vow in honor Pasupati, taught in the Atharvas'iras is clearly taugi in the Puranas. Now a doubt arises as to whether Vidyâ and Moksha are attained by those who dero themselves to this vow.

(Parvapaksha) :- It would seem that they cannot ; tain Moksha, but that they attain only some minor, sults; for, this vow is not ranked among the religion orders; there is a limit to its duration; and it has been observed by Krishna and others with a view to obtain sons and the like fruits.

Siddhanta) :- As against the foregoing we hold follows: Mukti itself accrues to those who observe the vow till death. The accomplishment of Vidva consist in deep meditation of Rudra, the Supreme Brahma Like the life of a Muni, other duties belonging to t other orders, such as mendicant life, control of the bod and the senses, are enjoined even in connection wi the Pis'upata-vratra. The meditation of Rudra, which is the severance of the bond (Pas'a) and which produ ces Moksha, is enjoined on those who devote the selves to the observance of this vow : "They say uni is in Rudra. In view of the eternal and ancient Ruda do ye restrain yourselves by austerity . With the Mai tra 'Agni is the ashes,' etc , do ye take the ashes an touch the limbs smearing them all. Hence this is the vow sacred to the Pas'upati, conducing to the release of the soul (Pa'su) from the bond (Pas'a)."t

Therefore those who observe the Pas'upata-vrata the end of life attain to nothing short of Mukti (sala tion) as the result of it.

As to the contention that it is not ranked among the recognised orders (as ramas), the Satrakara says:

⁺ Kaivalya Up.

^{*} Chhâ 8-15-1. +Atharvasiras.

A. MARADEVA SASTRY, B.A.

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

(7)

(14)

THE MIRGENDRA AGAMA. CHAPTER IX.

मायाधकरणं.

अध सर्वत्रवाबयेन प्रसिचनस्य नक्षणं । कथ्पते प्रत्यिपाञ्चस्य किचिद्यत्र्यापि लेशतः ॥ तदेकमञ्जूषं वीजं जगत्यित्रवाक्तिमत्। सःकार्यधिकागन्तसंगिधि व्याप्यनश्रः॥ कर्तानपीयते येन जगद्वमेण हेतुमा । तेनीपादानमध्यस्ति न पटर तन्तुमिर्दिना ॥ तद चैतनाव स्यात कार्यस्याचिनदर्शनात् । प्राप्तर सर्वहरी द्वाप: कारणानियमीन्यया ॥ यद्यानमा दं कार्य कश्माद्रापवते पुनः। अध्यापि चत् कृतर तत्स्यात् सर्वेषां सर्वतामुखं ॥ यदनेकप्रचित् तम् इष्टमृत्यसिध्येक । न तद्वानियन् तस्यदिकयम्युरगम्यता ॥ पटर तन्तुगणाद् प्रस् मर्वमेकमेनेकतः । तदप्यनेक्षमेकत्मादेव बीजात् प्रजायते ॥ येवां चिद्धर्भकाद्वेतीर्चिद्यपुरजायते । तेषां धूबेन लिङ्केन अलं कि नानुमीयते ॥ भृतावधिजगदीयां कारणं परमाणवः। तैयां पूर्वेदिताद्वेतीर्जातीय ज्ञानसूक्ष्यता ॥ श्रीरादेवशीरादि यदि तमिलिलासये। का वार्ना निविल्प्यंसी न सर्वती नृषा बदेतु ॥ एकदेशोषि यो धर्मः प्रतीतो धस्य धार्मणः। स तथ्य सर्वतः केन जायमानी निवार्यते ॥ कॉटिंगी भरणं हुन्या सहतानां ग्रागिरिणां। सोपि प्रनीयते फान्सं यत्राश्चेषज्ञनक्षयः॥ · तदाधाराणि कार्याणि शक्तिकृषाणि संहती । विकृती व्यांकरूपाणि व्याप्यन्ते श्रीसद्धये ॥ तः नादिकारकादानं पटासले पदायिनः। मन्व कारकशब्दीपि व्यपैतीति इतं जगन् ॥

साकस्यमसद्दरपत्तावस्तु कारकवस्तुनः। उत्पाद यत् सर्वस्मात् सर्वस्सर्वमभीिदेशतं ॥ (15)अवाहास्यं यतश्राख्यमत्र वः किलियामके । न च पश्यामि तिकाचिच्छिकिश्चेत् सिद्धसाव्यता॥(16) अन्यया कारकवातप्रवृत्यनुपपतितः। श्रुतिरादानमर्थेश व्यपैतीत्यपि तद्धतं ॥ (17)अयास्युत्पादिकाशकि नेकार्यं शक्तिरूपकं । तयोविशेषणं वाच्यं नैतन् पश्यामि किंचन ॥ (18)वस्मानियाभिका जन्यशक्तिः कारकवस्तुनः । सान्वयव्यतिरेकाभ्यां रूदिती वावसीयते ॥ (19)तद्व्यक्तिर्जननन्त्राम तत्कारकसनात्रयात् । तेन तन्तुगताकारं पटाकारावरीधकं । देशदिनापनीयाचे पटव्यक्तिः प्रकाश्यते ॥ (20)वथा घटादिगृदस्य पटादेश् तद्व्युदासतः । नासतः क्रियते व्यक्तिः कलादेर्प्रान्यतः तथा ॥ (21)

MÅYÁ.

- 1. Now will be shortly described, the characteristics of the Granthi-pas's which has been referred to in the verse treating of the Omniscient, supported by some reasons.
- (9) Note.—The Granthi-pas'a is Maya. The verse referred to is the first verse of the second chapter which describes the Pati.
- (10) 2. It is single, unpropitious (notice), the seed of the universe, and possessed of manifold daktis. It obstructs the soul till the authority of its helper (11) (karma) should cears, and in universal and imperiabable.
- 3. For the same reason that a creator is inferred from the nature of the universe (viz., that it has an origin), it must follow, that there is a material cause for it; for there cannot be cloth apart from the threads (which gosto make it).
 - Note.—From the nature of the world, which was established by arguments to have come into existence at some point is time, the inference was drawn previously that a creator is essential. The very same argument

implies a material out of which the creator could shape the world. This, the Agama says, is Maya. The argument is based upon the Naiyayika classification of causes into three varieties. The first the samaráyi-karana or intimate cause, called also upâdâna-karana or material cause, is in the case of the piece of cloth, the threads; in the present argument, it is Maya. The second is the nimitta or efficient cause, which is of two kinds, the agent and the instruments by which be works, which here are the Lord and His Sakti. The third asanavâyi or non-intimate cause, exemplified as negards the cloth by the connexion of the threads or by their colour, is not an important one, and as such has been omitted in the present argument.

4. It must be unintelligent, since its products are seen to be so. Otherwise there would result that most radical of all faults, uncertainty of causation itself.

Note.—The basis of the argument in this verse is that a cause must be of the same nature as the effect. Else, the Agama holds, the certainty of the relation of cause and effect will be jeopardised.

5. If it is non-eternal, then whence does this effect. (viz the world) again take its rise (at the beginning of a new creation)?. If on the other hand, it is non-universal, how can its presence among all and everywhere be explained?

What is manifold and unintelligent (achit) is always seen to have an origin. This (Maya) has no origin; hence it must be understood that it is single.

7. A piece of cloth arises out of a collection of threads; hence unity arises out of plurality. But then, the plurality (riz the threads in the instance given) is produced only from a single cause (i.e., cotton, out of which the threads were manufactured).

Note.—The first half of the verse is an objection by the para-pakshin, who from his single instance, arrives at and applies a wrong cyâpti or major premiss, that everything which appears single is produced from the cooperation of many things. The latter half is manswer to the objector, that a closer examination of his own example would show him that he could not logically arrive at his cyâpti.

8. Those who assert that from a cause which is intelligent (chit) springs the unintelligent (achit,) why do they not also infer (by parity of reasoning) the existence of water from the presence of smoke?

Note.—This is an attack on the Parinama-vada school of the Vedanta, which holds that the universe is a

..

modification of Brahman. The "intelligent" is Brahman and "the unitelligent" is the universe. The Agamathinks that this is a vitiation of all principles of reasoning, and that the upholder of this theory might as well say, that because there is smoke seen at a certain place, it indicates the presence of water.

9. For reasons mentioned before, the opinion of those who hold that the universe has the elementa (Bhûtas) as its limit and that the Paramanus constitute its cause, only exhibits the extreme tenuity of their own knowledge.

Note.—This is directed against the Vaiseshikas, who though they agree with the Agama in holding the universe to be a product, yet differ in considering the five elements as the first product and as such constituting the universe. The ultimate cause, they think, are the Paramanus or the primary atoms. The Agama contend that they cannot be the ultimate cause or basis of the world since being many and unintelligent, they themselve require a prior cause.

10. If it is said that bodies and the rest are seen to arise from other similar bodies, etc., (and that therefore there is no need for bringing in Maya), then this process is quite out of the question at the time of universal dissolution. And if such a dissolution is denied, it must also be held that the Omniscient Isvara is a falsity.

Note.—The purrapakshin's argument is that just as a body is seen to arise out of the bodies of its father and mother, even so the whole universe may be conceived as an unending series of causes and effects; and that therefore the assumption of an ultimate cause, such as Maya, a quite gratuitous. The Agama, not liking to meet this very good argument direct, takes the round-about cours of contending that if this were true, the Mahapralaya of aniversal destruction or involution of the universe into it final cause, must be denied; for if the word destruction were to bear any sense, there could not then exist the very same bodies etc., which are seen in the ordinary state of the universe. If this pralaya is denied, it contends, their you might as well deny layara.

11. Whatsoever quality of a thing is perceived to exist in it at one spot, none can deny will exist is it everywhere else.

Note.—The meaning is that a thing cannot possess on quality at one place and a different one at another. I must be noted that the word quality is not used in the loose sense which it has in English, but is restricted a permanent qualities alone.

12. Therefore, having times unnumbered seen the death of embodied beings, we should conclude that

there will be a time, when all beings, without exception, will be destroyed. J

13. All the products (constituting the aniverse), which have Mayle for their material cause, are at the time of distruction merged in it in the form of saktis (or latent powers). At the time of change (i.e., creation) they come out and manifest themselves for the due fulfilment of their purposes.

Note.-The next verse, and a half of the one next to it gives the objection of the pura-palakin.

14. 15—A. Only when there is no cloth, does one desirous of getting a piece of it resort to such means as threads. If there is cloth, the very word for the means, viz the threads, cannot be used. Therefore the universe is false. For, the purpose of a cause (or means) in production is only to produce an object which is used (non-existent, unreal).

Note.—This is a very absord bryoment, being a fallacy based upon the aschiguity of the word and, which means "anreal" and also "non-existent." We may put the syllngism in the fullowing manner:

The object of a cause is to produce an effect which is

For example, threads preduce a cloth which is esalt (i.e., which was previously non-existent).

The niverse is a product or an effect of Maya (according to the siddhautin's conclusion.)

Therefore the universe is and (unreal).

The above modified form of the sciribhauming of indian logic, will show clearly the fallacy larking in this objection. It is strange that the Agama should be at such pains to conjure up this unsubstantial phantom of an objection. The next half-verse and the one following it give the answer to this objection.

15.—B. You may hold that it produces (an unreal effect of product), only if you desire that everything should be produced out of everything clsa by every one.

Note.—The argument amounts to this, that if an effect is unreal, then there cannot be any law of cause and effect, prescribing that only a esertain effect should arise out of a certain cause, which will amount to orter nihilism.

16 If it is said that that could not be (vis. that every a set should arise from every cause indiscriminately), where is the necessity (on your theory) that a particular effect should follow a particular cause? And no such is seen. And if it is answered that there is a sakki (in every cause which produces only one particular effect and excludes the rest) this is only establishing one own conclusion.

17. Otherwise, (if the cause were to produce only a false effect), since the operation of all means (or causes, would become irrelevant, the very word "means" (or cause, kiraku), the acquiring of means, and the object with which they are acquired, will sall become meaningless. Hence this doctrine is false.

Note.—The point is that since the effect is said to be false, the very idea of a cause is absurd; and since the universe itself is only an effect, there could be nothing real upon which to rest.

18. Therefore there does exist a sakti which produces the effect. If it is objected that the effect itself is not in the form of a sakti (in the cause), a distinction must be drawn by you between the two, and none such is seen.

Note.—The aidihastia's theory is that the effect resides as a potential capacity in the cause. The pārcapakshin's view is, apparently, that there are two saktis, one in the cause which is capable of producing the effect, and one in the effect itself, by virtue of which it is an effect as different from the cause. This verse will illustrate very clearly that besetting evil of Indian philosophy, its dealing with words instead of with realities. The view taken by the Agama as to the relation of cause and effect is a sensible one and corresponds closely to the idea of the Advaita school. But somehow it could not resist the tempting opportunity of introducing a feat of verbal quibbling as an argument against ign own view.

- 19 Therefore it is concluded that for every cause there is a make: (residing in it) producing the effect. This (make) may be proved both by the method of agreement and by that of difference, or it may even be learnt from ordinary worldly usage.
- 20. The coming into manifestation of this saidi, owing to its conjunction with the instrument (which induces its manifestation) is called production or origin, (i.e., the effect is said to be produced then). For example, the form of the threads conceals that of the cloth, that (the form of the threads) being removed by the loom and the rest, the form of the cloth is made to shine out clearly.
- 21. Just as a piece of cloth is rendered manifest by the removal of such things as a pot etc. which hid it, and an unreal thing cannot be made to manifest itself in this way, even so Kala and the rest are made manifest from the Granthi (Maya).

Note.—The tatters Kala and the rest abide in Maya as settis or potentialities, and these come out when the Lordi removes the cause viz. Mays. This is illustrated by the example of a piece of cloth hidden in a pot, which comes to view only on the removal of the pot, though all the while it remains within the pot unseen.

Hore ends the Ninth Chapter.

M. NARAYANASWAMI ALYAB.

APPAYA DI'KSHITA'S SIDDHANTA-LES'A-SANGRAHA,*

We have been favoured by Dr. Venis with an ad vauce copy of the first 120 pages of the reprint of his translation of this work which is now appearing in parts in the Benares Sanskrit journal, the Pandit. Dr. Venis is perhaps the only Sanskrit scholar who has attempted to present in an English form a few at least of the manifold ramifications into which the philosophy of non-duality preached by Sankara has diverged at the hands of a long succession of eminent teachers reaching up nearly to our own times. comparative obscurity in which this vast body of literature is enshrouded to the eyes of the Sanskrit scholars of the modern type may be felt in the fact that the late Prof. Max Müller in his comprehensive work on the Six Systems of Indian Philosophy, does not, when dealing with the Vedanta, mention even the names of such men as Suresvara, Amalinanda, Brahmananda Sarasvati and Madhusudhana Sarasvati, whose great works are recognised familiarly in India as the pillars of the Advaita system. Appaya Dîkshita was the last in this roll of teachers, who took their stand firmly on the unity of the Self, however much they might differ in the details of the doctrine, and who looked up to Sankara as their authority and guide in all matters of dispute.

But Appaya is more remarkable than the others in that two distinct streams of traditional faith commingle in him as their exponent; the one tracing its origin to the Veda and appealing to it as its scripture, the other, mainly restricted to South India, basing itself primarily upon those hitherto little-known works, the Saivagamas,

while it practically ignores the Veda, as teaching a lower kind of knowledge only. The earliest representative of the latter system seems to have been Nilakantha Sivacharya, whose commentary on the Vedinta Sûtras is now being translated in our journal. This Nilakantha is asserted by tradition to have been a contemporary of Sankarachirya, who is said to have written his famous Bhashva, mainly to refute the erroneous opinions broached by Nîlakantha in his work." The peculiarities of this school are the following; the postulating of the three padarthas, Puti, Pas'n and Pas'a, the Lord, the bound soul, and the bond (consisting of Maya, Karma and Mala); the denial of a distinction between the Nirgunam and Saganom Brahman, the accepting of Uma or Paras'akti the active energy of Brahman, who is said to be all love and grace, in intimate connection with Him ; and the worship of the Brahman under the name of Siva (not the third pers m of Hindu Trinity, who is classed among the Sakala souls along with ordinary men.) And it is a curious fact that Appaya who has written the Parimala and the Siddhanta-les'a, works entirely in accordance with Sankara's doctrines, has with equal zeal written a large number of works following the Saiva system, the chief among them being the S'ivarkamani-dipika, a gloss upon Nilakantha's Brahma-Sutra Bhashya, while the others are quite sectarian, praising Siva and establishing his superiority to Vishnu and the other gods. The significance of this exposition by the same man of two apparently opposed systems has given rise to a great amount of debate and it is one of the vexed questions ever cropping up in the vernacular religious papers. The Tamilian Saivites, who are all non-brahmans and the very small number of the priests attached to the temples of Siva among the Brahmans, contend that at heart Appaya was a devoted follower of Nilakantha and that his works expounding Sankara's doctrines were all more or less in the nature

^{*} The Siddhantales's of Appaya Dikshita-translated by Arthur Venis, Principal of the Government College, Benares.

¹ The twenty-eight primary Saivagamas must be distinguished from the Tantras or Saktagamas which though Saivite are not favoured in Southern India. There are also about 120 Upagamas recognised. But even of the primary A'gamas about only 20 have been preserved in fragments, these being such portions only as treat of temple worship and ritual. Of the Upagomas only two or three are found in entirety. Srietly each Agama must contain four padas, Charga, Kriga, Yoga and Vidya padas; but now only the Kring portion is ever found. Our knowledge of the philosophy taught by thom is mainly restricted to the Vidya or Juana padas of the two Upagamas, Mrigondra and Poushkara, and of a dozen Slokas, reputed to be from the Rournvagama, the Tamil comment. ary upon which forms the Sivagnana Botham of Mcikandadeva, which again is the source of a series of Tamil commontaries, constituting as it styles itself, the S'ud-llandvalta-S'aiva-Siddhante achunt.

² Nilakantha tries to reconcile the Veda and the Agama as both teaching the same knowledge, but the later Tamil Saivites profess to have advanced beyond him, and class the teachings of the Veda and that of Nilakantha as only being Yoga, while they hold that theirs, as found in the fourteen Tamil works, of which the chief is Sivajaanabotham, is pure Juana. They arrange the goals of the systems of Ramanuja, S'ankara and Nilakantha in order, the following one teaching a higher goal than the preceding.*.

³ These priests, commonly called gurnkkels, (the Sanskrit word gurn with a Tamil termination) conduct the ceremonials connected with the Saira temples following the directions of one or more of the Sairagamas, and also officiate at the important household ceromonics of the non-brahman Sairites. The ordinary Brahmans will not dine or intermarry with them.

of scholastic exercises, which only served as a foil to set off his great works on the S'siva Dara'ana. The other view, and it seems to be the true one, since the members of Appaya's own family and his followers fall of them Brahmans) hold it, is that his adherence was fully to Saukara's philosophy, but that he also lent his support to the S'siva Dara'ana promulgated by Nilakantha, because his predilections were towards the Sagmam-Brahman as represented in the form of Siva, and he thought that the work of establishing the Saivisa form of worship as the hest was accomplished only by Nilakantha in his Bhishva.

There is reason to think that there has always been a spirit of antagonism between the followers of the Veds and of the Agams; and any one fully acquainted with the religious controversies of the day in South India can easily see that the old rivalry has not died away yet, but that in many instances it has given rise to a rancorous hatred and depreciation of the Veds. Many noble spirits, such as Tirumalar. Maniekavachakar, In annumbhandar and many others of less fame, have from time to time tried to reconcile these two bodies, each according to his own lights-Appaya Dikshita also seems to have taken as his life's work the reconciliation of the Agama-cult with the Vedie ritual and system of thought, which he has in a way brought about by taking the system of Sankara as the truest expression of Vedio wisdom, and by incorporating the Agama as representing a lower grade of thought, into that all-embracing and non-sectarian philosophy, which has in a wonderful manner found room within its folds for every variety This phase of thought has of opinion and creed found its most beautiful expression in the poems of the saint who is universally loved throughout the Tamil land, Tayamanavar. The peculiar position

in which Appaya Dikshita was thus placed as the expounder of two, till then opposed, forms of thought, does not seem to have attracted the attention which it deserves from European scholers. It will be a most interesting problem, as it will surely lead to the further and far more important question of the origin and birth-place of the Saivingamas and of the position they now occupy in directing the whole ritual of Saivite temple-worship, perhaps the only exception to which is the famous shrine at Chidambaram. But we may take the liberty of commending this problem to Dr. Venis as well worth his investigation.

In the present work, the Siddhantales's, the author dons the garb of an exponent of Sankara. The object of the book is to exhibit concisely all the variations in the opinion of the followers of Sankara, who though they firmly held by his Advaita, were as poles as under as regards even some of the main doctrines of the system. The Dikshita himself sets out the purpose of his book in two of its opening stanzas.

"As Ganga springing from Vishnu's feet gains many a land and flourishes; so flourishes that good speech which, issuing from the fair lotus mouth of the venerable Teacher (Sankaráchirya), divides a thousand fold as it reaches teachers of early times, and destroys the world of transmigrations, by being devoted to teaching Brahman—the One without a second. (1)

"Addressing themselves exclusively to proofs of the unity of Self (with Brahman—the One without a second), these early teachers set out many opposed views regarding the common world of sense and activity; for all points relating to the proofs of such a world they held of small account." To clear my mind of misconceptions, I here concisely set together some of the various conclusions based on those views, as they were explained by my revered father." (2)

These stanzas are also instructive as showing the spirit in which Appaya Dikshita approached these glaring divergences of opinion, and he no doubt reconciled them in the manner indicated by Dr. Venis in his note. As furnishing a handy summary of the progress of the later Vedanta, the book is an invaluable one and Dr. Venis has acted wisely in rendering it into English.

I That this is so may be seen from the title page and the notice appended to the granthe edition of Nilakantha's Bhashya recontly published in the Tanjore District by one of Appaya's persuasion, where the work is described as teaching the Baguram-Brahman under the name of Siva. It is a fact that all of Appaya's followers hald Sankara as their erherya, while they also believe that Advaita in fully reconclable, in the way suggested for Nilakantha's position, with the teachings of the Agamas.

⁵ See my note on the Sutazamhia and the Sairigamas, the evidence in which distinctly exhibits the prevalent spirit of opposition between the croeds. The way in which the Sutazamhita reconsided it, is by prescribing the Agama for those only who were not allowed to follow the Vedic ritual. (See the November number of this Journal).

S This is The tamil form of the Sauskrit Matribhitesware, the name under which Siva is worshipped in the hill temple at Trichipoly. We may as well massion here that the article in the November issue of the Theosophical Esview by Mrs. Duncau on Tâyumānavei (even the name is mis-spott) is alsogether unreliable as regards the facts it gives. None with even the remotest acquaintance with Tamil could have written it.

⁷ Dr. Venis here inserts a note to the effect that these "various conclusious as to the popular God, the personal consciousness, the world of handage etc., are due, not to irreconcilable differences among Vedanta teachers, but to the unequal mental capacities of learners, for whom these views are intended as so many stepping-stopes to the one truth that Brahman alone is real."

Dr. Venis has already undergone his training in translating the later Vedanta works, by his versions of the l'anchadas'i, the Vedanta-paribhasha and the Vedanta-siddhinta-muktavali, such that he may be said to have attempted this work as an expert. It is not easy to render the concise and difficult original into readable or even intelligible English; and it is no scant praise to say that Dr. Venis has succeeded so far as to make the book not untiresome reading to one already somewhat acquainted with the peculiarities of the Vedanta. To this end, his notes, a large number of them taken from the commentary on the Siddhantales'a and from many of the standard Advaita works, contribute much. We can cordially recommend this translation of the work to the young men of the present day, who begin to be keenly interested in the philosophic system which now occupies the foremost position in India, and are dissatisfied with the perfunctory manner in which it has till now been expounded in the English Language.

M. NABAYANASWAMI AIYAR.

A SHORT SKETCH OF TAMIL LITERATURE. (Continued from page 167).

CHAPTER XIII.

"THE SEVEN REPUTED DONORS OF THE TANIL LAND."

About 50 B. C. to the beginning of the Christian Era.

At about the closing years of the academy, there thourished seven warrior chiefs, who by their unbounded munificence to the Tamil bards eclipsed the fame of the crowned monarchs as patrons of Tamil literature. They were also the terror of the Tamil soccreigns in war. Their celebrity as benefactors of the poverty-stricken bards secured to them the enviable title of 'sam_Gugarian' or 'The last seven donors.'* 'The first seven donors' and 'the middle seven denors' belonged to a much earlier period; among them Karna and Hauschandra are included.

The names of 'The seven reputed donors of the Tamil land' were Pari (und), O'ri (ad), Kâri (sad) or (ad), Kâri (sad) or (ad), Athiyan (ad) or (ad), Pêkan (Gusa), Ay (ad) and Nalli (sad). The careers of these famous men can be traced in Puranânûru; reference, to their unparalled bounty is also made in Apun a process one of the Ten classical songs known as a description.

PA'RI (LIOP).

P. ri. a Vellale, whose name was a by-word for unparalled munificence was the feudatory king of the highly fertile mountain region of Parambu St. Sundarar of the ninth century makes reference by name to this distinguished patron in a pathigum of his sung at Pagalūr; the line is, Darbasan and and in the first content of the sung at Pagalūr; the line is, Darbasan and and in the sung at Pagalūr and Carbaille (none gives though he may be praised as Pari).

The dominions of Pari comprised three hundred rich villages and the fertile mountain of Parambu. His prowess and benevolence raised the indignation of the Tamil sovereigns, who unable to put him down by any fair means, resolved to crush him somehow and all the three kings made a grand expedition against him. Kapilar, a Brahmin and probably the then president of the academy, who was the bosom friend of Pari was much pained at the crisis to which his patron was driven by the kings.

A great battle ensued wherein Pari made a resolute opposition; Pari along with all his brave kinsmen died gloriously in the battle-field. His two daughters and the bards were the only survivors left to bemoan his untimely death. Kapilar's heart was sorely touched to see all the dominions of his patron passing away into the hands of the kings. In his eyes Pari and màri (rain) were the only benefactors of the land. Feeling intensely keen at the bereavement of his much beloved lord and friend, he prayed fervently to God to unite them as friends again in the next bith.

Kapilar who had a very keen sense of gratitude brought up the surviving daughters as his own children and tried his utmost to find fit suitors to their hands. He went to the length of requesting Dielect and Dielector to take the maidens in marriage. Failing in his attempt, he cursed them for want of sympathy and bestowed the dames in marriage to Brahmins.

It seems thus that Brahmins in those days did not rise to a high social scale and intermarriage of a V-llala girl with a Brahmin husband was considered no honor to the Vellalas; further it shows that the ancient Brahmins were poor in those days and so they readily took to wife dames from the Vellala community.

ii Oʻru(goP

O'ri who was an accomplished archer was the hill chieftain of Kolli, a hill in Malabar. His favourite gifst

inthe minstrels were caparisoned elephants. The spets who many his profess were Vanparanar and allaithinyanaisar; the latter profess the warrior thus:

சில் வடு நடிக்கு இந்த அந்த அத வெறி சில் வென்றவர்கிலு விழிக்குக் ந செல் செல் செல் நடிக்கு இரு நடிக்கு நடிக்கு விறு விறு விறு இரு விறு இரைப்பேருக்கடல் உள்ளு எளும் கீர்வேட்டோரே ஆவுமாவுக் சென்ற ஊக்கையில் சேறு செய்ட்ட சிறுமைத்தா விறும் உள்ளுர் மருக்கி வநர்புகை கும் புள்ளுர் செல் வருக்கு வக்கை ந உள்ளிச் செல் தேர்ப் பழித்தவக்கை ந உள்ளிச் செல் தேர்பிக்கம் தேர்பு

It is ignoble to bek; refusal to give after one stoops abeg is ignobler still; to say, 'take this' is indeed able; to decline such a gift is nobler still. Thirsty an drink not the water of the wavy ocean, clear saugh it be (good men will not beg of bad people); the water, though it be rendered miry by the quastreds, finds a lot of people who approach it from weral ways. O blessed Ori I shall not be sorely mached even if you give not, but shall lay the blame at the bad omens; for I know for certain that you seemble the prognant cloud in your gracious bounty the needy.]

iii Kāri (as**?**.)

Kari known as Malayaman Kari was the fendatory ing of Malida on the banks of the Pennar. His mital was Tirukkoilur. He was a lover of Brahmins his court was therefore ever crowded with the was. Kapilar was a favorite poet of his court and praises of this chief are in glowing terms. His f gifts were decked horses and lands. When the Inil sovereigns wanted help in their war expeditions, hwas frequently sent for and most of their successes we due to this hero. On one occasion he waged war minst O'ri and killed him in battle and restored alands to the Chola. Elated at such a series of stary achievements, he formed the ambitious idea ecoming an independent monarch and assumed diadem and was ever afterwards known as amudikkari or 'The Crowned Kari'. This nuturat brought on the heavy wrath of the Chola who at be invaded his dominions and killed him in battle.

ATHIYAN (afeat.)

Athivan or Athivaman Nedumananchi & Sauce Gettes e & ?) of established heroism was the feudatory king of Thehadar (sedi) probably a portion of the present Mysure. He was a foundling" brought up at the pullice of the Chern, whose capital was Vanchi. Thus he was regarded as of royal blood and wore accordingly the palm flower as his ensign. He was an object of dread in the eyes of the Tamil kings and other petty princes. He was equally distinguished for his love of Tamil Literature and his consequent munificence to the minstrels and bards who ever flocked at his court. The most distinguished literary luminary of his court was Auvaiyar, his sister by blood and the Dravidian Sapho, who though brought up in her infancy as a low caste lady (of the stringmusician caste useriami) was a darling of Athiyaman as he appears to pat her frequently. This overindulgence which Athiyaman of royal blood gave to a low-caste minstrel is indeed due to the fact that both of them recognised their common parentage. Social and caste barriers could not, however, be crossed and so no public deministration of bloodrelationship between the two was desired by them: Auvaiyar was thus a pet poetess of Athiraman's court where she found herself at perfect ease.

The brother's love towards his sister Auvaiyi'r was so great that he presented a rare black Nelli fruit of inestimable medicinal value to the poetess without himself tasting of it and without even disclosing to her its intrinsic merits of prolonging terrestrial existence. Auvaiyar praised her patron and brother on this occasion thus:

வலப்படுவாய்வா வேச் இடைக்கு விரும்படக்கடக்க சமுகுமுகத்தடக்கையார் கவித்தவி வடுயர்கோமான் போர் கவித்தவி வடுயர்கோமான் போர் நெருவிற் பொலர்தாரத்தெ பான்புடையிறைந்தத் பொலிக்தசென்னி கேம் ஊட்ட ந் தெருவன் போல மன்னு சபெரும் இப்பெறான் விடும்ப் பெருமின் போசத் தருயிலைக்கொண்ட சிறியின் சென்னித் நின்கண் தறியா தாதனின் வைத் தடக்கிச் சாதனிக்க வெமக்கேத்தின் மே.

gröundertie eyr ereğü görderderi, görntönetişme,

A discussive treatment of the common purentage of Auvaiyar Athiyaman, Kupilar and Tiruvallavar will be given later in connection with the biography of the Prince of Poets, Tiruvalluvar.

Vide Kapilar's line in his famous abaval.

Oh Arhiya with victorious hand brandishing the sword to the destruction of your foes, he Anchi possessed of the wealth of heroism and a gold gatland! may you prospet like the Incomparable One with a crown adorned with the white crescent and a throat of blue-black hue, for you have pre-ented me with the delicious nelli fruit got from an inaccessible tree from the top of an ancient hill, without considering the great difficulty in its acquisition and without disclosing to me the blessings which its taste brings upon the eater; you have thus freed me from death.] *

This rare deed on the part of Athiyaman makes him the greatest of donors. The theroic patron's attuable to friends and foes is very curiously but strikingly described by his favourite poetess thus:

''ஊார்குறுபாக்கள் செண்கே இசை முரஅலி புரீர்த்து மைறைபடியும் பெருக்களிது போல வினியைபெரும் வெமக்கே; மற்ற நன் துண்ணருங் கடாகம் போல 'வின்கு பெருமூ கிறுண்ண தோர்க்கே.''

[Oh prince, thou art pleasant to us as the huge elephant is to the village boys when it lies down in the river and allows them to wash its white tusks; still thou art ferocious to thy enemies as the same elephant is when in rut.]

He won a victory over Kari by sacking his capital Kovalûr; Paranar of the Madura Board, who was another favourite bard of Athiyan's court has praised his achievements on the occasion.

Auvaivar who had an all round knowledge possessed high political wisdom and so in an important embassy to Thondaiman of Kanchi, she was sent by her patron.

Athiyaman had an ambition to extend his territory which brought on the wrath of the Chera; the Chera consequently made an invasion upon his dominions and beseiged Thahadur; the chivalrous benefactor of bards believing in the impregnable nature of his fort ress coolly waited until the Chera came close to, his ramparts. The calm delay, did him, however, no good for in the sudden fight which ensued Athiyaman was

mortally wounded. Auvaiyar's grievance at his death was unbounded; after his death she wandered over the Tamil land.

The cultivation of sugarcane in the Tamil land was originally introduced by an ancestor of Athiyan,

PE'KAN (Guasa).

Pekan was a great benefactor of Tamil bards. His capital was a sour. Kapilar, Paranar, Arisilkilar and Perunkuntürkilär, all professors of the Madura academy were much patronised by Pckan. He is said to have presented warm coats to peacocks to protect them from the cold. His wife Kunnagi (this lady should not be confounded with the heroine of Silappatikaram) was very benevolent like her husband towards the Tamil bards. For some unknown reasons he set her aside; all his favourite poets interceded on her behalf requesting him to shew his grace towards her as she was fully worthy of it. It is not known whether their humble and sincere request had its intended effect.

vi A'y (ஆட்)

A'y a Vellala chieftain that reigned over the Pothigai was an accomplished hero and benefactor of poets. He took unceasing delight in gifts which he bestowed without wishing or caring for anything in return. Most of his presents were elephants. His truly good nature will be evident from one of the encominms which his favourite poet satisfiesh conceaning of Urayûr has given him:

இர்கை நச்செய்ததை மதாடைக்காமெனும் அறவிவே வாணிசன் ஆய் அல்லன் பிறருஞ்சு:ன் ரோர் சென்ற செறியென வாற்குபட்டன் அவன்கை உண்மையே.

[A'y is no merchant who trades in virtue by giving its price with a hope of bliss in the world to come; he is benevolent because it is the road which sages have gone by.]

His character as a public benefactor is clearly evident from the highly pathetic nature in which the bords have sung his praise.

On a certain occasion he routed the forces of Chera-It is said elsewhere in (Apur prival) that ne presented a blue coat which he got from a serpent to Siva who seats himself under the banyan tree at the spiritual Guru.

The presentation of this rare fruit by Athiyan to Auvaiyar is referred to by an academical poet in one of the Ten classical Songs ** Parimelal agar refers to this incident in his commentaries to one of the distichs of Kural.

vii -Nalli (pdrgi).

walli's fame as a patron of the needy, though great, is mening by many poeta, as in the case of other patrons. Sensity he accurs to have discouraged personal encomins. His true generosity is referred to indirectly to not addressed to him) in a poem addressed to manna as well as in American pair and men. The lines sent truly his greatness:

41 - W.F.D

eddingaj elmyswfij svertyn pasionawski Osineritii ku iddyk

4

(4pm-158)

....

கட்டே சட - _ உடைப்பிக்கதம் மூட்டாதுகோடுத்த முனேவினம்கு தடச்சைத் துனிமுகழுபொழியும் வளிறுஞ்சு செடுக்கோட்டு களியும் காடன் தன்னியும்

(Ppur @ppiuaL)

(To be continued)

S. A. THIBUMALAI KOLUNDU PILLAY, B A.

THE PROBLEM OF EVIL-No. 8.

- 3. Here are illustrations of how in the order of the swerse, we find the best things produced from the swerse, we find the best things produced from the sweet. Out of poverty grows virtue; out of suffering yes rightconness; out of adversity, development of wracter; out of bitter herbs the healing of maladies; befairest lotus, in the abandon of color, fragrance and eliness, coming up from the most forbidding occue at whottom of the lake; the most brilliant and valuable faconds from mere carbon; opal out of sand, sapphire se of clay, pearl out of a rain-drop (this is a long mition); a Hyder out of an orderly; a Bunyan out of a ker; luscious fruit from mere manure. To sum up, deantiful nature from out of Tames (primordial matter), w. (shall we give you all, hope?) God out of man!
- d. That in mind and mind-training consists, pleam and pain, was presented to you in previous parasphs from different stand-points. The more said on a subject, the more teaching power it has, and will it a more impress itself in the mind as a thing to be astantly remembered and cultivated. That will be an attional balm indeed to all the suffering in the world. heed saints conquered it in that manner. Further whence on this subject would not be tedious, and evide-ce

coming from the far East, viz. Japan, a small nation which has made rapid strides in a small space of time, so much so as to be able to successfully co-erre with a mighty and wast colestial kingdom, its neighbour. China, in the year 1895. Horin Tokio was a representative in the Parliament of Religious. On the subjectof pains and pleasures, as dealt with by Buddhism, he wrote:—

"As to the feeling of pain and pleasure, it is experienced by the cause of good or evil, and there is no Buddba, or divinity who administers it. The relative resolution of pain into pleasure and vice versa, and good into bad and vice versa, is dependent upon the mental disturbance; therefore the good and evil and pain and pleasure are only phantoms floating upon the ruffled surface of the mind, and are produced and felt by ourselves, as for instance, the silkworm produces the thread from within and surrounds itself by the cocoon. No pain and pleasure will come from without, but they are only the effect felt like the sound or shadow of good or bad action produced by the mind of ourselves."

The sacred Bhagavad Gita says, Sloke 5, Adh. 6.

Liberally rendered, this means: 'mind makes either the friend or the fee of yourself, and therefore restrain the mind from attachment for; from such attachment shoots forth the double aspect of all experience, pleasure and pain.'

Bhagavan Parasara says :-

Freely translated, this means :-

'The mind attaching itself to things is the cause which forges fetters to its liberty, and disattachment it is that liberates the mind from self-created prison.'

62. Beautiful and soul-healing passages from several holy thinkers and esteemed divines, in the past are abridged here for the reader's benefit:—They bear upon the subject of suffering, and how to overcome it.

Home:—See "the vision of the world dissolving, throat tumbling, monarchies and kingdoms breaking up, crowns and scepares lying as neglected things. How calm is he in the midst of external troubles! How placid and serone a spirit inhabits the peaceful breast!"

St. Opprium. There can be no memory with him whose heart has once been enriched with celestial bounty.

Shakespeare. All is well that ends well.

Bri Krishner.

మాతాస్పురాృహుకాంతేయ శీళ్లోప్లాసుఖుడు:ఖబా: ! ఆగమా పాయునోనిత్యా స్థాంతితికు స్వభారత ! Bb. Gita II. Adb. 14.

In 6th Adhyaya, Sl. 26, నాణ Krishna again kaya: ముత్యత్ ిశ్వరతి మనశ్వరచలనుప్రేష్ । తక్షత్ సీయమైన తదాత శ్వివశరనయోత్ ॥

The mind shall be so controlled as not to rove at will, but introverted so as to be at the command of the soul. When such a mind is cultivated and introspection is secured, an axe is laid at the root of evil.

Masillon. The sufferings of the just may well be likened to fleeting shadows, or passing dreams. As soon as the bright morning of eternity begins to dawn, the shadows of mortality are for ever dissipated; and they forget at once, in the glorious light of God's majesty, the tribulations which they have endured for his cause. The unspeakable joys of which they partake so absorb all their troubles, that there is no room left for sorrow or suffering. If indeed their past trials are remembered by them, it is but to swell with fresh rapture."

Chch. Upanishad. (8-12-3.)

. ఇ పజనంప్రస్తి దంశరీరం"

="All recollections connected with this body disappear."

Chch. Do (6-14-2.)

" ఈ స్యతా క దేవచిరంయా వన్న విమా క్యే"

" All the delay is till one is saved."

Chch. Up. ్ల నహ మైశరీరస్యశంక బ్రీయాల్ట్ యాయాం రహహంతిర మైక 8-7-1. ఆశరీరం వాస్త్రం వబ్బియాల్ట్ యోగృత్త జి"

"Conjoined with the body, good and evil's felt,
Disjoined with the body, good and evil
're unfelt."

Mund. Up.) " తదావిద్వా కార్యాణ్ ప్రాంతం స్థింజన్ 3-1-3. కరితుం సామ్యమ్మాత్ బి"

Then doth the sage become sinless, discarding merit and demerit; he enters into perfect divine equalship.

White:—" There is not a step a Christian takes towards heaven, but the world, the flesh, or the devil disputes it with him."

Howels:—"Are there no bitters in true religion? Doubtless there are; but they spring not from religion, but from self."

Thomas Russell:—All the fleeting joys of time and the possessions of this world sink into insignificance, when contrasted with the incalculable importance of the joys of eternity.

Bhartri Hari;-

'' భోగుకోపిసపకఏమాదరమా నిత్యాడితో జృంధితే ! భోనారో ! మణఫంగురేతదిత భోగరతించూకృథా: !'

"Listen, good soul! Happines is that alone which is

Never then find delight in happiness which passeth away in a momen.

Arrowsmith:—"Though I can hardly discern, at present either sun, moon or stars; yet will I cast anchor in the dark, and ride it out, until the day break, and the shadows flee away."

Stoughton:—"A child of God, with a good conscience even in the midst of the waters of affliction, is as secure as the child that, in a shipwreck, was on a plank with hi mother."

Bishop Dehon:—'When the waves of this troublesome world has subsided, we shall find a haven where then shall be no more storms, nor fears, nor death, and the tears shall be wiped from all faces.'

Plato:—"The life of man is a kind of pilgrimage, and Cicero says that our departure from this life is going to our permanent habitation."

Robert Hall: —"Has a pleasing event spread joy and cheerfulness through the household? It will be noticed with becoming expressions of fervent gratitude. Has some calamity overwhelmed the domestic circle? It will give occasion to an acknowledgment of the divine equity."

Bh. Gith:— ' ప్రైవృత్సువాంధసా' డా 'remain in the world, intact like the drop of water on a lotus leaf.' యాహాహాశాజానిక్షియ్టర్,'' Veda teaches; i.e., as wate surPalana leaf never gets tainted." Mr. Hoston similarly me - Let the mantle of worldly enjoyments hang lose that you that it may be easily dropt, when death comes marry you into another world.

Ranganatha's promise to Sri Ramhunjacharya.—

Replication of this grows as well as subtle body, the subtle of matter (the cause of evil).

I was More:—The sum and substance of human misery

(in) "an irreligious old age". The same was

special by Saukarachurya, " 100 \$ \$25 \$ \$25 \$

the 55.9 Sov \$ \$6.75 No kind of art or science bet,

aven these when thou art in the embrace of death.

the two way to know thresh is to forget threeft. When

me done what is evil shall have been discovered and ex
pact. Quarles said "The best way to see day light is to

mout thy candle."

Acton :- This is a chequired life, and the changes are metally accommodated to our circumstances. Continual agert and prosperity would be unsafe for us. Continuesiction would be hard upon us. Therefore our gracious as appoints us changes. Comforts and trials are interam in our dispensations, . . . So great is the goodmand faithfulness of God, that we are usually enabled and under heavy trials. Such likewise our weakness. we are frequently ready to sink under small ones. we see the band of the Lord equally in the great " sihe small, and consider everything we meet as designsapractise and forward as in the lessons we profess was ves desirous of learning, we should be much more We are called to die nato self, to cease from man. in that all things are uncertain and vain, to forgive ses, to overcome evil with good."

The life of a believer is a piece of a believer is a piece of and cloth, the threads of which, from end to end, with the whole length of life, are comforts; but the sea from begining to end, filled up with crosses.

* shout:— Our houses are built, our vineyards are stand around the base of a volcano, they may be fair a siourishing to day, to-morrow askes may be all that

specy:—Do the pange of dissolution alarm as? Should specially, they connot be long. * * We leave the set of possess the better.

MPsalmist: - " In thy presence is fullness of joy."

Sompared to barren and evil-favored winter, in

which all things die and wither away. But these things shall then come to their end, and everlasting summer shall come in their stead; that is the kingdom of God, whereby the kingdom of the devil shall perish.

Mathem Henry:— "" while the angry and revengeful man, that will bear down all before him with a high hand is overcome of evil; the patient and forgiving overcome evil with good.

Sir Mather Illale:—Learn not only patience under afflictions but also profitably to improve them to your soul's good: learn by them how vain and unprofitable things the world and the pleasures thereof are.

**O ** since we have so little hold of a temporal life, which is shaken and shattered by any small occurence, accident or distemper, learn to lay hold of eternal life."

This affliction "which is but for a moment," thus improved, will "work for us an exceeding and eternal weight of glory."

Srimoth Sankaracharya:-

್ ಶಿಕ್ಷಯಾದ್ಯಾಪ್ರಾಪಾರ್ಯ ಪ್ರಕರ್ಶಂತ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಕ್ ಪಾರ್ಯಚಿ. ಪ್ರಕರ್ಣ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಾಪ್ತಾಪ್ ಪ್ರಕರ್ಣ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಕ್ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಕ್ ಪ್ರಾಪ್ತಣಕ್ಕೆ

i. e. Day and night, morning and evening, winter and summer come and go.—So revolves time, and so fleets life; and yet man pins his attention on to vanity."

Adam: — What is misortune? Whatever separates us from God? What is bleasing? Every means of approximation to him.

Sri Alarundar:-

" రవడాన్యాసు కైకవంగినాం భవిశేష్య స్వదికేటజన్నాను ! ఇకరావసథేలుతా ప్రభా నది మేజన్న రాసుత్రుగాత్తేనా !"

Bishop Reynolds.—Remember, the flower that is wide open in the morning, when the sun shines upon it, may be shut up in the evening before night come.

Rev. J. C. Miller. - Time, as it rolls on, unravels much: eternity alone shall naravel all. You have gone through much : many a disappintment has cast you down, many a trial har assed and perplexed you, the bearing of which you cannot even now see. Sometimes a faithless heart whispers, " surely this or that might have been spared." But one ray of heavenly light will illumine all. And oh! with what eager interest, with what anxious eyes, will you read each page of your history thest, when every care and sorrow is for ever over; how will your mind be rapt in wonder, your heart thrill with love, your tongue he eloquent with praise, as each dark spot is enlightened. each riddle solved; Ah! this I did not see, but I see it now: that bitter oup was meroy-that affliction, love. And then, as, with your three-score years and ten behind. and with eternity before, page after page of your history in this world is made clear-as you mark how, from the

ciadle to the grave, "the very hairs of your head were all numbered," how the whole schence in its every bearing was one harmontous plan of wisdom, and love—you will turn with yet more adoring gaze toward the throne—will sweep with yet intenser touch the heavenly harp—will renew with yet fuller strain the eternal song "Great and marvellous are thy works, Lord God Almighty: just and true are thy ways, thou King of saints."

Ohris. Sutton.—He that hath tasted a bitter potion, and afterwards tasteth honey, the taste thereof must needs be sweet unto him, far above the former taste. Will not, then, this blessedness be acceptable, sweet, and comfortable, after all the sorrows of a transitory life?

Rev. W. Marsh.—That which we have long uninteruptedly enjoyed, we are too apt to undervalue and overlook. Hence, if personal comforts, ease, health, faculties and limbs, have been continued, how seldom do we offer up praises and thanks-givings for the same! Thus it is with our national fovours. Annual returns of fruitful harvests, freedom from tremendous judgments, and peace and liberty, do not call forth, in a suitable manner, our devout acknowledgments. And thus, alas! it is also with our spiritual blessings.

Sankaracharya discourses on how environing conditions of man change in this world, thus showing that nothing can be counted on as either evil or good that does not last:—

యావర్పిల్లో పార్టసస్త స్వానస్త్రి జహరవారొం
$$_{\sim}$$
ం. పశ్చాజ్ఞీ పలిజరు కారదే హీ వార్తాంకో సినప్పచ్చలి గోహీ \parallel

'So long as a man is able to earn, friends, relatives &c., will love him; but when he becomes disabled by disease &c., and laid up at home, no one will even enquire after his health.'

'The very body which was beloved to all as long as there was breath in it, became a carrion, from the sight of which even the very wife recoiled.'

Ramayana.—When Sri Rama left for the wilds, he went destitute and friendless. But when he returned to Ayodhya with victory, the whole world was at his feet. " () () () they all said as an explanation for these altered conditions.

Rev.J. W. Peers.—The sick and afflicted believer rejoices in his afflictions, when he hears in the tabernacles of the Lord that his afflictions are appointed of the God of love, and tokens of his fatherly affection.

Bishop Heber.—Which of us is there whose experience may not bear abundant witness to the changeable nature

of our prospects in the laid plans, the insecu Where shall the man continueth in one stay feel himself, and every speed, but with equal a tion and decay? While which we enjoy, has a than our good or bad briefer accident of y stream of a mighty gently down the narro marmurings of the lit glassy border. The t young heads; the flow themselves to our your and we grasp eagerly : stream burries us on, Our course in youth an deeper flood, and amid cent. We are animate ment and industry w excited by some shor rendered miserable by appointment. But our both in vain. The stre our griefs are alike wrecked, but we can: hastened, but it canno smooth, the river has roaring of the ocean is waves is beneath our k eyes, and the floods are loses sight of us, and w its inhabitants; and of witness but the Infinit

Kulasekharalvar, the

ేవాలో కాశ్భ్యణు కేర్రస్ యోగ జ్ఞాస్స్ కుండా పారం ఆ నైర్ జ్యోక్తి కిరేమేయ చే తబ్బీ తంచరమాషధం విత

In the language of Sri I this means:—

Soul! hear the curr as taught of yore. By sages, in God-joining L

Th'in-glow'ng-inscru na, drink deep That sov lasting bliss doth steep The R. Scobell, M.A.—Of the prigin of evil we know along. Why we are tempted by Satan, we know long; and now we are tempted, we know nothing—lin, as to the particulars made in which he comes into maket with our hearts. The extent to which spirit may mabled to not upon spirit, we are utterly in ignorance. We know that we have good thoughts raised up in mand we feel to our cost, and cometimes to our so:row grant it may prove in the end a godly nor ow!) we have evil thoughts; and we may be sure that unido not hoth come from the same principle."

join a paper called "No Friend, like an old Friend."

The all left to their own estimate of good and evil, there
will be divisions and differences and dissensions, withmanmher and without end: that which one person
light right, another would think wrong; and the same
man even might not be of the same mind a week
"there." Hence the importance of spiritual studies.

ALKONDAVILLE Q.

(To be continued.)

1 27 ...

i mir ..

THE LATE SRI SOMASUNDARA NAVAGAR

he loss to the community by the demise of this Saivite preacher and authority could not be i irinimately estimated. He was born on 2nd Avani warmalarabava, and as such was about 55 years old at and dime of his death. He was born of Vaishnava ments and his original name was Rangasawmy, but the an early age he was brought up by a relation of me wogi and it was by the name which he gave that miccessed was so well known. He was a student www.mas l'residency College for a time but did not and was employed Madras Municipal Office, and owing to the seese in his literary and preaching work he found me in spossible to serve two masters and resigned his m in 1881. Had he cared for the world, there sel be no doubt that the \ayagar with the sharpest fire select the greatest industry that he possessed would risen to the topmost range in the official ladder; was not to be, and who can say that he did not mediater in reliquishing a parely worldly career. a Yogi whom I mentioned before; and he was af. saful to him for ever But this Siva Yogi was a matin, and it was in Vedante, that the Nayagar in dis first training. And it is remarkable how the ranger, born a Vaishuava and trained as a Vedanaturned out such a strong advocate of Saiva situate; and this is due to his sterling indepenand strength of intellect His nature was such sake would never take anything on trust, unless it amed his own reason, and all his writings clearly how he appealed to reason alone as our safest

Needless to say, the Nayagar did not accept all that he heard from the lips of his teacher unquestioningly, and when one Maduranayaga Varhiar, a famous Pundit and publisher of Siddhanta works, presented a copy of fourteen Siddhanta works, be came upon them are find, read them with avidity and deligit, found what was contained therein answer to the intermedit que tionings and aspirations of his soul, and mastered them in no time, and became an adherent of the Siddhant; system since that time. From that time he had many a turale with his own old master, and it was his proud boast that the Vedautin Siva Yogi was finally converted into a Siddhantin.

The way how he came to choose his work or rather how his work was chosen for him was this. At the time, Vaishnavism was very rampant, and there were some very hot-headed preschers, and some very vile attacks also appeared against Saivism; and it was at the earnest request of a number of his friends he consented to reply to these attacks, both by his tongue and his pen. And his first great work was Sirathikyaratnerali in which he replied to his Vaishnava critica and expounded the traths of his own religiou. It is a monument of ma-terly criticism, showing the play of a very rare intellect. This appeared about 1973. From about 1879 he commenced a serial publication called 'Siddhantaratnaharam' or 'Ocean of Truth,' and most of his publications appeared in this serial. In it he expounded the truths of the sairs religion and philosophy, and rapelled the attacks of both the Vaishoavas and venuatios. In his later days he was running another magazine called 'Siddhantajnanabothm though in the name of his papil. To his work as an author, he added the work of a prescher, and it was his preaching which tended so greatly to diffuse the truths of Siddhanta throughout the Tamil districts. Till he began his ministration, the Saiva religion was but a show, tamash and temple-going and nothing else. The Siddhaata philosophy was hardly known by its name and the great works which contained these truths were all sealed books. He lectured to vast audiences in Trichinopoly, Madura, Coimbatore, Salem and Bangalore and in most other important towns and cities; and the truths which he proclaimed came as a surprise and a blessing. Not till then did the ordinary Saivite realize that behind all the forms of his religion lay a truth, which a veteran European scholar styled the "choicest product of the Dravidian intellect" He used to frequently point out the universal character of the Siddhants, how this was the whole, of which all other schools were but parts; and his favouritsimile was that of the elephant and the blind men who quarrelled among themselves having seen but parts and not seeing the whole and its relation to the parts.

He used to compare the Saiva Religion and philosophy to a vast royal palace, different parts of it could only be accessible to and within the reach of particular classes of people; and the innermost structure of

which is not accessible to any one except the king and royal consort. And the ordinary sight seer could have no conception of the beauties of the more private apartments.

He was an indefatigable writer, and his activity can be imagined when we know that his collected writings number more than a 100 separate publications. In his writings he adopted a style which was peculiarly his own and which was easily intelligible to all. It was he who for the first time (the great Sri Arumnga Navalar had published some catechisms before) brought out in simple prose all the truths of Saiva philosophy and religion and within the reach of all.

He was honoured by all the Matadhipathies, and Rajahs and Zemindais and beloved by the people of the Tamil land. And he was maintained throughout, after he resigned his post, by their loving contributions. The latter years of his life were saddened by illness, brought on by overwork and he died of sheer exhaustion and not by any disease. To the last he preserved his clear intellect and vision unimpaired and he was working almost to the last days of his life, and when some of his friends requested him to give up his literary work at least for a couple of months, he replied he could not even if he would.

In social intercourse, he was one of the best of friends, the most affectionate of parents and a most dutiful husband. Though an ardent Saiva himself, yet he owned many devoted friends among Vaishnavas and Vedantine. He hated humbugs of all kinds, and he led a most exemplary life himself. He did not however pose himself as a great saint, and he set greater store by his work as preacher and writer than in doing mein pujah. He however supported temple worship and his treatise on the subject entitled "Archadipum" is a masterly production. He brough into special prominence the worship and honoring of the great saints and Acharyas, Gnana Sambandhar, Appar, Sundarar and Manickavachakar, and he was himself a special devotee of that 'Divine Child' Gnanasambantha. A full account of his life and writings are under preparation and it will be published in due course.

When the end came, it came peaceably and expectant of the glory to which his soul yearned. He sent for his guruhhal, had the prasadam of holy ashes presented to him, heard the sacred Tirurachakam read out, uttered the name of 'Siva' three times and passed out at ouce into His glory.

His friends and followers contemplate the building of a Memorial Hall to perpetuate his name and work and to have an oil painting of his put up to grace it

J. M. N.

EXTRAGT.

(FROM THE INDIAN MAGAZINE AND REVIEW)

THE TIRUVASAGAM; OR, SACRED UTTERANCES OF THE TAMIL POET, SAIRT AND SAGE, MANIKKA VASAGAR with English translation, life of the Sage, introduction, notes, &c., &c. By the Rev. G.U. Pope, M.A., D.D.

The Tamil language, which is an ancient as the Sanscrit, and, of air the Indian languages, second only to the Sanscrit in the wealth of its original literature, has received in this work a remarkable, addition to its accessible treasures. The issue of its has been expected for some time by students of their religious philosophy of South India, and by all whose were interested in Tamil Literature.

This is the first appearance of an edition of the Tiruvachagam accompanied by an English version. The volume also contains a history of Manikka Vacagar (the author), and a Lexicon and Concordance, together with Appendices illustrating the great South Indian system of Philosophy and Religion called the Saiva Siddintha.

From Dr. Pope's Tamil Anthology, his Kural, Naladi, and other valuable and scholarly works, both in English and Tamil, it was anticipated that the Tiruvaçagam would receive careful editing in his hands, and a survey of the work justifies these anticipations.

One main purpose of the publication is to bring about a better appreciation in the Western world of the current of religious thought and feeling among Hindus, so large a hody of whom, especially in the southern part of the Indian Peninsula, are followers of the Saiva Siddhânta Philosophy, from which these poems, in daily use in the Hindu Temple services, derive their inspiration.

Another object is to render generally accessible an ancient hymnology of striking beauty and fervour, which cannot fail to command respect from people of all religious, however little the doctrines the hymnosinvolve may be consonant with their own particular beliefs.

The ample and learned notes, betokening years of labour and research, the careful lexicon and concordance, and the rhythmic translation printed concurrently with the Tamil text, afford very useful and complete aids to the study of the text and of the system of theology.

The meaning of the texts is more accurately conveyed in the somewhat free rhythmic translation than it would be in one of a more literal character. A little examination, however, shows that where a literal translation is capable of conveying the exact idea contained in the text, it is generally employed.

Baikka Vécagur, the componer of these hymns, as the minth century of our ers." He was a er of the school of the great religious reformer mera Acharya, who lived about a contary earlier. particulars of Manikka Vecaga.'s life and mal straggles, and his contests with the ists are most interesting, notwithstanding that thre unfortunately observed by the legends with they have become interwoven.

as necessary to the understanding of these poems are some notion of the Hindu and Buddhist me of Kauna-i.e., the belief that overy person mento the world is a re-embediment of a soul that seared a part in one, or more previous existences. what the sins of such previous existences not day parged cleave to the new embodiment, and are purged away before the soul can be freed meeing again born into this world, and can enter ment. Kurma means an action; and, as actions whe character, the word in religious philosophy memonly used to denote the character, with its mable consequences, as formed in the course of werel embodiments the roul may have under-This subject is discussed by Dr. Pope in pp. mand xlviii.. and in a note, page 142.

Baiva Philosophy, as ordinarily understood, who a Pantheism in which, if it be pushed to its conclusions, the Human race and all its smenn have no reality apart from the Supreme my who produces them for his own passing rewon. Such a system necessarily implies that all is merely the apparent action of a pupper irresponsible. But in practice this view . man conduct is not entertained. Responsibility is and as existing, and re-embodied souls when r and from sin, the result of old deeds (Karma), seriasting blessedness in a separate existence, in the blessedness and wisdom of the Supreme, moingled with His escence. (See p. Ixxv)

. The Indian religions, considers the Saiva ata System "the most elaborate, influential, sdoubtedly the most intrinsically valuable of Areligious of India" It is especially and from shoris times the religion of South India, " and " saway over the hearts of the Tamil people." this great attempt to solve the problems of Me Soul, Humanity, Nature, Evil, Suffering, unseen world, has never been fully expounded

Sundrum Pilial's conclusions accepted by Dr. Hultsonh Show that Hanna Rambantha preceded Sankara by nearly starries, and Scholars assaily place Saint Manikkavamgar 5 otheries sartier. (Ed. L. of T.)

Adianos le again wrong in this description of risive SMat it is not pantheign in any some of the word, (Ed. L.

Antecedent to all history the native Dravidian religion was a kind of Saivism. It then fell under northern influences, and these who introduced the Védic religion found a place in their own system for the superatitions of the aborigines. Buddhism, the Jain system, and Chiristianity exerted, later on, a still more softening influence; while the rivalry of the Vaishnava sect since the twelfth century has tended to develop and systematise the dogmatic parts of the

The Saiva Siddhanta System, during the five or six centuries of its development, had fourteen Sages to gnard and foster it, of whom Manikka Vacagar was the first. The poems-some of them of great heaptyascribed to him in this volume are principally concerned with his struggles with Sin, and the out-pouring of his greatful praise for the Grace of Siva which has converted him. But they dealt also with the problem of Evil. In the note at the commencement of Hymn IXIX. ore of the earliest poems, it is said that whereas the Buckhist represents suffering to be the whole account of life, and traces it to "the thirst for pleasure, being, power," the Saiva doctrine regards life as "a probation, a purgatory, a preparation for endless fellowship and communion with the Supreme."

Thus G ace is recognised where the Buddhist sees only sufering. And Manikka Vacagar fashions his poums (see note at commencement of Hymn xxiv.) so as to form the strongest possible contrast to the Buddhist agstem. He replaces its cold formality of ritual with the fervour of a personal devotion "to one whom he believes to have been the Supreme manifested in the fiesh." The occasion of the songs was the withering influence of Buddhism on the human heart. It was cold, formal, and Godless. A Revival of Religion was needed. The Editor says (p. xxxvi): "The effect of these sougs, full of a living faith and devation was great and instantaneous. South India needed a personal God, an assurance of immortality and a call to prayer. These it found in Manikka Vacagar's composition."

It is impossible to notice with any particularity the more striking passeges of the Hymns, Hymn xx., the Morning Hymn in the Temple, is certainly very beantiful throughout; but Hymn xlvi., xlix., 1., and hi., are regarded by Dr. l'ope as the most beautiful in the collection. Hymn xxxvii., verses 9 and 10. may be instanced as a fair specimen of the spirit of the Hymns generally :-

பானிடுக்குட்டுக் நாகுகுந்தாவப் பக்க, க பாக்கேறுக்க Laudes Saise Se ேளி 🚾 ச்செலிக்கு, புறம்புறம் இரிக்க OsiaCo! Sa CugueCo! uni e la sosectio Biocario apido ; சம்செழுக் தரு **தைவி**மே ?

The mother's thoughtful care her infant feeds: thou deign'st with greater love to visit stoful me,—
Melting my flesh, flooding my soul with inward light, unfailing rapture's honied sweetness Thou
Bestowest,—through my every part infusing joy!
My wealth of bliss! O. Siva-Peruman!
Close following Thee—1, ve seized and hold Thee fast!
Henceforth,
Ah. whither, grace imparting, would'st Thou rise!

10

புன்புலால்யாக்கூசை புளைபுகாகசியைப் பொன் கொகில் கோயிலாப்புகுல்தென் என் பெலாம் உருக்கி எனிமையாய் ஆண்டை ஈசதனே! மாசிலாமணிசேயே! துக்புகேப்பே இறப்பொடுமையக்கால் தொடக்கில்லாம் அறத்தகந்சோதீ! இன்பைமே! உன்னேச் இக்கௌப்பிழைத்தேன் எத்தொழுர் தருஞுவை இனியை?

O. Ruler, spotless Gem. Who mad'st me Thise, thrilling my frame through every pore; in friendly shape Did'st enter it, as' twere a vast and golden shrine, — making this body vile of sweetness full!

Affliction, birth and death, bewilderment,—alllinks of life,—Thou hast cut off; O, beauteous Gleam!

My soul's delight—I've seized Thee and hold Thee fast; Henceforth,

Ah, whither, Grace imparting, would st Thou rise?

In verse 5 of Hymn xxi. we are reminded of "As the hart panteth after the water brooks," &c. Hymn xlv. is a moving appeal to all Saints to march steadfastly on their way, avoiding earthly allurements. "Thy will be done" is beautifully expressed in Hymn xxxiii., verse 7.

A bare translation might have rendered the text with more literal accuracy, while a more poetic translation, with less regard to accuracy, might bave been more pleasing. Dr. Pope has preferred to present his translation in a rhythmic form, which, while it conveys the meaning and spirit of the poems better than a merely literal translation would do, steers clear of the failing common to many translators of reading into the text thoughts and images of a more poetic character than it actually possesses. It may be added that the rendering of many passages is extremely happy and well turned, and of considerable poetic merit, and the religious fervour of the poems is well reflected in the translation. connexion with this the English rendering of verse 10 of Hymn xiv. may be cited :-

புரள்வார், தொழுவார், புகழ்வாராட், இன்றே டுடைத்தாள் ஆகாநிர்? முதுள்கீர், பிகுது மைதிப்பாரார்? மதியுட் கலங்கி மெயங்குடீர், தொருள்கீர் ஆதில் இதாசெய்ம்மின்! சிவடுலைகக்கோனா, நிருப்புயங்கண் அருளார் பெறுவார்? அகலிடத்தே அருதோ, அந்தோ, அக்தோகை! Will ye not come this day and be His own, and prostrate fall and worship and adore! Those lost in wilderment who would esteem! Ye who bewildered and comfounded stand if ye would perfect clearness gain, this do! Ye who, would gain in this wide world the grace! Of sacred Bhuyangan, of Siva-world the King! ah, haste ye, haste ye, hast ye on!

Looking at the collection as a whole, it may said that in ideas, aspirations, and language, the much (as we see is suggested in page lxxv.) points to the influence of Christianity in the centuries of our era upon the minds of the races Southern India. There is Faith (note viii., p. lxxv. Grace (note vi.), Vicarious Sacrifice (Hymn xii., note p. 162), and everlasting Salvation (note iii. note vii., pp. lxiv. and lxv.), The key note of whole collection seems to be "He made me H. Hymn xl. is the great exponent of this strain, it runs through the whole.

The considerate spirit in which the imagery ritual of the Hymns should be approached by all i have the elevation of India at heart, and especiathe Missionary body, is well indicated by the vene ble Editor, 'himself for many years a Missionary South India), in the last eleven lines of page we What equipment, indeed, for such a purpose could better than that sympathy which springs from knowledge of the philosophy and spiritual yearm of a race of men who have proved themselves to some of the most remarkable "seekers after (iii) in the history of the World?"

It may be hoped that the work will have a circulation among Tamilians, and all who interested in the welfare of India, and the literal of one of the most ancient of its languages.

L. C. INNI

NOTES AND COMMENTS.

The Theosophical Review for December 1900, in unusually interesting number from the amount recent science which it contains, though a few think that it crows over-much over the propinsight of its leaders. The first article on "Theosy and Modern Science" is practically a summar Sir Norman Lockyer's recent volume on Inarak Evolution, which appeared as articles in "National This book is an attempt to work out in some of the ideas inevitably suggested by the well-king Periodic Lew of Mendele'ef in chemistry. Adopt the spectrum analysis as his main instrument research. Lockyer has come to the conclusion, folling in the wake of Drs. Preston and J. J. Thou

nt the atoms themselves are composed of still more ruentary masses; and also suspects that the forences among the elements in the masse chemical joup may be due to the differences in the arrangement of these elementary masses. In all this the riter of the article Mr. W. C. Worsdell hears only te echo of the Besteric teaching as expounded by adams Blavatsky and Mrs. Beasant.

The article also contains an account of the discovery Prof. One you Schröne of Naples of what he styles a living crystal." If this is only a true discovery. ad not a mure's nest as the notorious Dathybius .eckeli of Huxley proved to be, it would become a ery important step indeed in bridging the gulf etween living and non-living matter which is one of se much desired objects of the than of science of day. They are indeed prepared for some such penomenon un here described but it is doubtful if it an stand the scratter of wience, though the Professor asures us he was at work on it for seventeen years. his living crystal is formed in a solution of certain sineral salts, which is perfectly homogeneous even nder a magnification of 100,000 diameters. In a rop of this solution a series of spheres are formed and in the middle of the drop a small crystal which gows by the absorption of the spheres until the thole drop itself is transformed into a crystal. The rdinary mode of growth is by accretion, the laying n of layer above layer; and the peculiar feature . the present case is that the crystal grows by what the distinctive characteristic of growth in living antter, viz by intus-usception i. e., by the intercalsion of fresh particles among the older ones. inother character which links it on to protoplasm or iving matter is the movements induced in the drop y a sort of struggle for existence among the spheres n which the weakest undergo absorption. There is a good deal of theorizing, quoted in the article from the Professor which is certainly not very intelligible.

The recent lecture of Prof. Klantsch of Heidelburg it the Congress of German Anthropologists at Halle. s quoted as in a way supporting the doctrine of Hadame Blavatsky that the apes are the degenerate descendants of earliest men by crossing with animal namuals. The Professor bases his view that man cannot be held to have descended from apea directly trova the distribution and occurrence of the Bicephalons nuscle in the various orders of the Mammalia. But we should think that this supposed attack on the Baccielian theory does not even touch it, since what Bacckel contends for is only that man as well as the ape are descended from a common ancestor; and Prof. Klastsch too comes to exactly the same conclusion if we can trust the short summery published in the Review. And much capital cannot be made out of his statement that apes are degenerate forms; for he at the same breath declares that man too cannot be

considered as the most perfectly developed mammal but that in some respects must be considered as degenerate. But the summary given is none of the clearest and without looking to Prof. Klantach's own wodes, it would be hazardous to say whether be leads even the shadow of a support to the Theosophical dogma. "Notes on Lemuria" by Mr. James Stirling, a gentleman on the staff of the Australian Geological Survey, we reserve for review when it is finished.

The following letter of Tennyson, will very much interest such of our readers as are familiar with the philosophical conceptions of the Upanishads; and the Theosophical Review has done well in quoting it entire. It is dated Faringford, Freshwater, Isle of Wight, May 7th, 1874; and was written to a gentleman who communicated to him certain strange experiences he had when passing from under the effect of angesthetics.

"I have never had any revelations through amountheties; but a kind of waking trance (this for lack of a better name) I have frequently had, quite up from boyhood, when I have been all alone. This had often come upon me through repeating my own name to unpail silently, till, all at once, as it were, out of the intensity of the consciousness of individuality, the individuality itself seemed to disnolve and fade away into boundless being; and this not a confused state, but the clearest of the clearest; the street of the surest; atterty beyond words; where death was an almost laughable impossibility, the loss of personality (if so it were) seeming no extinction, but the only true life.

I am subamed of my feeble description. Have I not said that the state is attorly beyond words? This is not a veight table-tipping spiritualism. It is the most emphatic declaration that the spirit of the writer is capable of transferring itself into another state of axistence, is not only real, clear, simple, but that it is also infinite in vision and stornal in duration."

Prof. Thomas Davidson points out that the same conviction, if not the same experience, only with another, is described in "In Memoriam" XCV.

By the end of last year, one more important addition ass been made to the long series of wonderful discoveries regarding Chalden. Assyria, and Babylonia, by Budge who had been sent to the east on behalf of the trustees of the British Museum. This latest find consists of a group of tifty letters written by Hammurabi, King of Babylon, who reigned about 2800 B.C. and who is generally identified with the Amraphel of Genesis, xiv. Hammurabi was one of the greatest of the Babylonian Emperors and constructed the Great Royal Canal of Babylon, by which the highly intricate system of the irrigation of Upper Chaldes was secured and which is cited as one of the wonders of Babylon by cla-sical historians. The novel fenture about these tablets is that they are in the nature of state despatches and reveal a system of regular correspondence between the rulers and their subordinates.

IN MEMORIAM.

QUEEN VICTORIA DIED 22ND JANUARY 1901.

(R) PESDIT. R. S. VEDACHALAM PILLAL)

(BY ASHTAVADANAM P. CULLEAUNASUNDARA MUDALIAR.

வகயம் இல்

விக்டொரியா அரசியாரிறந்தபோது

LI T 12 UI 31.

@162 UL. . . OT 18 ! בנטו פוציים בוכח ב שני שו שני של ביו שו ביו கடே புகை செர வினங்கிய பெருகாண் முழு த**சிச்** mit wipson & Opy Quarum கனவிற மிபாவ்ர். மலமே முனமிப் பரக கடை த்த வெறிபடப் புகுக்கு செடுக்கி . ர வேச்சிய மன்னரிற் நீர்க் து 6ன் அன் நக்குக _ ்பு வெரு நாள் வைகு மக்கள் கெம்கை டயிக்கா வீத்துப் போதியோ உன் ஒய்! சத மாடமோ! ஆரு சனிக் தொழுகு சின் பருள்ளமா**ன் விழி**ம் மெழு.. டர் குழிர் தபின தெருபு இமுன் உஊர் த டெழில் கெர் சர் சியும் பழிய உழுத்தெனத் BROS - H & + CR Ch Din Dra Q WE en ! குள்கமா ரிசமும் பொல்வுற திருமுகம் . உளர்கத் பேர்விலம் முசிய மு தோற முலக மெக்லா கலட்சப் பெலிய கீலமிசைப் பிறர், மட்டைகளு மகளேர். வேறுபல திருவும் விறுபட கல்கப் பார்மிசை வக்க சேர்செழு மக்கோர **க்**லபல விளச்சத் திலைமையெரும் பேர்த் த நான்முகற் கினிய வெண் டகமனை மகனோ வென்றியா டி?னதல் ந் நன்றபொருள் முடித்துப் பொருவா கொட்திய திருவிக்க மென்று செறிப்பட கீன் எதின் குரைச்ச வல்வத போன் தினே யாகக் கன் நிய வுளத்தொடு மொருசண மாயிறுங்சரு தவ திலமே; ஆங்கன பாயினு மீங்கு தீற் பிரிதலி எமுல அ கடை மெழு சது போல வன் வெர் சுமுகிக் கன் எளிர் சிந்தி மாற்று சழுத்து மேற்பி மண்டேற ; அருட்பெருங்கடலே! டொருட்டெருஞ் செல்கி! எம்முயீக்குயி? ! இம்மாகடலுக் இடைகா விஷ்த்துவ் கரைவாய் இறந்து ேசாவா சாற்தது முண்மை யக்றே ? கருவிழிப்பாலாட்! குரு இளர்**டின்**பே! புகழின் விளக்டே! புண்காய முதம்ல! மன் ணுல கொர்இவின் குறுல செய்கினும் பெருக்கவ முடைய பெற்றிகம் மாதலின் விர்க்க் படப்பு மரிய ஊ மீ நி கேழிசை பொழுக்கு .ச்யாழிசைர் சூழுச திரு வாக மம் த்த விறையும் கு நன்பேற் சினி தலர் தரைகுவை சென்பது சீசேதொதம் வீக்க்டார் உர0வ து கற்றவ வரச்! DE DIT LOW wie Cas wa Con! Quas guit Guras s papson 0 திருந்திய வுனத்தொடு பொருந்திவாழ்கு தமே.

சக்கிரவர்த் தினியார்மீ த

இரங்கற்பா.

அன்னுய்! அன்னுய்! அகேல பகோத்த த்ன வே பெரிஇ நீக்கப்ப தெற்றன்!" ந்தை தா கெடிவே கோரா மால் இங் களவினுங் கண்மலும் காதாற் டேட்டில*்* தன் அரசுராச் சாற்று மிலைச்சடனில் மணம்ச்ழ் உரக வதிக்த வாக்! இர் த ேயத்தின் மெழில்விழி மிமையா விக்கைய்ற் புமக்கு விளங்கிய செல்வீ! மக்கிரத் தனுந்த மாய மென்னே! கச்ரத் தெயலமச் சுழற்ற வித்போ? படித்தன் டத்த்ற டரிவி னீசெப பாதப் பெருக்பைப் பகாப் பாற்றே? சல்வி பீர்தினை கருவோ யனிழ்நின செல்வ முதல் வே இர்த்தி கல்கின மேற்றிசை கேழ்த்திசை மேவு மெவரையு மாற்தமில் சமனு மதிப்ப முவ: உர் சமய விதிய்சிற் சலன மின்றி டகுமுறு வார்க் லடைவே பென்னுப் பன்னிய சீன்னுகாப் பயினச் சூழின் எக்கோ ' எக்கோ ! எவ்வழி கின்றவே போற்று முன்னிப் புண்ணியப் பீழப்பே! ுத்து∍ ஞுன வெழிலார் வினக்கே ! இலக்குமி வடிவா மிலகிய தாபே! கலச்சுமி னின்றன் காளத் தன்றே? தூம் ச்சுட்க் தூர் து ே stout யோடு கிலயுன் டாயத தக்திகள் செய்தியைக் தக்கலாக் தெரிக்கப் பக்த் பக்தியாப் பாவி வகோக்தன கூதம் பலபல சாத வெல்லே கம் இந் சேரமுக் காசா கிய த BONCEL GARLES BO SUE GAL க்காமாக் கலன் கணிலத்த வெண்ணில இந்தியர் கீண்பா வேற்றவர் தரிப்பைப் புக்கியிற் கணித் துப் புகலப் போமே ? Sin Gor? Drain GLo? pro Boun Est & sai a cos a psp us Cin! விக்டோச் யாவெனம் வெண்பன் சயட்டி! siowis Bot Los solis cuales! E ar Paru UAU DILE WARGLE! Uranga fing ugia SaGa! அன்னே வழியே மாச்மே சென்னு உள்ளரும் புதல்வ இசைத் கமாற்றஞ் DENUID CALCLES PASEL! CARTOL புவியிற் சேமம் பொருந்தமாழ் அறமே.

் டி அச அரக்கித்தாத்த சன்டமாறும் !! ஸ்ரீவஞ் - செல்கத்து நாவர நடித்தியில் விறிய கைய முதிலே

statut umit. Garge soni Git im Gu bflup.

it F

Ea.

4

வசன்மே அப்படு பக்க உறவ்கை உள்ளுக்கு செழித்திட்கொ ATOM & BBBI GLILLE MES LA STEEL AND SELLENT Guines Gurines. in 12 2 12 12 18 fi gai a vite Jus வேழுவே நாந்தவ நம்பினி நாடக்குமனி வங்களி வயப்டவேட தெய்வம் விசாவ பென்று தெயிக்கும் கிய துற சழ்க்குட்டோ திப்பிய மெய்யரு விப்புளி வக்கு இருந்தத் நாமட்டுவர அப்பிக்கள் வர்ந்து சூராத்திரி ஆப்பிக் உள்ளமர் உர்த்திடமே? சோம்கக் தா வெனு பாகியப் டிக்கு சி தோன்றிய தெவகு நமே.(4) புத்தாக ரைக்குர் பெய்படு முழி இந்தத்து போதுவ தன்றென்றே புள்கம் ஊக்காரு வக்கும் விக்கும் பெடிய தல் திக்கிறன் ஆ asser usasta (1) salis pulas tarper 3 ma Scoren சொக்குதி காரவர் கடவழி கண்டு சுங்குக வின்றென ஜே தேதனா வாடு டித்திரக் திர்த்தும் ழைத்திட வெள்றைன்றே பேறுறை கூறு எட் வசுறார் போழ்க்குப் நங்குவ தின்றென்றே தொத்தவா கோலகையிருன்பு வநின் அதொடங்குகு என்றென்னே சேருமகக் தரசென்ன சாய்போ முற்குக் தோன்றிய தெவருகுமே(உ) வான்மதி மினின் கீல் வ முக்கியிழ் மண்ணிடை விழுந்ததுவேட மல்லையு கற்பார் சஞ்ச்வர் விரியிம் மனைவிடை வந்தது வர கான்முக வுண்டுமை புடபோருள் உறக வத்தக வக்குறுவேச கல்வதல் லாஸர் கம்பர்கை காட்டுரை காட்ட*்வ* முத்த இவர மான்மா குறுமாக சிமானக்கும் வனக்கா வர்த்து கோர மாதவ வாழ்கொடு மில்வின் காட்டிட வள் அவர் வந்ததவர குள்முறிர் வன்புப ஹன்முறை தாதுக சக்திட வக்ததுவே: சோமகக் தரணை காமமோ டிங்குக் தோன்றிப தெவகுகுவே(உ) காபினி ழிந்தவெம் புன்மைக வேக்றக லக்தா வக்தனேயோ கல்லது தியது கண்_தப சூத்தக வின்றிட வர்த‱யேர தரபினு மென்றுபர் தக்தைவி ஹம்முபர் தன்மையில் வக்தனேயேர தன்டமி ழிற்பும் வண்டு கைய கன்று 8 தக்குட வர்தளே மேர காவிது மவல அவப்பில் மன்பத காட்டிட வக்தகோரோர கன்மன மியாவுமெரர் உள்மன மாயெமைக் காத்திட வக்தனேடோ தூயவு அத்தினர் சார்பவ சென்பது தோற்றிட வர்தினபோ சோக்கர் தரகௌன காடிமொ டிக்குகீ தோன்றிய கெங்குருவே (அ) சண்ணு 5 லார் செல் பண்ணின் மொன் சுழங் காணு த மீனியென்றே கௌணியர் தந்தல் வன் நழல் கண்டுக விக்கு அட்டினியென்றே எண்ணில் ரன்பர்மு வண்ணிய எல்வழி யேரு த மினிடென்றே ஈசனற் ஜெண்டர்கு ழிஇயவென் வெற்பினி ருக்குத மிணியென்கு முக்கூல் வரும்பொரு நீர்ந்துறை வாழ்வும் இத்தல் மினியென்றே மன் சகி நைஞ்சவ ரும்பெரு வாழ்வும் உங்கும்வ கைத்தென்றே தன்கொள சிவ்வுல் கம்முத வென்றக குங்குத வறிமென்றே சேசமகர் தரணேனு சாமம்வி தேதம றைக்களே பெறகுருவே. (இ) இங்கினி சென் சமுல் கண்டிரு கண்களு மின்புற வரகாகே ஏழைய முப்பமி ழந்துரி வின்னிசை பெய்தவ தாகாதே செவதமு தம்புசுச சில்கிரு வாய்மொழி சேர்வத மாசாதே செம்மைக் கும்முப் தேசவ முக்கினித் தேச்ச்திட வசகாதே கொள்கவர் கொள்கையி அள்றிற மின்குரு றிப்பது மாகாதே குன்றவி வய்பர்கு ணங்குறி பில்குகு நிக்கோன வாகாதே gias mesu giun mii pa siQup mesegp Germai pr@mar siquar minar piga apipa (a)

குன்றின் மிக்குயில் காளுபி ரன்ன வெங் சோ ஙிகோச் சண்டணிறோ கூடுபுகி றைக்குரு நீக்குரு மாமணி கோலநீர் கண்டனிரோ பொன்றுகள் இந்தி தொன்றைக வே சிவ போதனேக் கண்டனிரோ புந்திரி றைந்தவர் சிர்தைவி ளக்கிகுப் புவினேயே கண்டனிரோ கன்று முளத்தொடு கண்சலு மெழ்ந்துயர் கண்டுரை யாடீரோ கைவேம வேத்தலே வண்கழல் வைகினற் கண்டது சொல்லீரோ [ரோ துன்றுமெ மெக்கைக்பை யென்றினிக் காண்குவர் தோகையே கூறீ சோமக்தே சேசின்று நாமினாக் கண்டுதொடர்த்த சொறா துண்மே()

வே தமொடாய வித்தக நூல்கள் விரிந்து விளங்கிடுமோ வென்றவென் ணீற்றெருளி மிந்நில மென்கு மனி ரிந்தபரந்திடுமோ தீதலு நால்வர்தி றம்படு மன்பு திசுழ்ந்து சி மந்திடுமோ தேறரு மெய்ப்பொருள் கண்டவர் நூல்கடெ விந்து செ ழித்திடுமோ மேத்க வாகமொ ழிந்திட வல்லதொர் மெல்லியற் பையக்கியே மெல்லென விவ்வுரை யாவும் வற்குவி ரித்துவி ளம்பிடினே தூதொடு வந்து வின்மழ் லேம்மொழி தாயதெ னக்கொண்டே சோமசுத் தரனிவ ணுமுறு தன்பறத் தோன்றுவ செனம்முனமே(அ)

அஷ்டா வதானம் பூவை - கலியாண சுந்து முதலியார் இயற்றியது.

கைதிக சைலசித்தாக்த சண்டமாருதம் ஸ்ரீல**ஞி - சோமசுந்தரநாயக ரவர்கள்** விஜயாஷ்டகம்.

வெண்பா.

பரசமய கோளரியாப் பாலீணத்தம் வாழ்த்தும் வாண்சோம சுந்தரகல் வள்ள – லரனமுயிற் சேர்ந்திருந்தான் சார்வரிமா சிச்சுக் கிலபட்சஞ் சேர்த்திர்த்தி யாகுக் தினம்.

அறுசேர்கிருத்தம்.

குன்றிலிட்ட நீபமெனக் குடிலயத் திலெல்லோருங் குறிக்க வாழ்ந்த

கன் நரக்க் ஹெனகாவ லேச*ூன* வருங்கூடி சுயர்து பேசத்

தென்றபிழி னீபொழியும் பிரசங்க மழையத**ே**னச் செவியான் மாக்க

லெ**ன்றி**னிரா *னெழிற்சோ*ம சந்தரனே யென்னுயிரே யென்ச**ம்** பத்தே

அன்னியைமா ப**ோவா**த் பொஞ்சருத் திரிப**ு**தி யாணு யோவு

முன்னுடைய பிரசங்க சர்ச்சிணே கா லேயலறி **யுகைத்தக்** கொள்ளப்

ாய்யை தெற்ற இது மேல் விறும் அம். அது முறு விறும்

பான்மை லாய்க்க

தன்னிசரி அயர்சோம சுக்தரகா உல ேறே சையக் குன்றே.

வட்டமஇ யணிபெருமாற் கேதேனுல் குறைப்சால்வார்' வாய்கி ழிக்க

விட்டமுது மெய்கண்ட சாத்தொடை ளாயுதமிங் கேக்றெ காளு

மட்ட இக்கி ஹாஞ்சென்ற புதமதத்தர் விதிர்ப்பறவே யார்ப் பரித்துச்

டெட்டரேத் திடவாழ்ந்த நற்சோம சுர்து னே செல்லப் பேறே,

சாகுமுல் சத்னிறுளே வைதீசு சைவரித் நார்த் சண்ட மாரு தமென் நேசே ந்த பதியாதி வோருரைத்த மாண்புக் கேற்பச்

சாருவா சன்மூசலோர் நீன்முள்ளே **தரும்பென்னத்** தாஞ்சுழன்*ரு*

சாருமிறைஞ் செற்குரிய சோமகக் தரப்பேர்கொ ளார்வ லேலகே.

முச்சுற்றுப் புறச்சமய சிற்சில்லோர் சிவேத்து⊂ைமை முன்னு ாஇ

யச்சிரம் முக்கொளி விட்டது வெவர்றிறுக்கு மருகூம் யாய்ரீ

தக்சுபதி ல விடுத்த சாத்திரங்க ளின்பெருமை சாற்ரப் போமோ

திக்கீணத் தூஞ் செயக்கொண்ட சோமசுக் தரனே தற் சிவத் துற் ரேடுகேன.

இட்டசித்தி பெலாமளிக்குஞ் சிவபெருமா இணைமையிளே பெண்ணூராசித்

தட்டிக்கையும் புள்சமய மார்தர்கிலர் தயவிளேயும் தனேயார் கொண்டு

(க) கட்டமுற வழவழ்டுவ சு நேபுரா ணம்பலவுக் கழற வேரணைக்

இட்டவர வொட்டாத சோமசுத் தானேதொல் கேண்மை யேருனே.

விர்தையிகு வேதாக மக் எளினு**ண் மேதகுவாய்** விளக்க முற்ற

வுக்கியகற் போம்கணை மாக்களோக **போச்சைய**ர்**க்** குகி மெம்பால்

(உ) ^{வேந்துவர} தஞ்செய்யும் புறச்சமய **கைரப்பிடிக்கும்** ் வண்மை செய்த

லெக்கைத் சிச சாஞ்சோம் சு.்த∵னே கிண்ணருமை யென்னே யென்னே.

இவ்வெலமை கீப்ரிந்தா மெகு க**ெண்ணிப் பற்பலரு** மேவ்து இன்று (0)

ருவ்வுலகை கினே நீக்கா தன் மயம*ுப் மணக்கின் ந* வுண்கைம் தன் சேச்ச

(க) செட்கிபெற வறிக்களான் கி**றிதேனு**ங் **கலங்கா இ** சிரித்து வாழ்வே

கென்றி வரும் புகழ்சோம் க**்தரனே வெஞானத** தெறின்மிக் கோணே

LIGHT OF TRUTH

01

SIDDHANTA DEEPIKA.

1 Monthly Journal. Devoted to Religion. Philosophy. Literature. Science, &c.

Commenced on the Queen's Commemoration Day, 1897.

VOL. IV.

MADRAS, MARCH 1901.

No. 10.

IN MEMORIAM.

QUEEN VICTORIA. DIED 22ND JANUARY 1901

மகாராணியார் பேரிற் சரமகவிகள்.

நூக்கெலா மொரு தடைக்கிழ் தூரு நிரணையர் செங் தர்கின சென் அசைக்கு மொழி புயராயாக (கோக் தகிலாய் பழவுக்கும். புதுவுலை தங் சனித்தே அதமரை வொரு தடைக்கி ஓரன் கொக்க தாயே எழுது மாங் கிலவரசர் குலதில் க மாவ எயர்கே என்மையெனும் பல முதவு தருவே தாட் ஸமரனிய கெய்மையில் தித்வினிடத்தே செயரிரித் அக்தோனித் துவர்நிரு மாற்றையும் இல்கேட் (க)

ந்தியா தெத்தொழிலு பாற்று கிடித் இறல்வேக்த ராட்சிரிலுற் சிருத்திமிகப் படைத்த ந்தியா வெனும்பாத கண்டமதைக் குறையின் நிறைபுரிக்து முறைபுரிக்க வெம்ம∿πபே சின்னே சுக்கியாம் பாராத குறைவருத்தமென்மேல் ∫ன்றுப் மூண்டபெருக்குமர்ப்படுத்தி மீண்டெமைவிட்டக சுதியா ருந்தவேப்போற் காக்கவைல்லா ரெங்கும் . பெண்ணரசீரம்மண்ணரசாப்ப்பே செத்தமண்யே. பண ணுற கைச சிர்திருத்தி வளபடநெதி மினப வாழ் வளிரத மாதரரா மணிவிளக்கே மிதுபோல் விண்ணு ைகுசிர்திருத்தவேகின் போகின் இரை பேள்மைப்பமக்குலைர்ந்திடவேவிட்ட + எரு ட்கொ சண்ணு - க மிழு த்தது போற் கலைகளிற்கு கண்பிய கருக்கை திள புறித்துக் கண்மன கிர்காண்ட 'ளவேச தண்ணுல்வு முரி டகன்ற வரன கம்போற் நாண் சனிமழு நகவ முகில் வைசாசற்பு சிலாக்கி இதியா.()

அளியவுரிரக் கிறு திற ரங்கோணெமுசெப்தாளிடத்தும் அன்புவைத்த வுத்தமியே ஆதுவர்க்குத் காயே பெரியவருக் கோரும்பே பிழைபோருக்கு முகத்திர பேறைகமையை மாதரித்த பெணமனியே பெணுத் தரிமவரு மசங்களிக்குவு சாந்த தண கிறிப்ப சரற்றுபு முத் கதிரசிறைக்கு தண்ணியமா மரிபே தெரிவரிய சின் பெருமை உளக்குரைக்குப் புகு சல் சிற்றெறும்பு கடல்பருக்க சேர்வதுபோ வமாலு.() அற்புகமா மின்சாரக் தக்கியுகீ ராவி யடதிரவூர் தித்தொடரு மாங்காங்கு முடைமத்து கற்பின் டியன் நிலைகாணர் சுழற்பெக்கிஞ் சேய்மைக் கண் எசிருக்காருடன்பேசக்கணப்பொழுத்லெ எரிகா பொற்புடைய பலதேசங் கண்டுமனங் களிச்சப் [ய்ப் புரிக்ததனுல் விளேக்கபயன் புசழுவடங் ருவதோ விற்பனஞ்சே ரின்னபல நன்மை பிளெண் கூரிலவே வீச்டோரி யாகெவனும்பேர் விளக்கியார புகியே.()

கல்வி வளம் பெருச் கியுயர் செல்வகெறி வகுத் துக் கைத்தொழிலே விருத்திசெய்துகாடுகளே த்திருத் திப் பல்விளே வு தரமுரம்பைப் பாங்குசெய்து குடிகீர் பல விடத்து மியக்திரத்தாற் பருகுவித்துச்சனங்கள் செல்வழியைப் பண்படுத்திப் பொருட்காட்சிச் சாலே சிறக்கவரித் தியசாலே முதவியபல் லறக்கள் எல்வேற விளக்கியதி யவையிருக்க மறைந்தாய் எம்பேரான் விடுத்தவிதி யாவர்கடப் பவரே. (சு)

மின்னு, விழவக்து விழக்கபி செனவே மின்சாரத் தக்திவழி யுன்சாக்கா டறிக்கே எக்காளு கிணக்காணர் மன நிடுக்கிட் டேங்கி இரங்குவா ராரினரே லெக்காளு கின்னே மன் வர்வத் தொடுகாணப் பெற்றோர்க் பெற்றோர் மருவியவுற் ருரிவர்த மனகிலேயா தென்பேம் இன்னென் தைபடி பெக்காட்டார் தமக்கும் இசைக்கெரியாசியற்றி மீசைபடைத்ததிருவே() செங்கதிரோ னத்தமியா ராச்சியமு முலவாச் செல்வமொடு மாண்புடைய மக்களுகல் அறவும் சிங்களிலா வாயுளொடு முடி வேர்தர் தமக்குச் செப்பியகற்குணங்களோடுகற்பு அபெண்ணியல்புக் பக்சமிலா செய்திரின்ற சீமாட்டி நினக்குப் பசுருதற்சோர்குறையில்லே யாயினுஞ்சீர்படைத் கங்கையொடு காவிரிபா பெங்கள்வள நாட்டைச் காணுத குறைசருதக் சவலுவடுதம் முளமே. (அ

அரசுயலாம் பெரும்பார மிளம்பருவர் தொடக்கி
அம்மவறு பான்மூன்றுண் டானரெடுக் காலம்
பரசுபுகழ் மேலோங்கப் பரித்துவர்த சிரமம்
பராபரை முதற்கடவுள் பரிகரிக்க சினேக்தே
இரைசெய்கடற் புவிவாழ்வை விலக்கியீனப் பாறத்
திருவடிரீ ழஃயளிக்கச் சிறந்தணயோ தாபேர கரிச ஹரீ மூன்னுமிர் சப் பரஞ்சு மக்க வெளியேக் கருதுவதை நன்றியறி கடமையெனப்படுமோ.(கூ

உன்மக்க னாயிருக்க வெம்மையெலா நீபெற் அதவியேசற் குணவானு மிளவரசு மான மன்மக்க ளாக்கிகிட்டு நடந்தீனயா கலிஞல் [யி மற்று ஊயாம்பிரிந்தமனத் தயர்ப்பெரு கேரியாழி தன்மச்செங் சோன்மையெனு மருந்துதவி யன்னர் கண்ணளிசெய்திடுவரெலச் சற்று ளந்தேறினமாக் நண்ணைளிசெய்திடுவரெலச் சற்று ளந்தேறினமாக் நன்மைத்தா யாயினந் பின்னுமொரு தாயை நாடாத நலமளிக்க நாதனேவாழ்த் துதைமே. (க0)

உ சிவமயம். வைத்கசைவரித்தாக்த சண்டமாருதம் ஸ்ரீலஸ்ரீ - சே 1 **மக ந்த**ர நாய**கரவர்கள்**

சிவபதப்பேறு,

(4)

தெப்வமா மறையு மாகமத் துறையுது செப்புகள் முற பினே செவர்ச்கும் ஐயமின் றுணர்த்த வம்பிகா பதியே அவதரித் தன்சென்ப புகழுச் சைவசித் தாக்க பாதுவாய் விளங்கித் சகைபெற சோமசுக் தரப்பேர் ஐபின் செயலைப் பிரிக்கீன மின்துன் அரர பெருங் அணத்தினுக் சமுகேர். புல்விய சமயக் கொடையால் வாடிப் பொலிவழி சை வமாம் பயிரைச சொல்லியன் மாரி பொழிக்கு கன் களித்த தூயதோர் சொண்டலே யுமிஞர் கல்லிய அறவே சோமாக் தாப்பேர் காயச பேயுனேப் பிரிக்துக் கல்லியன் மனத்தே முரிர் சரிக் திருக்கேங் காதலரர் அண்பை யதாமே.

பாறி வரிய சம்சியம் கடக்கம் த்த்திடு மற்வேறம் வகரவாற் uping affer a such prissi பொருகோது மமிழ்தெடுத் தெவர்க்கும் வண்டை மிக்கழ்க் விகிய ப்பும் artingen Greens prigut ர*ுள்*ப் சிர்த மருந்தமி மூலகே Applan Angel Qui a Cour.

Pay 419 Cet urbes Or p படுபெற மன்னச்சோன் பரிவால் டகம் பரவ வழங்கிய தெப்பாம் mulle meule stas

1 :4:

116

tu's lat .

1040 . . F . 5.

1 54.3

... 14 ..

T. A. 4616 .4.9

MI.1 3. 8 1 114.

141

4.14

சண்டமா குகப்பேர் சித்தார் *திக்க*யே தகைபேறப் பற்பன சமய கண்டனத் செயுக்ன வாப்வாகேட் சனியாக களிப்பத என்னினும் வருமே. (+)

கன்பையா வீரத்தில் மேனிக ழிரண்டிற் கல்த் சசர்வரிப்பெய ராணமுற் பொளித்கு கும்ப மதிவனர் பக்கம புல்லிய சதர்த்தி சேவதியும் வகியுறும் புதர்கான மாகேவிற் பாரன் மலரடி கீழிய படைக்கான் மகிபுகழ்ச சேசம் கக்தாத் இருப்பேர் வரப்த்த காவலர் சிராமணியே. (3)

PUNDIT, S. SARATANA PILLAI.

A TAMIL POEM ON THE IMPORTANCE

(4)

OF

DR. POPE'S TRANSLATION OF TIRUVACHAKAM.

. தி நவாசக

மொழியொர்ப்புச்சிறப்பு.

டு வறும்பு குடத் கமை த் சபல் அமப்பி த த்த குபன்னே மித் இதன வைகாமுக் William # Bar and of Dir Copi Corein a pigi bid Curin com de a Curin pul Com en ses த்த் சனியுரைக்குத் தன்மைசான்றன ; Miguidena Que Grai are ? Mulupy 14 Frankenue + resolute தற்போர்புகிதளும் பெற்றிமேலி **க**வே. THE LUC BE OUTSING PRINCE OF THE PRINCE OF T வவகை கழிஇய சுரவ இத்த சக்தமே க்கு எம்பர் திதமுina இ சை, கரும்றிவை கிகழ், த்தவ,க்க கும் : कां का (माम कार का तथा के कारी में म्पा की ज माला के कि माना । कि का माने துவத்தமிழ்படைய செய்யமொய்படுமாகுளேர் க்காடுழ்பாலே வா ந்தர்கள் குணை சுக Marian Mindalon But b कां हे के अर्थ ह जी है जा। दी अर्थ का ले अर्थ जा जा द man Dering an one de affin a de min :---

்துத்தெய பட்டுக் கணியேன அத்துப் பரப்கொடுபலமொழி பசு தறப்படுக்கு அ செர்த்தை சீர்த் பிர்தியாவெர்த அமிழ்த்துமினிய தகிழ்மொழியேன்னும் இமிழ் திரைப்பாகை சினிதிரைகள்க்கே அவகையிலங்களம் கையர விவக்கியும் army wint Jan Can Dair i min 1100 and Barpin after the Bartis அருக்கமிழணங்கைக் திருந்தியப் சவி.மி.க் சுச்சான வளர்க்கு கோடுக்குடைபோன் --முவகை முதர்ச்சிவு விறவு பபடைக்கோன்-திரின் தயசமாக குருக்குவசிலன்---பரப்பாசேர் ஓம் பார்மிசை ஜீ. யூ. Gueri Qui seinin i Ber se sa an a Con.

* + wi + a+ is - + wi 444 44 .50.

மாப்புக்குவாழுக் நிருவாக்ஆரர் மணிவசனக் சேரப்புக்கிவாய் பொழிபெரப்பாகக் அளவு நரு பாப்புக் தடைபாவா மகினத்திக்கவாகுக்கவனுக் போப்புக்குச் செய்யுக்கைம் குடு நூராகிப்புகிய இத PUNDIT, S. SAKAVANA PILLAL

TAYUMÁNAVAR.

THE WAY TO BEATITUDE.

Unlike the hymns of Devaram and other adoratory verses, the poems of Tayumanavar, though cologistic in form are really didactic in nature. It is said from we will be said from the Saiva philosophy as well as to the so called Vedantists, his poems are an admiration. His chief aim in his works was to bring out the real reconciliation between the apparently conflicting philosophies of Siddhanta and Vedanta. Among his poems, true aspirants after godhead can find much practical wisdom from the few noted below:

ாட்டை திற் பர்பா சாலம் போன் வார் அட்ச்வானிறையெல் வதிக்து கெஞ்சமே ஈட்டமொன்ற அருட்செயலினிற்றியேன் விட்டாக்கு நவற பிரண் 9 மென்மையே.

Oh heart! understand that it is the Supreme Being, like the rope which sets a humming ton in motion, brings about the actions which mortals ascribe to their own agency; simply stand in the way of the divino grace without any cravings of your own; then will you find that domestic life and ascetic life are all the same to you.

The true wisdom of a real sage, no doubt, consists in bringing to personal experience that every thing transpires by divine grace and man is no prime agent in a quaction but a mere tool in the hands of the great ended mobile. The Almighty* Tayumanavar also shows a way to come by this real wisdom which is the only real everlasting solace to mortals; he says,—

தன் பென்ற மறந்திருப்ப அருள்வடி வான தைதான், தட்டியெழுந்திருக்கும்! இன்பந்தன்மபமே மதுவாம்! மீனே பெ:ன்றுமிலே! இந்த இன்பமெனும் நீறைய் பெற்றுரே பெற்றுர்.

Put down the ego, and divine grace springs up patting you with encouragement: it is this bursting of grace in you that is the somum bonum of life; there is no other road to bliss; it is those that have come up to this stage that can be fitly called 'the attainers of real bliss'

How difficult it is for mortals to bring to unswerving personal experience this short cut to beatitude is pathetically described by Tayumannvar who records his personal inability 'to stand always in the way of grace.'

சிவன் செய்வாலேயா தும் உருமெனத் தேசேன் காளும் அவர்தரு நீண்டையெல்லாம் அதறிற்வேளுகை சென்னம் கவர்த்த சொண்டி ஆப்பவர்த தட்டிலே யடப்பட்டையோ பவர்த?ன் பீட்டியிட்டிப்பதைக்கின்றேன்பாவியேனே.

I don't fully understand i.e. bring to personal experience, that every event is the act of God (everything transpires by divine grace); I could not dispell away the thoughts tending to my woe; the flood of desire drags me and I am entangled in it and the effect is that I begin to accumulate more and nore of sinful deeds (which would launch me into the expansing ocean of ceaseless births); I shudder to think of my folly.

Elsewhere he records that at times he is fully conscious of the grace which guides his actions, which again vanishes by the play of delusions due to Karma (மாயை):—

என் செயலன் று; யாவுகீன் செயலென் றெண்ணுவே இன் வொருகாலம்; புன் செயல்மாயை மடக்கலென் செயலாப் பொருந்த ஹேன் ஃ சொருகாலம்.

No doubt the Saint Tayumanavar strove with all earnestness after the ideal which he well understood and he should have certainly attained eternal bliss.

It may be borne in mind that all miracles which are considered by shallow scientists to be impossible as being supernatural, are due to grace about whose transcendental laws modern science knows very little

Among the four apostles of the Saiva faith Manickavachakar, Appar, Tiruguanasambandhar and Sundarar, it is Tirugnanasambandhar alone the stood unswervingly always by the divine grace. Nevel did the ego even unconsciously take the upper han in him. His actions therefore were all of a divisi nature and it is no wonder that so many dazzling miracles, such as bringing to flesh and blood with life the burnt remains of a virgin girl, putting in the blazing fire a palm leaf containing his hymns without bringing destruction to it, bringing to life the corps of a man for the sake of a virgin in desolution, we performed by Tiruguanasambhandar. In the case Appar and Sundarar, every Saiva student is awar of occasional frustrations in their requests at the hand of Siva as they were not entirely free from the plant of Siva as they were not entirely free from the plant of Siva as they were not entirely free from the plant of Siva as they were not entirely free from the plant of Siva as they were not entirely free from the plant of Siva as they were not entirely free from the plant of Siva as they were not entirely free from the plant of Siva as they were not entirely free from the plant of Siva as they were not entirely free from the plant of Siva as they were not entirely free from the plant of Siva as they were not entirely free from the plant of Siva as they were not entirely free from the plant of Siva as they were not entirely free from the plant of Siva as they were not entirely free from the plant of Siva as the siv of karma which occasionally brought them within it mischievous influence.

Ye mortals, awake the grace in you by putting down the ego and easy is, then, the track to everlasting bliss.

S. A. TIRUMALAIROLUNDU PILLAI.

^{*} Compare of -1 Contin that gut a - 19121. Appar's Devaram

THE DAKSHINAMURTI STOTRA OF SANKARACHARYA

विश्वं दर्पणर्ड्यमाननगरीतुस्यं निजान्तर्गतम् पद्यकात्मनि मानवा बहिरिकोद्दर्श यया निहया । वस्सातात्कृदश्चे प्रवाचसमये स्मात्मानमेशहयम् · तस्मै श्रीगृदक्तेंचे मन इदं श्रीदक्षिणामूर्तवे ॥

sees, by soui's illusion, as in dream, things without him, though within they gleam, towns in mirrors; but, his own pure soul. at the awakening a reta, secondless, whole: I this how to that Teacher incarnate, he Lord that faces south effulgent, great.

बीमस्यान्तरिवाहरो जगदिदं शाह्निविकस्यं पुनः मायाकन्पितदे शकालक्ष्ममनिष प्राप्ति त्रीकृतम । मायानीन विज्ञास्यव्यक्ति महायोगीन यस्त्रे च्छया तस्मै श्रीगृहमूर्तयं नव इदं श्रीदक्षिणामूर्तये ॥

6. as by magic or by occult power, world that formiess, changeless, was of yore. plant in seed, but picturesque made, by space stime, illusion's work, by his will displays: this bow to that Teacher incarnate. he Lord that faces south, effulgent, great.

100.

17%

ME K

Re .

(# °

PLS .

Mike !

यस्यैव स्कुरणं सदात्यक्रयसस्कश्यार्थगं मासते साक्षासस्यवसीति वेदवयसा यो बीधयत्याश्रितान । यत्साक्षाःकरणाद्भवेष पुनरावृक्षिर्भवान्मोनिषी तस्मै श्रीगुबम्तीये नम इदं श्रीबक्षिणामूर्तीये ॥

abings almost unteal, whose radiance shines, sone real life; to pupils who explains Vedic "That thou art "; and, knowing whom, at thedreads no more the ocean of birth and doom; this bow to that Teacher incarnate. he Lord that faces south effulgent, great.

> नानाञ्चित्रघटोदरस्थितमः(दीपप्रभाभास्वरम् ज्ञानं यस्य तु चतुरादिकाणद्वारा बहिःस्पन्दते । जानाधीत तथेव भान्तमनुभाग्नेतःसमस्तं जगत् तस्म श्रीमुरुमूर्तये नम इदं श्रीद्रांतलामृतये ॥

As, through a vase of many holes, the light That burns within, through senses such as sight. Whose conscionsness goes forth; and all below That shipe, reflect but whose self-conscious glow : Be this bow to that Teacher incurnate The Lord that faces south, effulgent, great.

> देहं प्राजनश्रीन्यवाध्यपि चलां बुद्धि च शुन्यं निदुः श्रीपालान्धनदोपमास्त्रहमिति भान्ता महांवादिनः । नायाशकितिकासकिन्यतमहाञ्चामेहसंगारिणे तस्मै श्रीगुबर्गतये नय इदं श्रीदक्षिणामूर्तये ॥

Like women, children, idiots, or the blind, Fools argue long, and think, "I'm body, mind Erratic, sonses, vacuum, breath,"-what else ! But who illusion's darkest gloom dispels:

Be this bow to that Teacher incarnate. The Lord that faces south, effulgent, great,

> राह्यप्रस्तदिशकरेन्द्रसदृशी मायासमाञ्जादनात् सन्मात्रः करणीपसंदरणती योध्मृतसुष्मः प्यान । प्रामस्याप्तमिति प्रवीषसमये यः प्रस्थितायते तस्यै श्रीगुद्धमूर्तये नय इदं श्रीदक्षिणापूर्तये ॥

With senses closed, beneath illusion's veil, Like san or moon eclipsed, who sle me an hile Existence pure : and who could, walking, May, "T was none but I that till now alops nway ." Be this how to that Teacher incurnate. The Lord that faces routh, effulgent, great.

> बाध्यादिष्यपि माप्रदादिषु तथा सर्वास्वर्थास्त्रपि व्यानुसास्त्रनुवर्तमानमहमिखन्तः स्फुरन्तं सद्या । स्वात्यानं प्रकटीकराति भजतां या महया भइया तस्मै श्रीगुरुम्तीये नम इदं श्रीदक्षिणामतीये ॥

In childhood, youth, or age, it waking, dream. Or sleep, who shines the same, the ' I" supreme, Through different states; who, by the cystic sign, Reveals to votaries his Self divine:

He this bow to that Teacher incarnate, The Lord that faces south, effulgent, great,

> विश्वं पश्यति कार्यकारणतया स्वम्यागिसम्बन्धतः बिष्याचार्यतया तथैव पितपुराद्याःभना भेदत् ।

स्वमे जाग्रति वा य एव पुरुषो मायापारिश्वामितः तस्मै श्रीगुरुमूर्तये नम इदं श्रीदक्षिणामूर्तये ॥

Lost in illusion, dreaming or awake,
Who does, of all this world, distinction make,
As cause and effect, owner and his own,
As teacher and the taught, as sire and sou:
Be this bow to that Teacher incarnate,
The Lord that faces south, effulgent, great.

भूरम्भास्यनलो अनिलो अम्बरमहर्नायो हिनां शुः पुमान् इसाभाति चराभरात्मकमिदं यस्यैन मूर्यष्टकम् । नान्यत्किञ्चन विद्यते निमृश्चतां यस्मात्परस्माद्विभोः तस्मै श्रीगुरु पूर्तये नम इदं श्रीदक्षिणापूर्तये ॥

Whose eightfold form, earth, water, fire and air, And ether, sun and moon and soul, this fair Living and lifeless universe makes; than whose Form infinite, naught else the seer views:

Be this bow to that Teacher incarnate, The Lord that faces south, effulgent, great.

S. VENKATARAMANAN.

THE ADMIXTURE OF ARYAN WITH TAMILIAN.

(Continued from p. 161.)

From a historical point of view, we have seen first how the Aryan immigrants, within the Panjab, amalgamated with the Tamilians, and secondly, how these heterogenous component elements moved in a united national body eastward and mingled with the Tamilians or Bharatans of the Gangetic valley. Now, quitting this historical ground, let us turn to the fields of religion and language. Of course, the past history of a people or a nation is to be sought for, and reconstructed only from, the original researches made in the vast fields of their religion and language which are the reliable sources of information on a matter of this kind.

We have seen in the previous part that in their second period of immigration the amalgamated Aryans became converts to the Tamilian Rationalism;

but their conversion or change of belief was not to of a sudden nature, but was brought about gradue consonant with the then existing circumstance Though this change of belief was accomplished on slowly yet it went on steadily. For, although , religious aspects of the Tamilian rationalistic tai and those of the Aryan imaginative mind we different one from another, we see that the form already found a place in the old Vedic hymns fr. the very beginning of the Aryan settlement in Punjab. Among the oldest deities of the Rig-Ve Varuna and Mitra, 'the night,' and 'the day' or '; dark sky' and 'bright sky' were prominent; a these were also worshipped by the ancestors of Aryans while in their native land. To the primit Aryans, naturally the most prominent object worship was the sky and consistently with the varie aspects assumed by the sky, various deities w conceived and worshipped. But on their arrival India, struck with the reasonableness of the motheism of the Tamilians, they adopted the is themselves and introduced a new and powerful de called 'Indra', whom they made the king of th gods. The name of ludra is, we believe, a phone change of the Tamilian word Irrai (@ an p) 'log Compare the phonetic change of the words "that", and (i) "this", into sis (anda) and @ (inda) respectively.] Now as Irrai or Indra men 'king' or 'lord,' so the junior Indra came to be king of the Vedic deities.

It seems also probable that the Aryans adopted worship of Surya, the Sun- god, from their uni brethren we meant he Tamilians or Bharatans the Panjab. The authors of the Gayatri, the sac morning prayer, addressed to Surya, were Visyamitrus, 'the sons of Bharata' or as they w otherwise named 'the Best of Bharatas.' In Mult we know, there was a great temple dedicated to Sun-god from very early times, till the reign of A rangazeb, by whose order the golden statue v destroyed and the gold added to his treasury. Mulis a contraction of Mallasthanam, 'the abode the Mallas'; and the Mallas were as we have no elsewhere, a distinguished nation in western Inc a branch of the Bharatan or Tamilian family oc pying all the countries between Multan, Marva : Malwa, including the present Rajaputana, wh

Bhumi." Ballat is a s word Malla and is se family residing in the celebrated Balla-Bun. Leut Col. Tod in thus remarks: "The sly to the Sun and it is s of this orb abound."

orahip of Surya, has ra from time immemo-Surva was the first t Tamilians before the most celebrated of all Klam or Akkad-the was Cadmira, which ent temple, dedicated lit, 'the gate of God; ira received the new y Elam of Babylonia The Western scholars pira was of Turanian ; and that the latter the former. But we bough the European he meaning of the two aner of their deriving believe that both the re of Tamilian origio. rence in the Tamilian beais of Cadirams, or e city of the sun, from the sun.' And Babel sy-pagel and a metemerese) = Vay (Tamil) 'agal, 'the sun'; thus od," (the metathesis of

e hear of them in the Mahais as fighting men and fearell known, stracked Alexanladus, not far from Multan neror was severely wounded man preferred to ressive the f the Malias of the ancient ing undying fame on this i, the Eastern Malias attract t Buddha the great referreer agar and from the fact that the Malia Subhatra as an

Col. Tod's "Travels in 147-140, and his Annals of Pagal-bly) is contracted into Babel [cp. the Metathesis of Kadai-(k)kan and Kan-kadai "a glance"].

The religious schism was, we believe, the cause of separating the agoient Tamilians into two different nations. Among the Tamilians who separated, on their way from their native land, the Southerns were mostly the worshipers of Sive and the Northerens of of Surva, till the holy sage Agustva from the South, went to the North and established Saivaism in the the city of Kasi or Varanasi (properly Baranasi*). As in Europe so in India religion and civilization spread from the South to the North, in times of vore. Hence in the northern branch of the Tamilian family, those who lived in the countries on the Southern banks of the Granges, i.e., the Kasi, the Madhurs, the Panchala, the Kuru etc., became votaries of Siva. while those on the other side of the river retained their old belief. These latter who worshipped Surva were called the Surya family or Solar race; while the former who worshipped Sive, the Chaudra family or Lunar race. Thus the bright crescent that adorns Siva's bead, as a crown, represents the Tamilian race. and the purpose of his wearing it on his head seems to show the great care he has for his people. Though the Tamilians of Northern India, were divided into two families as the Solar and Lunar (independently of the main division, namely, Eastern and Western Bharatas), yet the legends of the later Puranes, not forgetful of their collateral relation, trace out their origio, to one parentage and name them as the descendants of Manu, "the Dravidian (Tamilian) Prajapati," The Lunar family has, from of old, been more prominent than the Solar. Except the religious differences above referred to, the Lunar and Solar families, were always on the best of terms and had intermarriages.

Now to turn to the subject. Although the ancient Tamilians, as noted above, differed widely in their systems of belief, yet they were one and [all monotheists; while the early Aryans were polytheists. The Aryans of the Punjab, being, as we have slready seen

^{*}Dr. Opport says:—"I am of oppinion that Bårknasi owes its name to the Bårs or Bhårs. I amigu likewise the name of Behar or Bhår to the same origin aspecially as the Bhars were come the rulers of this district, and as the usual derivation from Vihars, a Buddhist temple seems to me vary problematic, the more so as Behar was not the only district in India which was covered with such religious buildings......It might perhaps be advimble to discontinue deriving the names of Indian localities from Sanskrit words, as has been usually done hithorto, unleasewhere such derivations are well supported" (The Original Inhabitants of Indian pp. 41-42.)

influenced by the Tamilian monotheism, introduced a new god, Indra. for themselves; but when they saw that this new and powerful, but junior, Indra, whom they crowned as the head of their gods, was not enough to answer to the Tamilian idea of the Selfexistence of one eternal and omnipotent Power, which pervades all visible and invisible matter, they invented another deity, to convey the said Tamilian idea of God. Even this new introduction is, in the Vedichymns, a very complicated idea, not fully developed. This Omnipotent Power (Sakti) was called by the Aryans Aditi which means 'undivided', 'unlimited.' 'eternal.' The Vedic deities, Indra, Varuna, Mitra, Surva etc., were called the sons of this eternal Mother the unlimited Power, and were named Adityas. The word Aditi has no equivalent term among the other encient Aryan nations, and it has been coined in India and which also clearly explains the idea of the Tamil word for God (== a) which is explained elsewhere. Nevertheless we may point out here that the conception of God as a mother, and the mode of addressing God, as He, She or It are peculiarly 'Tamilian,' not met with in other ancient religious. Soe the 'Light of Truth,' Vol. II. pp. 253 - 254).

There are many instances of these kinds of new introduction in the oldest Vedic accounts, as a result of the amalgamation of the two races. But we need not dwell longer on this subject. For European savants have written several great works upon the very subject, so much so that little is left to be desired. We would only caution the readers to be careful not to take for granted whatever is found in these foreign writers without criticism as there are many passages in their works which certainly require amendment and correction. But the time is now come. Happily the Western savants have now begun to unlearn that such ideas as, the god-head in the unity of the Divine Power, with its three fold functions of Creation, Preservation and Destruction, the doctrines of Transmigration, of Janua and Karma etc. were quite foreign to the Arvan mind and were clearly borrowed from another source. We cannot but concur in this rightly drawn inference, our chief reason being, as we have aircady shown, that the Sutlej once crossed, the Arvans east off their primitive religion and civilization and adopted that of the ancient inhabitants of the land, as they mixed with them. So, it is not at all surprising to find that the religious ideas which they now entertain are quite without a trace in the ancient religious of other Aryan nations,

The early Arvan immigrants of capable of conceiving as their religi of gods," for material advantages; t of an all-embracing supraconscious Atman, 'bent upon into it.' is not co tible with their system of ceremonia first period of their immigration. t the doctrine of metempsychosis. next period-when the Aryans beca the Tamilians of the Gangetic valle rebirth, for the first time, begins Brahmanas and is developed in the quote here, Professor A. A. Macdon the subject; says he: " As the doc gration is entirely absent from th early Brahmanas, it seems probabl Aryans borrowed the idea in a rudin the aborigines; but they certainly of having elaborated out of this rud theory of an unbroken chain of exi connected with the moral principle

We call the attention of our reade that the theory, which held the pre-A prondest possessors of the illarata l has already been exploded by the history. But this statement by Pr tains a most important fact. For, i says, it is true. that the Aryans we to the pre-Aryans of the soil for thi and if, as we have demonstrated Aryans can mean no other than t had become already a great people. that the doctrine of metempsychos Professor thinks, merely in its infi developed and greatly improved con the Aryans comprehended it. For, first become acquainted with this which was new to them, these neopl pulously forced, by its reasonablen cult and readily became converts religion-the Tamilian Rationalist product of the intellect of one of ancient and civilized nations, relat-Akkadians of Chalden.

PANDIT, 1

To be Continued .

MHORT SKET H OF TAMIL LITERATURE.

(Continued from page 201.) CHAPTER XIV.

THE TEX CLARACT SOROS

uppioni4.

More advancing to sketch the history of the way period of the Madara College, a short review three important compilations of the Board on necessary.

three compilations are 'The Ten Classical of application,' The Eight Compilations' of the award of the Eighteen Didactic Works' appearance. These works form as it were the cream make malky occur of the Tamil literature of the acassium. In these compilations we have the which reflects the ancient civilization of the carrier. They are therefore of inestimable value of Tamil antiquery.

Sproceed to 'The Ten Classical Songs.' These shighly-finished short poems and may, therefore, and as 'The Ten Tamil Idylla' as Mr. Sundaram shias done. These are:

- by Nakkirar dedicated to the Tamil
 War God, Maruga,
- 1. Porunarattruppadai Quegas absulum. by Mudattumakkanniyar dedicated to Kurikala Chola;
- Sirupánáttroppadní Pouropoulou by
 Nattattanar dodicated to Nalliakkôdan
 a valourous chief of the Sangam times;
- B Perumpanattruppadai Quesion especial by Kadiyalic Rudran-Kannanar dedicated to Tondairon Hantirayen. King of Kanchi:
- Mulhippatta @@&dusc.6 an erotic posm on the Patience of the Wife in Separation by Nappithanie;
- Maduraikhinehi o gasessaid by Mangudi Marudaver dedicated to Nedanchelian, the Victor at Talayalankanain:
- Nedunalvidai Oxformana an erotic poem, on the Pining of the Disconsolate Queen, by Nakkirar dedicated to Nedunchelian, the Victor at Talayilankinam;

- VIII. Kurinchippattu & Acciourate an amatory song on Lovely Union by Kapilar dedicated to Piragattan an Aryan monarch:
- IX. Pattinappālai uca ercusta a love poem on Separation by Kadiyalûr Rudran Kannauar dedicated to Kurikala Chola; and
 - X. Malaipadakadam when Santab by Konsikanar dedicated to Nannan.

To modern Tamil acholars these are indeed very hard nots to crack; these famous works are written in strict accordance with the roles laid down in Tolgappyam, as the erudite commentator of these classics very wisely holds. Each of these poems is one long sentence of more or less loose syntax. The peculiar clasticity of the Tamil idiom enables the writer to make a free run with his quick meandering thought amidst all its digressions. The difficulty of the reader lies in tracing the course of the main thought. The student of Tamil literature is much indebted to Nacchinarkkiniyar who has softened his path. A similar difficulty is experienced in studying the four introductory ahavals in Tiruvachakam.

All these Ten Classical Songs scem to have been composed in the first century A. D. Of these Guras reminer. and unique in dedicated to Karikala Chole, and Que un considered, dedicated to got Bear we'r of Kanchi, are the oldest and they may ali be placed about the middle of the first century, any about the year 00 A. D. These prems are decidedly older than Kural as Karikala Chula preceded Ugrapperavaludi the last of the Pandyan sovereigns who conducted the Madura College. The time of composition of Kural may be placed about 80 A. D. Seguesas sive that fronts the list of the Ten Classic Songs is also a little older than Kural as we shall see further on, and may be placed about 70 A.D. (Bis Pi unit and conditionis may be also placed about the same date. Os Dadowen and warrisigs. dedicated to the Pandya Ostion Dewi the celebrated victor at sweenesses, are later than Kural. as the famous l'andyn, whose exploits the poems dwell upon, was a successor of Ugrapperuvaludi, in whose time Kural was composed. Of these two, Gaingwere is indeed older, for it describes the victory soon after it was gained, while to provide was a little leieurely composed by water was soft to teach the path to eternal bliss to the king in the zenith of his

renown. செடுகள்களை may be placed about 35 A.D. and மதனைக்காஞ்ச a little later, say about 87 A.D. இறுபாளுந்தப்படை and மக்கப்சிகட்டன் are dedicated to chiefs who flourished after the demise of the கடையெழுகள்ளல் and as such may be placed at the latter end of the first century about 90 A.D.

·TIRUMURUGATTRUPPADAI.

' THE PANEGYRIC IDYLL ON MURUGA.'

(About 70 A. D.)

This religious poem is the first of the 'Ten Classical Songs'; it is also found in 'The Eleventh Book of the Saiva Bible' compiled at a much later period by Nambi A'ndar Nambi, the Tamil Vyasa. The author of this richly wrought-out poem is Nakkirar who is generally considered to be the President of the Tamil Academy about its closing age. The circumstances under which this work was composed will be given later on in connection with Nakkirar. An approxi which is always a panegyric poem literally signifies 'putting one in the path (to a munificent lord).' Its full import is as follows: 'a poet who is richly recompensed by a lord, on his way home, meets another poet or minstrel who is bent upon going to the same lord for receiving bounties at his hands; the previously-well-rewarded poet describes in glowing terms the lord's generosity and dictates to the wayfaring poet the path which leads to the patron and the manner in which he should conduct himself. Herein (in தெருமுரு காற்றப்படை) Nakkirar who got the full blessings at the hands of Murugar (the Son of Siva) describes to other poets who wish to receive is \$\beta\$ (salvation) at the hands of Subramanya, the splendour with which He resides in his six favourite places, namely, திருப்பரங்குன் நம் (near Madura), திருச் சேறில உரம் (Trichendore a famous Subramanya shrine in the Tinnevelly District). தமுவாவினன் அடி (probubly Dipol another very famous shrine of Muruga), திருவேசகம் (a place in Malainada), குன்றதோசாடல் and ப. நமுதர்சோல் (திருமாலிருஞ்சோஃமலே or அழகர் Carifo in the Madura District).

The style of the poem is in perfectly chaste Tamil diction; the diction is antique and dignified, and, therefore, in its very nature, very abstruce to the average student of Tamil. Observations of nature abound, in this as well as in all the other classical works. The special importance of this poem rests on

the really correct picture it gives about the really of the Dravidians or rather the Tamil race almineteen centuries ago. Mr. Sundaram Piliteview of the work in one of the early number, the Christian College Magazine may profitably referred to.

The ruddy complexion of Muruga seated on green peacock is compared to the Rising Sun on blue ocean; the extinction of the ignorance of mortals at the sight of Muruga is compared to disappearance of the darkness by the sun's of Muruga's victory over Sûrabadma the king Rakshasas is described with a masterly hand.

The effulgence of the six faces with their ous functions and the respective actions of corresponding twelve hands are, then, majestically dealt with.

The penance of sages is, then, very graphs described and Muruga seems to be described higher deity than Vishnu, Siva, Indra and Brahm

A very true picture of the Brahmins of the chage is given. The Brahmin should be born father and a mother sprang of totally different of unblemished reputation such as Kasipa He should observe bachelorship (19x1124-64-64) forty-eight years in strict accordance with the Vehe should rear the three kinds of fires; twice born, the first birth being his natural and the second the one he assumes during holy-thread ceremony (2160-66); he should a holy thread (250-66) of nine strings; when wor ping Muruga he should be in wet attire drip water; his raised hands should rest on his head his mouth should devoutly mutter gently the mystic syllables of Muruga's name (300-66-66).

Then is described the manner in which the reworship the War God in the mountain regions.

A description of the bloody sacrifices offere the people of villages and the rude nature of worship of Subramanya are also given; but author, in this connection, takes care to preserve dignity of his favourite God for he observes God Subramanya condescends to accept the sance of mortals to Him, in whatever form it migiven (Case Bot Case aurical august augus

Thus the Tamil War God, Muruga, has become than ninenteen conturies ago the common objection worship to the Aryans and the Tamils.

poon clearly shows the readiness with which ryes incorporated the traditions and religious a of the Tamils. Marega, the Tamil God of War. the sou of the Terrible Oceanne (the Victorious the ; He was sico reckoned as the Child of mentioned as ump Que metho most ant lady), these mem to be the Tamil traditions. wai and Kadukilal were incorporated into the carmythology as the Goddens Uma and Kottravai's Milioruga, was obserbed into the system as Muanya, the Son of Uma. To enter deeper into equestion seems at present very difficult; the of the Aryan and the Tamil customs dates ant from a prehistoric age for it took place earlier wille date at which Tolg-ppyam was written. In saile most ancient Tamil book extant, Maraga is wied as 2. Curio (who son), that is, as the Son In and His favourite places of resort are the main regions. Befer, for instance, to the line mein regions. earth is separated into four regions.

he early 'ustoms dealt with in the work are the

Surinchi was the favourite song on Muruga;
Sacrifices of sheep were offered;
Salypnotic dances were in vogue.

shof vital importance to the Saivas who sing it

name lines from the poem are subjoined to serve as

Pre the effolgent form of Muruga, His victorious His companionship with Oswars and His are described).

PORUNARATTROPPADAL

A POPM IN PRAISE OF KAMIRALA'S BOUNTS.

Composed about 60 A. D.

poem was composed by Mudattamakkanniyar

whom some account has already been given. This poem as well as the previous one and three other poems of the up advance are 'attrappadois.' A porument is a war minstrel; as the poem is in praise of Karikala, the title of the poem signifies 'putting a minstrel on his path to Karikala for getting recompense at his hands.'

This fine poem describes the truly benevolent mature of Karikala, his military glory especially his victory at Cariman over the forces of the Pandya and the Chera, and the fertility of the lands irrigated by the river Kanveri. There is also a reference to the peculiar course he adopted when he delivered judgment in a dispute between two old men who thought the king to be too young to sit in judgment over them. It is believed that Karikala to outwit his clients appeared as an old man when judging their case. The same fact is also referred to in a stanza in upcloses. Some points of interest are noted from the poem:

The soft soles of maidens' feet are compared to the tongue of the dog which gasps for breath after a weary run.

The poverty of the poet is indicated by his dress rendered wet with perspiration wherein the lice along with its tiny eggs dwell in plenty penetrating into the gaps near the stitches.

Clothes of very fine texture with beautiful embroidery were manufactured in the Tamil land.

It was usual for a lord who rewards the poet who has song on him, to follow him to a distance of seven stages when the poet returns recompensed by him.

The line 'universal and good good is philogically important. and does not occur in modern Tamil but we have in its stead arms. But the word affords a class to the fact that the Tamil language is the mother language of the other Dravidian languages, as several of the modern terms in Taluga Malayalam and Kanarese though not existent in modern Tamil may be traced from Tamil words found in the ancient Tamil classics.

A few lines from the poem are subjoined to serve as specimen:

9355

அவிழ்ப்பதன் சொள்கென் நிரப்ப ருகிழ்த்தனை மு.கைப்போசிய முரிபாலகிலி விரகௌரியிர்ந்த கிரலைம் புழுந்தில் பால்வரைந்த் சுடூண்காடியின் மிதப்ப வழின்றகா வேப் பயின்றினி தொருக்கு சொல்ல பழு சொழு வெய்ப்பப் புக்கே செயில்லியும் பு மூன்றின்று மழுக்கி யுவீர்ப்பிடம் பெறுது சண்மா முனிக்கொருகாட் செயி: நிச்சழு செல்லார் திறை துறை போகிய செலி: செறு கெல்லார் திறை துறை போகிய செல்ல! சேறு கெல்லார் திறை துறை போகிய செல்லிலனுக் திளைக்தனமாக வல்லே போதியே செலம் பாயம் விட்டுடனுச் சிரியவன்போற செயிர்த்த கோக்கமொடு தேடியே செயின்ற செயிர்த்த கோக்கமொடு தடியே செயில் சிறியின்றில் கொள்கெனத் தன்ன இயனை கையின் முகர் துகொண்டு இன்மை நீர அந்தனான.

The classic age was characterised by a sad feature; people were addicted to drink, gluttony and flesheating; there seemed to have been no sumptuous dinner without these vices. Vegetarianism was in fact a later growth. No doubt there should have been honorable exceptions among the Saivas, the Brahmins, and the Jains in those days.

III SIRUPANATTRUPPADAI.

(A FORM GLORIFYING NALLIAKKÔDAN'S GENEROSITY)

Composed about 90 A. D.

The author of this exquisite peom is sisismi, one of the Professors of the Madura Academy. This Attruppedai is dedicated to Nalliakkôdan a powerful war-chieftain and benefactor of Tamil bards. A painan is a string-musician; thus the title of the poem signifies that a fully-rewarded poet returning from Nalliakkôdan directs a string-musician to the lord to receive a similar recompense. The epithat Ap (short) is put in, to distinguish the poem from another of its kind which is more elaborate and dedicated to the king of Kanchi.

The poet evidently had the greatest admiration for Nalliakkôdan the prince of Theorems for he is praised at the expense of the Three Tamil Kings. After the times of 'The Seven Lords of Munificence,' who were all brought to an untimely grave by the envy of the Tamil monarchs, 'The Yoke of Charity' borne by the seven together, was singly borne by Nalliakkôdan. He was sprung of an ancient dynasty named poor who formerly lived in Coylon. His metropolis was Mûthur coan; the other important cities in his dominions were subjections, Countinant and see which are all described as fertile cities

meeting the requirem ness of Nalliakkôdan ing way; all the true found in him, grat facial glee, goodnes c who craved his parde any kind of hatred Dashing courageously army in distress, res own will amidst the were his military v qualities, were, his to the wise, his air of ig mortals, his rewarding with their ability and needy. His great ga minstrels, poets and bright moon amidst t

The syntax of this quick as they run, do

There is a refere ambrosial nelli fruit ! lines are:

> கமழ்பூஞ்சாரற் கவி யமிழ்தாவின் தீங்க ஷாவுச்சினங் - னத அரவச்சடேற்று இனை ம

Some natural obser met with in the poem

The king-fisher was great while from the tree and darts furiou which swims deep in act the green leaves o bored by the nails o lotus flower that leaves, run in a stres to imbibe the honey t black-scrpent ketu em

Rice is pounded w wood bordered with s by constant employme and become blunt and in vogue.

In certain houses, a children; young me together and appeared A few lines from the poem are appended as speci-

photos Cartary ampout.

செய்க்கள் நி யநிததாஞ் செற்றின நின்னையும் இன்முகமுடைகையும் இனியருத்தும் சேறிந்த நினந்கு சிறப்பின் அறிந்தோர் ஏத்த அஞ்செல்க்கூற்றதும் செஞ்சியையின்மையும் ஆணனிபுகுத்தும் சுழிபடை நால்கதும் காண்டிக் உற்றத்து வயகரேத்தக் கருவது முடித்ததும் காமூறப் பயித்தும் ஒளு மிப்படாமையும் ஊடிய துணர்த்தும் அளியேருண்கண் அகிலையரேத்த அறிவுமடம் படுத்தும் அறிவுகள் குடைமையும் உரிகை உறித்தும் கடையாது கொடுத்ததும் பள்கின காழ்க்கைப் பரிசினரேத்தப் பன்கின காழ்க்கைப் பரிசினரேத்தப் பன்கின கால்க்கைப் பரிசினரேத்தப்

These lines sum up the high qualities of the encyclent bero who was as well a champion of the ames.

IV

PERUMPA'NATTRUPPADAI.

(A POER DEDICATED TO TONDAINAN OF RANCH!).

Composed about 60 A. D.

This poem was composed by squager a composed by squager a composed by squager a composed by squager a composed with these of the Professors of the Madura academy. If these of the professors are stereotyped in the form of teir treatment, but original beauties abound.

This rather long poem is addressed to Danwar und for Boss with the king of Kanchipuram who flourished sout 60 A.D. In splendour and fame he surpassed to three Tamil Kings. The Tondainadu was originally a portion of the Chola's deminions; the first budainan or Tirayen was the son of a Chola, being to issue of a furtive union of the Chola with a mermid (seasoname); when the lady asked her husband that to do with the child, the Chola replied that he sould recognise him as histon and give him sovereignty he, decked with a Danwar (a creeper), would

he, decked with a Original (a creeper), would appear above the waves (per) from the nether orid. The mythology says that this was done and a young boy was made a crowned monarch of a portin of the Chou's dominions named ToudainAdn abracing the present districts of Chingleput and forth Arcot. In the Suiva mythology a different sais is attributed for the origin of the name is attributed for the origin of the name

man Ilastirayen the hero of this panegyric Idyll was the first of the Passel.

In this poem a very minute description of the Five Rogions, Kurinchi, Naithal, Mullai, Marutham and Palai in his dominions is given. The respective oceapation, diet and behaviour of the people of these regions are also dwelt upon. Flesh-eating seems to be the most common and the most cherished vice. The minstrel who is directed to the king for receiving a fit reward is encouraged by the hope of sumptoons flesh diet throughout his long way from the different peoples. The glory of the king is rather exaggerated. as it is said, that, robbers, snakes and wild beasts do no harm in the dominion of Openion with. Much relief is experienced in the description of the Brahmin villages, through which the minstrel should find his way to the bounteons sovereign. Here he could get only a purely vegetarian diet but prepared in a highly relishing manner. In cleanliness and religious austerities, the ancient Brahmin approached the most orthodox village Brahmin of the present day; but one good quality he possessed over and above his compeer of to-day. He readily fed with pleasure a low caste minstrel. It seems, however, that the author of this Idyll himself a Brahmin, has furnished us with an overfine picture. A less noble picture of the Brahmin is met with in Silappatikaram and Purananuru.

The lines describing the Brahmin's quarters are as follows:

செழுக்கன்றியாத்த தெதாட் பட்தர்ப் பைஞ்சே த பெழுகிய படி உலன்னதர் மண்டிறை கோழியொடு குமனி தன்னு த வசையும் சின்ன மறைவினி பயிற்று மறைகாப்பாளர் உறைபடுச் சேப்பிற் பெருகள் கானத்த படகவின் விளங்கும் செழின் புரையுவ் கற்பின் சதுது தல கூடிக்கை கடிகே வயின்றிக்குட்ட கடர் சடைப் பறவைப் பெயர்ப்படு வந்தம் சேதரகதுமோர் வெண்ணெயின் மாதனத்த உறப்பு த பசுக்காய்ப் போழோடு சதிரவந்த கஞ்சச சதமுனி வண்டுன்யின் மாதனத்த கஞ்சச சதமுனி வண்டுன் விராத்த தகைமான் சாடியின் வசைபடப்பெருகுகி

The healthy call tied to one of the posts in the pandal indicates that its mother the cow went out to the meadow; the milk-yielding cow was an indispensable animal in the house of a Brahmin; for the five-fold products from the cow were essential for Vedic rites as they are even at present. Why the hen and

the dog are mentioned is not explicable; teaching Vedic Slokas to the parrots with aquiline bills is evilently an exaggeration. The Brahmin wife was a paragon of chastity like the tiny star (near one of the teven stars known as the constellation of the Great Bear) in the northern horizon. She was an accomplished cook being well versed in the preparation of highly relishing vegetarian diet A particular kind of rice interpreted as govered as by the commentator was the staple food in the house. The pomegranate was cut into slices and smeared over with pepper powder and intermixed with the flavouring leaves of கருவேப்பின்; such slices were then well roasted in fresh-drawn butter from the tasty curd and formed a lainty diet; there were also excellent pickles of man-20es (மாங்காய் ஊறுகாய்).

A passing mention of the shrine of Books in Kanchi is made; the minstrel is impressively advised to sarp on his ωπώ a few notes in praise of the God herein. A short and brief description of the old city of Kauchipuram is given. Festivals adored by all eligionists were coaselessly held in the streets of the rictorious city; elephants which form one of the four grand difisions of the army were fed with rice smeared well in ghee, and the cunning monkeys darted from he branches of the neighburing groves and ran away vith morsels stealthily taken from such rice while the reepers were off their guard; the broad streets were ull of deep grooves made by the wheels of the huge ars which plied often in them; there were also the juarters for soldiers whose military glory never stintid; the bazaars were intensely busy. The whole town vas encircled by a brick wall.

The fame of Options is then dealt with. Its bounty shut out the fame of other benefactors as no body cared to go to them for charity; he was a error to his enemies and a darling to his friends; he was the victor of victors. The territories of those who opposed him were rendered into desolute ruins while those who befriended with him flourished and became the home of the goddess of prosperity. His gates were ever crowded with princes who came o him to court his friendship or to solicit his aid in war against others.

High as he was he was quite affable to the bards who repaired to him to eulogise his glory and get eward in return. He personally attended upon them luring their dinner and recompensed them with suitable gifts on the very day of their visit and sent them some with cheering hearts.

A careful study will be highly beneficial to the antiquarian who wishes to have a reliable picture of the rural life in Southern Iudia about the beginning of the Christian era.

Many beauties founded on keen observation abound of which we make mention of one well-observed simile. The bubble-like protuberances on the creamy surface of the fermenting curd are compared to the form of the mushrooms. As specimen verses from the poem the lines already quoted describing the Brahmin villages may be taken.

V MULLAIPPÂTTU.

THE SONG ON WIFE'S PATIENCE. Composed about 70 A. D.

This short, sweet, highly-wrought poem is from the pen of Nappûthanâr one of the academical poets. This artistic poem treats of one of the five departments of love was, which describes the patience of the lady during the absence of her lord who has gone abroad on a war expedition against his enemies.

Nacchinarkkiniyar the critical commentator on this, as well as the other classical treatises comprised in with the less crudite annotators, who do not possess the required insight to interpret this Jungle Idyll in its true light, that is, in perfect accordance with the rules laid down in the guiding grammar of the third academy, namely, the Tolgappyam.

The lady-love who is very often a queen understands the serious unrest of her husband's mind which is full of projects on the war-expedition already on contemplation. This absent-mindedness of the king in the company of his wife converts his presence into mere solitude and the devout lady pines intensely. The idea of her huaband's separation on a foreign invasion usually beset with dangers drives her to distraction by telling seriously upon her delicate health. She tries to fix tight her wrist-ornaments which have become loose; her senses bewilder; her deep sighs are pitiable; her plight is like the quivering peacock pierced with arrows and pearl-like drops of tears trickle incessantly down her rosy cheeks from her bluc-lilly-like eyes. The pitiable plight of the wife touches even the resolute will of the king. He intending to soften her before leaving, clearly shows her that his separation issential for the preservations of his honor and fame; even this does her no good. Then come to her old matrons who have consulted the oracles at the shrine of Kottravai (Kali and); the omens were indeed highly favourable and much hope of the king's success in his future war-expedition is excited in the tottering heart of the love-sick dame, who then consents, though with a paining heart, to her husband's foreign adventure.

The poem begins with a description of the heavy rains in winter, when the dame, made lonely by the departure of her hasband, patiently keeps at home. She is on her royal couch in the seventh story of her ornamental mansion; a lamp held in the hand of a gold statue near her illumines the solitary gloom of her room; the heavy and desfening downpour of rain falling on the roof runs down the slopes and corners with the sound of cataracta; amidst such confusing sounds she exercises patience, the true mark of wife's chastity, and calmly expects the safe arrival of her consort counting the days which are yet to run. This is the wife's flight.

The king is over-busy in his compaign; his forces are within a wall of weapons driven into the ground ; he himself is within a similar smaller enclosure within the same ; the loss and trouble he sustained in the first day's fight engage seriously his mind; his eyes find no rest at night. He lies inclinedly on the bed on one of his hands while the other rests on his growned head; in this attitude he thinks of the deeply-wounded elophants which in their unbearable pain have forgotten their female consorts, of the fallen soldiers who have gloriously discharged their debt of gratitude to their sovereign by their brilliant achievements in the battle where they bravely cut off the trunks of their enemies' elephants, of the uneasy chargers which have no appettite for fodder as they are severely pierced with arrows. Amidst such loss, he despairs a little of his success in the coming morning; still he wins. He returns post haste to meet his patient wife; the neighing of the chargers yoked to his car full pleasantly into the anxious cars of his magnanimous queen.

This is a brief account of the poem. There are some points of interest which deserve to be noted:

Elephants were trained in a northern language (a _ Our A, probably Hindustani, as now)by lads of Tamil race. This on come is still in vogue. In Chintmani, one of the Five Angient Tamil Epics, u

like incident, the training of elephants in a northern dialect, is mentioned in accordance and constitutions.

The Yaranas, in all probability, a particular tribe of Greeks known as the Ionians, are described as strong-bodied soldiers guarding the king's room. Elsewhere (in Oshawarana) they are described as excellent artists versed in the construction of metal statues. References to these Yavanas are frequently met with in Sangham works. In Silappatikarana, mention is made of Yavanas in the description of the metropolis of the Chola, Pugår. The commentators vary in their interpretation; Adiyarkkunallar, the commentator of the epic poem, considers them to be Turks (*540) or the Mahomedans, while Nacchinerkkiniyar styles them as Ganasar which means probably the Chinese.

As specimen verses the following lines from the poem may be taken :

THE BLEPHANT.

உங்கக் உரை பொழுபெ தெருவிற் க்கூருற்றக் காவளின்ற தேப்பி கடின் தெக்க் மானே போங்கு சிலக்கரும்போல் கறிர்மிடைக்றியாத்த வயல்வின் மின்குள் குண்டு அத்துடைற்ற அமினுள் மருப்பிற்றங் கைவிடைக் கொண்டெனக் கலைமுட் கருவிலில் வடுபோழி பலிற்றிக் ... கல்லாவின்குர் சுகளைத் கைப்பு,

(americand = singsi).

VI MADURAIKKA'NCHI

OB

THE SAGE COUNSEL TO NEDUNCHELIAN THE PANOUS VICTOR AT TALAMA' LAWRA' RAM.

Composed about 87 A, D.

This exquisite poem is from the pen of Mangadi Maradanar one of the most distinguished of the members of the Madara College. He is unparalled among the academic poets for his fearlessness in dictating rules of morality, true virtue, and greatness to kings who turn dizzy at their terrestrial glory. Nedanchelian, the Pandya who won the famous battle at Talayalankanam had a good deal of admiration for this saintly poet. He considered it a great honor to get an sulogistic poem at his hands. The poet also was much interested in the welfare of his sovereign. At the time of the composition of this poem, the king was fresh with thoughts, of his military achievements

in the famous battle. The heroic monarch was glorious in every way as a man of great accomplishments in body and mind; the only defect in him was that he forget or did not think upon the evenescence of all earthly splendour. The poet with a sincere wish to prune this only defect in his favourite model sovereign composed this exquisite Idyll, in the course of which, he throws hints, which casual in appearance, might act vigorously upon the mind of the great potentate.

Kanchi, as the erudite commentator holds, should be interpeted in the light of Tolgappyam and not in the light of பன்னிருப்பலம். According to பன்னிரு படலம் Kanchi would mean 'opposing undauntedly an invading army.' Kanchi is defined in Tolgappyam as 'பாக்கருஞ்சிறப்பிற் பல்லாற்று தும். இல்லாவுலகம் புல் விடிதெறித்தே.' Thus Kanchi dwells on the instability of all earthly glory with a view to teach the path to salvation.

Now to come to the poem. The poet, whose mission was to teach the path of salvation to his friendly sovereign in the zenith of his worldly splendour, approaches the king cautiously and dwells first on all the inestimable virtues of his sovereign to show that he is not blind to the merits of his patron. The poem is in short a long enlogistic address to the king. It begins thus: you have sprung from a lineage of kings who ruled the kingdom in an unparalleled way. In your land seasons never failed to yield their timely fruits; rain came right in the season; fields yielded crops a thousand fold; your land was quite free from calamities. Your land can fare well even without rain on account of the uncensing supply of water in the river Vaigai; your subjects are rich as landlords or as traders. Oh famous victor at Talayálankánam and lord of Korkkai the famous seat of pearl-fishery! You will raise up the status of your friends and impoverish the kings who oppose you in battle. you fare like the crescent (the waxing moon) and your foes like the waning moon. Temptations cannot make you swerve from your word; in war you won't flinch back ever so little though heaven and earth face against you; you have got the greatest disdain for ill-gotton wealth; your contentment for wealth is really commendable; you take delight in the fame of bestowing rich gifts. Oh my motherly lord! I shall tell you a certain thing; hear me; let your delusions give way; what is the true relation you bear towards all this splendour. I cannot show you the thing which I well wish to show you. For a knowledge of

it (the indescribable bliss which every human soul should aim to attain and for which the human body is given; this is known as size of in Tamil) you should go to an ancient sage. Sovereigns of world-wide reputation as conquerors, who died uselessly without bestowing any thought about extinction of future births, are as innumerable as the particles of sand on the shore of an ocean. May your earthly fame last permanently on the face of the globe.

After thus throwing some darting hints, the poet hastens to describe the splendour of the sucient town of Madura.

The town of Madura, the metropolis of the Pandyan kingdom is situated in the midst of land composed of the five characteristic regions—the mountain region or kurinchi, the jungle region or mullai, the desert region or palai, the region of fields and vegetable gardens or maritham, and the oceanic region or neithal-and bedecked with flourishing cities and villages where the inhabitants live comfortably and contentedly attending to their several occupations. The banks of the river Vaigai with magnificent trees of various kinds spreading their branches wide and high are bedecked with the cottage-villages of Panar a low caste tribe who could not live within the city walls. Ditches around the fort are deep with bluewater; the ramparts of stone rise into the region of the celestials. The high and wide gates of the fort with frame-work of great height bearing several stories, and with doors ever smeared with ghee are busy like the ceaselessly flowing river, with throngs of men who pass incessantly under it.

The day baznar is then described. Flags of beauty raised in honor of divine festivals, flags commemorating the capture of foreign cities by the generals of the king, flags in honor of triumphs in war, and other flags to denote various other things, all wave majestically like cataracts flowing down the hills. But elephants like ships in the turbulent sea, cars with flight of wind drawn by brilliant borses, magnificent chargers with denfening tread mounted by trained riders, drunken soldiers wrestling with one another, all frequently pass and repass through the bazaar thoroughfare. In the great bustle caused by the passage of the fourfold royal army, pedlars and sellers of petty articles of merchandise, such as, fragrant unknit flowers, garlands of various hues and patterns, perfumed powders manufactured by the conjoint work of several persons who have divided the labour between themselves, betel 'leaves, spiced arecanuta, sime slaked from burnt shells: these petty merchants get afraid very often of any accident. After the bustle of the army is over, they begin to breathe fresh life and ait under the cool shade of aky-reaching mansions and offer their commodities for sale by crying out to the passers-by. Women of genteel appearance with grey and plaited tresses go from door to door offering spices to young dames to make them supply in the enjoyment with their husbands at night-flist is the bustle in the bassar about sunset.

The night bazanr is then described. Wealthy lords aith their shining retinue pass through the bazaar. The faces of dames standing on the terraces of houses alternately hidden by the waving flags appear like the moon emerging from clouds. The roar of the irum, beat for the huly festivals, desfens the ears. Men and their full-grown wives with flowers in their sands go along with their children to the Buddhist churches. All places of religions sanctity such as the residence of sages, the residence of penancing devowere the Jain shrines with flower gardens, schools of norality are all very bosy. The noisy traffic of ner hauts trading in toreign merchandise, of priests, generals, ambassadors and spies, of manufacturers of jungles from couches, of borers of precious stones, of goldsmiths, of cloth-merchants, of painters, of westers offering their clothes for sale, of sellers of vegeables and of sellers of different sorts of diets, produed the appearance of the mingled cry of different irds in a grove

The early part of the night is then described. At sanset the full-moon rises to the great cheer of the scople; dames hasten to prepare garlands, aromatic alours etc. The courtesans are busy in enticing coultby young men. Maravars (a tribe of fearless nen living in the districts of Madura and Tinnevelly) get drunk and wander riotously in the streets. Hypotic dances of Velan, the round dances of females, and ongs of various kinds can be witnessed everywhere.

Then the second jimum is described. The conches tense to blow; the wooden stands or pillars in the ront of the shops are pulled down and the shops we closed; women go to sleep; sellers of estables to bed; actors and dancers begin to repose. The whole city presents the appearance of a sea whose matter is over.

The third joinant or the time between midnight and 3 v. M. is then described. The king's sagacity

is herein manifested; on the principle 'set a thief to catch a thief,' he has appointed watchmen well versed in all the arts of theft. Dexterity and fearlessness are their great virtues. They roam quite fearlessly in the streets in spite of heavy rains and floods, like tigers in search of prey. They peep slyly into the rendezvous of crafty thieves and robbers. A very graphic description of an 'accomplished' robber is given; in the epic of Silappatikaram also the description of an arch thief is found. Theft should have risen to a fine art in those days. But for the appointment of guards who knew all the ins and outs of the art of theft, the people's property should have been in great danger of being stolen. The complexion of the arch thief is jet-black which merges with darkness and makes him quite invisible in the dark; he has a spade with which he could split rocks and planks; he has also got a sword to serve him in defence when suddenly caught in spite of his caution; his feet are protected by shoes probably to escape detection from foot-steps; he is clud in soft cloth of jet-black hue; a rope made of cotton fibres with a clip at one end serves him as a ladder to olimb up any wall and this is wound round his waist; his eyes roll slyly in search of jewels and treasure; he is so dexterous that, when detected, he could hide himself within the twinkling of an eye. The guardsmen who are a terror to the burglars are noted for . their undannted courage and detective skill that has won the approbation of the wise. They are dead shots as their arrows never fail to bring down the thief

The fourth jamam or breaking of the day is then described. Brahmins tire up very early in the morning and begin to chant the Vedic bymns and the poise is like the humming of bees. Musicians harp the tune of Marutham on the chords, the basearmen smear their shops with the cow-dung paste; toddy shops are very early open and invite men addicted to drink. Dames sleeping with their soft bosoms pressed to their husbands' saddenly get up, to do their daily routine as the day is about to dawn and the creaking noise of the opening doors is heard everywhere. The public drum sends its roar far and wide and announces the dawn of the day; the cocks which are the natural time-keepers in several houses cry cock-a doodle-doo; swans and peacocks in the royal mansions raise amorous cry. The tame male elephants bleat in response with their endearing mates. The wild beasts, namely, the tigers and the bears in

the royal menagerie send their wild toar. Thus dawns the day.

The city of Madura is ever busy with the run of elephants, chargers, and cattle which come as foreign revenue from the fendatory kings. The city resembles the celestial city (swargam) in splendour; it is the fit city for salvation. After thus describing the heavenly city, the poet addresses the king in glowing terms.

Oh Nedunchelia! the king of such a gracious city; you, after enjoying the sweets of conjugal bliss with tender maidens, the paragons of beauty and charm, with long adorned-ears fascinatingly resting on their shoulders, get up early and bathe and adorn your beautiful person with rich ornaments. You send for soldiers, warriors and generals who have done meritorious service on your behalf, and enliven them with encouragement. You are lavish in your bounty to minstrels and bards. Oh my dear lord! you should follow the example of your renowned ancestor, Muthukudumi, who conducted many yagams in strict conformity with the Vedic rules; like him you should try to get a knowledge of கக்தமி (eternal bliss) by resorting to a Brahmin sage of antique celibrity. This is the only wholesome advice which I can give you. May you prosper amidst the acclamation of sages like the rising sun on the blue ocean and like the full moon in the midst of brilliant stars.

This poem has much historic interest; it is a word-painting of the ancient town of Madura about nine-teen centuries ago. It is a mirror wherein the civilisation of the ancient Pandyan kingdom is reflected. It is also a faithful record of the ancient customs and manners of the Tamil race. Clothes were washed in sour rice-water and ironed, as is the custom even at the present day.

As specimen verses the following lines are appended:

அரசியல் பிழையாது அறசு நிகாட்டப் பெரியோர் சென்ற வடிவழிப்பிழையாது குடமுதற்ஞோன்றிய தொன்று செழுபிறையின் வழிவழிச்சிறக்க நின் வலப்படு கொற்றம், குணமுதற்ஞுன்றிய வாரிஞண்மைதியிற் நேய்யன கெமேகின் செறவ்வராக்+ம், உயர்திக்ஷயலகம் அமிழ்தொடு பெறிதும் பொய்சேணீங்கிய வாய்கட்பிக்கையே, முழங்கு கடலேணி மலர்தில்யுலகமோடு உயர்த்ததேயத்து விழுமியோர்வரிறைம் பமகைகர்க்கஞ்சிப்பணிக்கொழுகிலையே; தெத்புல பருங்கிச் கிண்டு கிறையை வானாகு பைதைத் விழுகிதி பெறிதாம் பெழிகுமக் கொழுக்கிகை அப் விழுகிதி மீத்துச் எடுமா டிசைசிலட் குடையையே; யண்ஞும்! சித் தொறு இருன்னிரிவ் டெவடுஞே; கொக்கிஞன் நுகினக்கு வ சைடுபோரண்ணைல், கேட்டிசிச் வாழி, கடுகே சின்னு உலம்; கெட்டிசிச் வாழி, கடுகே சின்னு உலம்; கெட்டிசிச் வாழி, கடுகே சின்னு உலம்;

VII NEDUNALVÅDAI* OR THE GOOD LONG WINTER. Composed about 85 A. D.

This short Idyll of 188 lines is the most precions gem from the mines of Tamil literature. The author of this exquisite song is Nakkirar who is generally considered to be the President of the Tamil college in his days. Reality of description and keen observation of nature which generally characterise the ancient classics of Tamil are found more strikingly in this charming poem. The style is undoubtedly grandiloquous suiting to the dignity of the thought garbed by it.

Nacchinarkkiniyar by his ingenuity construes this poem as a panegyric on Nedunchelian from the occurrence of the words Cauchara and a construe at the margosa flower (Cauch) is the emblem of the Pandya. But for this, the poem, like Thackerny's Pendennis, would be hero-less. Further the commentator exhibits his high erudition by considering this song which has the appearance of a Love Poem (Asilunia) as a Political Poem (Apilunia).

The king Nedunchelian has gone out on an expedition against his enemies and has encamped near Talavâlankanam. The desire for military glory has proved a higher stimulus than the conjugal love for his chaste queen, who pines in her mansion on account of the painful separation of her beloved lord. The poem may well be styled as Oswapowie in contradistinction with contradiction with c

A graphic review of this poem was contributed to one of the numbers of the Christian College Magazine about 8 years ago by the late Mr. Sundaram Pillai of the Trevandram College.

ecording to the rules of Tamil Grammar. An artist an present the whole poem well to the eye in two mintings, one exhibiting the pining queen in her ransion and the other the king in encampment at alayalankanam. The famous war occured in the laterill mouth of grass answering to the later half of tember and former half of Movember, when the heavy sing had ceased and the cold weather with driggling ain and piercing blasts had set in.

The poem as a whole is in the form of a request the Ancient Tamil Goddess Kottravai (-The lictorious Matron) describing the painful situation if the pining queen, that Her Divinity may expedite he return of the king from the war to the great joy of his chaste royal dame.

This picturesque Idyll begins with a graphic account d the winter season (a Bi) which follows in the wake I the heavy rains. Shepherds, with their exposed iosoms shivering with cold, and palms pocketed into heir arm-pits after having been warmed over the flazing fires, take their flocks of cows and buffaloes ato the distant jungle region to keep them off from to blasts of the winter. Cattle have no desire to graze in the meadows; the froliceome monkeys miver with cold; birds benumbed with cold drop bwn from the branches of trees; milking cows do to pt allow their calves to suck at their tests; hills " boomse intensely chill. The creepers Sias and separate, which are intertwined, blossom aide by gold-and-silver-hued their ade, respectively, bwers on bushes everywhere. The yellow-footed ranes devour fishes upon the miry and sendy pots everywhere. The ripe ears of the paddy bend s down with their weight; the juicy arecaunts ripen in innohes on the heads of the green-barked palms; fom the trees, in groves thick-set with flowers, trickle wown tiny drops of chill water.

Then comes the description of the city of Madura is winter. The long and broad streets of the city of Hadura bedecked with sky-reaching mansions everywhere, appear like the brds of large rivers; strong-bedied barbarians beautify their bodies with garlands, at drunk with fermenting toddy wherein bees suster, and wander with mirth riotously everywhere it these treets, caring little for the chill drisaling thich wets their persons. Innocent damsels with the them is a mitted by the blessoming gait and graceful eyes materiand the approach of night from the fragrance matted by the blessoming buds which have already then culled and spread over the flower-plates (night

is indistinguishable from the day from inocessant drissling and unceasing clouds which overhang the sky). They light oily wicks in the lamps and adore the household deities with flowers and paddy-grains; cocks and hens with no desire to play in the chill mendows lead a very idle life within doors. In the guarded houses of the rich, servant-boys are busy in preparing pastes of musk upon the black morters. Sandal stones procured from the north and sandalwood from the south are lying prefitlessly in corners (These would be highly useful in summer, and now pastes with musk and givet which form the important ingredients are more serviceable on account of the warmth and health they give). Ladies do not adorn their treeses with flower-wreaths but insert a few unknit flowers into them. Warm spicy fumes are raised everywhere-The doors in the yards opening towards the south, for the enjoyment of the zephyr in the hot weather, are now well-bolted. Young and old no more drink the cool water from the flower-mouthed jugs but warm themselves near the red-hot cinders in hollow plates. Dancing maids press their yals (instruments resembling violina) tight to their warm bosoms to heat the strings, and tune their chords to suit admirably to their dance. Wives in separation pine intensely. This was the state of the dreary winter.

The description of the pining queen in her palace follows. The royal mansion whose construction began on the anapicious day of the noon of vernal equinor is enclosed by high fortified walls. The ornamented gate with its massive doors appears like a huge tonnel out through a mountain; the gate is broad and high enough, to allow the passage of fully-caparisoned elephants along with triumphal hanners. To drive the darkness throughout night, metallic statues constructed by Yavanas bear lamps in their hands, and there are servants who carefully watch the lamps and pour oil into them as it is exhausted. Flags of various hues waving over the high mansions present the appearance of rainbows seen on mountain summits. The royal coach made of ivory is grand beyond description; it has a silk curtain whose borders are ornamented with hanging pearls; mattresses and cushions stuffed with the soft down of eider duck are laid upon the couch. A soft cloth washed in ricewater is spread over the mattress. Upon this lies the disconsolate queen. Her bosom which was ornamented by necklaces of gems is now bare bearing simply the holy nuptial thread. Her dishevelled tresses mar the comeliness of her charming counter

nance. Her ears which fell flowingly down on her shoulders have shronk ungracefully by the removal of the ear-pendants. Bracelets of gold no mere adorn her soft hairy wrists; but rings of split conch and talisman-threads take their place. Silk clothes with flower-embroidery in which she was bitherto dressed have given place to coarse cotton fabrics. She appears like a mere outline picture instead of like a fullfinished picture in oil colors. Her unwashed and undecorated feet are shampooed by attendant-maids of rare beauty. She pines intensely in spite of the encouraging words of the nurses who suckled her in her infancy. She gazes with much distress and jealousy upon the painting of Rohini in inseparable company with the Moon in the curtain, and gives vent to sobs which bring down pearl-like drops of tears in the corners of her eyes which outvie the blue-lily in charm. She scatters them off with her soft fingers when these are about to trickle down her cheeks. She bates company and desires to be left to pine in solitude. This in brief is the situation of the queen.

The scene is then shifted to the king in encampment. The king instead of confining himself within his tent in the dead of night, is busy in paying encouraging visits to his wounded soldiers, who fought gloriously for him by cutting down to the ground the ornamented trunks of his enemies' tuskers. The blaze of the torch held near him burns horizontally stretching its head to the south, every time the chill blast from the north (which is the prevalent wind of the season) begins to blow. The royal umbrella held over him protects him from the arrowy spray of the northern wind. garment loosening from his shoulders is held by his left arm while his right hand rests on the shoulder of his aid-de-camp bearing the royal sword. this manner led by the field-marshal he goes from tent to tent encouraging the wounded by his beaming countenance and sweet words.

As specimen verses the following lines may be taken:

The Pandya in encampment.

மின்னவிர்

தடைபொடு பெர்ஸிந்த விஃசாவில் மரிசை நேரடடுக்கை நீலமிசைப் புரளக் களிது களம்படுத்த பெருஞ் செயாடவ: ஒளிறுவாள் விழுப்புண் காணிய புறம்போக்து வடங்தை தண்வளி எறிதொறு நுடக்திர் தெற்சேர் பிறைன்சிய தலயகற்பல் பாண்டில் விளக்கிற் பரு உச் கட்ரமுல உேம்பு திலையாத்த நோன் காழெஃகமொடு முன் இனை முறை முறை காட்டப்பின்னர் மணிபுறத்திட்ட மாத்தாட் பிடி செயுடி பருமங்கினையாப் பாய்பரிக்கவிமா இருஞ்சேற்றுத் தெருவின் எறி துளி விதிர்ப்பட் புடை டீழைக்கு விடைவயிற்ற மீறி வாட்டோத்த உண்கட்சாளே கைவன்மிசை யடைமத்த டைக்கு முதனை மர்க்தை தால்கால்யாத்த மாலே கெண்கு டை தவ்சென்றனை திக்கு தாதிளில் றைப்ப கன்செனையாமத்துப் பன்னி கொள்ளாள் க்லசொடு திரிதரும் வேக்தன் பலசொடு முமணிய பாசரைக் தொழிலே.

VIII KURINCHIPPÄTTU

OR

THE SONG ON LOVELY UNION.

(A Highland Idyll).

Composed about 70 A. D.

This purely amatory poem dwelling on the first of the five departments of Lave, namely, Lovely Union, was composed by Kapilar one of the most prominent professors in the staff of the Tamil academy. On the authority of the commentator we are led to understand that this Highland Idyll was purposely written to impress the magnanimity of the Tamil tongue on the mind of an Aryan monarch named Piragattan. It is a charming picture of the course of true love that was in vogue among the ancient Tamils.

Dignified Love which should be distinguished from mai@a or One-Sided Love on the one hand, and பெருக் இணை or Undesirable Love on the other, is studied under five aspects, namely, Lovely Union (kurinchi), Separation (palai), Patience of the Wife in Separation (mullai), Pining of the Wife in Separation (neithal), and Sulking of the Wife on Husband's return (marutham). This poem deals with the first of the five aspects namely Lovely Union (kurinchi). The whole subject of Dignified Love under the five aspects is ordinarily dealt with in an amatory poers known as Kovai Carme. Tirucchittrambalukkôvai of Manicka Vachakar, of which an account will be given in its due place, is the most typical composition of the kind. The important personages in such af poem are the champion (same), the lady-love? (500 6), the attendant maid of the lady-love (2 - 213

simprise of the lady-love (Passississis) etc. The simple of Love receives an exhaustive treatment in a similar by means of dialogues and conversations convent these personages. The separate appeches in the several personages in such a melidrama smooth to four-hundred. Each speech is technically cover in Tanad as a turni (pass) and possesses an agaignificant heading. The poem before us is, in sect, one of such speeches and it comes from the se of the attendant-maid (Opers) and is addressed take nurse (Opersion), who is, in fact, her own sucher. This turni bears the name of apparent size (virtuous stand).

o understand the juncture when this speech is de, some introduction is necessary. True love ween the champion and the dame spontaneously suts forth in their bosoms at first sight. They are sil-bound in each other's charms. Their lovely surviews go on for a few days in perfect secrecy wery soon the sagacious Cand understands their me and ever afterwards becomes of immense service she lovers, by arranging their lovely unions in fit redezvous during the day at first, and afterwards dring nights. The furtive course of love meets with inquent frustrations and begins rather to smell abroad. excursions of the lady-love are prevented and intensely. The unessy parents seek to mw the cause of their daughter's wasting malady. By resort to magic and incantations and Felen herei is brought into the field to diagnoss the cause. #this juncture the Caro feels it her bounden duty adisclose to the nurse, without impairing her own maity, the secrect amour of her lady, to prevent all mecessary vexation and trouble and to expedite the sch-coveted wedding of the parties. She makes 'a wous stand' in defence of the and maintains repute.

There are two occasions when the attendant-maid maptly disclose the furtive love. These occasions at (1) when the parents, anxious to know the cause othe shad's malady, resort to bring the Dancer (stan), (2) when the parents wish to expedite the arriage of the lady to a stranger who seeks the lad of the maiden by applying to them formally.

The rules of Tamil criticism allow the Gary in who cossions either to make a clean breast of the dole affair or to mix up a little falsehood and thereby meent a nobler picture of the circumstances under sich the lady's love for the champion originated.

This distortion of truth is none the less below the truth in dignity as it conduces to substantial good quite unmixed with harm to anybody, (cf. Our image of the conduction), a summer of the conduction of truth is none the less below the truth in dignity as it conducts to substantial good quite the conduction of truth is none the less below the truth in dignity as it conducts to substantial good quite the conduction of truth is none the less below the truth in dignity as it conducts to substantial good quite unmixed with harm to anybody. (cf. Our image of the conducts to substantial good quite unmixed with harm to anybody.)

The Green, on seeing that the parents of the maiden are uneasy to find no cure for the wasting malady of their beloved daughter, even after resorting to magic and incantations on the advice of the Came. who attributed the malady to the maiden being possessed of Muruga, is emboldened to disclose to the nurse, the cause of the maiden's emaciation. She requests her to condescend to make a patient bearing and first impresses on her mind the truth of the maxim which guides the pining maiden : modesty (shyne-s) is more precious to a maiden than her life; but chastity is a higher virtue in a woman than modesty. In the present case the lady-love's chastity transcends her female reserve and she is prepared to court westing death if her parents are unwilling to bestow her in marriage to the champion who has already won her love by saving her from an unforeseen calamity. She is perfectly justified in giving her heart to her saviour for 'none but the brave deserves the fair' (a.periaceflui Our poermusefi). The maiden and her attendent maid were sent continuously for some days to guard a millet field in an adjoining jungle; there, they whiled their hours in innocent pastimes such as culling flowers, bathing in pools of clear water, hearing their echoes from rocks, etc. One day while they were thus engaged, there came to them casually a young man of rare beauty and accomplishments, in pursuit of some animals he had wounded. The maidens out of modesty were dumb without replying to a few queries he made to them about the animals. Just then there came with dashing fury a rut tasker aprooting the trees in its way and the young ladies giving up their modesty flew to him for belp. He simed some powerful arrows on the wide face of the terrible elephant which ran away for life. streaming blood from the wound. The mai en in gratitude gave her love to him. Some time after, the young lady with one of her hands locked into one of the hands of her Cs. A. got down into a stream to play, and lost ber foothold and was about to be swept away, when the hero dashed into the current instantly, and bore her in his bosom to the shore, The maiden indebted to the young man, who twice rescued her from the jaws of death, passed the afternoon in his company. At about sunset, he followed them till they

came to the skirts of the village. The furtive course of love continued unobstructed for some days; the maideu's jungle excursions ceased after the harvest of the millet fields was over; the lover bent upon the enjoyment of the sweets of furtive love came to them at nights in spite of the dangers in his way. But interviews were few and far between owing to great many obstructions. These frustrations, and the idea of the dangers to which the lover puts himself on her account, prey heavily on her tender heart and tell seriously on her health. This is 'the virtuous stand' the attendant-maid makes in defence of her lady.

The poem is full of rare beauties. A biologist will have much to admire in the poem; the classic poets, instead of taking delight in depraved poetic conceits and word-quibblings, brought into their works a stock of their rare knowledge of nature. Every poet of the classic age was an ardent lover of nature and his poem is full of natural observations which benefit the readers very admirably. It is on account of such rare intrinsic merits in the Ancient Tamil classics, that the late Mr. Sundaram Pillai of Trevandram, in his masterly drama, Manônmaniyam, has said:

பத்தப்பாட் டாதிமனம் பற்றிஞர் பற்றுவரோ எத்துணேயும் பொருட்கிசையு பிலக்கணபில் கற்பீனையே.

[Will those, who have bad a taste of up & oun = 0 and other Tamil classics, ever condescend to turn their attention towards imageries and descriptions which do not tally with truth] We call out one simile where the subject and the object of comparison are both founded on a study of nature. The ripe ears of the millet (@ & sa & si) wound round the stalk, appear like the long trunk of the elephant wound round one of its far-stretching tusks to alleviate pain after a wearied unsuccessful attempt made by the elephant to reach the ears in the topmost branch of the thorny bamboo. It should be noted, that in the case of other trees, the elephant meets with very little frustration as it can bring down any branch with its great strength. The prickly protuberances all round the stem of the bamboo stood in its way.

This highland Idyll furnishes us with an idea of the copiousness of the Tamil vecabulary by supplying the names of nearly a hundred fragrant flowers.

As specimen verses the following may be taken:

புணல் தந்புணர் சீசி.

madaman CL

நளையுடைக்க**து தி** ப**ாய்தலி ஹாவுத்திகா** யருக்களை சொறை பினுமிக்கைப் பெருந்தவகை யஞ்சிலோதி மேசைய மஞ்சலோம்புரின் சை மாசமூசுடர்நாத னிவ தென்முடிகோட்சி நடி நாத்துமுட்கு நடைதை வொய்பெனப்பிரியவ யாசமடைய முமன்

Natural lovely union on the part of the maid three, namely, Uses Lyby the presentation of (union brought about from a rut elephant) as account of the rescue o away by a flood).

PAT

THE SONG

This poem, which in really an eulogistic ode at Pattinam (Kauverip) days as Puhâr. This is merits and the author who has furnished an Pattupâttu, is said to extraordinary way by t

The theme of the poe (sames) bent upon s from his wife (sames); s distressed to know of mind and says to it 'if with us to Puhâr, the d is hotter (more terrible wields against his foes cooler than the sceptre to his subjects: she separation; so I cannot the city of Puhâr of incleaving her alone; you there and prosper.'

The first 218 lines of 301 lines are devoted Karikala Chola's matr pumpattinam). Grand dignity below Ilangova Many actikarate. The poem begins with a charmsignal dignified description of the river Cauvery
in fails not to bestow its blessings to the country
the hola, even when the clouds cease to pour
ty cain in the proper seasons. After briefly
thing the fertility and prosperity of the land of
selicia, the poet throws his heart and soul upon
secription of the town of Puhir or Kauveripsiam for which, he had the greatest fascination.

mals Chola and Kauverippumpattinam were to
associated as Nedanchelian, the victor of
selicial Manudanar.

The Chola kingdom is bedeaked with wealthy cities in lie close to another.

bough moreantile activity was great, it does stream that coins were much used; sales were fixed by barter; the paddy was much reckoned as say as the boats which went out laden with salt wale, returned with paddy. The back-waters schickset with boats tied to posts on the shore and suppeared like a line of horses in a long stable.

to the city had in its vicinity, orchards, flower-

fort of the city opened by a gate and upon the common doors of which the tiger-mark was worked as as the ensign of the Chola just as the fishesi was that of the Pandya.

there were charity-houses wherein the poor and menedy were fed; the conji flew out in streams were rendered miry by bulls fighting with one want the coaselessly plying cars rendered the mento dust which rose up and marred the paintin the adjoining temples. This is evidently an experation wherein this poet takes delight as we senoticed previously.

For were charity-houses for feeding stray cattle;

were the monasteries of the Jains and the

sists Religious toleration was a marked feature

and academic times.

cuckoos left their abodes in the groves within a stwn and took shelter in topes outside the city, as special not brook the fames arising from the conducted hy sages (rishis).

Avery reliable picture of the pastimes of the

town Puhar is situated near the mouth of the

ocean and presents the appearance of somet (Order and order) on the mountain.

The custom house of the Chola was in the broad street of the fishermen's quarters in the beach; bales for export and import were lying in the warehouses. Each article was impressed with the tiger-mark before being exported or imported. The custom-house officers who had a high sense of responsibility of their functions were untiringly busy like the Horses of the Sun; the revenue arising from custom duties depended on the vigilance and the intelligence of the faithful servants of the crown.

The bazzar thoroughfare was the scene of unceasing festivals. Flags adorned with garlands raised in every house in honor of tutelary deities, the two arrays of flags on either side of the bazzar road appearing like the blossoming sugar-canes on the banks of a flowing river, flags to indicate discussions between disputing philosophers, flags on the masts of ships moving gracefully like elephants tied to posts, flags on the toddy-shops and all other flags prevented the rays of the sun from penetrating into the bazzar.

The chief articles which came from distant lands conduced to the welfare of the famous city. Ships borne by the wind brought in, borses and pepper from far-off lands beyond the waters; the Meru mountain sent its supply of gold and gems; the Western Ghats supplied the sandal-wood and other fragrant timber; the southern ocean contributed its moisty of pearls and the Bay of Bengal its corals; the Ganges and the Cauvery sent their valuable treasures; the island of Ceylon supplied various things of diet; distant lands (like China, Japan etc.) furnished the town with rare and valuable articles.

S. A. THIRUMALAIKOLUNDU PILLAY, B. A.

(To be continued.)

NOTES AND COMMENTS.

The Adyar Library seems to have been unusually fortunate in its collection of Sanskrit manuscripts last year. The Librarian is able to report that about 900 volumes have been added in a year, comprising very valuable and rare Saiva books. In particular, he mentions Vasugupta's Sakti Suiras and his Saiva Sutras with different commentaries. These are very rare works indeed, such that no library has been known to possess

these Satras with commentaries. These works seem to belong to the Pratyabhijna Saiva system treated of in a chapter of Madhava's Sarvadarsanasangraba. It may fairly be said that the schools mentioned by him in the early chapters of his works are terra incognita to our modern scholars, and it is highly desirable that these balf forgotten products of the prolific Indian mind should be made available by the Theosophical Society by their publication in any one of the recognised Oriental journals. We are not sure whether the proprietors of "the Pandit" will not be glad to afford the Society an opportunity of bringing them out, if only the officers take the necessary trouble. The Library staff ought to be competent enough to do this, and we believe, if one of the Pandits is told off for this work, it can be easily done without any prejudice to the working of the Library. There are several other authors who are the recognised authorities of the Pratyabhijna system such as Somananda Natha, Akshapada, and Utpalacharya, and we await with interest the detailed catalogue which is promised to be published soon.

Perhaps only the more erudite of our native scholars in Sanskrit would know either the Tattvarasayana or the Anubhavadvaita system of the Vedanta. Be that as it may, we are now favoured by Mr. G. Krishna Sastri in the February Theosophist with a translation prefaced by an interesting introduction, of the Rama Gita, said to form part of the second or Upasaua Kanda of Vasishta's Tattvarasayana. The Tattvarasayana itself consists of three parts viz. the Jnana, Upasana and Karma kandas, each consisting of 4 padas of 25 Chapters each and comprising on the whole 24,000 slokas. The work, it seems, has been published recently in Telugu characters and this appears to have been the occasion of the translation begun by Mr. Krishna Sastri. We shall utilize his note and give the leading peculiarities of the Anubhavadvaita. highest authorities are the 108 Upanishads, the Tattvarasayana and some other works of the Rishis. "The followers of this system have equal regard for the Karma the Juana, the Bhakti and the Yoga Margas; according to them even Jivanmaktas of the highest type, as long as they live, should observe the Varnasramacharas and perform the Nitya karmas, have faith in the Nirguna-Brahman, and constantly meditate on the teachings of the 108 Upanishads and practise Atmayoga. prefer the grihastha or the second Asrama to the Sanyasa or the fourth Assama."

The Sastri also quotes Appayadikshita, whose Adhi-karana-kanchuka, a commentary on the Vyasa-Sutra-Vritti, which is the first 17 Chapters of the second pada of the Jnanakanda of the Tattvarasayana, is well known, to show in what high regard the Dikshita held that work such that he should emphatically pronounce that the Adhi-karanakanchuka alone was written by him for spiritual

benefit, and that for one who had mastered the Tatty rasayans all other works are useless and as mere an plusage. We wish that the Sastri would give us most information regarding this school than he can well hope a do within the space of a short introductory note.

Our readers will all know Tayumanavar but have the ever heard of Taiyumanivai? Yet this is the strange gan in which he appears in the November issue of the Theosophical Review. The whole article struck us we "wonderment and admiration" when we first read it, the astounding facts it narrates and by the wonderfulls garbled Tamil names which render them past recognition The writer, Mrs. Margaret. S. Duncan, we cannot be conclude has only the very faintest shadow of an acquain tance with Tamil and we can only be sorry for pool Rev. T. Cobhan, on whose lectures at Sale, Cheshire 1897 the article is professedly based should be made reponsible for such palpable absurdities as are here perpetri ted. If our memory is right, the same gentleman put lished an account of the poet-philosopher in the fire volume of the Christian College Magazine; an Mrs. Duncan would have done well to have consulted 41; before venturing thus in right heroic fashion to make havoc among Tamil words. The following sentences a enough fairly to take the breath away from an ordinar Tamilian.

"Not long airer, the Rajah died. His widow, knowing the piety and goodness of Taiyumanavai, sent for his Soon she begged him to rule her kingdom for her, as finally to become ber husband.

"Taiyumanavai was perplexed and distressed. He first refused, and was about to set forth on a pilgrimag through Southern India, when, at the urgent desire of belder brother, he turned back and eventually consented marry the Ranee.

"His married life was a happy though short one, for year later his wife died, on the birth of their son, who they named Kanaka Sabapathi Pillay."

It is quite true that Tayumanavar turned back at the request of his brother and consented to marry, not the Rance, but another; at all events not a widow like the Rance. It is a pity that he was not credited by the write as one of the most eminent of the precursors of the presed day Social Reformers. We will wind up with two work picked up from the article and offered as puzzles of a micharacter to our readers, "Viziargartha Chokka Lim Character to our readers, "Viziargartha Chokka Lim Chokka Lim Character to our readers, "Viziargartha Chokka Lim Choka Lim Chokka Lim Chokka Lim Chokka Lim Chokka Lim Chokka Lim Ch

LIGHT OF TRUTH

--- OR --

SIDDHANTA DEEPIKA.

! Monthly Journal, Devoted to Religion, Philosophy, Literature, Science, &c.

Commenced on the Queen's Commemoration Day, 1897.

10L. IV.

MADRAS, APRIL 1901.

No. 11.

TRANSLATIONS.

SIVAGÑANA SIDDHIYÂR of ARUL NANDI SIVA ACHĀRYA.

SUTER VIII.

(Continued from page 154)

The souls are divided into three classes namely canakalar. Pralayakalar and Sakalar. They have sectively attached to them, Anara Mala, Anarama and Mayama. To the first two classes of souls, the Supreme flunitless grace shows Himself in His Niratharamation and removes their mala by the two kinds is Saktinipada (called Dirara and Livaratara), one Sakalars, He shows Himself in the form of the when their many had become balanced, and may their sins by means of the four kinds of mainada.

Norgh.

ational body, formed of Prayakalars have bornt up

of their tapas and yogs. The Vignanakala had also burnt up Karma, and his Anava or individuality alone exists and which separates him from Sivam. And when this individuality also ceases, the supreme saion is established. The Vignanakalars are of various grades called Anusadasiva and AshtaVidyashwarars and Mahamantrars, and they dwell in the regions of Sadasiva, Iswara and Vidya tatvas &c., respectively. The Anusadasivas and Vidyeshwaras become lords of creation &c., also.

The Pralayakalars dwell in the regions of the Kalati tatvas and own an erghtfold body (Puriashtaka).

The Sakalars dwell in the regions below Mulaprakriti and comprise men, Asaras and Devas up to Vishnu.

Saktinipada is the "descending of God's grace, His Chitsakti or divine light." As this light enters more and more into the soul, by the rubbing off of the dirt and uneven edges (mala) which surround the pure crystal, (soul), the more and more does it shine, when finally it is indistinguishably covered up and clothed by the divine light and becomes one with It.

Different Kinds of Diksha.

3. The different ways by which the Acharya removes sin are Nayana Diksha (by the eye), Sparisa Diksha (by the touch) Vachaka Diksha (by teaching Mantras), Manasa Diksha (by identifying himself with the Chela' Sastra Diksha (by imparting instruc

tion), Yoga-Diksha (by entering the Chela's soul by Yoga). The forms of Hotri Diksha (sacrificial Diksha) are also various, but they are divided into Gnana and Kriya Dikshas. Gnana Diksha are mental acts; Kriya Diksha is given with the aid of Homa and sacrifices. Kriya Diksha again subdivides itself into Bijam and Nirbijam.

NOTES.

Diksha is from a root 'Di' meaning to shine. The original use of the word is in connection with the soma sacrifice and meant the initiatory rite of consecration. In the days of the Mahabharat, the word had undergone a change consequent on the change of religious polity itself. It meant the initiatory rite of purification before the Chela is given his Upadesam. And we quote the following passage from Mahabharat (Anuca p. 86) wherein Lord Krishna himself describes how be got his Diksba, from Upamanya Maharishi who recounts to him the glories of Him, who is the Lord of Sacrifices and Vous and who gives him certain mantras and asks him to recite them continuously. "Eight days, O Bharata, passed there like an hour, all of us thus being occupied with talk on Mahadeva. On the eighth day I underwent the Dikshu, according to due rites, at the hands of that Brahmana (Upamanya). I received the staff from his hands. I underwent the prescribed shave. I took up a quantity of Kuca blades in my hand. I wore rags for my vestments. I rubbed my person with ghee. I encircled a cord of munia grass round my loins. For one month I lived on fruits. The second month I subsisted upon water. The third, the fourth and the fifth months, I passed living upon air alone. I stood all the while supporting myself on one foot, and with my arms also raised upwards and foregoing sleep the while.* I then beheld, O Bharata, in the firmament an effulgence, that seemed to me as dazzling as that of a thousand suns combined together. Towards the centre of that effulgence, O son of Pandu, I saw a cloud looking like a mass of blue hills, adorned with rows of cranes, embellished with many a grand rainbow, with flashes of lightning, and the thunder-fire looking like eyes set on it. Within that cloud was the puissant Mahadeva Himself of dazzling splendour, accompanied by his spouse Uma."

And it is our contention that in the Mahabharata days, the Agama rites had replaced or were replacing the old sacrificial rites of the Vedas, and modern India differs very little from the days of this period but for the rise of the new sects. And the Saivites all over India forming nearly 90 p. c. of the population retain the same traditions; and the initiatory rites of Saivas of to-day are also

called Diksha, accompanied formally by Homa and Yaga the various forms of which are discussed in the acstanzas.

Nirbija Diksha defined.

4. Nirbîj'a Dîksha is what can be given to ch dren, to the very young and very old people, and women and worldly men, and the sick. The Acha ya's son and the novices (Samayi) are purified by the initiatory religious rites (Samayachara) and a taught to perform the daily rites as far as possible. These belong to the class of Niradikara initiates. Ni yana Diksha is also of two kinds. Sathyo Nirvan which lead one at once into the Moksha and Asat, Nirvana which leads him only after the parting with the body.

North.

The division here is into what is called Samaya, Vish sa and Nirvana Diksha. Those who are entitled to S maya Diksha are those in the Dasa and Satputra Marg The Sahamargis are entitled to Vishesa Diksha; al Gnanamargis to Nirvana Diksha.

The various Forms of Sabij'a Diksha.

5. To the highly advanced in learning and charater is granted the excellent Sabija Diksha. They a taught the Nitya, Naimittika, and Kamya duties, as become clothed with authority becoming Sathaka (Chelas) and Acharyas, attain freedom. They a also called Lokadharminis, and Sivadharminis. To division into Samaya, Vishesha and Nirvana with others comprise the above.

NOTE.

Nilya duties consist in bathing and ablutions, worsh of God, and keeping up of the sacred fires &c. Naimi tika consist in consecrating images of God, in performin Diksha, and imparting knowledge to disciples. Kamy consist in Japam and Pujah with intent to acquire power Sathakas are only entitled to perform Nitya and Kamyi the Acharya can perform all the three. Lokadharmi Diksha is what can given to a Grahasta. Sivadharmi can only be granted to a Naishtika Brahmachari by Naishtika Brahmachari. For further details, the read is referred to the commentary of Gnanaprakasar.

The Purpose of Diksha is the Purification of the Adhwas.

of people mentioned above, by removing their mal by purifying their Adhwas by means of the Kriya Gnana Diksha. The pure Adhwas (paths) are six

^{*}Our readers will recall the famous pose of * fina in his tapas at Kallas as represented in "The Seven Pageons."

mber, namely, Mantes, Pada, Varus, Bhuvana, tva, and Kala. Of these the lower one is pervaded the one above in the above mentioned order. The Kala is pervaded by Sakti, and Sakti's place is Biram.

The Adheras us manifested by the Nivirti and Prathishta Kalas.

The first five Adhwas are evolved from Kal. flom the Nivirti Kala are evolved Mantras iwo. ada 28, Varna one, Bhuvana 100, Tatva one, namehearth, and its deity is Brahma. Pros. Prathistia Ma are evolved Mantres two, Prais 21, Varna 24, Suvana 56, Tatvas 28; and its deity is Vishon.

Kory.

The details of all these should be learnt from commen--

The Adhwas as manifested by Vidya and Santi Kalas

From the Vidya Mala are evolved Mantras I wo, " Ida 20, Varna seven, Bhuvaus 27, Tatvas 7, and its sty is the imperishable Rudra. From Santi Kala evolved, Mautra two, Pada 11, Verna three, Bhu-18, and Tatvas three, and its deity is Mahesh-Ara.

The Adheres as manifested by Bantistita Kala.

. From the Santistita hale are evolved Mastras ace, l'ada one, Varna sixteen, Bhuvana fifteen, evas two, and its deity is Sadasiva. Hence the hal number of Mantras is 11. Pada 18, Varna 51. Auvana 224, Tatra 80, and Kala five.

Hum the different Kinds of Karma are destroyed by the divine Guru.

(i). The Karma performed by the souls by mind, weech and body are destroyed by these being made seat the fruits through their cause, the six Adhwas. ter the cating thereof, the Anava Mala is matured al the souls reach a stage of sufficient development men God appears us the Guru, and destroys the hamya Karma, so that it may not lead to future " withe, and also the Annea Mola. The Prarapta hrum is destroyed by the purification of the Adheas, of hy birth experience.

NOTE.

the fruits of both good and evil. It is then the Gnanscharge appears and perfects him with his bare touch, by sundering the Karma root, and mais root. Hence the importance of the parification of the Adhres.

End of Pirst Adhikarana.

Adikarana II.

The various Blages in one's Religious evolution. .

11. If one leaving the alien religions, enters the orthodox fold and plods through the paths of Smritis and various Ashramas and their duties, practices rare Tapas, and learns rare Vidyas, and masters the Vedes and anderstands the excellent Puranas, and reaching a clear knowledge of the truths of the Vedas (Vedanta) steps beyond, he will then reach the beights of Saiva Siddhanta. And after practising Chariya, Kriya, Yoga be will reach the foot of Siva by means of Gnana.

Notes.

Every one must at one time or other either in the present life or in the past pass and have passed through these various phases of thought and action. At all times and in all ages, and in all countries, there have been people who have had no thought except for themselves and their pleasures, who have denied any other existence but this, who have denied the existence of any soul or God, who have cared more for the letter of the law and the forms of religion than for the spirit, who have lost their head and heart in endless learning and vain disputations. and who mistake symbols for truth. The path of reaching truth is indeed difficult but as men's capacities and developments are varied they cannot but remain in themconditions till their mind is opened out and liberated. And the really true and universal religion must recognize the necessity for all these stages and beliefs and provide for them. The paths of Chariya, Kriya, Yoga, &c., open out only after reaching a truly developed religious and spiritual sentiment, and then most religious emotion is really distinet in kind from mere intellection or perception of certain So that it follows that both Sanjitha and Prarapta bare troths, Nityanityavivekam &c., and hence the latfarms can only be destroyed by man's own individual. ter should not be confounded with the above, and its place for(a by parifying his faculties (Adhwas) and by tasting, is very low in the scale of one's spiritual development. The different Ends of different People.

12. People believe that the pleasures derived in the company of young and beautiful damsels is the highest Mukti. Others believe a residence in the different heavens as the highest Mukti; others postulate the annihilation of the five Skandas as the end; and others again the becoming possessed of the eight attributes; others postulate a condition analogous to stone, and others. Viveka, (knowledge of oneself as God); and others say that the becoming of the true form of God is the end. What we postulate is the reaching of the foot of God is the true Mukti.

NOTES.

Each belief and action is consequent on the particular ends in life, which each one gradually places before himself; and each one thinks his ideal is the best and would not be convinced that it is not the best. As you stay in a mango grove, you will find different persons entering it with certain definite objects. Some come in to carry away the dropped fuel, and twigs and dead leaves. Others come in for the bunches of the leaves, others come in to gather the fallen young raw fruit, a B, and others again for the fully grown unripe fruits, and others go in for the fruits. Some have greater relish for the unripe fruits than for the ripe fruits: (especially the taste gets peculiarly developed pregnant women who will not be satisfied by the offer of the most tasty fruits); and some have a liking for fruits with a dash of sourness in it. And when people eat fruits, some think it sweet to bite and eat the fruit whole. Some are particular how they clean it; and cut it and some would press the juice carefully and then alone taste it. There is no accounting for tastes as we say; and each derives some pleasure and profit no doubt and yet any honest thinker cannot fail to see that there are various degrees of pleasure and profit. differing in quality and quantity.

The eight attributes (என்கு கைம்) of Jainism is Anantaguanam, Ananta-darisanam, Ananta-viryam, Anantasukam, Nir-namam, Nir-gotram, Nir-ayushyam, Sakalasamyata-bhavam. In Kural, chapter 1, verse 9, where the word என்கு இத்தின் occurs, Parimelalagar, that prince among commentators, interprets the word according to the Saiva Agamas rejecting other interpretations: அத்தின் கைப்படுக்கு கல், the immaculate in body; இயற்கையுன்பின்னைதல், self-luminous; முற்ற

முகைக்கல், all-knowing; இயல்பாகவே பாகக்களிக் கீக்குகல், eternally free; பேரருள் உடைமை- all-gracions, உசப்பிலிக்பமுடமை, limitless bliss. It requires indeed very little trouble to refute the fallacions belief that kning Tirnvalluvar was a Jain or a Buddhist.

The Definition of an Universal Religion தைசைமயங்கள்பொருளுக்கைகு நூல்கள் ஒன் ரூடொன் நெறுவ்வாமல் உளபலவமிவற்றுள் யாது சுமயம்பொருள் தூலியாதிங்கென்னில் இதுவாகுமதுவல்ல வெனும்பிணக்க தின்றி நீதியிஞவிவையெல்லா மோரிடத்தேகாண நீற்பதியாதொருசமயம் அதசமயம்பொருள் நூல் ஆதவிஞவிவையெல்லா மருமுதையாகமத்தே

யடங்கேயிடுமனையிரண்டு மரனமுக்கேமடுக்கும்.

13. Religions and postulates and text books are various, and conflict one with the other. It is asked which is the true religion, which the true postulate, and which the true book. That is the true Religion, Poctulate and Book, which not conflicting with this or that, comprises reasonably everything within its own folds. Hence all these are comprised by the Vedas and Seiva Agamas. And these two latter are imbedded under the sacred foot of Hara.

NOTES.

No one can cavil it at the definition herein given, though they may not agree with the position that Saiva Siddhanta is the supreme religion. And we confess to not having received a better definition. Elsewhere we have written on the historical and universal aspects of Saivaism; and we need only ask to-day why books like Sivagnanabothan and Tayumanavar's poems are accepted by all the different schools as expressing their own truths. A distinguished Madhva friend of mine told me after he read through Sivagnanabotham that he was mistaken in supposing that I was an Advaiti. A learnest mid zealous Srivaishnava scholar has written a key or microscope to two slokes of the same book. And all followers of Sankara treat it only as one of their own books.

J. M. NALLASWAMI PILLAI, B.A., B L.

(To be continued)

THE ADMIXTURE OF ARYAN WITH TAMILIAN.

("untinued from page 220).

A diligent student of the history of Indian thought pigat, from the above inferences, observe that, dessite the inroads made by successive waves of other aces and the influence of manifold thoughts of alien coples, the Tamilian rationalism has always preserval its nature from being seriously affected and that. herefore, it should have been founded upon a very elid basis. And it is to be noted here that as soon r the early Aryana, such as the Enjouts of later lays, became converts to the Tancilian religion and evilisation, like all new converts, they advanced the ww religion with extraordinary zeal. Consequently. hey learned with great enthusiasm the Tamilian phibrophy of religion and contributed much to the phibsophic Sutra-literature, the production of the period etween B. C. 1000 to 200. But we much regret that the Brahmans who, in later days, gained, through he influence of the Rajputs, the sole monopoly of waling with these literary treasures, often evinced beir real for religion in their own way and taste; br, under the mask of reform, they actually deformed much that was good and noble. Thus the priently apremacy, the monopoly of learning and the unienlthy dogmas of the l'uranic Religion date from his period, i.e., the 5th century A. D., and were al perpetuated by the influence of the Rajputs, a new Ishatrya race.

The Kahatryas of by-gone days had maintained heir superiority over the priestly class, or Brahmans the were paid men employed to perform the religious duties, which they did under the guidance and upervision of the Kahatryas; and they, for this surpose committed the Mantras and Hymns to their actiony, though many of them did not know their actions. Learning was, indeed, a part of the duty of a Kahatrya who was post essed of a higher know-tage than an ordinary Brahman; and the explanation of religious dogmas and philosophic truths ever receeded from the mouth of a Rajanya.

But the Rajputs, the new Scythian invaders, who became the masters of India, in later days, and who had no old history of their own and no ancient or historic pride, such as characterised the ancient Kahatrus, recognised the supremisely of the Brahimans, in storm for their gratifying them by that ancient and much coveted name, the Kahatrus. As here Scythian invaders, styled as Kahatrus or tajputrus, went on extending their dominion, they add not scruple to assert the Brahiman superiority too greywhere. It was easy for the Brahiman in North

India, to raise themselves to a higher level, and to have the upper hand in every department of literature, without such ado and apposition; but this was not the case with South India. Until the 10th cent, A. D., we see the Brahmana in South India being looked down upon and consequently their social position was not at all enviable. Even to this day their priesthood is not recognised in the south part of the Tamil land. "Till within half of a century or so, all the real temporal power, all the land, all the wealth, and the professions were confined to the non-Brahman classes, though there were, no doubt, exceptions to the rule," (Vide Sid. Deepika Vol. II. P. 111).

The three centuries between the 8th and the 10th A D. were the darkest in the South Indian History. and there was a great struggle between nations for racial supremacy. Wherever the mighty and vigorous Rajputs seized the throne of the ancient rulers. there did the Brahmans replace the ancient Goros, with the aid of their patrons, the Rajput sovereigns. Every political revolution was accompained by religious scusation. This period of revolution very much tended to diminish the welfare of the land. The Purana literature of the period was chiefly written by the Brahmans as a means of maintaining their own greatness. As it was the case in the North, so in the South many of the ancient works, which, they considered might be an obstacle to their ascendancy, were destroyed and thus were for ever consigned to oblivion; many were interpolated and many, in other ways, interfered with." There are existing Tamil

We may here point out, that this Brahmanic malignity also proved as one of the great curses to the Tamil language which brought the extinction of most of the precious literatures that existed. In certier days the deluge that had submerged a very extensive region South of the Comorin, had devastated all the most valuable literary productions of antiquity. Later on, first, the Brahmans, about the 10th cent., and secondly the Muhamadans, about the 14th cent,, destroyed most of the works then extant. Rev. M. Winslow, remarks: "The Ban of Royal favour brought many pacts into light, if hot into life. Their works were mostly destroyed by the Muhamadana in the early part of the 14th cent." The curse which the Tamil works underwent in the hands of the Brahman who, as Mr. Gover says, "have corrupted what they could not destroy", was by far more injerious than the two other plagues, the flood first, and the fire last, owing to the mischief done by them, male-fire, in their translations and adoptions from the original Tamilian works. 'No-guide is better than a faithless guide'.

It was the other day, Srimath Senthinathiar of Kasi complained that the verre of the Mandokopanishad, which has been quoted by various ancient writers in their works, meaning, "A chandala, though a person is, if he atters the name of Siva, converse with him, live with him, dine with him," is missing in the Translations of the Upanishads, by Prof. Max. Muller. This omission of the text, is attributed by the critic to Sankarachariar, who, he conjectures, might, being unable to reconcile this theory with his own l'untheism or Mâys Vâch System, have omitted the verse from the text. This will suffice to prove to us, how the ancient works were corrupted, interpolated or destroyed by men selfsh.

songs composed at the time whon Tamilian and Brahmanism were struggling for mastery. Wen like Sivarakkiar, Konganar, and others used their tongues and pens in favour of deism and against the ceremonial polytheism of the Brahmans. There are many songs of this period what are distinctly called, The Songs of Sorrow, and there were, Jeremiahs' like Patragiriyar weeping over the corruption that surged upon the land.' We quote here, a few of the laments of the Psalmist Patragiriyar, who lived at the time we speak of:—

"When may I know the hidden things of life
And thus attain perfection? I would show
How false the Vedus are, with errors rife:
And burn the Sasters; so the truths might grow.

When shall our race be one great brother-hood
Unbroken by the tyranny of Caste,
Which Kapilla in early days withstood
And taught that mon were one in times now past"?

"When may my thoughts be fixed alone on Him
Who is himself all sweetness, made all things.
Whom all the Vedas sought, though seeing dim,
Who saveth him that to His mercy clings?"

Translated by Mr. C. E. GOVER.

Thus with the degeneration of Tamilism, the tyranny of caste, which was withstood by the ancient Tamilian sages, however uplifted its head, This caste separation which debarred the progress of the nation dates its era also from the Puranic period, owing to the growing influence of the Brahmans. Hitherto only social distinctions existed in the Tamil land, so that nothing prevented a man from entering a higher community; and inter-dining and inter-marriages were prevalent. The proof whereof can be obtained by a look into the extant ancient works The parents of our venerable Vallavar were of different classes. A Vellalah possessed of great wealth, though belonging to the highest class in the Tamil land had no scruples in handing over his only daughter to this renowned sago Vallavar, a Parriah. Wo learn from the lives of the Saiva Saints, that Sundarar a Brahman Sage, married and lived with two women Paraviar and Sangiliar of a lower order. Widely known is the fact that the Saint Appar. a Vallalah, was cornectly entertained by Appoothi a high honoured Brahman, his wife and children and was prayed to dine with them, and they all feasted together. Manyfold are the instances of this kind in Ancient India until the 10th century A.D. And even in modern India, about the beginning of the 13th century, when the caste system had taken its form, a famous Brahman named Arulnandi Sivachari, well versed in all literatures, did not hesitate to go to Meikanda Devar, the great philosopher and founder of the Siddhanta philosophy and himself a Vellalah, and to prostrate at his feet, and from thence did become his most devoted disciple. And another great doctor of Siddhanta school was Umapathy Sivachari, and though he belonged to a high class Brahman family of Chidambaram and was the most learned of them, he did not scraple to become the pupil of Marraignana Sambhanta Desigar; who was third in succession from the great Meikanda Devar and to cat the leavings of his much esteemed Gurn who too belonged to the Vellala community. Such are some of the examples of our great men before us

Religion and learning were, in those golden times. the property of each and every one. There was nothing preventing any one going into the interior of the shrine to worship and pay adoration to his fied. whatever class or caste he might belong to. There are representatives of the various castes in the persons of the Saiva saints. Is it in any way justifiable on the part of those who exalt in the story of Nanda, to prevent a Parriah. i. c., his kinsman, from going to the temple and adoring the God of Nanda? The words of Appar, 'அவிக்குக்கின் நடிகும்புவேட்டு இம். கங்கைவார்சடைக்குறந்தாட்ச்சன் பராகில், அவர்கள் டிர்டிரும் ணத்கும் - டவுள்கே meaning, 'A Chandala, though he kills and lives on cow, if he is a true devotee to God Siva, is worthy of our adoration as the God Himself,' were not an ideal but to all consideration seem to be what was then in practice. With these few remarks we turn to the subject.

The ascendency of the Brahmans, though, later on, politically established, was not acknowledged by the people in general, and they became odious to the fellowmen. The behaviour of the Brahmans caused others to dismiss them from their societies; and in the land "where every house was an inn and all were welcomed and feasted" these Brahmans were kept henceforth out of the doors of their beneticient brethren. The Brahmans too, out of prudence, began to sever all connections with them in order to preserve their new acquired dignity. Thus was formed the first breach between class and class in South India. We have elsewhere said that the Brahmans of South India are purely of Tamilian stock, though there may be some hybrids, formed of the two stocks—the Southern and Northern.

Though the hatred died a natural death in course of time, jet its baneful consequences,-the absence of intermarriage, and interdining, still survive. The denial of fomi and water which had been the sign of excommunication, now has lost its original meaning and stands as the mark of the higher class. The Smith of to-day, refuses to take water and food in a Brahman house. By this, he claims his superiority over the Brahmans, A Washerman, who re-ceives the same from a Velislah or a Brahman house, scruples to receive them from a Smith, These are all pretty curious to a stranger. But in the Tamil land, no doubt, every man is proud of his own caste. Even a l'arrich bounts of his birth and often quotes the proverhial saying " u-iouspes course unpue, Caluta Bilgine Buren," i. e., the Parriab highor than, or elder to the Brahman, has fallen low for lack of justice.

7.

The social struggle continued, as we have stated, for more than 3 centuries. Things however could not remain ever thus. As the other classes found themselves unable to expel the Brahmans from the sacred places and uproot their influence, a new movement was started to select among themselves each class for itself, a man of high culture and good manners, as head and guide in matters religious.*

Religious institution in South India is now a question of sects, each under its own Gure, who is seldom a Brahman. Foreign writers, who have not a deep knowledge of the different religious thoughts of Southern India, think and write that the Puranic Pauthoism and the Mayavada-school of Sankaracharya

The Parishs and Pallahs were ever honest and faithful to their old gurss—the Vallavas, and sever indicad to acknowledge a Brahman se their priest even estwardly. It is our belief that this electroncy of self-priest even estwardly, and the lack of respect to a Brahman was the chief cause of his oppression and civil excommunication.

It is matter of deep togret that, when matters stood thus in various parts of the Tamil land, different was the case in Malahar—a part of the Tamil country, where the Brassanic supremacy has been most powerful, and as in the north unopposed; and the greater part of the social degradation of that Tamilian people must be attributed to the represental character of Brahmana. Unless those Tamilians of Malahar, who have every reason to be proud of their cases light civilization and moral elevation, get rid of the 'leaven,' and the blind belief in Brahmanical priestly proteinion which was once condemned by their ancestral Tamilian flages, never can they attain the senith of civilization and morality of their ancesters. We have every reason to believe that the adocated Tamilians of Malahar, have begun already to check the influence of the Brahmana, out of the regard of the independence of their own, and to renovate the woral tendency which characterized the ancient Tamilians.

are the proper religion and philosophy of modern India. These men who have written about India mostly lived in and wrote about Northern India alone; and their sources of information, too, were chiefly from Brahmans. Therefore what these writers could inform the Western Savants of and what these latter could procure and learn was all the philosophy of Sankaracharya, and in the Sanskrit lauguage. Now, a native of the South, though Saukaracharya was, yet, neither has be been acknowledged as a referener nor his philosophy accepted by all classes of people in Southern India. A very large section of Tamilians are Saivites and belonged to the Siddhanta school. But the followers of the Sankara philosophy, though they profess the Saivism, as the Doctor Himself to some extent, yet in reality, are Pantheists, and do not belong to the former section, who are Monotheiste. And because Saukara and his philosophy were not paid much heed to in the South, he went North, where his advent was welcomed and his doctrines es. teemed. From this, it is clear that the Northerns had had no better philosophy before Sankaracharya of the South. And western scholars who have written treatises on the subject have done so with the knowledge of Sankara's philosophy alone, believing that his school was the only one worthy of attention, since their sources of information were chiefly confined to North India, which had become converted to Sankaracharys. But these scholars now begin to learn that there shines forth a brighter and more estimable philosophy and religion in South India.

The religion of South India has been from of old pure and indegenous Saivism : and the faith has been based on the Tamilian philosophical principles. Philosophy and religion went hand in hand with them. It was this philosophical religion that was preached at Benares, Varanasi, (Prop. Baranasi), by the Tamilian sage Agestya, more than two thousand years before Sankaracharya went there to preach his doctrine. It was this philosophic religion that was professed by all the Sages of antiquity. The truths and doctrines of this Tumilism were embodied in the books called Agamas, the only proper and original Saiva Scripture. Many of them, save a few, that were rendered into Sanskrit were destroyed. And we are left to doubt much as to the sincerity of these Sanskrit renderings too. These Agamas are numbered as twenty-eight, by the later Purana-literatures. The Sutasamhite, a portion of the huge Skanda-Purano, properly the foremost of the Puranas, in its

very first chapter, informs us of the name of the Agamas, and proclaims Eswara (Siva) as their author. As the Suiasamhita claims a human charce to the Puianas and a divine source to the Agamas, it is manifest that the Agamas had a prehistoric beginning. They are at least as old as the Rig-Veda and older than the Upanishad literature. The Upanishads composed at the time of the Aryan conversion to the Tamilian creed, do contain the doctrines of these Agamas.

If it is approved that Siva is a Tamilian God, and Saivism the Tamilian creed, why should we hesitate o acknowledge that the Agamas were purely the houghts of the Tamil man concreted in his own tongue. All the philosophic portion of Tamil literature had its origin from the Agamas. Not only the Tamil philosophic literature but all the philosophic systems that originated within the Bharata-land are dominated by these Tamil Scriptures. Tirumûlar, an eminent poet, sage and philosopher of Tamil land, asserts that his great work, the 'Tirumantram' is the condensation of the Agamas. This sage is believed to have belonged to the 1st or 2nd Cent. A. D., which just preceeded the above said Skanda-Purana.* We have reason

The claborate Skanda-Purana has generally been considered as belonging to the 10th or 9th cent. A. D., by western scholars. But Prof. Baudall informs us that he had secured a manuscript of the Purana dated the 6th cent. A. D., in Nepal. Hence there is no doubt that this Purana existed in the 6th cent. A. D. And it is also undisputable that this Purana had taken its origin in South India as it biasses the indigenous South Indian belief and mentions largely the Saivite shrines of Sorth India. It is probable that there might have been an interval at least of two centuries, before it could have got a fame as a Purana and found its way to northern India. It is, therefore, reasonable to believe that this Purana should have taken its use in the fourth century A. D. Though begun in the fourth century, its development had, no doubt, been going on till the 10th or 9th cent., having been bandled by many an author. We owe, we believe, to Sankaracharyar's hand for the manuscript of the Purana found at Nepal. Already the late Hon. Mr. Telang adduced reasons quite sound to prove that Sankara lived in the 6th cent. (vide, the Indian Antequary, Vol. xiii, page 95.) Sankara's visit to Nepal is clear from the fact generally accepted that Harsha Vardbana, King of Nepal, at the beginning of the 7th cent A. D., became a convert and disciple of Sankara whose name the former gave to his son, as Sankara Vardhana, in token of his devotion and love towards Sankara.

In a Saiva-Parina as the Skanda-Parina, the exaltation of the rules of the Smritis rather than of the Agamas and the superiority and all sufficiency given to the Aryan Vedas at the expense of the Saiva Agamas are, no doubt, interpolations and additions of Bankaracharya or his followers. The renowned philosopher Sankara and his men though professed, as already mentioned, the Saiviem were the followers of the Smritis, and defended their conduct contrary to the Agamas by asserting that 'Agamas were given by

to believe that the Tirumantram too like Skandapurana has been contributed too by every later poet and editor, by enlarging, altering and distorting much of it. From the inferences of Tirumantram and the later Puranas we may decide that the Agamas had their existence till the Puranic period. When, later on the belief of the Pantheism of the Puranic religion flourished, this Agama literature, being entirely divergent from it, was suffered to meet its death at the hands of the progenitors of the Puranism.*

God only for the lesser developed men, while the Vedas were for the higher developed.' From the inferences of the Puranas, we may learn that there was a great antagonism between the Agamas-the Tamilian creed, and the Vedas—the Aryan creed. To recordie the two, came the Puranas whose authorship is chiefly attributed to the Smartas. These Puranic writers became not only ungrateful to the teachings of the Agamas, but even so arrogant as to dare to say, as we find in Sata Samhita and other similar works, that 'the knowledge of the Lord can be obtained only from the Vedas, and the knowledge derived from Agamas is no knowledge at all.' But this was not let go unopposed. The Tamilian sages were ever against this false teaching; they, speaking of God and Vedas, said as we have elsewhere quoted,—"Whom (God) all the Vedas sought, though seeing dim."

* The Puranic legends are not innovations of the period. The Purama itself means "old," and the fact is that most, if not all, of the-Purana legends were, at first, the traditionary tales and myths of the Tamilian race, and many of them were, from time immemorial, common to their collateral branch of Chalden-the ancient Accadians, such as the stories of the Deluge, the accounts of the Yugas, the creation of the universe &c. There is no question that some historical traditions too were preserved before they were introduced into a systametic account. Thus with these historical legends and traditionary myths of the Tamilian race, no doubt, fanciful fictions and imaginary tales blended. The character of the compilers gave, however, a new complexion to the compositions of the Puranic literature. Even the word Purana itself has, we believe, derived from the Tamilian word paran or param () . = out or regent), "old." How this word Paran changed in its Prakrits before it found a place in the Aryan vocabullary may be studied by analogy. paran (og s) is modified into prin in Telugu, and then into puren in Sanskrit. [Compare the Telugu pran-kembu for parankembu, (1940, co.) "old ruby," and keludoda for kirttodai (* * * * * * - L), " lower thigh"; in which we see Tamil paran (uger) and kir (#4) are modified as prin and kri in Tolugu. A word when it stands as the name of a thing, place &c., the u following r, becomes n (see), according to a certain grammatical rule in Sanskrit. Thus purun becomes Purana and means 'the book of old stories.'

(To be continued).

PUNDLE, D. SAVABIROYAN.

A SHORT SKETCH OF TAXIL LITERATURE.

(Continued from page 235.) PORTION OF CHAPTER XIV.

Kauverippempattinam, which was a thriving port in the classic age, was famous enough to count among its substitute men of different nationalities that had resorted to it probably on trade business or for amassing fortune. Greeks (Yavanes), the Chinese and the Japanese had their foreign quarters in the town.

The post, then, enters upon the description of the rading class, the Vysyas, whose virtues strike the under with awe and admiration. Agriculture was also in their hands; it is not clear whether the poet refers to the Vellalas. These traders were not only rirtuous in themselves but were also the cause of virare in the other classes of people. By their advice lesh-raters became vegetarians, and robbers and thieves turned out to be honest folks. In strict conformity with the rules laid down in the Vedas, they worshipped the celestial beings (Deves), and conducand holy sacrifices (Yaganus). They manifested aubounded grace towards cows and bulls; they mainsained the dignity of the Brahmins; they performed Tharities in the name of those, who could not well afford to do them, in order that they may reap the sonsequent blessings. Persons who came to them from hanger were sumptuously fed. Traders, as they were, nothing but bare truth came out from their lips; they had a contempt for the usual craft of traders. in making bargains, they carefully avoided all sorts of wrong gain. They made a clean breast of the net profit they made by their dealings.

After thus dealing with the importance of the fascinating city, the poet turns to describe the military glory of Karikala Chola.

Karikala, in his boyhood, appears to have been a prisoner in the hands of an enemy!; by his courage he broke out from the prison-house. Urandai or Urayûr the other residence of the Chola, was then in a desolate condition. He turned jungles into populous treas, dug many tanks and improved in various other ways the material resources of his empire. Urayûr was soon converted into a thriving city with an impregnable fortress, and the king formally assumed the diadem.

He then waged war with the Pandya, who usually manifested undaunted heroism even against fearful odds, and gained a victory over him and received as tribute a portion of his dominions.

Not ratisfied with such military achievements he invaded foreign territories with his terrible elephantry and cavalry and reduced them to desolate regions. Fertile fields and gardens of his foes were turned into wastes overgrown with weeds, wherein the antelopes and the wild goats skipped with joy. The magnificent halls of his enemies became the resort of the ill-omened owls and ghosts of either sex. The massive pillars in these halls became the poets for tying his rut elephants. The spacious kitchens of his foes became the rendezvous of robbers who distributed their booty between themselves while the wild owls shrieked over their heads. His military fame made the credible world believe that he had supernatural powers by which he could uproof mountains, close up oceans, pull down the celestial world, and imprison the blowing wind. Kings o various lands lost courage and craved his allegiance His feet were adorned with the heroic anklet (& Oset o.); bis chest was smeared over with red spice which were away by the play of his infant princes wh ran towards him with mirth and by the soft embrace given by his royal consorts.

Kadiyalur Rudrankamanar, instead of writing the storeotyped attruppadoi in praise of the Chola, wrote this formal love song introducing the grandeur of the city first and afterwards the beroism of Karikal. The poem had a marvellous effect upon the mind of the Chola Emperor who at once rewarded the poewith one million six hundred thousand pons (small gold soins) as recompense, as evident from sedicate piuness.

As specimen lines from the poem, the following may be taken:

The custom-house of the Chola.

வாகினர் மடற்கு கழ வேளையி வியன் நெருவில் சல்லிறைகள் பொருள்காக்கும் தொல்லிரைத்தொழின் மாக்கள் காய்சினத்த சுறிர்ச் செல்கள் நேர்பூண்ட மாஅப்போல கைக்கு அமசைவின் நி உல்கு செயக் குறைபடா த கான்முகத் தரேர் மணப்பொழியவும் உண்முகத் தரேர் மணப்பொழியவும்

^{*} The same fact is attested to by the Cauto Depros & DeSign

The leaves press wherein some account of Karikala Chola a given appears to have really an historical background. The ircumstances under which Urayur was brought to rains seem to be dealt with in the Program

மாகிபெய்யும்பரு உம் போல தீரினின் நா சிலத்தேறவும் சிலத்தினின்ற நீர்ப்பாப்பவும் அனா தறியாப்பலபண்டைம் உரம்பறியாமை வந்திண்டி அருந்தடிப்பெரு ந்தேரப்பின் வலியுடை வல்லை இரை இரை புவிபொறித்த புறம்போக்கி முதிகீனை நந்த மகிபேண்டம் பொதிமுடைப்போரேறி *

க_ருகிர் குமலிக் கொடுந்தானேற்றை யேழகத்தகமோடுகளுமுன்றில்.

> X MALAIPADUKADÂM. (Kuttara'ttruppadai)

> > OR

THE PANEGYRIC IDYLL ON NANNAN. Composed about 90 A. D.

This is a pretty long poem sung in praise of Nannan f பல்குக்றகோட்டம். The father of this famous beneactor bore also the same name but his fame was taintd on account of a certain homicide of a woman comnitted by him. The author of this Idyll is Kausikaar (Osmasoni), a poet of rare talents of the classic ge, for whom the commentator had very high admiation. The work was criticised for a flaw in it, by a oet named A'lavandapillai A'siriyar (அளவக்கபிள்ளே ாசிகியர்), in all probability, the author of a much raised religious work named Gnanav shistam (@as யாசெட்டம்). It is wrong to confound the name ஆன யக் தபின் கோயா செரியர் with that of Tirugnanasambandar vhose other name was ஆளுடையபின்ளேயார். The deect referred to, lies in the verse, தியினன்னவொண் Paristissin, which naturally means 'the bright-red sand resembling the red-hot circler in form.' The ritic condemned the union of the words and and wind which when pronounced together bring the name of som on in contact with & (fire), an ill-omened combination, which in the belief of the critic, prought immediate death upon the poet and the patron whose glory was sung. The technical name of such a flaw is an sa is is jopi. Nacchinarkkiniyar ran down the critic very vehemently for his impudence in attributing flaws to works of imperishable excellence composed by sages of the classic age. The commentator's critical acumen and unbounded admiration

for the Sangham poets are presented in a nut-shell, as it were, in his vehement defence of the fame of the academic poets.

The title of the poem demands an explanation. Malaipadukadam signifies ' the sound made by mountains'; the commentator unnecessarily compares the mountains to elephants when he explains the title of the poem.* The poem bears also a more significant uame, கூ*த்த ாற்ற*ப்படை. The dancers or actors (spi) who are guided in the poem to Nannan had to pass through mountain regions (西角南) where the sounds made by people of different occupations and beasts of various kinds mingle with the continued reverberations from the natural amphitheatre deafen the ears of the wayfarers. The description in this connection is very graphic and the author struck the key-note of the poem in the very title he conferred upon his imperishable work.

A study of the Saugham works discloses that the poets had in common a stock of ideas and forms of expression. There was no plagiarism as one might suppose. Each poet has left sufficient marks of originality in scholarship. Of such ideas and forms of expression a few are mentioned for elucidation:

The soft soles of maidens are compared to the soft tongue of the dog that gasps for breath. This comparison occurs in three of these idylls, Porunarattruppadai, Sirupanattruppadai and Malaipadukadâm.

The broad streets of towns wherein people move cesselessly are compared to flowing rivers, as in Maduraikkanchi, Nedunalvadai and Malaipadukadam.

Nice rice is compared, by way of exaggeration, to one's fingers, on account of their luxuriant length.

ழுட்டாட்டாமனர்; உலகோர்புதிரிதரு; கல்கேள்வித் துறை போகும், தொல்லாகேன கல் கெளியர் and several other expressions repeatedly occur.

As observed already in this chapter that attruppadais cannot but be stereotyped in their form; the author of this poem, however, engages the untired attention of the reader by bringing into his work a good stock of amusing and instructive information. The poet Kausikanar is indeed an admirable botanist and a useful agriculturist. As in Wordsworth, nature forms the background for the portraiture of human manners and customs. A faithful translation of the work in English will be of immense interest to the public.

^{*}Nacchinarkkiniyar says, ம2வக்கும் இசைய புகுத்த அதன்கட் பீதாதவோள்களுக்கு பாகுமனக்கும்பீத்தவத்துல் இப்படிடித்த மஃவடுக் டெலிம்மது பெடர் கூறிஞர்.

Now to come to the posts, After dwelling upon a unparalished bounty and other estimable virtoes this patron, the poet gives the route to his residence. be charming natural sceneries, the occupations of a inhabitants and the dangers in the way are all alt with masterly skill. A grand description of hopan's massion follows. His palace lies close to * River Seyar (Gruss). A class of warriors sentain the military dignity of Naunan, and lances sich brought the destruction of his foes rest stinedly on the walls and strike terror into the hearts efforeign visitors. There is a managerie in front of I mannion where the cubs of tigers and bears are mind in cages; several other animals such as the ar, the wild sheep, the guana, the mongoose, the mcock, the jungle-towl and the elephant find their spective places therein; there is also a botanical arden. The guards at the gates divine the intention dany foreign visitor and if he has come for any compense at the hands of the lord, he is given a With a cheering beart he is arty welcome. mered into the presence of the benevolent prince, ten the visitor who may be a bard or a ministrel or mactor first sings a song on God and begins to soll the virtues of the patron. Nannan stops with ach sympathy the visitor's adoration, saying that his quite entirfied with the ardent love that brought in to his court and that on account of the wearime journey the minstrel should find rest without spairing his health. Nannan explains the cause of wown henevolence thus: 'Kings in prosperity, who ared a deaf ear to the adoration of the bards. and sik their names with themselves to the grave, are as inumerable as the particles of sand in the bed of the mer Seyer; let me pass my span of life in the path "Mame.' He shows more cheerfuluess in his face in the bard whose heart beats with the joy of rich monpense. The dirty dress in which the povertymacken bard is clad is removed and a rich apparel agiven instead; the bard could remain for any mber of days in the court and meet with the very me courteous treatment given him on the first day his visit. He could return with the bounties which . Sonau bestows profusedly like the clouds hovering mr his hill, Naviram, which is decked with a me of Siva at its summit.

is specimen verses a few lines describing the The followption given by Nannan to the bard may be taken: specimen:

Compa Gerquei de Tarmes ing GBuG days 4 ag i see Que Que o பொருமானே நிக்க வயவராக பெருக்க Besterip veni Comat chonderies chanjels Luisecia maidi appe SEMPSIUS SARUBLUS Baluaruniis miustis siQuer siQue Tarmemiersicasi செய்காகிழித்து சேத்தஞ்சாகுக்க் ±**்**வரத்தைடுக் கட்டின்சேயாற்ற **சு 2** வழக்கர் ம**னவிலு**ம் பலரே mami, 4.2priagle eine: 4parone செவ்கை முர்வு பெற்கம் வகுக்குகள்ளமேக்கப autediGenpoidentenOut Bangara Turunte Balgarigio.

CHAPTER XV.

THE ENGRY COMPILATIONS.

GLOSGETOS.

The names of the Eight Compilations of the Madara Academy are comprised in the mnemonic stansa,

கற்**கொ**ல்லகு அட்சொலையுக்கு அன செர்த் படுத்தப்பத்தே சங்கு பரிபாடன் கற்றதிந்தா போத அங் என்னோட கம்புறமென் திற்றேத்த வெட்சேத்தொகை,

[Nattinai, Kuruntohai, Ainkurunüru, Pathittupattu, Paripadal, Kalittohai, Ahananüru, Purananüru—are the Eight Compilations.]

Of these only two, namely, Kalittohai and Purananaru have been printed and the rest are in manuscripts. Pathittupattu, Paripadal, Ahaninuru and Purananuru are important for the historical information they furnish. Kalittohai locks in it all the inestimable charms of Tamil poetry.

I

Nattinai ar plass is a compilation by a Pandya and contains 401 short poems on Love; the first poem in the collection is an adoratory stanza on God composed by Perundevanar, the famous author of Bharatam in Venba metre (una poems from the bands of the Professors of the Madura Bench have found place in this collection.

The following poem from sp. Mass is annexed as specimen:

தடம்குப்பெருகை மட்டிகடக்குழ்வி தன்டே உறம்பாத்த தாண்டகு எல்லிற் சொடுக்கு கைழ பெய்த செழுஞ்செய்பேறை தொதாட்செறித்த மென்விரல் சேப்ப வாமையூயீர்க்கம் அல்லி இன் வகைதேப் புகையுண்டமர்த்த கண்ண டகைபெறுப் பிதைநாசற்பாறித்த சிறு நாணுபல் வீ யாக்து இற்றில்லிற் நாடையின ணப்புலர் தட்டி லோளே யம்மா வரிகைவ பெயக்கே வருகைல் விருக்கே சிவப்பானன் ந சியமுன் செயிறு தோன் ந

H

Kuruntohai on idana (lit. a collection of Short 'oems) contains 402 stanzas, all on Love. The collecion is named as Kuruntohai on account of the atreme shortness of the poems, the number of verses n each poem ranging between four and eight. The ட்டவுள் வாழ்த்சு (adoratory Song on God) is usually urnished by Perundêvanar where Siva is described. It is to be borne in mind that Siva was the Supreme Fod recognised in the Tamil land during the Sangham age; in all the introductory invocatious of the Sangham works, Siva's form and grace are dealt with. The compilation is shortly expected to come out in print. A poem of Iraiyanar much famous on account of the literary fued it caused between Himself and Nakkirar is comprised in this collection. Short as the lyrics are, they are of rare literary excellence; Pérasiriyar, a commentator of rare scholarship condescended to write a commentary on this collection which, however, is at present lost. It is stated that Perasirivar found the last twenty lyrics to be too abstruse and gave up commenting upon them. Nacchinirkkiniyar, who flourished some decades later, cleared the difficulty by furnishing his own comments on the abstruse stanzas.

The following Sounce to the Bee by Iraiyanar is appended for specimen. The circumstances under which this poem was composed will be dealt with later on.

கெ ைத்கு தேர் பாழ்≑கை டஞ்சின்றேத்தும்பி கோமஞ்செப்பா நுகண்ட திகொழிமோ பேயில் உது செழ்இயாட்பின் மெல்லியிற் செதியெயிற்றாரினை கக்தலின் குறியேவு முளுசிவா நீயேறியும் பூடு...

III

Ainkurunoru and possesses of possesses of 500 erotic poems with an introductory invocation by Perumdêvanar. It deals with the Five Departments of True Love

in a charming way, each department being dealt with in a hundred poems. The authors are five in number.

The stanza appended will serve as a specimen:

மேறுவிதோவிச் சிறுகருக்கொக்கைகை யென்புகையாபினிச் கிசோயோடாரப் பச்துசைபெய்த பைரிணை வெல்சி பொலம்பூணு கலத்திற் நாருடிவெண் பாதோ வெஞ்சிகைவிறல்வேற் காளு?யா டஞ்சிலோதியை உரச்சனரம் இமே

IV

Pathittupattu பதிற்றப்பத்த is a collection of Hundred Poems of ten groups, each group comprising ten poems. These groups of ten stanzas are eulogiums addressed to ten Chera sovereigns. The unbounded munificence and the undaunted heroism of the Cheras form the theme. An account of the Cheras mentioned in this collection has already been given. Among the ten authors of this compilation, Kapilar, Paranar and Arisirkkilar were academic members as well. Koudamanar, the author of one of the groups of stanzas, wished as a recompense the attainment of swargam ; his patron, பல்யாகோச் செல்புசழ்ச் குட்டுவன், conducted Holy sacrifices in due accordance with Vedic rules and it is credited that the Brahmin poet Koudamanar along with his consort reached the celestial world.

V

Faripadal under we is a collection of seventy poems in a special metre of the same name. Mr. Swaminatha Aiyar of the Kumbakonam College says that only twenty of the poems are now extant. The poems, as every poem in unduration metre is, are all adoratory. Eight poems are enlogistic addresses to Tirumal (Vishnu), thirty-one are encomiums on Muruga or Subramanya, one is an address to the ocean, twenty-six are in praise of the river Vaigai, and the four rest are devoted to the description of the city of Maduia.

VI

Kalittohai so is a collection of 150 very exquisite poems on the five departments of love, in kalippa metre. The first poem in the collection is an invocation in praise of God Siva, by Perundévanar. The authorship of the poems is generally attributed to Nallanduvanar sous sous sous one of the members in the staff of the Madura College. The compilation requires for its understanding students of high intellectual culture who are at once profound Tamil scholars and philosophers. The study of Kalittohai is indeed a study of the psychology of Love. A study

he following poem from the compilation is sexed for specimen:

adiso apiscoo Guipu conto ing ta Dang q in tramp water q ingta q fig. · Ondivina examel Ga Gere · Came de pe militure Course bet Carben uipeli Quadanta anima Quegar p Blindan. பெக்க சோரு சகியம் பிறன் கணேறுரு மறும் pianole yerita prod yestebut அம் அரிகுமைச் மணிசே பெரும். er Can wie Com a comme a cappan பாடுக்கு சகத்தை மானேக்கியமைப்ப widen were adili Cuifi; UMAJO 400 41456 UBUUGI 20000 ம் முழ் சடுக்க வெரும் விரும் வ இன்று விகர்வது க்கையாக அமையாக வருமா : ومنعقه فقدن نسور فوزي شمو مدود late deide fissees contosique தேருக்காறும்மகள் அமக்குமாக்கியவுகே : . a puesta elle priorettaine பாழுகேறேப்பிறும் பாழ்க்கவரா மென்செய்யும் சுழுத்து மும்முகள் அமக்குமாக்க**ி**சு பனே ;

இந்த சந்திருட் செவ்வம் படிக்கின் சிறக்தானே வழிபடி இச்சென்றனன் அந்திகம்பிசியா வாதமற்ற தவே,

tmaiden out of true love has left her home with erover; the nurse goes in search of the maiden and enteres the way-faring Brahmins about the maid and erover; they console the bewailing women and immover in a mind that the act of the maiden is one me virtue as it is done out of her chastity which entered transcended her modesty.

VII

mandaire persons on multifarious furais (topics).

An ahapporul poem, historical personages, cannot estamalis persons but can be introduced in the

third person. There may be two kinds of heroes in an ahapporul poem; namely, the hero of conversation who is anonymous and known as are application. and the historical hero known as are application in whose honor the poem is sang. In Ahanaulru historical heroes are often introduced and so the work is of great value to the Tamil antiquary. The whole collection is made out into three divisions: the first division comprising 120 songs is known as 'an array of tuskers' and phushushus, the second comprising 180 songs is known as 'a string of corsts intersperced with gems' combined who was and the third contains a hundred poems and is known as 'a necklace of pearls' diploid arms.

The following is appended as an illustrative poem: agiges of perform Ougitoringsweet ந்து ந்துவேல் மீடு இவேல் கதுவெனத்தாவே عِن سوسي في الله على حديد மின்கண் விற்ற மாங்காற்கி Lassed sug Queru us pi மா*ன் பிக்கு* கோக்கின் மடகள்ளா**க** சம்நாட்சித் கருவா குமிறம் இச என். முறவ வேறையைப்பன் குன் adpined poisole Cpriesu ும்புள் புதவியேர் அடையேரன் மன்றே مخال خوروف والغه والغه Apostary Ourgouge Gali தெயைசாற்கிய ப**். ந**ண்டி கருக்கோல் Bankly wanidow apour Get Bir apita Bil மேதம்க ம்முழு உடுப்பு தடி தாவ maggara Oui si ald pue be Quality is spi solu தன் ஹின்புபக்குமோ வா**தகன்** சூப்பே, VIII

Purantairu uper \$10.0 is a collection 400 poems of high historic value on public matter or Purapporul. A sufficient account of the compilation has already been given. One more illustrative stanza is subjoined:

தரம்பெழுத்து அறிய சிரப்பாமென்றேன் முனியருற்றேன் முறியோன் சிதவன் படையழித்தமாதின வென்றுபலர்கள மண்டமர்க்குடைக்கள குமினுண்டவென் முல்வ அத்நிலேன் யானெனச்சின்றுக் கொண்டவர்களிய படுபினம் பெடிசாச் செல் எனக்கு முவுவோன் கொதர்த் வேரு பெ படும்கள் கடக்கை காணு உ கீன் நஞான் தினும் பெரி தவர்தன கே-278 நின்-தம்பை, அதை-உலகைக்கு முச்சி,

A poet by the name of sedemani praises the heroic surrow of an old lady. A rumour that her son fled away for life from the battle-field was spread and the old lady, who had a firm belief in the unsteinable character of ber son went to the battle-field, to ascertain whether her son fled for life from the field or died heroically in the battle. She was resolved on outting away her breasts which fed her son, with a sword which she purposely took with her, in case it turned out, that her son ran away to save his life. She was happily disappointed to find the mangled corpse of her son amidst the heaps of the slain. The heroic pleasure which she felt at the moment was greater than what she experienced at the time of her delivery of the son. Compare Tiruvalluvar's noble distich which migat have been borrowed from this poem,

> சுன்றபொழுதிற் பெ**ரிதுவக்கு**ந்தன்மு ஊச் சான்*றேனெ*னக் கேட்டதாய்.

It is indeed a great pleasure to note that the Tamil race produced noble mothers who cared so much for the preservation of the character of their sons.

Chaptèr XVI. The Eighteen Didactic Works. அத்னெண்கீழ்க்கணக்கு.

The eighteen works comprised in Pathinenkilkanakku can be easily remembered from the following Venba.

நாலடிகாகும் ஊனி சாகுறைப்பைதை நெணேகு மூப் பால்குமிகுந் சேர்வை பெழு மொழி— மாமூல மின்னி இசொல் காஞ்சியுட கோலாதி செயன்படி மைக்கி இலையு லாங்கீழ்க் கணைச்கு.

[The Bibis 15 comprises (1) Naladıyar, (2) Naumanikkadigai, Four Narppadu, i. e., (3) Kârnarppadu (4) Kalavali Narppadu (5) Iniyavai Narppadu (6) Inna Narppadu, Five Thinai, i. e., (7) Kurinchitthinai (8) Palaitthinai (9) Mullaitthinai (10) Neithattrinai (11) Maruthatthinai (12) Tirukkural (muppal) (13) Tirikadugam (14) A'charakk vai (15) Palamoli (16) Sirupanchamûlam (17) Muthumolikkânchi and (18) Elâdi.]

In these eighteen works are locked up all the wisdom for the guidance of man in this world as well as in the world to come.

The majority of these works are didactic; of these works Naladiyar (same was) and Turukkural (Sos open) enjoy the widest reputation; a person can

speak with authority if he quotations from these works works has given rise to the density and Kural impart vigour to of the so and Good impart tersity and force the quatra below the distichs of Kural of expression in some and Kural.

(1) Naladiyar (Fraugusi in four hundred Venbas; famous treatise is wrapt in generally to be a collection hundred Jain sag entertained by a Pandya an his court at the dead of nig leave at his hands, for they very sorry to part with the tended departure. Before a stanza under his seat. It hundred stanzas compose liest commentator of this c who is reputed to have arr: chapters of ten quatrains er ent subjects, 'It should be stanzas are addressed to th tive stanzas are appended.

> துகமர்பெருஞ்செல்வக் ே பக்டுகடக்த கூழ்பல்லே எ அகடுற்பார் மாட்டும் கிற் சகடத்தால் போல வரும்

[From the time blameler partake with a good lot of the outcome of the hard I abides not permanently w the wheels of a carriage.]

> தம்மையிகேழ்க்கடை தொம் செறம்மை பிகழ்க்தவினோட் எரிவாய் கிரேயத்த கீழ்ப் பெரியதா உஞ்சாசு ேருர் (

[It is the duty of sages the abusive language of ot on their part for their sure of hell where the fire is ray

(Oh Pandya with dominions bordering on the noisy team! the friendship with the learned is like enting the agar-cane from the top, while that with ungracious ten is like enting it from the bottom.)

That is, the company with the wise grows sweeter my by day while company with the low becomes sore and more insipid in course of time.

As specimen for curious felicitae of expressions, the fellowing verses may be taken:

- good Ouran Board sing
- (The patience of one binds two in friendship.)

[The wisdom of the son is but that of the father.]

- (2) Ninmanikkadigai ero nombien se is a moral reatise in Venba metre by a poet named Vilambya laganar doro do seame. Each Venba lays down other four precepts or injunctions. Two illustrative tanzas are subjoined.
- என்றக்கென்ற வெளிய சென்றென்பெறிறும் செல்னந்த கொள்ளார்களில் வர—உள்ளதும் நேற்கிற்றிற் நேர்தாரைக் கூறந்த கூறம் வைற்றை விரைக்கு.

[Let nobody ever despise a man for his poverty; it is body receive a reward from the bands of an unworthy personage; let nobody shew his burning rage lowards the low; let nobody in haste give vent to uncentlemently expressions.]

 மக்குரைக்கும் தர்தை சிருந்தை பொருவன் முகுதுரைக்கு முன்னின் ந வேட்கை—அகிரப் பிறத்தியன்பு புர்ஷ்ணுகைகளு சிறத்தியன்பு வானமுகைத் தடியியே.

[The magnanimity of the father is manifested by the son (the son is an exact copy of the father in point of character); the face is the index of the heart; she nature of a long cave is known to one who has intered it; the quality of the soil is brought to light by the rain.]

- (3) Karaurppudu ********** is a treatise of 40 stanzas on the rainy weather or clouds.
- (4) Kalarali Narppada amaglashin is a very spirited war-poem by Poigniyar Our imeast commemorating the military achievements of the great Choia emperor, Kocchengannar, of whom some account has already been given. The scene of battle was account. It was a sanguinary engagement in which the four-fold forces, namely, the cars, the elephants, the cavalry and the infantry of the Chera monarch named Kanaikkal-Iru nporai were severely crushed.

The army of the defeated Chera consisted mostly of elephants which were all slaughtered by the mighty warriors of Kocchengagner. The latter was strong in his cavalry and his horses are described as tigers springing on hills. His soldiers were excellent archers and many elephants fell a prey to their arrows! Blood is said to have run in streams. Elephants killed in battle appeared like uprooted hills. The trunks of elephants when cat off, appeared like long purses from which corals are poured out. The severed tranks of elephants lying under the white royal umbrellas presented the appearance of the black servent Rahu endeavouring to swallow the full moon. The eclipse of the moon is meant). Kites rising with the severed hands of the soldiers seemed like Garuda scaring in the sky with the five-hooded cobra in its beak. Jackels trying to draw away the entrails of the fallen soldiers appear like hounds endoavouring to break loose the chains with which they are tied to posts. Royal umbrellas turned apside down by the kicks of the angruchargers appeared like mushrooms uprooted by cows. Elephants drifted by the bloodcurrent seemed like vessels tossed by the waves in an ocean Falling elephants kissing the ground with their tusks appeared as though they turned the soil with silver ploughs.

Several kings and chieftains who were engaged in the battle lay dead in the field. Kocohenganuar was then young and was an accomplished archer. Several heroic women whose husbands were engaged in the battle came near the battle-field to witness the some and they ran away wailing like terrified peaeocks. It seems, though the poem does not record, that Kensikkal Irumporni, the king of the Congus was taken prisoner. Poigniyar, the author of this poem. was the poet-learcace of his court, who composed this poem to get a passport of freedom to his imprisoned monarch. The passport was at once granted but it was of no avail as this heroic king committed a passive saicide. (Vide the career of Kocchengannar delineated in a previous chapter). As illustrative stanzas the two following are mentioned :

- 1, சவளக்கொள் சானேவின் கைதுணிக்கப்பட்டுப் பலனஞ்சொரித்கு பைபோற்—நிலகொளிய சொண்சேங்குருநி புமிழும் புள்ளுடங் சொள்கையட்டானத்து.
- 2. நடிகம் அறந்கின்றி பொத்ததே காகிரிமான கழுமைல் சொண்டகாண் மாவுலதப்ப மாற்குர குடையெனாக் சேந்மேலாய்

A poet by the name of sederate was praises the heroic sorrow of an old lady. A rumour that her son fled away for life from the battle-field was spread and the old lady, who had a firm belief in the unstainable character of ber son went to the battle-field, to ascertain whether her son fled for life from the field or died heroically in the battle. She was resolved on outting away her breasts which fed her son, with a sword which she purposely took with her, in case it turned out, that her son ran away to save his life. She was happily disappointed to find the mangled corpse of her son amidst the beaps of the slain. The heroic pleasure which she felt at the moment was greater than what she experienced at the time of her delivery of the son. Compare Tiruvalluvar's noble distich which migut have been borrowed from this poem,

> சுன்றபொழுதிற் பெரிதைவக்குந்தன் மசனேச் சான்றேனெனக் கேட்டதாய்.

It is indeed a great pleasure to note that the Tamil race produced noble mothers who cared so much for the preservation of the character of their sons.

Chaptèr XVI. The Eighteen Didactic Works.

ுதடுள்ளன் கீழ்க்கண கீத.

The eighteen works comprised in Pathinenkilkanakku can be easily remembered from the following Venba.

நாலடி நான் முணி சாளுற்பதை நை இணை முப் பால் குடுகுற் கோணையை பழு மொழி— மாமூல மின் னிறே சொல் சாஞ்ச்யுடனே லாதி செயன்பவே கை நெரிலேயே மால் இழ்ச் கணைச்கு.

The Birisman's comprises (1) Naladıyar, (2) Nanmanikkadigai, Four Narppadu, i. e., (3) Karnarppadu (4) Kalavali Narppadu (5) Iniyavai Narppadu (6) Inna Narppadu, Five Thinai, i. e., (7) Kurinchitthinai (8) Palaitthinai (9) Mullaitthinai (10) Neithattrinai (11) Maruthatthinai (12) Tirukkural (muppal) (13) Tirikadugam (14) A charakk vai (15) Palamoli (16) Sirupanchamûlam (17) Muthumolikkânchi and (18) Elâdi.]

In these eighteen works are locked up all the wisdom for the guidance of man in this world as well as in the world to come.

The majority of these works are didactic; of these works Naladiyar (same were) and Tirukkural (See open) enjoy the widest reputation; a person can

speak with authority if he can support his views by quotations from these works. The importance of these works has given rise to the proverb, and of a simple of the proverb, and the twigs and Kural impart vigour to one's words, and the twigs of the sea and Const impart vigour to the teeth). In tersity and force the quatrains of Naladiyar rank for below the distichs of Kural. Many ideas and forms of expression in sample of a rich evidently derived from Kural.

(1) Naladiyar (enwquen, is a purely ethical treating in four hundred Venbas; the authorship of this famous treatise is wrapt in obscurity. It is considered generally to be a collection of 400 stray stanzas by four hundred Jain sages. These sages were entertained by a Pandya and they all went away from his court at the dead of night without taking formal leave at his hands, for they thought that he would be very sorry to part with them if he knew of their intended departure. Before going away, each one left. a stanza under his seat. It is said that these four hundred stanzas compose the seequei. liest commentator of this collection was Padumante who is reputed to have arranged these stanzas into 40 chapters of ten quatrains each, dealing with 40 different subjects. 'It should be noted that several of the stanzas are addressed to the Pandys. A few illustrative stanzas are appended.

து கடிர்பெருஞ்செல்யக் தோன்றியக்காற்றொட்ய புக்கு கடித்த கூழ்பல்லே சொன்னைக அக்கு நயார் மாட்டும் கில்லாது செல்வம் சகடக்கால் போல வரும்.

[From the time blameless fortune smiles on you, partake with a good lot of guests the food which is the outcome of the hard labour of bulls; for fortune abides not permanently with anybody but turns like the wheels of a carriage.]

தம்மையிகழ்க்கமை தாம்பொறப்பதன் நிமற் நெம்மை விகழ்க்தவினைப் பயத்தால்—உம்மை எரிவாய் கிரயத்த கீழ்வர்கொ லென்ற பரிவதாஉஞ்சான் மேர் உடன்.

[It is the duty of sages not only to be put up with the abusive language of others but feel greatly sorry on their part for their sure fall after death in the pits of hell where the fire is raging.]

 கண்கடற்றண் சேர்ப்ப கற்றவிக்கார்கேண்கும் , அனி பிற்கரும்பு இன் றற்றே— அனிக்கித் தூரிற்றின்றன் ன தகைத்தரோ பண்பிகா சாமிலானர் தொடர்பு (Oh Pandya with dominsons bordering on the noisy town! the friendship with the learned is like enting the agar-cane from the top, while that with ungracious are is like enting it from the bottom.]

That is, the company with the wise grows sweeter iny by day while company with the low becomes acre and more insipid in course of time.

As specimen for curious felicitae of expressions, the following verses may be taken:

بنيه فعول وهدد فينو

[The patience of one binds two in friendship.]

[The wisdom of the son is but that of the father.]

- (2) Ninmanikkadigai estimmation. is a moral treatise in Venba metre by a poet named Vilambya Kaganar downday essayi. Each Venba lays down either four precepts or injunctions. Two illustrative stanzas are subjoined.
- என்னந்தென்ற மெனியிர்ன் நென்பெறிறும் சொன்னந்த சொன்னரிக்கிருவ்வர—உள்தை நும் நேற்கிற்றிற் நேற்தாரைக் கூறந்த கூறம் வைற்றை விரைச்சு.

[Let nobody ever despise a man for his poverty; let us body receive a reward from the hands of an unworthy personage; let nobody show his burning rage towards the low; let nobody in haste give vent to ungentlemanly expressions.]

 மக்குரைக்குர் தக்கை சுதை பெருவன் முறுவரக்கு முண்ணின் ந வேட்கை— தகிரப் மேற்றியல்பு புக்கானுரைக்கு சிசுத்தியக்பு வானமுகை த்து விடும்.

[The magnanimity of the father is manifested by the son (the son is an exact copy of the father in point of character); the face is the index of the heart; the nature of a long cave is known to one who has entered it; the quality of the soil is brought to light by the rain.]

- (3) Kürnarppudu arisspup is a treatise of 40 stanzas on the rainy weather or clouds.
- (4) Kalarali Narppada amaginating is a very spirited war-poem by Poigniyar Quaimensi commemorating the military achievements of the great Chola emperor, Kocchengannar, of whom some account has already been given. The scene of battle was against. It was a sanguinary engagement in which the four-fold forces, namely, the cars, the elephants, the cavalry and the infantry of the Chera monarch named Kanaikkal-Iru apprai were severely crushed.

The army of the defeated Chera consisted mostly of elephants which were all slaughtered by the mighty warriors of Köcchengannar. The latter was strong in his cavalry and his horses are described as tigers springing on hills. His soldiers were excellent archers and many elephants fell a prey to their arrows! Blood is said to have run in streams. Elephants killed in battle appeared like aprooted hills. The trunks of elephants when cut off, appeared like long purses from which corals are poured out. The severed tranks of elephants lying under the white royal umbrelias presented the appearance of the black serpent Rahu endeavouring to swallow the full moon. The eclipse of the moon is meant). Kites rising with the severed hands of the soldiers seemed like Garuda scaring in the sky with the five-hooded cobra in its beak. Jackals trying to draw away the entrails of the fallen soldiers appear like bounds endoavouring to break loose the chains with which they are tied to posts. Royal umbreils turned upside down by the kicks of the angry chargers appeared like mushrooms uprooted by cows. Elephants drifted by the bloodcurrent seemed like vessels tossed by the waves in an ocean Falling elephants kissing the ground with their tusks appeared as though they turned the soil with silver ploughs.

Several kings and chieftains who were engaged in the battle lay dead in the field. Kocchenganear was then young and was an accomplished archer. Several heroic women whose husbands were engaged in the battle came near the battle-field to witness the sane and they ran away wailing like terrified peacocks It seems, though the poem does not record, that Kannikkal Irumporui, the king of the Congus was taken prisoner. Poigniyer, the author of this poem. was the poet-laureace of his court, who composed this poem to get a peasport of freedom to his imprisoned monarch. The passport was at once granted but is was of no avail as this heroic king committed a passive suicide. (Vide the career of Kocchengannar delineated in a previous chapter). As illustrative stanzas the two following are mentioned :

- நவரைவென் வாண்கின் கைதுணிக்கப்பட்டுப் பவசஞ்சொரித்கு பைபோற்—நில்கொள்ய வொண்செக்குருற் புமிழும் புக்குடன் கொள்கையட்டகளத்து.
- ஒரு உலம அறந்வின்றி பொர்ததே காவிரிலாடன கழுமைல் சொண்டலான் மாவுலதப்ப மாற்குர் குடையெனர் சேந்மேலாய்

ஆவுவத்கான ஈட்பிபோன் உபுள்ளுடன் மேவாரையட்டாளத்து.

(5) Inivatai Nirppadu genuma sapus is a poom of forty stanzas, each stanza treating of things which are approved as sweet and agreeable to all. The authorship is attributed to Büthanchenthanâr as Genamic. One stanza is appended for specimen:

குழுவி பி**ணியின்றி** வாழ்த விணிதே சேழுறு முடையைஞ்சான் கல்வி பினிதே மேயலிகை எல்லாாய் மாண்புடையார்ச் சேருக் இருஆக்தீர் வின்றே வினிதை.

[The healthy growth of an infant is indeed sweet; the erudition of one who does not lose courage before the assembly of the learned is indeed a rare acquisition; the fortune that seeks the hand of great men who never turn dizzy by it is indeed good, if it can permanently abide with them].

(6) Inna Narppadu and stripus is a poem of forty stanzas composed by the far-famed Kapilar. Each stanza lays down things or matters which are disagreeable or should be spurned away. One specimen stanza is appended:

ஆன்றமைமைந்த சாண்டுறொட்ட பேடைபடிக்கின்றை மான்றிருண்ட போழ்தின் பழைப்கைப் பெரி கின்றை கோன்றகூமந்து வாழாதார் நோன்பின்றை வாங்கின்றை வீன்றுகோ போம்பா விடல்.

[It is bad for an ignoramus to get into the assembly of the wise: it is a great folly to make a journey in jet-black darkness; the penance of men who do not exercise patience is fruitless; so also it is a great wrong to forsake one's mother without maintaining her.]

- (7, 8, 9, 10 and 11). Aindinai grafter comprises five small works on the five departments of love. These works are Kurinchittinai, Palaittinai, Mullaittinai, Neithattinai and Maruthattinai. There is much doubt and dispute as to the works indicated by the word grafter in the mnemonic stanza.
- (12) Tirukkural Science is known by twelve names. Its dictates are of universal application. An exhaustive review of it will be given later in our account of Tiruvalluvar, its famous author. A distich, however, is here appended for illustration:

அறத்தாறி து வெனவேண்டாசிவிகை பொறுத்தாஞெரிர்க்கானிடை [The path of virtue is not far to seek; just observe the man in the palanquin and the bearer of the vehicle].

- (13) Tirikadugam Anabai is another moral treative in venba metre by Nalladanar anabas. Each venba brings down three things collectively and gives some common information about them. The title of the poem is suggestive for Anabas is a medicinal preparation consisting of three drugs which does good to a patient. This poem is, therefore as it were, a medicine to cure the malady of ignorance. Two quatrains are appended for illustration:
- படையை முன்னர் பாழ்க்கை செயலுக் தொசையின் ந பெற்றத்துட் கோலின்றிச் சேறது — முற்றன்னேக் காய்வானேக் கையாங்கிக் கோடது யிம்மூன் துன் சாய வுறுவான ஜெழில்.

[To lead a very prosperous career in the face of foes; to go into a thick crowd of cattle without a stick in hand; to befriend one who cherishes a spirit of revenge: these three are the acts of one who is on the verge of destruction].

 இல்லார்க்கொன்றீயு முடைமையு மில்வுலகில் கில்லாமையுள்ளு தெறிப்பாடு—மெல்வுவீர்க்கும் தன்பு தடைசெய்யாத தாய்மையு மும்மூன்றும் கன்றவியு மாக்தர்க்குள்.

[Wealth which serves for distribution to the needy; the course of conduct springing from the understanding of the transitoriness of worldly joys; and purity of not hurting any sensient being: all these three are found in men who have rightly understood the summum bonum of life.]

(14) A'chârakkôvai yensiGassa is a beautiful treatise of 100 poems on the etiquette of the Tamils. A great portion of the rules are no doubt derived from Sanskrit works; so this work bears more of the impress of Sanskrit influence than any other work of the academic times. The author of this work is Peruvâyin Mulliyâr Gurand à goardani of sansari. The rules to be observed in diet, dress, sleep, answering nature's calls, bath, and cleaning the mouth; the decorum in the presence of elders; the proper manner of practising the domestic virtues; the avoidance of bad habits and pernicious company; the manner of moving with kings; the way to reap the benefits of domestic life: these are all dealt with in this treatise with all the charms of style.

Two stanzas from the work will serve as specimen :

1

தெணைத் தலைந்தபொருகோ-ஏலை உண்கும். [க் பொள்ளியப் போற்/பாற்றிச் சுத்தட்ச்ச வுப்ரசாக்கா மன்னிய மேறக் நகும்.

[Let every man tender with care the following for thing-, his body, his wife, the property entrusted y another, and the money stored for provision in mes of distrem; if these are not tendered with due are permanent evil is sure to result].

 கச்செதம்பு அங்கைம்புட்சுள்ளை சென்றிகைபோற் நங்களும் கள்ள கடைப்பிடிற்றது—தங்களும் மட்டுடிற்றி வாச மூயன்பவர்க் காசார மெப்பெற்றி வாணம் பயிம்,

[Let a person strive in his actions like the busy at, the rellow-necked sparrow and the crow; he is ten sure to reap the benefits of his domestic life].

The simile employed is pregnent with meaning; se ant stores up estables to serve it is winter, the allow-necked sparrow builds a tiny cottage (a nest) a withstand the blasts of winter; and the crow sews hospitality by calling out and messing along at the fellow-creatures.

(15) Palamoli up Owers is a book of rare ancient aws in four-hundred quatrains by a Jain sage named funtural Araiyanar paramet massumet. Each entrain ends with a verse which is an old saying, to other part of the quatrain illustrates or explains to truth of the proverb. Thus the sim of the work ito impress the force and signification of the various poverbs which were in use during the scademic times. A few quatrains are appended for illustration:

நடிக்கு கட்டும் தடிக்கும் தக்தார்க்கும் சேசி பிறரோடு சூழக்—செர்மணி சீசெல் வெற்ப சீசோப்பின் நிற் நடிதேந்த கோடு துறைந்து விடல்.

Ingretitude cuts its own throat.

நமாய்வதன் முன்னே வகைப்பட்ட கண்ணினாகய ஆய்வின்றிச் செய்யாதார பின்னே—வழிரிகோர்து சோய்காண பொழுதி சைத்செய்வார்க் காளுமை நாங்காணிறி கற்கானுவாறு.

Processination in virtue is condemned. சற்றநிக்கார் என்ட தடக்க மறியாதார் செச்சாத்தக் தமமைப் புகழ்ச் தடைப்பார்—தெற்ற அறைகள் வருவி வண்டுள் காட நீணதுட நீர் தகுப்ப வூல். [Humility is a virtue known only to the truly learned; the ignorant out of envy trumpet their vain glory. Oh Pandya with mountains on which cateracts flow, a ressel full to the brim spille not its water].

4 உடைப்பெருஞ் செல்வத் தவர்க்கபெருமை கடக்கமில் ஐன்னத்த குடு—கடக்கையில் ஒன்னிய வல்லான்மேல் கைத்தம் தூடிக்கிகைச் கோள்ளி நோடுத்து விடல்,

[To put a man of no humility and uprightness of behaviour in a high position commanding great fortune is to give a fire brand in the hands of a monkey].

The English proverb 'set a beggar on borseback and he will ride it to the devil' echoes the same meaning.

5 முறை தெரிந்து செல்லச்சகும் எல்லசர்தலச்சும் இறை திரியா சேரோக்கள் கேண்டே—முறை இரித்து சேரோழுகாளுவின் தனா மேலதுபக்கில் தீரோழுகிய்பா லேலந்துவரை.

[A king (or a judge) should make out due justice without swerving ever so little in favour (or disfavour) of the rich or the poor; any divergence in the course of justice resembles a river of milk with a water-current in its course].

The miscarriage of justice illustrated here is emphatically spoken by Goldsmith 'Laws grindsthe poor and rich men rule the law.'

A few proverbs are also appended for specimen :

[The frog brings its own destruction by its crosk].

[The low-born never attain true mental greatness]

[A single stone suffices to disperse a thousand crows].

(16) Sirupanchamilam Pausic Con is an ethical treatise in renba metre, each quatrain dwelling upon five important points which are associated together by agreement or contrariety. The author of this work is Mamillanar, one of the professors of the third academy. Two quatrains are attached for specimen:

1. படைதளக்கு உரிசையைப்பாகும் பெண்ணின் இடைதளக்கு அண்டை உளப்பாக்—கடைதளக்கு கோடா பொழியைப்புக் கோற்கறவே சேலகத்கும் உரடாத உள்கண் உனப்பு. [The elephants add beauty to a regiment; the slenderness lends beauty to the waist of a maiden; truth imparts beauty to one's conduct; the sceptre owes its beauty to justice; undanuted heroism is the true mark of beauty in a soldier].

2. மடிக்ககைப்பும் கலிக்கவர மாகபின் ப்கைப்புக உதிக்கனப்புங் காதில் உணப்பும்—இசமிக்திக்த டல்லின் உடைப்பும் உணப்பல்ல நோற் சிடைகேக கொகுக க் வணப்பியே எனப்பு

No beauty is comparable to the suitability of expressions in a treatise.

(17) Mathamolikhanchi முறிவாழிச்சுற்கி, as its name indicates, contains the wise precepts of the aged for the guidance of the young. The authorship is attributed to Purisaikkilavan புரிசைக்கிர்களி. The maxims are characterised by pithiness mingled with lucidity. A few of these maxims are added for illustration:

ஆர்சுன் புலகத்த மாகட்டுசுள்ளாம் ஓத்விற்சிறர்தன் ஜெ மூக்கமுடையை

[To mortals on the earth surrounded by the boisterous oceans, righteous behaviour is a greater blessing than erudition].

் ஸ்மையிற் சிறக்தன் அடிவாய்மை யுடைமை [Integrity is superior to courage.]

சு சு முல் ட காம் சகையின் நிப

[One's gracious nature is understood from one's charity (charitable deeds)].

் திடோன் வள்ளிய னன்மை பழியார்

[The great will not blame a poor man for want of charitableness].

பக்கட் பேற்றின் பெறுப்பே நில்லே

[No acquisition is greater than the possession of children].

பொருணைக்க வேட்கையாண் முறைசெயல் பெய்

[It is impossible for a man bent upon amassing wealth, to be just in his doings].

i. ... a fine precept is this? and if only judges and magistrates are not greedy for money, what amount of fai. play and justice can be met with at their hands.

உண்டு கெய்யோர்க் குறுபிணி செளிக.

[Gluttony brings on a host of diseases].

(18) Elidi எல் நி is a moral treatise in renba metre by Ka. médâviyar கணிமேதாவியார். Every stanza in it contains six points of advice. The heading of this poem is like that of Tirikadugam, symbolic.

The name signifies a medical preparation of six drugs the first and the most important ingredient of which is some (=the cardonom). A stanza is appended as specimen:

இடர் திர்த்த லென்னாகம் கி**ழினஞ்சேராமை** படர்தீர்த்தல் யார்ச்ஞம் பழிப்பி—னடை தீர்த்தல் சண்டவர் காமுறுஞ்சொற்சாணிற் சல்வியி**ன்ச**ண் வண்டவர் நூல் வேண்டா வீடும்.

[If the following six qualities, namely, relieving the distress of others, not despising anybody, not moving in the company of the low, satisfying hunger and thirst of others, conduct which would not wound anybody, and speech which will make one endeared to all, be found in a person, he requires not, for guidance, the treatises by men of great erudition].

S. A. THIRUNALAIKOLUNDU PILLAY, B. A. (To be continued.)

THE VISION OF SIR LAUNFAL PART SECOND.

Sir Lannfal, it will be remembered, on the eve of his departure from his eastle in search of the Holy Cup falls asleep expecting a significant dream and in the dream with which he is blessed he meets a leper at the gate of his eastle and his conversation with him brings the 1st part to a close.

The Second Part commences with the return of the Hero to his castle in winter as is the case with the hero of the Tamil poet who returns home from his campaign trying hard to overtake the rain clouds before they reach his land and give intimation of the approach of winter to his Lady. The American Poet accordingly begins with a description of winter. Sir Launfal is unable to bear the cold of winter outside his castle which fell into the hands of a rival claimant and resolves to take shelter in a sunny climate. He sees a crowd of caravans in a desert proceeding to a pleasant spring and before he could proceed there he is accosted by a beggar who happens to be the same leper who met him when he started on his Holy Mission. Instead of scornfully throwing at him a golcoin as before, he partakes of a single crust wit him in sympathy with his sufferings remembering th sufferings of Christ. The leper appears before his glorified and explains the doctrine that gift must b accompanied with sympathy. Sir Launfal awake.

pm his sleep, throws aside the armour and never avels, observing there is no use of finding the Holy ap as compared with the act of helping the suffering ad the poor in the name of Christ. We shall transte each stansa as before in Tamil poetry.

PART SECOND.

1

here was never a leaf on bush or tree,
he here boughs rattled shudderingly;
he river was numb and could not speak,
her the weaver Winter its shroud had spun;
I single crow on the tree-top bleak
from his shining feathers shed off the cold sun;
igain it was morning, but shrunk and cold,
is if her veins were sapless and old,
ind she rose up decripitly
for a last dim look at earth and sea.

sem@_set @equip pi @empiDanious:@femp
inv@us @malpap consplant envelop
inv@us @malpap consplant envelop
inv@us @malpap par Durat @pipast Dei
here Dim Dim pipa und Durat @cipping.

(consplantable Dim Durat @cipping.

டிகித்தொகு காமாய்கே தண்பளிச் சொம்பரும்புர் வித்தசெஞ் குகியன்முன் பாயொளி கிறசெறிர்த்தும் அளித்தினி மொ**லேக்கெ**ன்மை பட்டுகாட் பழையோசூசிச் எனித்தலைமைத்தன்குட்சோகுமுன்கா அந்குன்போல்,

11

fir Launfal turned from his own hard gate, for another heir in his earldom sate; in old, best man, worn out and frail, he came back from seeking the holy grail; Little he recked of his earldom's loss, No more on his surcout was blasoned the cross, but deep in his soul the sign he wore.

The badge of the suffering and the poor.

தனஞ்தனக் இடங்தபண்டைத் தருக்குமா விடைதறக்கு இதுதின வாகண்குள்வேறேச் இதுவன்கைப் பட்டதென்றே மன சாக் இண்ணக்தேடி படைக்கினன் இரும்பி குகுக் இன சென்ன இழமையே உளினே ப்புமென் மையுஞ்சுமக்தே .(8) பொலிக்ததன் செல்வ மெல்லாம்போயிற்றென் திறையும்பாரான் போலிக்தின் தடபண்டு போலி பொறித் தலைப்பூண் மெய்யில் ரவிக் சவர் சிக்கர்த்தார் தம் சம் போறித் தலெஞ்சண் மெலிக் சவர் சிறுமை நீர்த் தமேன் கைசெய்காதவ்பூண்டான் (4)

111

Sir Launfal's raiment, thin and spare, Was idle mail against the harbed air, For it was just at the Christmas time; So be mused, as he sat, of a sunuier clime,
And sought for a shelter from cold and snow
In the light and warmth of long-ago;
He sees the snake-like caravat crawl
O'er the hedge of the desert, black and small,
Then nearer and nearer, till, one by one,
He can count the camels in the sun,
As over the red-hot sands they pass
To where, in its slendor necklace of grass,
The little spring laughed and leaped in the shade,
And with its own self like an infant played.
And waved its signal of paims.

துவர் கலைமாற்க கைப்புபோல் எவியுக்க இரக் சேயதன் முடைமுற்று மெலிந்த ந சிரைந்ததின் குல் பாவிறும் புறியில்லத் ந படத்ததிரன் நையர்தாருக் சேவிறும் புறுதிகளுற்ற தெயமே செலசினந்தார். (5) அனைவர் சிணத்தவாகும் கால்கின்குர் வணிசர்கட்டம் பண்ணைப் போலக்காவிற் படர்தாச் சேணிற்கண்டார் இன்னமு மொட்டகல் வருகவு மென்னைக்கண்டார் போன்குகளி வாடிமேபோற புல்லணி முற்றைக்கண்டார்.(6) அன்னைய சோத்திச்செல்து மங்வுவி சன்னதுதல்

அனையை சோத்திசிசிசிய மேவுல் சனையூற்ப தன்றெடு நாளுள்கிகுள் குறித்தினல் குழுவியேயோல் மன்னிய தோழகின்றி மாக்கின் வசட்டயர்த்த பன்னரும் பிசைவென்றும் பலங்காதநகைபுப்பக்கண்டார்.(7)

IV

'For Christ's sweet sake, I beg an alms;'—
The happy camels may reach the spring
But Sir Launfal sees only the grewsome thing,
The leper, lank as the rain-blanched bone,
That cowers beside him, a thing as lone
And white as the ice-isles of northern sees.
In the desolate horror of his disease.

உள்ளரு மேக சாமத் தடாதானம் கேண்டுக்க நெடி என்னவும் பண்டைக்குட்ட வினியவான் மெளுத்தப்பன்பே யன்னை வினுத்தமெய்ய சூழ்க்டற் நிவிற்றன்னர் தன்வியன் வேளுத்தமெய்யன் தன்னே இர்சிற்கக்கண்டார்(8)

(ஆழ்கடற்றிவின் ஆழ்க்றகடலி துன் சடுவைப்போல) (தன்னத்தன் வியன் உதன் சுக்றவியன்)

கண்டனர் ச6க்βோயாற் கவச்சுமுற் நழுவலங்க வெண்டரும் தனது தானிற் பதும்னெ விறைஞ்சக்கண்டார் ஒண்டரு மொட்டாற் சஞுற்றிற்குப் படாக்கண்டும் பண்டரு பிண்யநீராற் பகர்க்கள் ரன் குற்சன்றே. (3)

And Sir Launfal said,—'I behold in thee
An image of Him who died on the tree;
Thou also hast had thy crown of thorns,—
Thou also hast had the world's buffets and scorns,—

And to thy life were not denied
The wounds in the hands and feet and side
Mild Mary's Son, acknowledge me;
Behold through him, I give to thee!'
இதுவையா ருவிர்வித்தோன் திருவுரு வுன்னிற்கண்டேன்
டலுவனை வுழக்குமல்லல் பட்ட கோ சீயுமன்றே
யுலதடை பிளிவுக்குத்து முரையுமே யுற்குயாதோ
விலகுமுன்னுடம்பே பெயல்லாமி சத்தமே பொழிகாயங்கள்(10)
சால்படை மரியாட்டு எல்வா சால்சரி பெனக்கு சீபியன்
நேக்புடை பயன்னு குழைத் இருவ விதோவுடைக்கு
வேல்புடை பெயருங்கண்ணுள் விளங்கிய குடக்குத்தேயப்
பால்புடை பெயருங்கண்ணுள் விளங்கிய குடக்குத்தேயப்
பால்புடைபெயர் சததேவன் பரிபவம்கிக்கைக்குகைக்கேன்(11)
(குடக்குத்தேயப்பால்புடைபெயர்கதேவன் — மேற்கு
தேசத்தி லவதரித்த இரின்து)

VI

Then the soul of the leper stood up in his eyes
And looked at Sir Launfal, and straightway he
Remembered in what a haughtier guise
He had flung an alms to leprosie,
When he girt his young life up in guilded mail
And set forth in search of the Holy Grail.
The heart within him was ashes and dust;
He parted in twain his single crust,
He broke the ice on the streamlet's brink,
And gave the leper to eat and drink,
'T was a mouldy crust of coarse brown bread,
'T was water out of a wooden bowl,—
Yet with fine wheaten bread was the leper fed,
And 'twas red wine he drank with his thirsty soul.

தற்றவ கியைப்பு லோடு மங்குழி மியப்பக்கேட்ட மற்ற நாயிர்கள்யாவுப் வந்தன கலந்தகண்ணைப் லிற்றென வையர் தட்டை மிமைப்பில தேலைக்குஅற்*ருன்* மூற்றன தெதிரில்சின் து. தருக்கிய முடுக்கணை றே. (12)

சுழுங்கு ோய்க்குட்டனென் 7ேற யாங்கல ெறிக்தபொன்னும் மழுங்கலில் கவசததார்த்த மயலுற மினியமெய்யும் ப⊋ங்கிண்ண மடையவேண்டிப் படர்ந்தவர் காளி‰யர் அழுக்குறை மல் சைகஞ்சு ம≁த்தினுட் கொடுதிகைத்தான். ()

து ந்கலாக் கிருந்தசிற்று எனன்றிரு கூறு செய்தார் பார்குடன் சிற்குற்றன்பைப் படர்ந்ததன் பணியுடைத்தார் ஏங்கிய குட்டற்-ன்ன முண்ணுமீ நொடுமீக்துண்டோர் சுங்கிலை மாக்கிணை தேரோ டிழிந்தகா ரண்ணை மேனும். (14)

தன்றகை யரிய வாசக் கரு?ன்யஞ் சோறுமற்றைப் புன்றிறப் புன்றுமாக்குப் பொலியும்பா யசமாயிற்குல் இன்றிகை யிறும்பூதென்னே பெய்பொருட் டிறம் சதேவன் தன்றிறத் இந்தவேல்லாம் தாவிலா ரமுதமாமால். (15)

(இதும்பூத = ஆச்சரியம்) (கரு கோயஞ்சோது = பொறிக்கறியோடு கூடியகல்லசோது) (எம்பொருட்டிறர்ததேவன் = Christ)

VII

As Sir Launfal mused with a downcast face,

A light shone round about the place;
The leper no longer crouched at his side.
But stood before him glorified,
Shining and tall and fair and straight
As the pillar that stood by the beautiful gate.—
Himself the Gate whereby men can
Enter the temple of God in Man.

இன்னனை பலநிவீனைக்கா செழின்பூகை மிகைறஞ்சிக்குறு! மேன்னுமா தொளிசெயான்று பைகம் மாநிலம் விளைங்கிறோம்மு [: சொன்ன உக்கொடிர்கோயன்று எதோ சுறிலன் மடைநைக்தாவீனைய முன் கெரு சோதியாகி மோகமாய் பூவீனைத்தானன்றே. (16)

விளங்கின வீண்டோன்செய்ய மேனிய கொடி அநின்முன் துளங்குறு கதவத்தூடு தோன்றிய துணைபேபிபோல் கனங்கெழு மனிதபெல்லாம் புகுங்கோயிற் கதவமென்றே விளங்கின கெவைமுஞ்செல்லும் மீடெனத் திகழ்ந்திருந்தாக்()

VIII

His words were shed softer than leaves from the pine. And they fell on Sir Launfal as snows on the brine. Which mingle their softness and quiet in one With the shaggy unrest they float down upon : And the voice that was calmer than silence said. 'Lo it is I, be not afraid! In many climes, without avail, Thou has spent thy life for the Holy Grail; Behold it is here,-this cap which thou Didst fill at the streamlet for me but now ; This crust is my body broken for thee, This water his blood that died on the tree; The Holy supper is kept, indeed, In what so we share with another's need: Not what we give, but what we share .-For the gift without the giver is bare; Who gives himself with his alms feeds three, -Himself, his hungering neighbour, and me.'

பண்ணைவர் பகர்ந்தமாற்றம் பங்கின்மெல் விலேயாயாக்கு விண்ணிவர் பணியுமெல்ல வேலேயின் விழுவதேய்ப்ப அண்ணல்தன் செலியினூடே யலைந்தன கலந்தவண்தே விண்ணவர் விளம்பம்மார்ற மென்மையின் மென்மைக்கேண் [மோ. (18)

—Gam—

அஞ்சா தொழியீங் கறிவா டெவோக் கொஞ்சக் குணு ஓங் கொளுமா நிக் யோட் தன்சம் மென வித் தரையா வையுமே உஞ்சம் மென கீ கசையெண் டிவீன பே. (19) (கஞ்சம்மென — திருக்கிண் ணாப் வேண்டுமென்ற)

---Ben undis Bleve d'opidi sent appi and and some arried affer a code come fo.

1

620)

(ar map = fin m jastant)

Direc and see diga per aid e sed offer alli amena (21) (Amanagaroad paper - Christ) . Or in Grantis blood)

இதாக் குறந்ப இணிகோம் க**ி**சுவாக் Loui veci . Opios. em Co papte us + pi palai Opal Adam so la Shis andCar. (22) (ampress and an amount Christ's Holy Supper)

per Der dy anger gang Guam Gerie Ja Dad sun a Quer parte. promis - Cupsurdedia சன்னத் உடக**் விருகோர்** மிழ்வர். (23)

Sir Launfal awoke as from a swound 'The Grail in my castle here is found ! Hang my ville armour up on the wall, Let it be the spider's banquet hall; He must be fenced with stronger mail Who would seek and find the Holy Grail.'

-C-a-

மையுள் கொள்ச மயக்கத் தெனிட்டும gum pros payeris prette Os it de mous Capa denia Live since Om & Bir Cut paris. (24)

Tale uppearing dade மாக்கி வக்டுக்கு மாகிகை பார்குமின் சேல் விண்ணமுக் தேட அற் சேறுக்கல் Cabri singi dies Cumbiori. (25)

(Amm Am Bis gan a saur à Paris Am maris.) (and Go and and and Go De Opry Danie)

The mattle gate stands open now, And the wanderer is welcome to the hall As the hang bird is to the elm-tree bough; No longer scowl the turrets tall, The summer's long siege at last is o'er: When the first poor outcast went in at the door, She entered with him in diaguise,

And mastered the fortress he surprise; There is no spot she loves so well on ground, She lingers and smiles there the whole year round The mounest serf on Sir Launfal's land Has hall and bower at his command; And there's no poor man in the North countree But is lord of the earldom as much as he.

winder almos mas diferi இல்னவ கினியம் சென்ப இன் இயே ammis walua Cura sious sadale saga sijeseguià. (26) வாகிற்கோ புரம்சதும் உருகை சாப்ச்தில Dul Cadar demi Brissia சுபென உறியவ தே அ மென்னவகின் ----(27)தறைவினில் வேளினான் தயுக்கிக் காத<u>ம</u>ுற் நகைக்ட மேகத்ததன் கெப்புள் டாயரால் Berung da AGU wood mari

Sapues stup Other CarineCo. (28) இடை உசிதம், அவற்கேக் இயேவமை) darperi = sie Cadare Da Bussurperi)

warma as moderi weed is Grass வக்கத்தத் இதப்பிற மின்புற் குருமான் Jes de mai au Base Geres Guappuis அள்ளவர் நிருவேலா மதப விக்குமாக். (29)

> T. VIRABADRA MUDALIAR, B.A., B.L. (To be Continued).

*CIENCE JOTTNIGS.

(From the Central Hindu College Magazine).

Wonder never cease! Perhaps the most wonderful, and certainly to the scientists the most disconverting of the wonders which have crowned the closing years of the 19th century, consists in the discovery of group of substances characterised by their radioactive properties. The term "radio-active" has been-invented to describe the properties common to this group, and its meaning will be gathered from what follows.

The first of these bodies was discovered some time ago by the French Chemist Bacquerel, who extracted it from pitchblende, a rare ore of uranium; quite recently, two others have been added to the let by the indefatigable labours of M. Currie and his talented wife. Taking several tons of pitchblende M. Currie has succeeded in extracting a few grains of a body to which he gives the name radium, whilst Mme. Currie has obtained an equally striking though different body called by her polonium, in honour of Poland, her native land.

These substances were exhibited for the first time at the International Physical Congress held in Paris last August, when some of their properties were shown to an audience of several hundred persons. In the first place, the few grains of radium, so far obtained, emit spontaneously, and without apparent loss in weight or change in substance, what seems to be an everlasting light—a light, however, not directly visible to the eye, but having the power of illuminating and making visible certain compounds, such as barium platino-cyanide: thus a screen coated with this substance, when placed near the radium in a darkened room, shines with a bright and continuous light resembling that of a magnified firefly.

This "dark light," if the term may be used, given off from radium, and the other members of the family, and which constitutes their radio-activity, resembles ordinary light in that it affects a photographic plate, and can therefore be photographed by a magnet, and if one of the rays be allowed to fall on an insulated electrified conductor, it is instantly discharged no matter how carefully it is insulated. It would appear as if these radio-active bodies are constantly projecting from their own substance matter endowed with enormous velocity, and capable of penetrating and passing through many solid bodies, appearing on the other side with only slightly diminished activity. Especially interesting is the fact that neighbouring bodies become impregnated with these radiations, and themselves turn radioactive. The particles radiated attach themselves not only to inanimate bodies, but to persons as well. M. Currie has himself become radio-active; so much so that when he approaches the neighbourhood of an electrically charged body it loses its charge; no electrometer can be charged in his presence; it becomes useless.

It has been pointed out that if radium had been a ittle more common, say as widely distributed as

gold, the existance of statical electricity would never have been known, for a charged body would have been an impossibility. Thus the boundary between the possible and the impossible is daily becoming more vague; for as nature reveals to the patient devotes of science her secrets one by one, she does but give him glimpses of vaster tracts of country yet to be explored; so that he may well say with Newton—the greatest and the humblest of her priests—"I seem to have been like a body playing on the sea shore, finding here and there a smoother and a prettier shell, whilst the great ocean of truth lay all undiscovered before me"

ARTHUR RICHARDSON.

NOTES AND COMMENTS.

Prof. Vinson on Rev.
Dr. G. U. Pope.

M. Julien Vinson, Professor of Oriental Languages in the National College of Paris to Rev. Dr.
G. U. Pope, on receipt of his "Tiruvachakam."

"இருவிகோகடக்தசெல்வனிசைத்த உரசகத்தைசெல்லாட்ட வருவி விகோயாட்டாற்போது மேறசொழியதனில் கைத்தி அருவினேகண்டெழாண்டத்தவற்றனர் மூமிக்குருப்மைக் குருவினேபெனவணங்கிக் குறைவிலாவரழ்க்கென்பார்."

From the next issue will appear a translation of Siva Siva Bhakta Vilasa.

Bhakta Vilasa, ordinarily known as Agastya Bhakta Vilasa in Southern India. This is a portion of Skanda Purana and gives the lives of the saints whose deeds are also set forth in the Periapuranam of Saint Sekkilar. The sauscrit text and commentary has been published at Benares and is sold by Ganesh Das & Co., at 4 Rs. a copy.

It is indeed very elevating to see a little bit of mock-tussle in the theosophic camp itself. Some theosopists of weight want to speak for others but unfortunately the latter resent it in a flaming mood. The result is the series of naive budgets "On the Watch Tower" in the March number of the "Theosophical Review." And the Theosophic laboratory practice reaches its most lovely pitch in Annie Besant's "Thought power, its control and culture," and, it is a thousand pities that not one of the "research students" has as yet been able to manifest to the fleshy common-sense ocular sensorium of the world, the might of that "Thought-Power." It is troublesome of course for people of any calling to come down from the

spring-hoard of melliffuous discoursing and show a little sign. But verify it is difficult to escape the indictment "an evil and aduterous generation seeketh for a sign"!

Is " Reviews and Notices" it is propitious to find scathing miticism on Turner's "Knowledge, helief and certitade" We need not commend the reviewer for the strength per parity of his anderstanding, but we should restainly hail it as a sample of excellent horse-play in unmitigated railery. Evidently have a tyro in his art and in just drilling himself in journalistic "slating." Mr. G. R. S. M. was eloquent on the resent work of Carl Schmidt on Plotious and the gnostics. Mr. Mead's specialty in Plotious and others of that ilk is a proven fact as also his occult investigations of the " Planetary chain." Woefally for the masses the gnostics and their speculations are as much a thick mystery to them as the " Lunar Pitris" are recondite fads. We could hope to understand the true import of these things when once we have set at the grave of Theresphy and read its true epitaph written by the iron hand of Time.

THE existic droning of "Old Diary Leaves" has not yet ceased, and we find to our unutterable despair " the fourth beries" has just been ustered into existence in the April number of the "Theosophist." In truth, the old diary is nothing short of a capacious Pandora's box. Everything between genesis and a pocalypse has business in that narrative to need a new-fangled mention. Mr. Samuel Strart sketches the origin of the world, quoting chapter and verse from every resty book of spurious authenticity. The whole piece, which we are afraid is the concluding tail-piece of a past rigmarole, is solemnly occult, and all the forbidden "children of reason" have no hope of getting at the meaning. The " Rama Gita" translator is a curious specimen that will best adorn the London Zoo, To him the metamorphosis of the insect larvae as understood by zoologists is word for word equivalent to the ancient traditional lere of the Hindu, which makes bestles manufacture their own young from grabfondlings picked up elsewhere. The process of manufacturing includes not only a solid training in meamerism for the innocent beetle, but, what is a startling feat, a feat far worse than even egg-dancing, the improvisation of a sting, possibly at the head-end (!), to enable the hare-brained beetle to sting the fat grab iutoduced in the nest not to death as could be expected, but to the giorified "beetlehood"! He that both care to hear let him hear!! The Rama Gita will not have suffered one jot for lack of such sorry footnotes. The meaning of the Cita is far more limpid, when one keeps his mind riear of those old wife's tales.

"The Herald of the Golden Age" is as usual throme its vagetarian barp. One article reprinted from " the Family Doctor " speaks about the dangers of carnivorism, It is said that english batchers never hesitate to kill animals plagued with diarrhose. The grim thirst of the muscular Englishman for the borrid torrests of blood that flow down the yards of slaughter-houses and his appetite for chopped meat in which putrefaction has just commenced are as intense as his break-neck fascination for chronic alchoholism. The hand of Nemesis slowly works the retaliation, until at last the end is brought on by anthraz and allied infectious diseases. Fet more gruesome is the new method of cramming fowls for the market that obtains in London. When a chick declines breakfast, the fattener opens the mouth of the poor idiotic bird and pushes the cramming pump into its mouth. At each operation, from half a pint to a pint of liquid food is given, which is twice or thrice as much as a bealthy bird would manage to eat under ordinary oiscumstances. Night and day the attendants watch these miserable bipeds, lest they should slip off before the neck has been twisted. "Natural causes" must be kept out in any case. It goes without saying that when animal life is played with so fast and loose, the moral sensibility of man must perforce become dead. We don't know where the line of demarca. tion can be drawn between slaughtering animals and staughtering man. Need we say that learned divines try to quote scripture for the purpose like the proverbial Devil P

The Tata lustitute for Research is slowly coming forward to see the light of day and when started on its career of work, it will be the only one of its kind, to fit the Hindus for promoting the economics of their country. But we fear the probabilities of the development of the commercial resources of India on such a plan lie very far remote in the future. Whether practical specialists with large experience in engineering technology and sewage bauterinlogy would be the outcome or not, we leave it to time to demonstrate. One thing must come out of it more prominently than every other consideration; numbers of losting men from the educated ranks, who yo round the Government Offices for lack of something to live upon, may find provisional relief in the matter of breadwinning on account of the decent fellowships. In this connection we must congrutulate the Indians upon the

kind offer of Tata to take competent Indians for the Indian Civil Service, although the successful ment in the attempt are made to serve future generations of students in the way they themselves were looked after. Though the plan is in plain prose "taking from Peter and helping Paul," it is a splendid machinery devised to keep the work going without anybody burning his fingers too much.

The "Watch-Tower" notes in the April Theosophical Review form a pleasant contrast to those in the previous number, as it records not less than three very important discoveries, one of them of the greatest interest to the Hindus. This particular one is of the fact that America was discovered by the Chinese in 499. A. C., long before Columbus was born. It appears that some papers among those looted from the archives at Pekin show that five Chinese missionaries sailed across the Pacific, and skirting the Fox Islands, landed in Mexico, opposite the Peninsula of Yucatan. All this story is confirmed by the existence of what were already suspected to be Buddhist temples in the State of Sonora on the Pacific coast. It is also reported that a ruined temple near the town of Ures in that State has yielded an inscription in Chinese characters. as also a statue of Buddha, which are said to indicate clearly the advent of Chinese Buddhists, It is also claimed that the Indians of that State possess many traditions and characteristics of the Chinese. When we perceive how many are more and more endeavouring to prove that Christianity in its essentials has been considerably influenced by Buddhism, and some even go to the extent that Christ himself was an Essene, which sect is claimed to have been but an offshoot of the religion of the great Indian, the present discovery furnishes an instructive commentary on the narrow views of Christians and on the magnificent sphere of influence which Indian thought has in reality wielded.

. .

An event of great interest, if not of the commanding importance of the former one, is the uncarthing by the French Scientific Mission to Western Persia of the oldest as well as the longest Semitic 'inscription of Babylonia, running over six-hundred lines in length, from the mounds of Susa, the ancient Elamite capital. It is by a king called Manishtisu, belonging to a dynasty even earlier

than that founded by Sargon or Sarrukin of Akkad and his son Naram-Sin, whose very existence is rejected by some historians as a myth. But their exact date even has been established irrefragably as 3800 B. C., by the sensational discovery of an inscription which necessitated the antedating of these kings by 1800 years at one bound, instead of the old date of 2000 s. c. This was the cylinder of Nabonidus (550 B. C.) in which he declares that when repairing the great sun-temple at Sippar, he had the fortune to discover the foundation cylinder of Naram-sin, son of Sharrukin, "which for thrice thousand and twicehundred years none of the kings who had lived before me (Nabonidus) had seen." A simple addition will give the date of the son as 3750 s. c. and allowing for his father's long reign, we must assign the latter's date to 3800 s. c. This date has been confirmed by later researches and also by an inscription found in the present search belonging to Naram-sin. Such being the tremendously ancient date of Sharrukin, we must travel farther back for that of the author of the newly found inscription, Manishtisu; but how far it is not yet determined.

...

The last matter is the recent discovery by Capt. H. P. Deasy, of what is at present supposed to be strong evidence of an inland sea in the very heart of Central Asia, The evidence consists in fossilised specimens of what is popularly known as Grasswrack, (Zostera marina, L.) a plant which is purely marine, plentiful throughout the consts of Europe, and occurring also in the Atlantic shores of North America and in North-East Asia. It has never been found near an inland lake, only an allied species occurring in the Caspian. The fossils were found in perfect preservation near Yepal Ungar, in the Kwen Lun Mountains, at an altitude of 16,500 feet. The nature of the fossil as well as the fact that there is a salt-lake near the locality, has led the discoverer to think that the whole city was once an inland salt-lake. Whether this lake could have bed any relation to the great Tertiary ocean which flowed over the site of the Himalayas, which connected itself with the Mediterranean and covered the great Germanic plain of Europe, is yet uncertain. But certainly a discovery which would have wide reaching effects has been made; and it may be hoped that the opening up of Northern Asia by Russia will in time furnish us with a complete geological history of India, instead of the ragged and isolated fragments of knowledge which only we now possess. The Theosophists as we may expect assert that this premised lake is the Central Asian Sea of the Atlantean period of which they had often been speaking.

THE LIGHT OF TRUTH

-- OR --

SIDDHANTA DEEPIKA.

A Monthly Journal, Devoted to Religion, Philosophy, Literature, Science, &c.

Commenced on the Queen's Commemoration Day, 1897.

VOL. IV.

MADRAS, MAY 1901.

No. 12.

APPAYA DIKSHITA.

One of the greatest intellects of which Southern India can righly bosst, is the famous Appaya. Dikshita, It is a pity that neither Dr. Weber nor Dr. Macdonnel, in their works on Sanskrit Literature, make any allusion whatever to the name of Appaya, nor do they give the slightest clue to his date, life, works or other whereabouts. Not even a passing reference to him is to be found in the most recent work of the late Professor Max Muller on the "Six Systems of Indian Philosophy." To the Siddhantalesa-Sangraha published at Kumbakonum in 1894, is appended a valuable introduction in Sanskrit from the able pen of Bhattaeri Balasarasvati Pandit Narayana Sudarsana. Pandit Manuvalli Gangadhara Sastri of Benares has also contributed a Sanskrit introduction to the same work published under the Vizianagaram Sanskrit series in 1890. The "Brahmavidya," a Sanskrit journal edited at Chidambaram contains an article on the life and works of Appaya, and also some correspondence on the subject. It also appears that there is a life of his written by Sivananda Yogi, one of his own blood-relations. This, I believe, has not been printed. A concise introduction without anything of argument is contained in Pandit Halasyanatha Sastri's edition of the Kuvalayananda (Kumbakonum). Dr. Burnell's Tanjore Catalogue and Aufrecht's Catalogue give us a deal of information as to Appaya's works, but do not help us in the least with any detail regarding his life and dates. The introduction to the Parimala (Benares Edition) merely refers us to the authority of Pandit Gangadhara Sastri. In writing the present article I have made liberal use of the mass of information to be gathered from all the sources above enumerated. Especially am I indebted to Pandit Bhattasri Narayana Sastri, whose introduction is the most detailed and complete biography that one could have access to.

Appaya was born in an age when sectarian quarrels were rife in this part of India among the followers of the various cults that were then, and, unfortunately, are still prevalent, and which rend asunder the bonds of love and union that should join the sons of the same soil, professing practically the same religion, based on the universally accepted authority of a single scripture, the Vedas. The influence of the great Ramanuja was perhaps then at its highest, and, as is generally the case with the product of every historic Reformation, his followers, in their unlimited seal to

spread the gospel of their teacher at any cost, had begun to carry matters so far that philosophy had dwindled into sectarianism, and religion into phariseeism. More of this will have to be considered when we come to take account of the life-work of Appaya Dikshita. Suffice it to say that there existed a real necessity for his presence in this world and for the work which he was destined to perform. Born in an orthodox family, bred up and educated by an able and very learned father, he was equal to the task that was set before him, and it is no matter for wonder that he is the reputed author of so many as 104 works, (1) as we learn from the usual colophous to his works. No wonder, too, that tradition paints him as an incarnation of God Siva himself, or, at least, of one of Siva's attendants, with a fraction of the God's divinity in him. Nilakantha, his brother's grandson, describes him, in his work called Nilakanthavijaya, as God Siva who has taken human shape, corresponding to the Kalki avatara of God The belief also exists that it is he that is referred to in the more or less prophetic utterances to be found in the Sivarahasya.(3) These cousist of two stanzas which predict, that in the Kali age, a Dikshita, born as a devotee of Siva, would restore the almost forgotten Saiva faith to its former condition of vitality and prominence. It is of course impossible to build any conclusion on such scanty foundation, or to draw any inference from one of the many instances in which the popular mind takes pleasure in depicting every more-than-average intellect of a former generation as a direct representative or messenger of the God-head.

Place of Birth.

In a village known by the name of Adayappalam, in the vicinity of Conjeevaram, which was for a long

- (1) चतुरधिकशतप्रबन्धानिर्वाहकाचार्य.
- (2) लीढालीढपुराणसूक्तिशकलावष्टम्भसम्भावना-पर्यस्तश्रुतिसेतुभिः कतिपयैनीते कलौ सान्द्रताम् । श्रीकष्टोऽवततारयस्यवपुषा कल्क्यात्मनेवाच्युतः श्रीमानप्ययदीक्षितस्सजयति श्रीकण्ठविद्यागुरुः ॥
- (3) दीक्षितोऽपिभवेत्कविच्छैवन्छन्दोगवंशजः । भासुरावारिनरतः शिवभक्ताप्रणीः सुधीः । शैवशास्त्रं तदाभूमौ सुप्तं विस्तारियध्यति ॥

कलौ छन्दोगोऽपि ध्रुतिशिखरतात्पर्यवचनैर्ममग्रन्थोद्वन्धेर्जयति मद-बादिद्विपद्दिरः । भिनत्येव दीक्षाक्षपितसुखनृत्तिशिवपदे शिवेदश्रस्थाने भवति च ततोऽन्तेममगणः ॥

time the seat of the Chola kingdom; there are people living in the present day, who trace their lineage to the personage that forms the subject of this article. This is strong proof that that village was the place of his birth. We have also corroboration, if needed, in the fact that both Appaya(4) and his grandfather (5) nave written works in praise of the well-known God Varadaraja, whose name adorns the famous Vaishnavite temple of Conjeeveram. The enquiries made by the editor of the "Brahmavidya" and embodied in his article, also corroborate this statement. We do not know on what authority Dr. Burnell writes that "Appaya Dixita's family was settled at Tirovalankadu or. (Svetaranya), a village in the Tanjore District, where his descendants in the sixth degree still "ist." Probably this tallies with the colophons to the chapters of Appaya's Sivark amenidipika, which state that the author's family was dependent on Chinna Bommanna or Bommaraju, a Nayak of the Tanjore Telugu dynasty. (6)

Family and Parentage.

These are matters as to which there is very little doubt. He was a Samavedin, of the literadvajagotra, as is evident from the many columns in which he describes himself as such. His grandfather was known as Acharya Dikshita or, more popularly, Achan Dikshita. This we learn from a passage in the "Nyayarakshamani"(7), and from another which occurs in the prelude to the drama of "Nala charita" written by Appayas's brother's grandson, Nilakantha.(8) He is described as very renowned for his religion and scholarship, and was held in reverence by Krishna Raja (1508-1530 A.D.) (9), one of the kings of Vijianagar. The number 'eight'

- (1) वरदराजस्तव.
- (३) वरदराजवसन्तोत्सव.
- (6) Burnell's Tanjore Catalogue, p. 110.
- अासेतुबन्धतटमाचतुषारशैलादाचार्यदीक्षित इति प्रथिताभिधानम्।
 अद्वैतचितसुखमहाम्बुधिमप्रभावमस्मत्पितामहमशेषगुरुं प्रपर्धे ॥
- (९) पारिपार्श्वकः—आः स्मृतमस्यकुलकूटस्थाः साक्षात्कृतत्रह्माणः सर्व-विद्यागुरवरछन्दोगाः सोमपीथिनोद्वतवादासिष्टण्यवो जगद्विदिता एव-अमीष्वपिविशेषात्तत्रभवानाचान्दीक्षित इति ॥ सूत्रभारः—न्याधु स्मृत साधु । तस्य कृष्णराजवन्दितचरणारिवन्दस्य भरद्वाजकुलच्छामणेर्ज्यभः कतुभिरष्टभिरायतनैःशम्भारष्टभित्रांभरष्टभिश्व सर्वविद्याविशारदस्तनवैर्ण्याय दिशोयशोभिज्वेलिताः ॥
 - (9) Sewell's List of Antiquities, Vol. L. p. 450, See also "The Indian 2; view," Vol. 11., No. 2, p. 81.

appears to be indelibly associated with his name, for, he is mid to have performed eight morifices, built eight Saive temples, owned the lands of eight villages, dug eight tanks, and given birth to eight sons. It seems that he also went by the name of Vakehesstheia-acharya Dikshita. This prefix (meaning & the breat ") is accounted for by a very carious incident. The rumour goes that, in the presence of God Varadaraja, the Dikshita sung a composition in His praise, with which king Krishnaraja, who was also there as a worshipper, was so much pleased, that he gave that appellation to the learned author. The vakshan-stbala" is to be found in that stanza, which is believed to be indentical with the one that is quoted in Appaya's Chitramimames, under the figure of speech named sandcha. (10) Achan Dikshita had two wives, of whom the second, Totarambi, was been of a Vaishuava family, being the daughter of ose Sri Rangaraja Acharya. This circumstance. tegether with the fact that Conjecveram was the centre of Visishtadvaita learning, must be carefully horne in mind, as explaining to a great extent the connection, whether friendly or adversary, which Appaya bore to the Vaishnavite teachers of his day. More of this however, later on. Totarambi gave barth to four sons of whom the eldest was named Kanyarsja atter his maternal grandfather. Of the two some that were born to liangaraja, the first was our Appaya, and the other, his younger brother, Achan (or Acharya). There is copious allusion in the works of Appaya to his father, indicative as well of the ability and learning of the parent as of the gratitude and filial live which the son cherished towards bim. From these references, which are found in the 'Parimala' at the end of the first chapter,(11) and also of the third.(12) in the 'Nyaya-

rakshamani '(13), in the 'Sivatatvaviveka,'(14) and in the second stanza of the 'Siddhantalesa-san graha'(15), it is apparent that he owed almost all his varied erudition to his father, who seems to have bestowed great pains on the training and instruction of his worthy son. Nilakautha, too, in his 'Nalacharita' referred to above, mentions the name of Rangaraia as the father of Appaya. (16) He also learnt the Advaita philosophy of Sankara from Nrisimbasramaswami. Aufrecht learns, from the Nilakathachampu, that Appaya was the son of Rangaraja dikshita or adhvaryo, the guru of Dharmayya dikshita, brother of Apyo dikshita which he corrects into Acha dikshita in the Appendix), uncle of Narayana dikahita, and nephew on mother's side of Tatayajvan Karustabhubbridguru.(17) This 'Appaya' or 'Appayya' is the pure and simple 'Appa' with the familiar Tamil termination. All these three forms are equally familiar to students of Sanskrit literature. That Appaya was a Tamilian is evident from the words by which Jagannatha alludes to him in various places.(18)

Date of Birth.

This is a point about which there is much conflict of opinion, though it is not involved in any hopeless mystery. This is the one great weakness of the conservative Hindu intellect, which takes every literary work for what it is worth, judging it by its own intrinsic merit, but never in the light of the circumstances under which it was written or by which its author was circumscribed.

The most important year to be determined, being also easier known, is the date of Appaya's death. We can thence easily fix the date of his birth by an easy process of subtraction, since it is well nigh undisputed that he lived to the full age of 72. The

- (13) वंबद्धविधित्तिवः प्रवदन्ति साक्षात् तहर्शनादिक्षस्दर्शनपार-भाजः । तं सर्ववेदसमक्षेत्रवृथाधिराजं श्रीरङ्गराजमिकां गुरुमानेतोऽस्मि ॥
 - (14) सर्वविधासतीयप्रपादिजातसङ्ग्रीस्हान् । सङ्ग्युक्कसस्विमि शादरं सर्ववेदसः ॥
- (15) प्राचीनैर्व्यवहारसिद्धविषवेष्यात्मेवनसिद्धीपरं सभग्रद्धिरनादरा-त्वरक्ष्येनानाविषादर्षिताः । तम्मूनानिष्ठतंप्रदेण कतिवितिद्धान्तमेदान्धियः बुद्धवै संकानकवि सातवरणव्यास्त्रावनःस्वापितान् ॥
- (16) विद्वृद्वरोविद्वितिवश्विवद्वयस्य श्रीसर्वतोमुखमहाज्ञत्तवाविसूनोः। धारप्रराजनविजः जितचन्द्रमीलिरस्त्वप्पदीक्षित इति प्रवितक्षनुयः॥
 - (17) Aufrecht's Catalogue, p. 22.
 - (15) e.g. glaggaffin (Rasagangudhara)

⁽¹⁰⁾ वधाससङ्ख्युरुष्टस्ववश्वःश्यळाखार्वनिर्विते वरदराजवसन्तोसस्वे --फाल्स्कावनर्गाराङ्गी वीक्ष्य साझादिवधिवय् । वरदः संशवापको वश्वः स्थलस्वेशने ॥ इति.

¹¹⁾ कमभागद्दश्वरपश्चित्रणक्षणतक्षणदक्षणिरम् । अतिकर्कश-वर्षणतश्चित्रश्चपितक्षपणक्षणभद्दवस् ॥ कपिल्लेकिनिराकरणप्रवर्षं कृत-१८११/क्षपरिष्करणम् । नयमाक्षिकभृषितभद्दमतं विमलाद्वश्चित्रसम्बद्धमानिक्षण्य ॥ मृहतामिषमान्यतमे विदुषो विनिवेदयगुर्वे हिर्दिश्चित्रमम् । नव-महीर ज्ञालिन कल्पनरी विदृत्धरणः प्रथमः प्रथितः ॥ अमद्धरद्वाजकुरु-प्रश्चित्रके श्चीरहगजात्व्यमीस्वतनयनिमिते । वेदान्तकल्पदुवरपरि-मलेदन्ये पूर्णोदश्चनिद्यास्यणः इह मन्त्रिये ॥

⁽१८) धीर्वकृष्टार्थवशास्युधिरिक्षक्रियामस्दृतिविद्याचार्यधारक्षराज्यक्र-भीषम्त्रयभोविधितिद्यातिस्ते । प्रश्ये वेदानकरुपदुवरपरिमले सर्विज्ञिषा-जिलोऽधिमन् पूर्वः पादोऽजीनव्श्वमर्शाहर्ताहते निविज्ञेषप्रधानः ॥

exact length of his life may be gathered from a stanza in Nilakantha's Sivalilarnava, (19) and from the most exquisite stanzas which, at the critical moment of his death, escaped the lips of Appaya himself, within the holy precincts of Chidambaram, where he breathed his last. (20)

We find Appaya in his 72nd year at Benares in the company of the illustrious Jagannatha (Panditaraya), the author of Bhaminivilesa, and of Bhattoji Dikshita of Siddhantakaumudi fame. It behoves us in this connection to examine more closely the evidence on which this conclusion is founded, and also the nature of the relation and feelings that existed among three such intellectual giants brought together by destiny in a city, which was then, as it is now, the chief seat of advanced Sanskrit learning. We learn from the Nalacharita of Nilakantha(21), which we must take to be reliable authority, that one of Appaya's many contemporaries was Balakavi, whose drama of 'Ratnaketudaya' is perhaps not widely known. This Balakavi would have us believe that, in the first half of the last year of his life, Appaya was in close intimacy with Bhattoji and Jagannaths, and that, in the latter half, he performed a great sacrificial rite at Virinchipuram near Vellore, and thence moved, with his eleven sons and Nilakantha, to Chidambaram, where the closing scene of his life was laid.(22) During the short period of his acquaintance with Bhattoji, he taught the latter the Vedantasutras of Vyasa and also made him familiar with his own works against the Madhva sect. This is patent from the many stanzas from Appaya quoted by Bhattoji in his Tatvakaustubha.

meeting with Bhattoji must have been at Benares. The contention of our Southern pandits that he came into contact with Appaya on his way to Ramesvaram, seems to be highly improbable. It cannot be proved that Jagannatha ever visited the lower parts of the Deccan, and, since it is shown by his own words that he was both a contemporary and a formidable opponent of Bhattoji and Appaya, it is not too remote an inference to be drawn therefrom, that the two latter formed their friendship at Benares, where, beyond all doubt, Jagannatha spent the last days of his chequered life.

The amusing incident that brought the critical faculty of Jagannatha into active play, must now be mentioned. Bhattoji was a popil of Sesha Krishna Dikshita, and a co-student of the latter's son Viresvara, who was, in addition, Jagannatha's master. Krishna Dikshita had written a commentary called Prakriyaprakasa on the grammatical work of Prakriyakaumudi. Bhattoji, in his well-known work named Manorama seriously attacked the commentary of his teacher. Jagannatha was very much enraged at this ingratitude of a pupil to a preceptor and to the father of his own guru. He also disliked Appaya for the support he rendered to his adversary. He was once insulted in open assembly by Bhattoji.(23) Henceforth he commenced his crusade against the two professors who made common cause with each Some of his uncompromising and abusive criticisms of Appaya will be met with in his Sabdakaustubba-Sanottejana (24) in his Sasisena 25) and in his Chitramimamsa-khandana,(26) and several other writings. But later on we find him reconciled to Appaya, for the latter is rumoured to have given him some spiritual advice, when he found him stretched in careless repose on the banks of the Ganges. (27) This and the fact that the popularly known 'Gangalalari' of Jagannatha was composed on the very brink of the holy river, lend additional support to the statement that Appaya spent a portion of his life at Benures.

⁽¹⁹⁾ कालेनशम्भुः किलताबतापि कलाश्चतुःषष्ठिमिताः प्रणिन्ये । द्वा-सप्तर्ति प्राप्यसमाः प्रबन्धान् शतं व्यधादप्पयदीक्षितेन्द्रः ॥ See also (22) below

⁽²⁰⁾ चिदम्बरिमदं पुरं प्रियतमेवपुण्यस्थलं सुताश्वविनयोज्ज्वलाः सु-कृतयश्वकाश्वित्कृताः । वयांसिमम सप्तत्ते कपरिनैवभोगे स्पृहानिकश्विदहमर्थये शिवपदं दिदक्षेपरम् ॥ आभातिहाटकसमानटपादपद्मज्योतिर्मयोमनिसमे-तक्षाकृणोऽयम् । There he ended, and his sous continued: नूनं जरामरणघोरियश्वाचकीर्णासंसारमोहरजनी विरति प्रयाता ॥

⁽²¹⁾ तथा वेदान्तकत्पत्तरुव्याख्यानं परिमलप्रन्यं रचयन्नस्तूयतः बाल-कविना—' अप्पदीक्षितिकिमित्यतिस्तुर्तिवर्णयामि भवतोवदान्यताम् । सोऽ-पिकत्पतरुर्पिलिप्सयात्वद्विरामवसरं प्रतीक्षते ॥' इति.

⁽²²⁾ यष्टुं विश्वजितायतापरिषरं सर्वेबुधानिर्जिता भद्योजिप्रमुखास्सप-ण्डितजगन्नायोऽपि निस्तारितः । पूर्वेऽधे चरमे द्विसप्ततितमस्याब्दस्य स-द्विश्वजिद्याजीयश्च चिदम्बरे स्वमभजज्ज्योतिः सतां पश्यताम् ॥

^{(23;} Nagesa Bhatta, in his commentary on Navyaprakasa, has this दृष्यद्वाविडदुर्भहप्रहवशान्म्लष्टं गुरुद्रोहिणा यन्म्लेच्छेति वनोऽविचिन्त्य-सदिस प्रौढेऽपि भद्योजिना । तत्सत्यापितमेवधैर्यनिधिना यत्सव्यमृद्रात्कुनं विकिच्यास्य मनोरमाभवशयश्रप्याद्यान् स्थितान् ॥

⁽²⁴⁾ अप्पय्यदुर्प्रहाविचेतितचेतनानामार्यद्वहाम्यमहंशमयेऽवलेपान् ॥

⁽²⁵⁾ अप्पय्यदीक्षितदवानलद्रश्वशेषं साहित्यमङ्करयते सरसैर्निबन्धैः॥

⁽²⁶⁾ सूक्ष्मं विभाव्यमयका समुदीरितानामप्पर्य्यदीक्षितकृताविहदूष-णानाम् । निर्मत्सरोयदिसमुद्धरणं विदध्यादस्त्राहमुञ्ज्वलमतेश्वरणावहामि ॥

⁽²⁷⁾ कि नि:शङ्कं शेषेशेषेषयसित्वमागते मृत्यौ । अथवासलं शर्याथा-निकटे जागर्तिजाहवी भवतः ॥

The probable date of Jaganustha's arrival at Benares is easy to determise. Having for sometime been a teacher at the Jayapura college, he went to Dehli, and was for a long time in the good graces of Emperor Shah Jaban. This he tells us in his Bhaminivilasa, 28, and in the opening portion of his biography of Asaf Khan(29). This is likely, may even indisputable, seeing that Shah Jakan, like his graudfather. Akber, was a patron of Hinda learning, and his eldest son Dara was so good as to translate some of the Upanishads into l'ersian. When Aurangazeb dethroned his father and imprisoned him about the end of 1658, Jayannatha lost all his influence at the Moghal court, and was constrained to flee to Benares (30). It must have been almost immediately after his return from his Moslem associations that Appaya and Bhattoji encountered him at the sucred city, for the grammarian would not have been justified in addressing him as 'mlerchha' if he had already repented and undergone the expiatory rituals necessary for his re-admission into orthodox Hindu society. If, then, 1658 or 1659 A.D., was the 72nd year of Appaya, as it, in all likelihood, appears to be, the date of his birth must approximately have been 1587 A.D. and that of his death, 1659 A.D. It reanot, at any rate, be earlier.

The above is the argument of Psudit Bhatteeri Naravana Sastri, and I have adopted it in fole as it appears to me to be based on the most solid foundstion. He mentions, however, the names of Krishna Raya, Chinna Bomma, Narasimha and Vonkatapathi, of whom the three latter figure as Appaya's contentporaries. He tells us that these four personages lived in the latter half of the sixteenth century A.D., and in the first half of the seventdenth. He further states that Krishnaraya was a king of Vijayanagar, that Chinna Bomma and Narasimha were his sons, and that Chinus Bomma's son was Venkatapathi. I must admit that I have been able to find out the dates of only two of these, namely, Krishna Raya and Venkatapathi. Krishna Raya ruled over the empire of Vijavanagar from 1508 to 1530 A.D.(81) He had

only two daughters, but no son 132 sanders the connection said to exist between him and the other three. Professor Haraprasad Sastri says. however, that Krishua Haya left a son Achyuta Raya who reigned from 153) to 1542, and that the latter's son, Sadasiva, lived from 1542 to 1567, 33) It seems more probable that Achyuta was Krishna Raya's brother or cousin. In 1565 the empire was shattered to pieces by the well-known battle of Talikot. On Sadasiva's death, one of his chiefs, Ram Haja, a sonin-law of Krishua Bara, assumed power. After him, his brother Tirumalla, who was recognised king, had to remove his capital to Pennakouda. This was so till 1592 A.D., when Trumalla's son, Venkata, athi, the then king, made a move to Chandragiri. Venkatapathi reigned from 1585 to 1614.(32) It was from one of his descendants that the English obtained a grant of the site of Madras in 1639. The name of Narasimha occurs only in one place, as that of Krishna Raya's father, the founder of a dynasty. Chinea Bomma seems to be entirely unconnected with Vijimagar, at least, as far as modern archeological researches have been able to prove. The only mention of such a name in Sewell's last is as a digger of a charity-well. (34) The full name is Chinna Bomma Mallayya (1531), who lived in the reign of Achyuta Raya (1530 to 1542 A.D. (35). Perhaps he caunot be the same as the one referred to by Dr. Burnell as the patron of Appaya's family. (36) 1r. cannot, however, be doubted, that these are the names of some of Appaya's contemporaries, though their history is not easy to ascertain. Reference is made to Chinne Bomma and Appaya in the Yatraprabandha of Samurapungava.(37: Naranimha is alluded to in several stanzas of the Chitraminanesa (38) Paudit M. Gangadhara Sastri states that Appaya was the foremost of the eight pundits at the court of Narasimha alias Narasa alias Krishnaraja. In the last

⁽²⁸⁾ विश्वविद्यमपानिपत्रवतके नीतंनवीनं वयः ॥

^{, (29)} सार्वभीवधीकाद्वेत्रद्वांक्रवादाद्विगतप्रकात्राव्यव्यविशिक्षेत्रन वैक्षित्रदुक्तवर्तनेन पण्डितव्यववावेनासक्रवानीयकास्वयेवमास्वायिका नि-रतीयने ॥

⁽³⁰⁾ सम्ब्रह्मन्यकशासमस्यमगरे तत्त्वं परं विन्दाते ॥

⁽³¹⁾ See (9) above

⁽³²⁾ Sewell's List of Antiquities. Vol. 1. p. 252.

⁽³³⁾ School History of India, p. 108.

⁽³⁴⁾ Nowell's List, Vul. 1, p. 77.

⁽⁸⁵⁾ Ibid. Vol 11. p. 249.

⁽²⁶⁾ See (6)

⁽³⁷⁾ हेमामिकेसमये परितोनिकक सीवर्ण संहतिमिवाकिनवोद्यः-मृपः । अध्यय्यदीक्षित्रमकेरनवद्यविद्याकत्यहुमस्वकुदनेकनकाल्यालम् ॥

⁽³⁸⁾ द्विर्धावःपुष्पकेतोःकुर्वनः श्रामन्दं कोषवानां जयति विजयते श्रीमृश्मिकश्चितीन्दः । नरसिंहपरानाथके वयं तक्यणेने नरसिंहमहीपास विदुस्त्यां सकरणाजम् ॥

stanza of the Kuvalayananda, (39) Appaya informs us that he wrote the work at the request of Venkatapathi. But unless something more definite is known about these contemporaries, they are hardly, of any help to us in fixing the date of Appaya.

Pandit Atmaram Jayant assigns the following independent reasons for believing that he was born in 1564 A.D. He infers from a certain stanza (40) in the Nilakanthavijaya of Nilakantha, who as stated before, was a contemporary and the brother's grandson of Appaya, that that work was his first attempt in the field of literary authorsip. From the use of the present tense in another part of the same work(41), he comes to the conclusion that Appaya must have been living at the time of its composition. Now, Appaya is said to have conferred his benediction on Nilakantha (42) at Chidambaram, and, therefore, in his 72nd year. Nilakantha began his literary career when he was twelve years old. From the colophon(43) to his 'Nilakanthavijaya' we learn that it was written in the 4708th year of the Kali era, that is, about 1636 A.D. If then the work was the first production of its author and written in his twelfth year, the year of Appaya's death must be taken as 1636 A.D., and that of his birth 1564 A.D. This view is rendered probable by the date of Venkatapathi's reign (1585-1614 A.D.); (44) for, Appaya must have nearly attained manhood before he was fit to receive the patrouage of that king and to write such a masterly work as the Kuvalavananda at his request.

On the other hand, the learned editor of the "Brahmavidya" of Chidambaram states that Nilakantha wrote the work in question in his thirtieth

- (39) अमुं कुवलयानन्दमकरोदप्पदीक्षितः। नियोगादेश्वटपतोनिरुपाधिकृपानिषेः॥
- (40) यस्सरम्भः कृतिविरचने दुष्कवीनामभ्रेषो यखैकान्त्यं तदुचितपदान्वेषणेचित्तवृत्तेः । लभ्यंतचेदपिकवयतामन्ततस्रीण्यहानि स्यादेवं किंसरसकविताराज्यदुर्मिक्षयोगः ॥
- (41) See (2) above.
- (42) योऽतनुतानुजसूनुजमनुप्रहेणात्मतुल्यमहिमानम् (Nilakantha'a Tyagarajastava).
- (43) अष्टत्रिंशदुपस्कृतसप्तशाताधिकचतुस्सइसेषु । कालवषेषु गतेषु प्रयितः किलनीलकण्ठविजयोऽयम् ॥
 - (44) Sewell's List of Antiquities. Vol. 11, p. 252.

year, but that he was, in his twelfth year. favored with the blessing of Appaya, who was then seventy years old. From these data, he fixes the year of his birth as 1550 A.D. This argument is accepted by Pandit Gangadhara Sastri.

Again, in his introduction to his edition of the Kuvalayananda, Pandit Halasyanatha Sastri puts forward 1552 A.D., as the date when Appaya was born, and bases his statement on the authority of Sivananda Yogi, one of his hiographers referred to before.

I do not know on what ground Aufrecht fixes the end of the 15th century as the date of Appaya. (45)

Contemporaries.

Enough has already been said of some of Appaya's contemporaries, (a) Bhattoji, (b) Jagannatha, (c) Chinna Bommab, (d) Narasimha, (e) Venkatapathi, (f) Balakavi, and (g) Nilakantha. Some more will now be considered. (h) Khandadeva, author of Bhattakaustubha. He refers to Appaya in that work.(46) (i) Sarvabhauma, otherwise known as Uddanda, author of Mallikamaruta, a drama, (i) Ratnakheta Dikshita, a poet, none of whose works are extant. (k) Samarapungava Dikshita, the wellknown author of Yatraprabandha. (1) Rajachudamani, son of Ratnakheta Dikshita. (m) Venkatadhvari, the famous author of Visvagunadarsa and (n) Sadasivabrahmendra, an as-Lakshmisahasra. cetic and a Paramahamsa. (o) Tatacharya, a great leader of Visishtadvaita philosophy and author of several works on the subject. He was always a rival of Appaya. Several amusing anecdotes are current, relating the several passages-at-arms that transpired between them. I reproduce one of them, When Appaya was alighting from his palanquin, Tatacharya flung a joke at the other, alluding to the latter's Appaya, with ready extremely short stature.(47) wit, returned the joke, founding it on a pun on words, and crediting his opponent with an utter ignorance of the alphabet. (49)

- (45) Aufrecht's Catalogue, p. 22.
- (46) मीमांसकमूर्धन्येन विधिन्सायनकृता ॥
- '47) आकारोइखः
- 48) अकारो इस्तः। आकारो दीषः॥

Harke.

If the 104 works which Approx is said to have seiten, I have been able to find out so many as susty-three. Several of these are not, at present, sant, but are known only by their names. Some of the, again, are commentaries written by Appaya isself, as was his wort, on his own works. I have sanged them in alphabetical order, and have given swief description of the more important of them, to seeve the reader of the tedium of a dry catalogue.

1) Adhikaranamula (2) Apitakuchambastava (3) marakosavyahhya. This is on the sole authority *Dr. Oppert, and is doubted by Aufrecht. (4) deschalesvarastuti (5) Atmarpanastuti (or Siva-A well-known devotional poem. mchasika). manuada Yogi, one of Appaya's biographers, has witen a commentary on this work. (6) Adityaswaratnam (or Dvadasaditysetava). Twelve sragmira verses in praise of the presiding deity of the So. (7) A commentary on the above. . 8) Upamanaparakrama which appears to Dr. Burnell to the part of some work on Mimamas. (9) Kuvalayaanda. The widely known commentary on Jayaneva's Mandraloka, a work on Alankara. (10) Krishnastranapaddhati. (11) A commentary on the same. (2) (Durga) Chandrakalastuti, which Dr. Burnell wongly calls Chandrakulastati. (13) A commentary athe above. (14) Chitrapeta. A work on Mimam-(15) Chitramimamen, the popular Alankara work, tich was criticised by Jagannatha in his Chitramamakhandana. (16) Jayollasanidhi. (17) Tatvasektavali (Vedantic). (18) Taptamudrakhandana, ected against the practice, current among Vaishnam, of scorehing the shoulder-flesh with sacred arks. (19) Tingantaseshasangraha, a grammatical mlise. (20) Desakumaracharitasangraba. farmamimamasparibhasha. (22 Naksbatravadai or Vadanakshatravali. This is perhaps the same s the Nakshatravadamalika (or more correctly Edanakshatramalika), which Dr. Burnell describes e" a controversial work on certain Vedanta topics. marently against the Mimamsa." (25) Nakshatramaveli, a work on grammar, different from the tove. (24) Nayamanjari, or Nayamanimanjari or aturmatasarasangrana, which, according to Dr. firnell, is an attack on the Madava sect. (25) kyamanimala. (26) A commentary thereon. (27) ayamayakbamalika. A work on Ramanuja's hishnava school. (28. A commentary on the above.

29 Namasangrahamala. A glossary of familiar terms occurring in standard literary works. (30) A commentary on the same (31) Nyayamuktavali, a work explaining the Madhva teachings of Anandatirtha. (3.) A commentary on the above Nyavarakahamani, also known as 'arirakanyayarakshamani, though Dr. Burnell makes them appear to be two distinct works. According to him it consists of arguments used by the Saivas in explaining the Brahma sutras of Vrasa. the first chapter is extant. (34) Nyayaratnamala, treating of the Madhya school of Anandatirtha. (35) A commentary thereon. (36) Panchagranthi. a Vedantie work. (87) Pancharatnastava. (39) A commentary on the same. (39) Panchasvaravivriti. (10) Parimala. A well-known work. This shows how far the writing of commentaries forms a unique feature of Sanakrit religious literature. The Parimala is a commentary on Kalpataru, which is itself a commentary on Vachaspati's Bhamati, which again is a commentary on Sankaracharya's commentary on the Brahma-sutras. One connot but be justified in seriously doubting whether the true intention of the primary author who spoke in enigmatic aphorisms can still be discerned after a series of no less than four filters. Commentaries here have invariably been made the occasion for an unfettered expression of the commentator's own views of philosophy and religion, and for this purpose, they sometimes stray from the clear signification of the words in the text. and sometimes get the better of the origina! by the timely use of a pun on words or an alteration of the cessura. The Parimala has been edited at Benares. (4) A commentary on the Padukasahasra of Vedantadesiks. (42) A commentary on the Prabodhachandrodaya of Krishnamisra. (43) Prakritachandrika. (44) Balachandrika, a commentary on his own Sivarchanachandrika. (45) Brahmatarkastava, explaining away statements in derogation of the superiority of God Siva, to be found in Puranas. Itihasus, etc. It consists of 49 verses. (46) A commentary on the above. (47) Bhaktisataka (48) Bharatatatparyasangraba. (49) Manimalika. mentioned by Pandit Narayana Sastri. I doubt if this is a different work from the Nayamanimala, referred to above. (50) Matasararthasangraha, consisting of 70 verses, concisely explaining- the teachings of Sankara; Srikantha, Ramannia and Anandatirtha. This must be another than the Neyamanjari or Chaturmatasarasangraha above-

enumerated. (51) Madhvatantramukhamardana, a name very expressive of intolerant sectarian zeal. (53) Madhvamatavi-(52) Madhvamat: khandana. dhyamsana. The above three are works of criticism on the Madhva school. (54) Manasollasa, a Vedanta work. (55) A commentary on the Yadavabhyudaya (56) Ratnatrayapariksha, a of Vedantadesika. comparison and a contrast of Siva, Vishnu and Sakti, as regards the efficacy of worshipping each. (57) A commentary on the same. (58) Ramanujamatakhandana. (59) Ramayanatatparyanimaya. (60, Kamayanatatparvasangraha (61) Ramayanabharatasarasangraha (62) Ramayanasara (63) Ramayanasara-(64) Ramayanasarastava (65) Laghuvivarana (Vedanta). (66) Varadarajastava or Varadarajasataka, consisting of 100 verses. (67) A commentary thereon. (68) Vasumatichitrasenavilasanataka. 69) Vidhirasayana. A work on Mimamsa. (70) Vidhirasayanasukhopajivini. much in vogue. Dr. Burnell states that this is the author's commmentary on his own Vidhirasayana, and not, as Dr. Hall says, an independent work in verse confuting the Mimamsa system of Kumarila. This is also called Vidhirasayanasukhopayojani. (71) Vishnutatvarahasya (72) Virasaiva. This I base on the sole authority of Autrecht. (73) Vrittivartikam, a work on Alaukara. (74) Vairagyasataka (75) Santistave (76) Sikharinimala. One of Appaya's standard works. It is in the form of 64 verses which embody the meaning of several select Vedic and Puranic texts as far as they appertain to God Siva in his capacity as the Supreme Being. (77) Sivakarnamritam (78) A commentary on the above. (79) Sivatatvaviveka, also a well-known work, a somewhat elaborace commentary on his own Sikharinimala. (80) Sivadhyanapaddhati, a familiar work of 150 verses. (81) A commentary on the same. (82) Sivapurana-(83) Sivapujavidhi. (84) Sitamasatvakhandana. vamahimakalikastuti, 25 verses in praise of Siva. This is more or less Mimausic. (85) Sivadvaitavinirnaya or Sivadvaitanirnaya or Advaitanirnaya. (86) Sivanandalahari. (87) Sivanaudalaharichandrika commentary on the popular Sivanandalahari of Sankaracharya. (88) Sivarkamanidipika or Sivadityamaridipika, a commentary on the Sutrabhashya of Nilakanthasivacharya or Srikantha. (89) Siyar-(90) Sivotkarshamanjari. chanachandrika. Siddhantaratnakara. (92) Siddhantalesasangraha,

a widely known Advaitic wand a Kumbhakonam editio being translated into Englis Benares. Dr. Burnell samembered that Appayya Diporter of the Saiva Vedant works by him which, like th sangraha), prefer indifferent with safety as representativ ta." Pandit M. Gangadhar ly repudiates this doubtful mentary on the Hamsasande racharita. (49).

Of these works but little necessarily admire the vast courage of the author who varied and unconnected su rhetoric, Mimamsa, Sanka Saiva, Ramanuja and Madh rity that distinguishes App Sanskrit writers is that he h commentary to such of his w his opinion, to be misinterpr Another of the same stamp has explained his Tarkasang What a great tranquillity wo country, if the many author sophical Sutras had, in antic thod, written their views in mentaries on their aphorism purpose than that of a conve Even of the numerous praye the majority are controversi his poetry that it looks some from being literary and arti verses, the graceful combina losophy so very characteri minor poetical works. It m his credit, that his works in writings especially, displa love of religion, and ingenu The most familiar of his lon lavananda, the Chitramini graha, Parimala, Sivatatva mandipika.

⁽⁴⁹⁾ Add to these Aryantal which ring the number of his worl

THE ADMIXTURE OF LEVAN WITH

(Continued from page 241).

Se l'aranie literature written amerially to wrise the greatness of the Brahmans, proved otherwand evidences to a great extent the nature of aBrahmans, their authors. That we may not be med with vihilying wantonly a great people, we beg leave to draw the attention of our readers ie modern misinterpretation and misropresentawof Lings-a fact which we have alladed to where. Besides, we do not know to whom else wee to attribute the loving care with which gods agoddesses have been married a great number of me and the mundane manner in which the Court leaven has been long ago peopled. How badly, saisguesting account of the incestoons origin of mar and the odious story of the quustaral birth lurugun (Skanda), reflect on the character and saity of the composers of that unhealthy literae! Is it not true that the authors of the Poranic ands moreover, made their gods, vilor than devils? whave not laservious men placed in possession of the ad places made them regular l'audemoniums? Aud swill me accept the fact that those devotees who as fervour to the temple a do return with uncusiness! mamilian sanctuary is now filled with the efferiam a Brahman vices; and this is the reason why actable women now keep thumselves aloof from samples. Por, the Tamilian religion, unlike tant & Aryas neither shuts its gate against nur opens a (avour of, any particular people or sex.

he Aryan Veda is the pure to he touched by a at or a woman. A person of the Sudra or a an of any caste is not ensided either to learn or har the Vedas. Thus all women as well as Sudras root people privileged to obtain salvation. The milian religion on the other hand is the common except of all, either men or women. Its essence in m of god and neighbour. Unalloyed Love is the an pivot on which the Tamilian religion turns. the the Aryan religion where gods and men act wide each other from motives of selfishness, the see prays and pays adoration, impelled by a stuotive, to obtain a desired blessing, and the atou bustow grace by the same self motive, on a sice, in return to his sacrifices oficred to them to space their hunger and thirst. But the Tamilian, like his God, is unselfish and is bound by sincere Bhakti (Piatas) to Him. Tirumular the above mentioned saint and philosopher of a very early age, describes God as follows:—

" அபைஞ் செய்மா இரண்டு உண்டர் அறிகியார் அன்பே சியமாய நாள்கு மறிவேன்; அன்பே சியமான நியாரும் அறிந்தபின் அன்பே சியமான நியாரும் அறிந்தபின்

"The ignorant think that God and Love are different. None sees that God is the same as Lave ; were all men to know that God and Love are the same, they would dwell together in peace considering the God-Love." The same is echoed in the Christian holy scripture (I. John IV. 16): God is love, and he that awelleth in love dwelleth in God and God in him." "The songs of the Saiva Saints express devotion, humility and love of unspeakable fervour. We are reminded of the l'animist's language (Ps. xviii. I.) "I will love Thee Oh! Lord, my strength." This spirit of personal devotion is not found (as I'rot H. H. Wilson has taught us) in the Vedas Bhakthi or loving piety is the main idea of the Saiva system and the fervent self-negating love and worship of Sivan is represented as including all religion and transcending every kind of religious observance; and since all are capable of this, men of all castes can be received as devotees and saints in the Saiva system. Love is the fulfilment of all laws. Lovo elevates and perfects all." Vide Dr. Pope's Tirnvacakam, Introduction, (p. LIVE).

The modern Saivism shows clear vestiges of difference from the original Tamilism; for the cause of which we need not go far to look, its corruption being chiefly due to the intermixture of Aryanism so widely given birth to in their works of the Puranic period by the Brahmans who had an excessive love of fiction over trath. A: the present Tamiliam has been swollen by the flowing in of impure streams of thought, it has lost its native clearness and purity, with which it set forth, and betrays itself by showing many inconsistencies and improbabilities. We have seen already that the Tamilian God Sive and the Aryan deity Rudra are not identical. But the new set of the sacerdotal class, though they abandoned them. selves entirely to the Tamilian philosophy, yet they endeavoured to reconcile the two baliefs, the Tamilism and the Aryanism by mutual identification. The early Brahmans were hopest men and seekers after

truth; but their followers, who had nothing of their own merit but maintained their position only by birth right, became conservatives and took a pride in maintaining the old Vedas and the Brahmanas, which they kept secret for themselves in order that it may not be exposed to criticism and they went so far as even to pretend that everything they learned from the Tamihans, was already in their unknown Vedas; and from that time they began to support the imaginative Aryanism by trying to conciliate it with the philosophic Tamilism.

Thus the Vedic Rudra, the terrible God of thunder and storms, is mechanically identified with the Tamilian Siva, the amiable and graceful one. And by degrading him to be Rudra, they have subordinated him to the level of the other two, together with whom they have constituted the Hindu triad and have given him the function of the destroyer, one of the three godly functions, according to their conception of godhead. But the Tamilians still recognise in Siva, the one who is the sole executor of the five functions, namely, Creation, Protection, Destruction, Enriching with Grace; and Releasing. The Tamil Sages sang him as one far above the 'Triad' Sivavakkiar, the Tamil sage and philosopher thus declaims this Tamilian truth against the Aryan fallacy.

''அரியுமல்ல, அரதுமல்ல, அபுநாமல்லை வப்புரம் கருகைமை, கென்லைமை, செம்வை கடந்து கின்ற 9 7 ரணைன் பெரி துமல்ல, சிறிதமல்லை பெண் ஹோமாணுமல்லைவே தேரியுமுங்கடந்து இன்ற தாரதாரதோரமே."

"It is not Hari (Vishnu); It is not Rudra; It is not Brahma. Beyond the colours, black, white, red,* soars the everlasting cause. It is not there great nor small; neither male nor female. Beyond every corporal state; it is further, farther, farther still.

The Tamilian thought of God is an ideal of love and grace infinite; and the Tamilian philosophic representation of the inseparable grace of Siva—the love is Ums, "mother," properly 'Ammai'; and this infinite

grace, his true half, is also called Satti, " energy." (b) Siddhanta philosophy teaches that Sivam and Saturaas the Sun and its radiance. Sivam is the Suprem. Divinity and Satti or Unia is the spirit or his manifester energy. The Supreme Divinity Sivam "the Love" sends forth Satti his spirit or energy that is like the rae of light which quickens, illuminates and purifies all things. "Indeed" says Dr. Pope, "the magnificent hymn, 'Veni, Creator Spiritus!' were translated literally into Tamil verse, it would seem to express in a much more appropriate and forcible manner, the whole idea which lies at the root of this Saiva system-that all light, knowledge, power, freedom and sanctification are from the Blessed Spirit sont forth by the Father for the salvation of his children. Of course Chiristians do not regard the divine spirit as really a dove-and the representation of the divine energy as a woman is surely not regarded as essential to the fullest development of the great truth, it is supposed to symbolise. We must not omit reference to the personification of wisdom [as woman] in the Christian sacred scriptures as well as in the apocryphal books. Many of these passages could be used almost precisely as they stand by a Saivite in expounding his views of Sakti. The Alexandrian school of philosophy and theology has followed out this course of personification to a great extent, and it does not seem to be improbable that those thinkers were influenced partly by South Indian ideas." (Vide Dr. Popo's l'irnvaçakan.. Note xiii pages LXXXIII and LXXXIV.

Now, the Puranic writers, in their identification of Rudra with Siva, identified the dreadful Dhurga, Kali, etc., the wives of Rudra, with Uma the personified Grace of the Supreme Siva. We may point out here that Dhurga, Kali, etc., were, in the Vedic works, the names of the seven tongues of the fire. Rudra being the fire. And thus, they accomplished their reconciliation by surrounding these various deities with manifold legends and tales. It is true that the effective 'energy' of Siva is represented by the

The three colours white, black and red are mystically the three attributes, "gunds," or the three principles in nature, as Satvam, 'goodness," (vis., wisdom, grace &c.), Tamam, "darkness." (vis., vislour, grace &c.), Tamam, "darkness." (vis., vislour &c.) These three Gunas according to the Purana teachigs are the functional qualities of the Hindu Trisd. And consequently, they are represented with the appropriate colour as to their functional qualities; i.s., Siva or Rudra white coloured, Vishum black coloured, and Brahma red coloured, according to the Saiva Purana. But sage Sivavakkiar defares the Tamilian flood as suprome one, transcending these colours and so, above the Hindu Paranie triad. Sage Manikavacagar addresses Him, "Tamas Tamas and triad. Sage Manikavacagar addresses Him, "Tamas Tamas and the segoly functions which are said to be his cease-less mystic dance.

[•] The same idea prevails regarding Vishnu and Lakshmi among the Tongalais, the earliest of the Vaishnava seets, belonging to the southern or Tamilian school. Says Monier Williams, in his Religious Thought and Life in India. "I heard it remarked by a learned Tongalai Brahman that no educated men believe Vishnu to be really married. 'What most Tengalais hold,' he said, 'is that Lakshnit is an ideal personification of the deity's more feminine attributes such as those of mercy, love and compassion; while some philosophers contend that the Hindu gods are only represented with wives to typify the mystical union of the two external punciples, spirit and matter for the production of the universe."

Camilian Philosophy as a mother and as His true half reseparable from Hun- the Love. But the Brahmitism or l'oranism to which this Tamilian Philosophical den is stranger, conceived Satti as a separate female er Goddens. It is not wonderful, therefore, that an smount of mythology has accumulated around this one tord 'Uma' or 'Satti,' on account of her union with "Strange stories," says Mr. Dutt, "have been sleuded together in the l'uranie religions about Siva's timert. In the Satapatha Brahmana (11. 4. 4, 6) we tre told of a sacrifice being performed by Daksha Parvati. Hot the story, that Sati (Siva's consort and Daksha's daughter) gave up her life at the sacrifice, a Puranic addition. Again in Kena-Upanishad, ve find mention of Uma Haimavati who explains to ndra, the nature of Brahman; and this character of ma Haimavati suggests the later Puranic legend that cuti was reborn as Uma, the daughter of the Himaaya mountains. How that mountain maiden attended in Siva during his meditation, how, aided by the God of Love, she failed to make any impression on the livine anchorite and how she at last won him by her menances and devotion, these are all lovely creations if the Purauic fancy." (Ancient India, pp. 651-652).

Let any thinking mind contrast these gross concepsons and the grosser legends by means of which, the Puranic Brahmanism endeavours to explain the livinity, with the original conception and represenation of the same by Siddhantism and we are certain the difference will be most striking. The Tamilian transposes the name of God into the neuter as Sirem. and expresses thus his belief that his deity is one great essence without sex or corporal shape; and this was the popular belief till the fatal inroad made into to by the Paranic Religion. Though the Paranio religion has much influenced the minds of the masses. jet, the tendency of the Tamilian mind is ethical. The wise and the learned are constantly reminded of the cardinal principle of Tarrilism—that there is but me Deity, and that others as Gods, men etc., i.s., the whole universe are but emanations of that universal and, and will return to it; and the salvation or the sternal beatitude is obtained only by denial of self. ind charity to others; and his mind is always torned wards moral duty.*

Neither the old Aryan Brahmanism nor the modern Brahmanical Pantheism was ever capable of rooting out the Tamilian Kationalism from the land. When the Aryan Brahmanism mingling with the national religion, brought about the degeneration of the latter. then the great Buddha, "the light of the East," rose among the Tamilians and protested against the sinister influence of the alien belief with such reforms as were demanded by the circumstances. Though a thousand years later the Brahmans extirpated Buddhism in India, by fire, sword and relentless persecution, yet "they could not touch", as Mr. Gover says. ' the four et origo', from which the rival religion derived its life. The modern Puranic Pantheism in the guise of Tamiliam though it has spread over India. and been thought of by foreigners as the proper Hinduism, yet it could not, we dare say, influence the Tamilian mind entirely. We do not mean to say that this disastrous conversion of the popular mind to Puranic Pantheism was left to spread over the land unchallenged. The Tamilian sages have repeatedly protested against this calamitous transformation of men's minds, but the attractiveness of vice unfortunately gained over the good sense of men. But apart from the wide evil influence of the Brahmanical Pantheism, South India, has its indigenous philosophy called 'Siddhanta'-"the choicest product of the Dravidian intellect."

(To be continued).

PUNDIT, D. SAVARIROYAN.

"NAISHKARMA SIDHI"

SURE'SVARA' CHA'RYA
TRANSLATED BY

N. HARI HARA AIYAR, D.A.

ARGUMENT.

As the nature of every living being from Brahma. ... a tatt of grace demands that it should be free from every kind of misery, there arises a spontaneous desire in them to avert it. But Pais cannot be avoided as long as there is embodies existence, and body is the outcome of the stand-up fruits of our deeds good and bad. These prints arising from actions enjoined and prohibited cannot tone their effects. Actions have their source in Desire and Hate, and the latter in pleasant and unpleasant associations if ideas. These mental associations arise from a false

[•] Dr. G. U. Pops, in his introduction to the "Baored Kural," says, 'Sir A. Grant treating of Grack morality, before the birth of meral philosophy says truly, "It is obvious that such a code as this could say arise among an essentially moral and noble reca." This is pecietly what I claim for the Tamil-speaking people, and on the ame ground."

The next verse says that the arguments berein set forth are based on the authority of the Gain, in order to remove the doubt that there may be mistakes of omission or commission which may invalidate the authority of this work:

"The dectrines of the Valente base wheels been

"The doctrines of the Vedanta have already been explained by the Guru and I feel myself unable to supplement him; for how can a fire-fly shine in the all-pervading rays of the Sun." (5)

Now a doubt is raised as to whether the present treatise is at all necessary if the doctrines of the Vedas have already been explained by the Guro. The next verse removes this doubt :---

"This treatise is commenced not with a view to supplement, enrich, or elucidate what has already been said, but only to test my knowledge on the whetstone of the knowers of Brahman." (6)

The next verse divides the subject-matter into four heads (1) Pain (2) The cause of Pain (3) the summun tomum and (4) the means of obtaining the summum homem $\frac{k^2}{2\pi}$.

"The non-understanding of the Unity of the Self due to the experiences of the Self (in previous births) is termed Nescience, the root of samsara. The destruction of samsara is called Liberation of the Self." (7)

Having explained the first three divisions in the preceeding verse, the author proceeds to describe the last division in the following verse:—

"The fire of right knowledge arising from the Vedantic texts destroys Illusion and not karma, for the latter does not prevent Ignorance." (9)

Now the various objections to the above proposition are set forth and answered as follows:—

Granting knowledge to be capable of destroying Illusion, how can it be of any use for Liberation which is attained by works alone? If you ask how this is, listen carefully to what I say:—

Suppose a person abstains from doing deeds which are coupled with a motive, and avoids all those which are forbidden, and performs only those which are enjoined as absolute duties:

What then?

"Well, the finits of these matived deeds do not affect him; nor does he descend to helf or attain lowerbirths, the forbidden deeds having been carefully avoided,"

nation of duality of objects, which again is due to the the of the self-existent and secondless Atman, an ignorance similar to that which confounds mother of pearl for alver. It is clear therefore that Ignorance is the roof of all misery. This ignorance is the obstacle to Eternal fthis which is the very essence of the Self, which is not solvert to increase or diminution and which depends on nothing external for its achievement. Hence the smanning to some of all human ends can be attained only when Ignosame set aside. This can only be effected by knowledge which is the sole remedy. But the Atman without and standing whom all happiness is imperilled, cannot to reveated by such ordinary and non-vedaic methods of proof as Percep on and Inference, and can only be offened from Vedanta. It is the object of this treatise which is a compendium of the Vedantic Philosophy to reveal such knowledge.

The first verse serves the double purpose of invoking Hari to: success in the completion of this work, as well as of introducing the subject on hand:—

Salutation to Hari, the witness of Intelligence, who dispels the darkness of Ignorance, and from whom emanate all the elements, ether to earth, as the idea of a snake from a garland."

The next verse pays homage to the Guru by bestowing high praise on his merits, with a view to show that the doctrines herein explained have their foundation on such a great authority:—

Salutation to the Guru who loosens the knot of Ignorance and before whom all superlative qualities pale into insignificance."

The next verse explains the purpose of the salutation to the Guru —

"I now proceed to explain the knowledge of the Eternal substance, which puts an end to samsura and which is embodied in Vedantic texts" (3)

The subject-matter of this treatise is then described ...

The precise nature of that entity which is a matter of intuition alone, and which realized, everything else is realized, and without which nothing can be realized, is now explained."

REVIEW.

The Terreture Usersham, with the commentaries of Sanharacharya, Surervaracharya and Supunu (Vidyaranya), translated into English by A. Mahadeya Santeti, B. A., Curatar, Government Uriental Library, Massa. Parts I, II, and III.

Mr. Mahadara States in already well-known for the enthusiastic manner in which he has been endeavouring for a long time to make the classics of the Advaitavedanta available to the modern English-reading generation He has been the first to take up the universally quoted Varttikakara, whose name, notwithstanding his immones authority and the great value of his work as amplifications of Sankara, we may in vain try to bunt up is the many volumes, large and small, which purport to give an account of the Advaita in English. There volumes, many by men who could daim only a carnory acquaintance with Sanskrit or with Philosophy, exemplify one long process of copying and recopying And it must be with a sense of infinite relief that the reader will turn to a work such as the present one, where is addition to the familiar commentary of Sankars, which by the way has never been translated before, he meets the venerable Varttikakars and the prolific, but charmingly lucid, Sayana.

The santike on this Upanished by Suresvara, though it does not rank in importance along with their on the Bribedaranyaks, yet is of great value when it expetiates upon some of the crucial questions of the Advanta which continually crop up in Saukara's Bhanhya on the two last sallie of the Upanished. So it is that the contille also which is nothing more than a repetition of Saukara when dealing with the Sikshavalli, grows ampler in the two succeeding vallis.

The most copious commentary is no doubt Sayana's, which though it may seem to an accomplished reader but a mere sammary of the various discussions contained in Sankara's Brahma Sutra Bhashya and in the Varttika will be of great use to those who first make their acquaintence with the modes of thought of the Vedanta teachers. In fact, it may be said that Sayana's Dipikathough professedly a comment on the Upanishad, is practically a short but clear summary of the whole Vedanta; and a reader trying to understand this system can find no better or easier guids. Wherever possible, Sayana has introduced, even at the risk of some lengthiness, a succint account of the important discussions in the Sutra Bhashya and gives in the clearest magner the reasons for and against the views upheld.

One is glad to note that Anandagiri figures somewhat consipionously in the 3rd part, quite in contrast to the fashion by which he is generally shelved by translators.

This author, notwithstanding his generally tiresome prolexity, is really indispensable in many places, especially in such portions where the Bhashyakara indulges in Parca-Minames discussions and applicates, and is sometimes quite brilliant in his expositions of the meaning of Saukara or Suresvara He deserves our admiration in one respect, if not for anything else. The general run of philosophical authors in India, in the innovent guise of contraentaries make diverse excursions into verious other fields on their own account, only to be followed by another who would shoot out in quite other directions and possibly make his own commentary an occasion for virulently attacking the author be is commenting opon Thus accumulates this stapendons pile upon pile of commentaries, constituting a beterogenous mass, the only thread of connection between the individual members of which consist in the previous one affording an occasion for the author of the latter one to air his own views and forming a peg upon which to hang various irrelevant matters upon. Unlike this, Anandagiri was satisfied to be a bare guide to the better understanding of the text he is commenting upon and never went out of his way to introduce conceits of his own. Though sometimes be bacomers away in a provoking fashion at a text already sun-clear, it must be admitted that he is an indispensable guide to Sankara, from the manner in which he has performed his useful, though modest office, steadily refusing to be carried away beyond his limits by the fits of self-exhibition to which commentators very often succumb.

Thus combining in itself the works of three great figures in the early history of the Advaita Vedanta and a characteristic one by the great reviver of Vedantic and Vedic studies during medieval days, the work is bound to prove interesting. And Mr. Mahadeva Sastriar, as may be expected, has done full justice to the importance of the works by rendering them into clear and forcible English; while Sayana's comments make the volumes quite interesting reading. But one may wish for fewer misprints both in the English and in the Sanskrit text of the Upanishad which is printed alongside. Misprints seem to have become an integral part of Indian printing and our wish can only remain a pious one for a long time to come.

The first part, a small pamphlet, is devoted exclusively to Sayana's introduction to his dipike where he discusses the general bearings of the Vedanta doctrine and its relation to the Karma-henda. This will fairly indicate the scale on which Sayana has worked. The second contains the Sikshavalli, while the third comprises the first five annualus and part of the sixth annualus of the Brahmavalli portion. Thus the major part of the Upanishad, about two-thirds, has been finished, including

the more elaborate portions of Sayana's commentary. We await with interest a further instalment of the .work, which it may be hoped will conclude it.

M. N.

A QUERY.

Lovers of Tamil Literature will no doubt be perfectly familiar with the late Prof. P. Sundaram Pillai's erocuure on the age of Sambandha, which when it first appeared made a noise, which some thought to be somewhat disproportionate to its importance. However that may be, the purpose of this note is merely to have my doubts cleared upon a point, which if true, would entirely break down the whole of Mr. Sundaram Pillai's arguments as to the age of Sambandha. While rummaging a small collection of Tamil works, I came across an old edition of the works of Siva-guana-vallalar (செஞானமன்னலார்), edited by one Arnnagiri Svamigal of Conjeevaram ! and from the eulogistic verses appended to it I gathered that tradition had it that this author was a disciple of Sambandha. Very much interested in what this disciple of the great Brahman Saint had to say, I turned to the works themselves and found that they confirmed the traditional account. For instance in the commercial to his Distar Ducirus occurs this verse.

தம்மை முகேப்பா லன்றமுது பெறஞ்செல்ல ஷெய்மைப் புரக்கவக்த கீசனே - செம்மை யாக்காம்பல் வாலிஞ லாகமதுட்பத்தை தகாரக்காழிச் சம்பந்தா சொல்,

In the same work occur two others verses eulogizing his teacher:

ஞானசம் பந்தன்சொன் ஞடோறமேத்துவா ஞன சம்பந்த முளுசென்ற - ஞானமொழி பொன்றும்போ தாதோசொல் ஹன்னமே பெபபோற சேன்றஇருத் தாண்டகத்தி வில்.

சேர்பூர்தலேதச் சிரப்பொருபோ பெல்லா நிமர்பூத் இருக்கடை பாப்பெனை - தேர்பூத்த இருஞான சம்பர்தன் சீர்பாதத் துளிக் கருகளு என்றியியே யாம்.

The tradition looks even more trustworthy in the light of the small work for manages of consisting only of ten verses and which is in the form of teaching imparted by Sambandha in reply to the queries of his pupil. We may quote here some four verses from it.

மெய்பை பெரம்பென்குன் வெளியைகள்ற வீடென்குள் பைகை தனைகாழிச் சம்பக்தன் - ஐயமற கீன்போதம் போல்டந்தே நீயேகிவடுமன்கு சென்போதத் தன்னே விருந்த. உற்றணரி னீயே யுயர்போம மெடைபதுவு மற்றகிவன் குளுப் வகுப்பதுவு - முற்ற மிறந்த விடத்திரண்டு மேகமே பென்குன் சிறந்தச் காழிவரு தே. தேவே திருஞான சம்பக்த தேகிகளே யோவிலேமுக் கண்கியை மோகாய்கொ - வாவிகார்

தேவே இருஞான சம்பக்த தேசிக்கோ யோவிலேழுத் தஞ்சினேயு மோதாய்கொ – லாவியார் பின்னிரண்டைத் தள்ளிப் பிரியாத வாலக்த முன்னிரண்டைக் காணுதன் முத்தி

முத்தி கடகத்தை மொழிஞான சம்பக்தா சத்திதிரு உம்பலத்திற் முன்சு தைய்ச் - சுத்தவுயிர் காண்முயலகளோக் காலான் மிதித்தருளித் தாதை கடம்புரிகான் முன்.

Thus far we can say that there is some internal evidence for believing in the tradition. But the strange thing is that at the same breath Siva-gnana-vallalar refers to Meikandan (Owinging Arulnandi (Arganis) and Umapathi (Arulnandi (Arganis) and Umapathi (Arulnandi the tradition were correct, Sambandha could at best have been only a contemporary of these Saivite Samayagurus, i.e., he should have lived somewhere about the 14th century A.C. I trust that my doubt on this important point will be cleared by one of the readers of this journal. Before closing I shall quote the passages where the Saivite teaches are referred to.

மெய்கண்டேன் மேற்பிறவி காணேன் நிருவெ**ன்கே** மெய் க**ண்**டா னூலே விறைவி.

(அத்தாக்ததரிசனம் - பாலிசம்)

் கியஞான போதத்தாற் சென்மவிடாய் நிர்ந்து இவஞான சித்தியாற் றேறி - சியஞான போதத்தை மெய்கண்டான் போதித்தால் செத்திலி**டோ**த் சா**தித்தான் முனருணர்**தி.

ம**ற்றி** 1 ண்டு மாய்க்**த மறவி துமா**பதி**யார்** தொற்ற சிவப்பிரசா சத்தொண்னூ - லந்**றகிக்** யாங்கதாகே சேவண்முத்த ஞூகு இக்கு மந்தாகே போற்கு கசி சுழியும்பின் லூம்.

அம்மைத்துரு வக்தாதி கத்தோக்தாத் பொய்ம்மையிலாப் பொன்கண்ணத் தக்தாத் - செம்மைத் திருமூலர் மாவேயிலை சித்தாரதமாகும் வருமுகர் வாக்கியமு மாம்.

(\$0.651 0 i.Sc 474 00 must Verses 73, 74, 75.)

் Again is சருந்சாச விகக்க்;— ஓப்பாரும் பாதென்ற முறை சடிபோற்றி வப்பாதுக் பாதென்ற கடிசோப சடிபோற்றி வெப்பாற மீண்பென்ற சிவாக்க குரைப்போற்றி பெருப்பு நை மறத்துறக்கு வென்காடல் புலந்பேர்ற்றி.

We may close with the following verse from the Righm On ships where the author refers to five persons:

ெல்கண்டான் வெண்டிரட்ட வெற்கு குச்சிற் நம்பலைய போயர்ண்ட என்டி சம் பூரணர்க் உள்ளையு மூல்னடர்கி பொன்கு யுணர்கா முற்கினர்போ அன்னடர்கி செழுவர்கள்

M. NARAYAMASWAMI AITAR.

EXTRACTS.

(From the Madras Mail, May 2, 1901.)
Dr. POPK'S LAST WORK.

It is more than three years since the announcement was made that the REV. DR G. U. Port was undertaking a translation of the Thiruvachakam, or the "Sacred Utterances" of the Tamil poet, saint and sage MARIEA VACRAKAE, one of the most important religious works among Hindus of Southern India; and after three years of much toil in advanced old Age the Reverend Doctor has produced a volume which is in every way worthy of his great reputation as a Tamil scholar. The book consists of the Tamil text of the fifty-one poems which comprise this work, with English translation and notes, to which is prefixed a summary of the life and legends of the sage. There are also valuable appendices in which is given, besides other useful information, a clear account of the South Indian system of philosophy and religion, known as the Saiva Siddhantam-Though this system may claim to have as great an antiquity as that of the Sanskrit Vedants, still it sourains numerous indications of the considerable influence exerted upon it by the Sanskrit philosophy. The poems which DE Pore has edited, traslated and annotated are more than a thousand years old, and are held in the greatest esteem and reverence by the Tamils, who recite them daily, in all the great Saiva temples of Southern India, with a great deal of religious fervour and devotion. Dr. Pors remarks. with much truth that these Hymns are on almost

every Saivite's lips, and are as dear to the hearts of "vast multitudes of excellent people there (in India) as the songs of David are to Jews and Christians...... Scarcely ever has the longing of the human soul for purity and peace and divine fellowship found worther expression."

The history of Manika Vacharan (literally "author of ruby-like utterances") is very interesting so far as it is known, and has been written by Dn. Porm with genoine sympathy and keen appreciation. But much of the life-story of the saint, as indeed is the case with all great personages of old in India, is lost in obscurity; and the few incidents of his life that are corrent among the people in the country are so mixed up with what is mythical and superstitions that it is no easy task to sift fact from action. Dr. Pors, however, has applied the historical method of criticism in the writing of the biography of the saint, and from a critical study of the writings of contemporary authors he has succeeded in giving, not merely a very readable, but a highly interesting account of the sage's life. This close and schlarly study of the life and work of MARIEA VACHARAR bas led Dr. Port to express the opinion that few of the world's biographics are more interesting than that of this man of "rare genius," who when he was in his teens was chosen to be the trusted adviser and favourite Minister of the great King of Madura. He is said to have subsequently become an utterly self-renourcing ascetic, continuing persistent in his labours, patient in suffering and constant in devotion through the many years of his after life. MANIEA VACHARAR is said to have lived about the middle of the ninth century A.D. when the influence of Buddhism in South India was on the wane, and Saivism was slowly regaining its lost ground. Many of his poems, therefore, have reference to the successful disputations which he had with Buddhists, Indeed, he would appear to have contributed in no small degree, by means of his poems, which are full of lofty feeling and deep spirituality, to hasten the decline of Buddhism in this part of the country.

The form of Du Porz's edition and translation of these poems is in every way admirable. The Tamit text is printed in bold type a the top of the page and the translation is given below. The rendering is in simple yet graceful English verse, bringing out as nearly as possible the true spirit of the original, and the metrical translation runs line for line with the Tamil text. The difficulty of rendering eng

religious poem, from Tamil or any of the other vernaculars, even into ordinary English prose must be acknowledged by all who know anything about these matters; and this difficulty becomes all the greater when the translator essays to give the rendering in verse. But Dr. Porr's qualifications for a successful completion of his undertaking will be conceded as unique; for during his long stay of nearly half a century in South India he made a thorough study not only of the Tamil language and literature but also of Tamil tradition and Hirds mythology as it has been developed in the Tamil Puranas. Further, his previous translation of the sacred Kurral of TIBUVALLUVAR, which is acknowledged to be the grandest ethical work of the Tamil people, as also his translation of the Naladiar another ethical work of note, has equipped him with the requisite knowledge. He also displays great facility of expression in his metrical rendering, a very necessary accomplishment for this kind of literary undertaking. The explanatory notes, with which the edition is copiously furnished, will be. found of much use to students, as they represent the result of 15 years' close and special study on the part of the translator of this and other similar works. In fact, Dr. Pors has revised and re-revised and annotated these famous lyrics from a variety of original sources; and the Lexicon, the Concordance and the General Index, which occupy 84 pages of the book, are as complete and exhaustive as can be desired.

In his short Preface. Dr Pope makes a touching reference to his life-long labours in the field of Tamil literature, and says :- "I date this on my eightieth birthday. I find by reference that my first Tamil lesson was in 1837. This ends, as I suppose, a long life of devotion to Tamil studies. It is not without deep emotion that I thus bring to a close my life's Dr. Porz has laid the Tamil literary work." population of this Presidency under a deep obligation to him by his numerous publications. There can be no more in piring and encouraging example to etudents of Tamil in this country than that set by this veteran Missionary and educationist, and any well educated Tamil scholar who has the interests of Tamil literature at heart can pay no more sincere compliment to, or show a better appreciation of the value of Dr. Popu's unselfish labours, than by copying his studious example and publishing to the- Press.

world some other examples of the best works in Tamil literature. As yet the only worker in this field, so far as we are aware, is PUNDIT SWAMINATHA IYER of the Government College at Kumbakanam. He has already published scholarly editions of several very old and rare Tamil works, such as the Jiraka Chintamani, Silappadikaram, Pattuppattu. Purra-Nannuru, each of which is a valuable mine of information in regard to the customs, manners. institutions and lengends which prevailed among the South Indian people ten or twelve centuries ago. The catalogue of the Government Oriental Manuscript Library and the reports periodically published by the Curator show that there is still a vast and rich store of literary matter locked up in vernacular manuscripts which afford ample work for many a native scholar possessing the requisite literary attainments and patriotic enthusiasm. In conclusion, we must not fail to mention the generous and liberal help which the Secretary of State for India has extended to Dr. Pore in this his last important literary work. A word must also be said of the Clarendon Press, Oxford, which has printed the work in a most praiseworthy manner.

(From the Madras Standard, May 10, 1901) Dr. POPE'S THIRUVACHACAM.

It is gratifying to see that there are among Anglo-Indians some who look back to the place of their early life with feelings of pride and love. They love India on account of her glorious past, her literature and philosophy. They in a way bridge over the gulf that separates East from the West, and bring about a feeling of friendship and brotherly feeling between the rulers and the ruled. That one can fall back upon past greatness is a great deal in one's favour and that India can boast of a past literary bistory, at once great and sacred, must go a long way to command respect and admiration. Who can bring out this fact more conspicuously before the foreigner except it be a brother foreigner himself ? To most of our readers, we need not introduce the name of Rev. Dr. G. U. Pope, for almost every Tamil student, however little he may be advanced, is already familiar with the name of the author of the Elementary Grammar which has long since found its way into every elementary school without exception. Pope Iyer's (Iyer meaning Teacher) Grammar is quite a household word in the mouth of every little Tamil student.

^{• &}quot;Thiruvachacam:" by the Rev. Dr. G. U. Pope, Clarendon Press.

That a staunch Christian Missionary, in the 80th year of his age, should have thought of translating and editing Tirmachacam the Veda of Saiva Siddantam, which from its very backbone is Heathen philosophy, is a matter which calls for more than a passing remark. The author, although he is not sure of the reception that will be accorded to the book, still thinks that this is a work that ought to be done. For, he states in his preface that "if the Tamil people and the English are ever in any degree to understand one another, and to appreciate each other's thoughts and feelings regarding the bighest masters; if any progress is to be made in the development of a real science of Hinduism, as it now is, our English people must have the means of obtaining some insight into the living system which exercises at the present day such a marvellous power over the minds of the great majority of the best Tamil people" Struck with the beauty of Thirwrachacum, which means Holy Utterances, the aditor states that " the sacred mystic portry of a people reveals their character and aspirations more truly than even their peculiar legends and ballads," and ventures to suggest that, while Europeans should acquaint themselves with the feelings and convictions of those among whom they have to work, the Hindus should on their part obtain full insight into the "sacred poetry" of the West, so that shere may be that complete mutual understanding which is so very necessary for a thorough punfidence on either side.

The history of Manicka Vachagar dates so far back as the middle of the 9th century A.D., when the Pandian kings were holding sway at Madura, when Saivaism and Buddhism were waging war in the south, each trying to supplant the other with all the spiritual force which each could possibly command. Manicka Vachagar was quite a boy when his merit came to be recognized by the then Pandian king, and, as he grew up, he became a power in himself, and was a spiritual star that lit up all Southern India with his lustre and influence. A born genius, his words were valued as so many gems: for his very name means ' atterer of geme' and carried that influence both in and out of court so that before long the once powerful Boddhism began to lose ground and eventually to be wiped clean out of that portion of ladia which was subject to the great Pandian king. From his infancy, this great spiritual teacher who, in after years came to be looked upon as a saint and sage, showed signs of his future greatness and lived quite like an ascetic devoted to his king and trusted by him. To him Saiva Siddentam owes its victory; by his andanuted courage, inspired spirituality, and persistent labours he was able to plant Saivaism on its own ground. With his Thirnrachacam be watered and nursed it up and left it as a precious gift to all succeeding generations, who, ever grateful to his memory, chant his words and sing his praise almost daily in humble devotion. In a way this

here and religious philosopher is represented as a martyr. Despite his personality, many were the trials of his life and several were the privations he suffered. Prominent of these latter stands the peculiar legend about him. When on one occasion having spent all the wealth given him by the king for the purchase of horses on the repairs of decayed temples and the huilding up of new ones, be found it hopeless to obtain horses for the king, he threw himself on the mercy of God, who stood him in good stead by turning jackals into borses, which, however, soon after assumed their natural form and ran away. Poor Manika Vachagar was caught hold of, and was thracked and tortured till the floods in the river Vaigai brought God himself to his rescue. In the disguise of a labourer, He carried mad for erecting embankments until he himself was besten for not executing His work properly. It was then too late for the king to repent, when God disappeared in void air to the great wonder and distress of the king and all his subjects. Since then, the legened runs, our hero came to be looked upon as a great saint and sage. and the whole country worshipped him as such.

Of all the sage-poet's works, Thirnrachakam stands out boldly as a keystone to the great and ancient edifice of Saiva Siddantam. From the days of the Pandian kings, down to the present time, or during a long unbroken period of over 1,000 years, Thirwoodhakam is being read in almost every nook and corner of Southern India. The very fact that Thirucochaham has held its own during so many conturies is proof positive, if proof were required, of its excellence. Dr. Pope has placed the civilized world ander obligation to him by his work. His stay of nearly half a century in this land, his intimate knowledge of the people of this country, his great proficiency in the Tamil language, his scholarship, and undoubted command of his own mother tongue, and, above all, his unbiased mind, his amiable personality, and his natural aptitude have all conjointly contributed to his success in bringing out this code of morality which, while it is free from sectarian teaching, is replete with lessons of wisdom and deep piety, By this work, Dr. Pope has done a great service to India and has paid it a great compliment. As if a mere translation were not enough, Dr. Pope has followed up the Thirwachakam in verse, equally good and beautiful as the original, and quite as inspiring. His introduction and notes leave nothing to be desired, while his Tamil Lexicon and Concordance give that completion to his work which accords with the subject he has handled. The book which has been nicely executed at the Clarendon Press is bound to find a place in almost every house in Southern

(From the Madras Times, May 28, 1901.)

THE TIRUVACAGAM: OB SACRED UTTERANCES
OF THE TAMIL POET, SAINT AND SAGE

MANIKKAVASAGAR.*

Indian civilisation is the product of many factors. The history of India is essentially the history of Brahman civilisation as modified by the various influences that attacked its continuity and moulded it into its present form. Dynasties have risen and fallen, new races have come and gone, but the civilisation of India of 1901 can be truced back to its ancestry through a period of no less than forty centuries. Of course in its progress, it had to encounter many forces, both Aryan and non-Aryan, indigenous and foreign, some of them so powe ful indeed, like Buddhism for instance, that they for a time bade fair to supersede it altogether. But its vitality is so great, its elasticity and power of assimilation so vast, and its hold upon the people so strong that it has successfully weathered the storms, and stands to-day as one of the oldest fabrics of human creation.

Among these influences must be reckoned the indigenous cult, religion and philosophy of Southern India known as the Saiva Siddhautam. Erudition, often profound, is not wanting among the Indian pandits, but the critical analytical historical faculties have not been adequately, if at all, developed; and the result has been that the origin and history of this Saivism and its place in Hindu civilisation have remained obscure. This obscurity has been in a measure removed by the arduous labours of the octagenarian scholar and savant, Dr. Pope, who has in his advanced old age placed India under a deep debt of gratitude by this monumental publication. brought to the discharge of this self-imposed labour of love, a kindly disposition, a sympathetic appreciation of the beauties and truths discoverable in Tamil literature, and a profound scholarship, mostly free from that narrow feeling of jealousy, which sometimes unfortunately disables Christian Missionaries from seeing truths in other religions than their own. If the Tamil people and the English are to understand one another, if the gulf which rightly or wrongly is believed to exist between the rulers and the ruled in India is to be bridged, then it is most desirable that all Europeans, whose lot it is to dwell in the midst of and work for the Tamil people, should take pains to know accurately the feelings and convic-

tions of the Tamils and understand their aspirations and beliefs. Towards this understanding, a knowledge of the "sacred mystic poetry of a people will contribute more than their secular legends and ballads; for sacred hymns are continually sung by the devout of all ages and both sexes; and all classes of community are saturated with their influences." We heartily, therefore, welcome the work before us.

Dr. Pope has fully recognised the fact that Saivism is the real living religion of Southern India and that it has been only thinly veneered by a superficial layer of Northern Aryan Brahmanism. What takes place, when two different cults and civilisations, not wholly irreconciliable, are brought face to tace with each other, took place in Southern India when the Northern Aryan with his vigorous and attractive religion and philosophy attempted to impose this system on the Southern Dravidian who had an indigenous philosophy and religion of his own. Dravidian herces, gods and minor deities, were then identified with the Vedic powers of the Brahmans, and a fusion took place containing much that is essentially true and much that is or appears to be fantastic or false. The function of the scholar is to separate the gold from the dross and purify the religion which now forms the source of consolation to so many millions of the Hindu people.

The Saiva religion is the most ancient religion of Southern India, the home of the Tamils. It was beginning to lose its hold on the popular affections owing to the attacks of Buddhists and Jains; but the impetus given to it by the religious revival that sprang up under the leadership of the great Saiva reformers, Manikkavacagar and others, enabled it to overcome all opposition and regain its ascendancy. Manikkavacagar was untoubtedly the greatest of these reformers and his Tirnvacagam the greatest, or at least the most heart-melting, work on the Saiva Siddhantam. This work, as Dr. Pope has well said, "is recited daily in all the great Saiva temples in South India, is on every one's lips, and is as dear to the hearts of vast multitudes of excellect people as the Psalms of David are to the Jews and Christans."

Dr. Pope has not contented himself with the publication of the bare text, beautiful as it is, with its large, clear and attractive type and broad margin. He has given us an excellent rendering in English, which in most places conveys the meaning and in some even the charm and vigour of the original. He has given us in the shape of learned notes a mine of useful information collated from various sources bearing on the origin and development of local legends and traditions. He has prefixed a good life of the poet-saint which, though mainly based on the legendary Vathavurar Puranam after all, perhaps

[•] The Tiruvacagam; or Sacred Utterances of the Tamil Poet, Saint and Suge Manikkavacagar; with English Translation, Introduction and Notes, by the Rev. G. U. Pope, M.A., D.D., Clarendon Press. Oxford 1700.

element our only source—is vivid and sympathetic and in which the chief incidents of the sage's life, from boyhood to the final bestification, are all well and clearly told. The appendix, which must have cost the learned destor a world of trouble, contains lucid and in some cases even lengthy notes on abstrace subjects like the Pahchabsharam or the mystic formula of the five letters, the emancipation of the soul and the nature of Divine Grace, the Caiva Biddhentson, sto., etc., and deserves the careful attention and study of every one who wishes to understand and appreciate the religious instinct of the Bouth Indian.

But this is not all. The doctor goes into the subject of presedy and classifies the various metres employed in this collection of sacred verse, and, to make the work complete, gives us references, bibliographical notes and an elaborate texicon, concordance and general index covering more than eighty pages. He has also pointed out the influence of the Sanskrit Bhashavat Gita on the works of our post, and inclines to the view that the Siddhauta is an eclectic system which has taken hints from external sources, but owes its completeness to the ingenuity of the Tamil mind. He has not failed to mote the difference between the works of the Jains, which are "clever, epigrammatic, full of satire and worldly wisdom, but not religious," and the songe of Manikkavacagar which are full of "living faith and devotion." Nothing but praise, unqualified praise, is due to the eminent doctor who has spent his whole life is the study of Tamil literature and done so much and so well to bring the Eastern mind into contact with the Western. But we cannot help remarking that in one material respect he has not risen above the weakness of European scholars, who have, we are corry to note a tendency to credit ludian civilization and Indian institutions with as little antiquity as possible. He is, we fear, wrong in placing about the seventh or eighth century A. D. Manikkavachagar, who lived at least two or three centuries earlier. Indeed, there are scholars who asset that he was a contemporary of the poets of the last Madurasangham, which came to an end about the beginning of the Christian

We hesitate to acquiesce with the Dootor, when he says that the explanation generally given—that the "idols in Hindu temples are mere signs representing an symbols the Divine Being and some of his works and attributes"—is not an adequate statement of the case. Nor do we much admire the cautious (?) way in which, after summarising the eternal truths taught by Manikkavacagar, he remarks that it will be seen "how very near in some not unimportant respects the Caiva system approximates to Christianity." What the Doctor means is not very clear, but we can confidently say that the age of Manikkavacagar and his surroundings precluded all possibility of his having been in any manner influenced by the doctrines of the Christian faith.

SIVAGNANA SIDDHIYAR

07

ARUL NANDI SIVA ACRARYA.

SCIEN VIII.

(Continued from page 240).

Adikarana II.

The importance of Vedas and Agamas.

Note.

'Muthal Nal' is defined as the Books revealed by the Supreme Being devoid of all imperfusions, 'Vali Nal as Backs agreeing with the Muthal Nal in their conclusions but varying if necessary in other details. "Barbha Nal' though fellowing both the above, yet may contain variations and differences.

What are Purva l'aksha & Siddhanta worter?

15. The only real books are the Vedas and Saivagamas. All other books are derived from these. These two books were eternally revealed by the Perfect God. Of them the Vedas are general, and given out for all; the Agamas are special and revealed for the benefit of the blessed, and they contain the essential truths of the Vedas and [Vedanta. Hence all other books are Purvapaksha books and the Saivagamas alone are Siddhauta Works?

The Goal of Biddhanta.

16. In the Siddhanta, the Supreme Siva has graciously revealed that He will, even in one birth,

make His devotees Jivannuktas, after removing their Mala, by bathing them in the Ocean of Janua and making them drink of Bliss, and freeing them of all future births, will place them under His Feet of Final Mukti. Yet how mad is the world in not believing these Holy words and falling into sin and perdition by saying all sorts of things.

The Characteristics of the Supreme God.

17. He is the Supreme Lord, in whom all Intelligence, all Power, and all Beneficence is inherent. His Omniscience is manifest by His Revealed Works, the Vedas and Agamas. His Omnipotence is manifest by His granting the deserts of those who follow and don't follow His commands. As He removes their Karma by making them eat their twin fruits, He is beneficent. We behold all these glories in Our Lord Siva.

NOTE.

The very symbols of God Siva show forth His glorions Powers, as the author of creation, development and Regeneration, Droupavam and Bliss.

The four Margas of reaching God.

18. The four ways of reaching God are Sanmarga, Sahamarga, Sutputramarga and Dasamarga. These four Margas are also called Gnana and Yoga, Kriya, and Chariya Pâdas. Thy will respectively lead one to Sayujya, Sarápya, Samápya and Sálika Mukti. The first kind of Mukti attained by Gnana Marga is the final Bliss, (Para Mukti) the rest are called Pada Mukti.

Dasa Marga explained.

19. Washing and cleaning God's Temples, culling flowers and making various garlands for the adornment of God, founding flower-gardens, and lighting temples, and praising God, and obeying the commands of God's devotees, after bowing and humbly receiving their orders, all these are the duties of the Dasa Marga, and those who work in this path will surely reach Sivaloka.

Satputra Marga explained.

20. Taking the fresh and fragrant flowers, Dupa and Deepa, Tirumanjana, and food, and purifying in all the five different ways, and establishing God's

symbol (Assuce is) and invoking God's Present therein as All Intelligence and Light, and wershipping the same in all love, and praying to God and praising Him, and performing also Agnihotra &c., all these comprise the duties of Kriya-Marga. They who observe these duties daily will reach God's Presence.

Sahamarga explained.

21. In Sahamarga, one has to control his senses, stop his breaths, and fix his mind, and explore the secrets of the six Adaras and know their Gods, and passing beyond into the regions of the bright Chandramandala, one has to drink deep of the Amrita filling his every pore, and dwell fixedly on the supreme Lights. If one performs this Yoga of eight kinds, his sins will fall off and he will get the form of God Himself.

Notes.

The eight form of Yoga are Yama, Niyama, A sawa, Pranâyama, Pratyâkâra, Dârana, Dyâna and Sawadhi. Of these, the last five are only set forth in the text, and the-first three are assumed.

Yama consists in Ahimsa, Satyam, Refraining from theft, celibacy or chastity, mercifulness, devoid of deceitfulness, contentedness, courage, taking little food, and purity.

Niyama consists in performing Tapas, and Japan, and Vratam, believing in God, and worshipping Him. and reading and meditating on the Shastras, being cheefful, fearful of evil, and intelligent.

The Asanas are Swastikasana, Gomnkasana, Padmesana, Virasana, Simhosana, Bhadrasana, Muktiusana, and Mannasana.

Sanmargu explained.

22. In Sammarga, one studies all the Various arts and sciences and Vedas and Puranas and the different religious, and after rating all other knowledge as low, he holds on to the truth of Tripadartha and finding the Path of reaching God Siva, and realising the non-distinction of gnathuru, gnana and gneya, He becomes one with God. Such great men reach Sivan.

J. M. N.

(To be continue 1).